

environmental regulations and probable impact on: water resources, energy, transportation systems and community services.

*Alternatives Perceived.*—At this time the HUD alternatives include: no project, accept project as proposed, accept project with conditions, or modification of project.

*Scoping.*—HUD held a pre-project "scoping" meeting as provided for under Section 1501.7 of the regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. In accord with departmental environmental regulations under 24 CFR Part 50.35(b)(2) invitations were forwarded to an approximate 66 individuals and organizations (Federal, State and private). The scoping meeting was held on March 3, 1980 at 1:30 p.m. in the HUD Phoenix Service Office located at 101 North First Avenue, Suite 1800, Phoenix, Arizona.

*Comments.*—Comments regarding this proposal should be sent within 21 days of the publication of this Notice in the Federal Register, to: Paul R. Kemp, Acting Area Manager, Attention: John Bonkoski, Environmental Clearance Officer, HUD Area Office, 2500 Wilshire Boulevard, Room 600, Los Angeles, California 90057 (or Call (213) 688-5899).

#### Appendix—EIS on Creekside Planned Residential Development, Ontario, Calif.

The HUD Area Office in Los Angeles, California, intends to prepare an EIS on the project described below and solicits information and comments for consideration in the EIS.

*Description.*—The Creekside planned residential community project is a proposed land development of approximately 414 acres. This planned development project will provide for approximately 2,500 new dwelling units in a mixture of housing densities and types. Community recreation facilities (both public and private), functional open space, and certain supporting commercial uses will form the remaining major land uses.

The project site is located in the southeast portion of the City of Ontario, 38 miles east of Los Angeles. The site is bounded by the Pomona Freeway (I-60) on the north, Riverside Drive on the south, Turner Avenue on the west, and Milken Avenue on the east.

HUD's participation in this land development project is through its Federal mortgage insurance program which is intended to facilitate homeownership and the construction and financing of housing. By insuring commercial lenders against loss HUD encourages such lenders to invest capital in the home mortgage market.

*Need.*—An EIS is proposed due to HUD threshold requirements in accordance with housing program environmental regulations and probable impact on: water resources, energy, transportation systems and community services.

*Alternatives Perceived.*—At this time the HUD alternatives include: no project, accept project as proposed, accept project with conditions, or modification of project.

*Scoping.*—HUD will hold a pre-project "scoping" meeting in accordance with Section 1501.7 of the regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. At this meeting, open to all individual persons, groups, Federal, State and local agencies, HUD wishes to identify all significant issues to be analyzed in the environmental impact statement. Time and place of this "scoping" meeting will be announced at a later date.

Possible significant environmental effects identified to date include:

Conversion of 414 acres of vacated-dairyland to urban uses. Introduction of approximately 7,000 new residents in approximately 2,500 new dwelling units to the city of Ontario over an estimated seven year period. Increases in motor vehicle traffic, noise, air-pollution omissions and urban-water runoff impacts.

Conversion of 3824 acres of rural land to urban uses.

Development of substantial areas of productive agricultural soils.

Introduction of approximately 37,000 new residents in approximately 13,000 new dwelling units to the City of Glendale over an estimated seven year period.

Potential impact of a 100-year floodplain.

Disposal of waste treatment plant effluent into surrounding area. Possible subsidence problems from continued groundwater removal.

*Comments.*—Comments regarding this proposal should be sent within 21 days of the publication of this Notice in the Federal Register to: Paul R. Kemp, Acting Area Manager, Attention: John Bonkoski, Environmental Clearance Officer, HUD Area Office, 2500 Wilshire Boulevard, Room 600, Los Angeles, California 90057 (or call (213) 688-5899).

[FR Doc. 80-9015 Filed 3-24-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

#### Determination for Federal Acknowledgment of the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians as an Indian Tribe

This notice is published in the exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

Pursuant to 25 CFR 54.9(h) notice is hereby given that the Assistant Secretary acknowledges that the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, c/o Miss Ardith Harris, Post Office Box 37, Suttons Bay, Michigan 49682, exists as an Indian tribe. This notice is based on a determination that the group satisfied the criteria set forth in 25 CFR 54.7.

The Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians is the modern successor of several bands of Ottawas and Chippewas which have a documented continuous existence in the Grand Traverse Bay area of Michigan since as early as 1675. Evidence indicates these bands, and the subsequent combined band, have existed autonomously since first contact, with a series of leaders who represented the band in its dealings with outside organizations, and who both responded to and influenced the band in matters of importance. The membership is unquestionably Indian, of Ottawa and Chippewa descent. No evidence was found that the members of the band are members of any other Indian tribes, or that the band or its members have been terminated or forbidden the Federal relationship by an Act of Congress.

Proposed findings that the band exists as an Indian tribe were published on page 60171 of the Federal Register of October 18, 1979.

Interested parties were given 120 days in which to submit factual or legal arguments to rebut evidence used to support the findings that the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians exists as an Indian tribe. The 120-day comment period ended February 16.

