SPART	MENT _{OF 1} 1824	WE INTE
O.S.U B		RIOR S
OHER	OF INDIA	N ATT

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs 25 C.F.R. Part 89 Application Checklist

Region:		
U		
Tribe:		

Project Name:

Request Type:

Attorney's Fees 🛛

Litigation Support

PART 1 – REQUIRED CONTENTS		
A. GENERAL INFORMATION	YES	NO
1. Nature of fee request: Attorney's Fees Litigation Support		
2. Historical or other relevant/general background information regarding events leading to the current situation.		
3. Tribal resolution authorizing fund request.		
4. Current financial statement sufficient to show Tribe's capacity to pay for all or part of the legal services.		
5. Statement that Tribe does not possess sufficient tribal funds or assets to pay for all or a part of the services sought.		
B. REQUESTS FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES		NO
1. Exceptions identified under 25 CFR § 89.41:(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)		
b. Description of how request fully meets each identified exception.		
2. Statement why matter must be handled by a private attorney as opposed to attorneys from the Department of Justice or Department of Interior.		
3. Concise description of issue and scope of work for which attorney fee funds are requested.		
a. Includes approximate court dates and/or time frames for negotiations, litigation, etc.		
4. Provide a detailed breakdown of the services for which funds are sought, including:		
a. costs of services;		
b. number of attorney hours; and		
c. attorney hourly rates.		
5. Copies of relevant legal counsel contracts.		
6. Bar certificates or statements of good standing for each attorney for whom fees sought.		

C. REQUESTS FOR LITIGATION SUPPORT		NO
1. Detailed breakdown of services for which litigation support funds sought, including:		
a. costs of services;		
b. number of hours; and		
c. hourly rates.		

Proceed to Part 2 if application is complete.

PART 2 – FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED (25 CFR § 89.42)				
A. BIA PROGRAM STAFF REVIEW		NO		
1. Tribe's ability to pay its expenses out of its own funds.				
2. Merits of tribe's legal arguments.				
Particularly meritorious Relatively weak Other (explain)				
3. Is issue being litigated in another case by another tribe?				
4. As a matter of strategy, could the issue be more satisfactorily resolved in another forum, in a different factual context, or at a different time?				
5. Should the issue be litigated at all in preference to a legislative or other solution?				
B. FIELD SOLICITOR REVIEW				
1. Merits of tribe's legal arguments. Particularly meritorious □ Relatively weak □ Other □ (explain)				
	_	-		
2. Is issue being litigated in another case by another tribe?		Ш		
3. As a matter of strategy, could the issue be more satisfactorily resolved in another forum, in a different factual context, or at a different time?				
4. Should the issue be litigated at all in preference to a legislative or other solution?				
5. Information lacking in application.				
6. Concern for information in application.				
C. REGIONAL DIRECTOR REVIEW				
PART 3 – OTS/COMMITTEE REVIEWS		NO		
1. BIA Program Staff Review & Endorsement				
2. Field Solicitor Review				
3. Regional Director Review & Endorsement				
4. OTS/AFRC Review				
5. ASIA Review				