		-
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY - INDIAN AFFAIRS	
11	TRIBAL CONSULTATION ON	
12	WHETHER/HOW TO REVISE	
13	25 CFR 140 - TRADERS WITH INDIANS	
14	Taken March 14, 2017	
15	Commencing at 8:43 a.m.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	REPORTED BY: DAWN WORKMAN BOUNDS, CSR, CLR	

1	Proceedings taken March 14, 2017,
2	commencing at 8:43 a.m., at the Mystic Lake Casino,
3	2400 Mystic Lake Boulevard, Prior Lake, Minnesota, before
4	Dawn Workman Bounds, Certified Shorthand Reporter and
5	Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota.
6	*****
7	APPEARANCES
8	ON BEHALF OF UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
9	ASSISTANT SECRETARY - INDIAN AFFAIRS:
10	Elizabeth Appel, Director
11	REGULATORY AFFAIRS AND COLLABORATIVE ACTION
12	1849 C Street NW
13	MIB, Mailstop 3071
14	Washington, D.C. 20240
15	202.273.4680
16	elizabeth.appel@bia.gov
17	and
18	James W. Porter, Attorney - Adviser
19	OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR
20	Division of Indian Affairs
21	1849 C Street NW
22	Washington, D.C. 20240
23	202.208.3401
24	james.porter@sol.doi.gov
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MS. APPEL: Welcome to the tribal
3	consultation on our Indian trader regulations. My name
4	is Liz Appel. I'm the director of the Office of
5	Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action for Indian
6	Affairs at the Department of the Interior.
7	And this is
8	MR. PORTER: My name is Jim Porter. I'm
9	with the Office of the Solicitor.
10	MS. APPEL: And since we have a small group
11	today, why don't we just go around and introduce
12	ourselves.
13	MR. QUADE: Ron Quade, Tribal Secretary,
14	Sokaogon Chippewa Community.
15	MR. PEARMAN: My name is Seth Pearman,
16	P-E-A-R-M-A-N, and I'm the tribal attorney for the
17	Flandreau Saute-Sioux Tribe.
18	MS. JOHNSON: Kim Johnson, and I am the
19	tribal paralegal for the Flandreau Saute-Sioux Tribe.
20	MS. APPEL: Thank you.
21	So we're meeting today to discuss the
22	possibility of updating our licensed Indian trader
23	regulations. You probably saw on December 8th of last
24	year we published an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rule
25	Making, which we call an ANPRM. And in that we asked to

get input on whether to update 25 CFR Part 140, which is the regulation addressing licensed Indian traders.

And this ANPRM was really a response to proposals and inquiries the department has been receiving over the past year from tribes and tribal organizations requesting that we revise and update Part 140. So we are at the very early stages. We haven't drafted any revisions because we first want to hear from tribes on whether to leave the rule as it is, repeal it, or revise it; and we're also interested in anyone else's comments.

And if the answer is that we should revise the regulations, what would those revisions look like?

And our public comment period is open until April 10th, I believe.

So just a little bit of background. Part 140 governs trade occurring on Indian reservations, and the regulation is -- Indian reservations -- reservations, not regulations. So Part 140, the regulation, is based on the lesser known Indian trader statutes, and the most recent of those statutes was passed in 1903, and they sought to protect tribes from unlawful traders on the reservations.

The regulations currently envision a framework where BIA would issue licenses to anyone who wants to do business on a reservation, and the department

2.

recognizes that tribes fully regulate businesses operating within their boundaries currently. And this is just a testament to how old the Part 140 regulations are. They were first published in 1957, revised in 1965, and modified in 1984 in a piecemeal fashion. So it's about time that we take a look at them.

So our goals in looking at whether and how to revise Part 140 are to modernize the implementation of the Indian trader statutes in a way that's consistent with federal policies of tribal self-determination and self-government that supports current tribal business practices and strengthens tribal economies across the country.

And while we don't know -- we have a new administration, we don't know what the specific priorities of the new administration are for Indian Country yet, but we do anticipate that taking a look at this rule will align with the president's priorities for economic development.

So you received in your packet in the handouts a list of questions that we'd like you input on. These are the same questions that are in the ANPRM. And we'll be going through each on the questions today, but briefly they address whether we should revise Part 140 in full or in part and why. Federal involvement in business

2.

practices and the extent to which BIA should be involved in those practices. How to make sure traders in Indian Country are reputable and accountable. And what type of trade and who should be regulated.

We're also interested in learning how tribes currently regulate trade occurring within their jurisdictions and how revisions to this regulation could promote economic viability in Indian Country and address taxation issues.

So I mentioned we're accepting written comments until April 10th. We're here today to listen and get your thoughts on how to modernize the regulations and promote tribal self-determination and economic development.

So with that, I'll just open it to any comments and -- general comments. And then if you don't know, go through the questions and see if that prompts any other thoughts.

Does anyone have any comments they'd like to make?