One response to the proposed findings was received. It suggested that one of the groups which formed the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians had come most immediately from Allegan County, although not disputing the earlier origin of this group in the north. It also suggested that there was no pressure from white settlement in the area until 1840, although not disputing the occurrence of significant

cultural changes among the Indians beginning by 1800.

These comments do not factually or legally rebut the evidence used to support the proposed findings and do not take issue with the proposed findings. Consequently, they do not affect the Assistant Secretary's determination that the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians exists as an Indian tribe.

This determination shall become effective May 27, 1980 unless the Secretary of the Interior requests the determination be reconsidered pursuant to 25 CFR 54.10.

Rick Lavis,

Deputy Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 80-8958 Filed 3-24-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

## Fish and Wildlife Service

### Endangered Species Permit; Receipt of Request for Amendment of Application

Applicant: Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

The applicant requests an amendment to the "Notice of Receipt of Application" published on March 13, 1980, FR Vol. 45(51): 16358. The second paragraph of the notice should have read: The requested amendment would authorize the removal from the wild of all existing dusky seaside sparrows (*Ammodramus maritima nigrescens*) for safe keeping in a captive breeding program.

Documents and other information submitted with this application are available to the public during normal business hours in Room 601, 1000 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia, or by writing to the Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (WPO), Washington, D.C. 20240.

This application has been assigned file number PRT 2-4329. Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the Director at the above address on or before April 23, 1980; this extends the period for receipt of comments by 10 days. Please refer to the file number when submitting comments.

Dated: March 20, 1980.

Donald G. Donahoo,

Chief, Permit Branch, Federal Wildlife Permit Office, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 80-8932 Filed 3-24-80; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

## Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service before March 14, 1980. Pursuant to § 1202.13 of 36 CFR Part 1202, written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20243. Written comments should be submitted by April 9, 1980.

Sarah G. Oldham,

Acting Chief, Registration Branch.

#### ALABAMA

##### Calhoun County

Anniston, Lyric Theatre, 1302 Noble St.

##### Clarke County

Gosport, Woodlands (Fredrick Blount Plantation) Off U.S. 84

##### Lee County

Auburn, Burton, Robert Wilton, House, 315 E. Magnolia St.

##### Montgomery County

Montgomery, Gerald-Dowdell House, 405 S. Hull St.

##### Morgan County

Decatur, Dancy, Col. Francis, House, 901 Railroad St., NW.

##### Pickens County

Aliceville, Aliceville Elementary and High School, 420 3rd Ave., NE.

##### Tuscaloosa County

Northport, Northport Historic District, 25th, 28th, 28th and 30th Aves. Main, 5th and 6th Sts.

Tuscaloosa, Wheeler House, 2703 7th St.

#### GEORGIA

Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources. Reference—see individual listings under Appling, Atkinson, Bacon, Baker, Banks, Barrow, Bartow, Ben Hill, Berrien, Bleckley, Brooks, Bulloch, Burke, Butts, Camden, Candler, Carroll, Charlton, Chattooga, Clay, Clinch, Colquitt, Columbia, Coweta, Crawford, Dade, Dawson, Decatur, DeKalb, Dodge, Dooly, Early, Effingham, Elbert Evans, Fayette, Floyd, Franklin, Fulton, Gilmer, Glascock, Grady, Greene, Gwinnett, Haralson, Harris, Henry, Irwin, Jackson, Jasper, Jeff Davis, Jefferson, Jenkins, Johnson, Jones, Lamar, Lee, Liberty, Lincoln, Long, Lowndes, Lumpkin, Macon, Madison, Marion, Meriwether, Monroe, Montgomery, Murray, Newton, Paulding, Peach, Pierce, Pike,

Pulaski, Schley, Seminole, Stephens, Stewart, Talbot, Taliaferro, Terrell, Thomas, Tift, Treutlen, Turner, Twigg, Union, Upson, Walker, Walton, Warren, Washington, Wayne, Webster, Wheeler, Wilcox, Wilkes, and Worth Counties.

##### Appling County

Baxley, Appling County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Atkinson County

Pearson, Atkinson County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Austin at Main St.

##### Bacon County

Alma, Bacon County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Main St.

##### Baker County

Newton, Baker County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Banks County

Homer, Banks County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Off U.S. 441.

##### Barrow County

Winder, Barrow County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Bartow County

Cartersville, Bartow County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

Cartersville, Old Bartow County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) 4 E. Church St.

##### Ben Hill County

Fitzgerald, Ben Hill County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) E. Central Ave.

##### Berrien County

Nashville, Berrien County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Town Sq. (previously listed in the National Register).

##### Bleckley County

Cochran, Bleckley County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Brooks County

Quitman, Brooks County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Bulloch County

Statesboro, Bulloch County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.

##### Burke County

Waynesboro, Burke County Courthouse (Georgia County Courthouses Thematic Resources) Courthouse Sq.