Okay. So I know it's hard to -- this is a complicated -- complicated issue, and it's sometimes hard to come up on the fly with some comments. So just a reminder that we are accepting written comments until April 10th, so I'll go through some of the questions and

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the background oh, great.
2	MR. QUADE: Like we were talking about
3	outside here, my tribe's main area of concern is the dual
4	taxation possibilities available
5	THE REPORTER: I can't hear what you're
6	saying. I'm sorry. Can
7	MS. APPEL: Do you have a
8	THE REPORTER: Can you go to a mic?
9	MR. PORTER: There's a mic right beside you
10	there.
11	MR. QUADE: Okay.
12	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
13	MR. QUADE: Dual taxation
14	THE REPORTER: Turn it on.
15	MS. APPEL: I think it's on.
16	THE REPORTER: Is it?
17	MR. QUADE: Dual taxation within the
18	tribe's boundaries we'll be looking at here.
19	MR. PORTER: So clearly that's been
20	important to a lot of the groups that we've met with. I
21	don't know how much of this discussion is in your
22	material, but we feel like there's a lot of problematic
23	case law to overcome if we want to address dual taxation
24	by means of these regulations.
25	So part of part of our hope is that

1	we'll get creative ideas that will help us address those
2	issues when we get good comments. So got some smart
3	people in the room. I mean, I don't know how much you
4	guys have thought about specifics to try and bring the
5	issue of dual taxation into the trader reg statutes,
6	which are not not obviously relevant to the dual
7	taxation problem.
8	MR. PEARMAN: Good morning. I'm here on
9	behalf of the Flandreau Saute-Sioux tribe, and we
10	appreciate the Secretary of Interior of BIA's
11	consultation today, and we look forward to some
12	meaningful conversation about Indian trader statutes.
13	As you discussed earlier, you know, these
14	were passed as a protectionism measure for tribes, you
15	know, 100-plus years ago. Since then tribes have really
16	come into being sophisticated governments that have
17	massive industry within their reservations. And I think
18	that the the revision of this statute is incredibly
19	timely.
20	As it is now, it's ineffective on our
21	reservation. It's not used, I don't think. And
22	obviously we're within the Aberdeen area office, but we
23	don't use the statute at all. I mean, it's
24	MR. PORTER: Can I jump in?
25	Do any of you know of any tribes that are

1	having tribe traders licenses issued for them?
2	MR. PEARMAN: I don't.
3	MR. PORTER: Okay.
4	MR. PEARMAN: In fact, I've had
5	conversations with our area office stating that, you
6	know, we have a large construction and renovation project
7	on our casino, and I'd asked whether or not I could have
8	a traders license issued for our construction manager,
9	and we haven't had any movement on that.
10	But I think that if we formalize that with
11	this in mind, that perhaps our area office will issue an
12	Indian traders license, but I just don't think it's been
13	done for quite some time.
14	We're also very concerned about the the
15	actual regulation of trade, and really trade within our
16	reservation is regulated through business ordinances and
17	codes, in addition to our tax office, which issues
18	licenses to vendors. On the Flandreau reservation at
19	least, the majority of the business is done by the tribe.
20	You know, we don't have a lot of tribal businesses that
21	are owned by tribal members and things like that.
22	So it's really the tribe who is the
23	economic driver there. And, you know, it's different
24	throughout every reservation obviously, but I think that
25	the regulations could be drafted in a way that was

1 beneficial to each tribe. 2. I would also state that I think the -- you 3 know, we've had great success with our 638 contracts and 4 those types of mechanisms that would take the -- I know 5 that the general position of the Bureau of Indian Affairs is they need health service. And utilizing those 6 7 contractual methods to actually run the programs 8 ourselves, we've had great success with that. We've been operating under those contracts for decades. And I think 10 that a mechanism that would allow tribes and their 11 business departments to implement Indian trader licenses 12 in accordance with some federal guidelines, I think would 13 be beneficial to all of us, including timeliness of 14 getting licenses issued. 15 MR. PORTER: So you -- the tribe is 16 issuing -- or has fully developed their need for 17 regulating business on the reservation. 18 What is it you perceive would be the 19 additional contribution of having a traders license for 20 your contractor? 21 MR. PEARMAN: I think there are various 22 reasons where it would be beneficial. One would be that 23 the actual -- that the -- the licensee would, one, be 24 vetted through a process that could actually benefit all 25 tribes. I know that we set some -- some guidelines that

1 stated that, you know, you'll have to prepare a -- or 2. you'll send in a yearly audit, and you'll have to ensure 3 that you're not -- what's the list for Sam -- is it a 4 department? 5

MR. PORTER: I don't know.

There's a federal list that MR. PEARMAN: people who can't participate in federal contracting anymore if they've violated some laws. I can't remember exactly what it's called, but I think it's on Sam.gov.

But some traditional vetting I think would be beneficial so that the businesses that are truly participating, commerce on the reservations are actually doing good work. I think it'd also be worthwhile -- I mean, we've had various litigation throughout the years for vendors who -- and companies who actually weren't doing good business on the tribe -- or on the reservation.

And I think that having a mechanism that we could utilize and say, you know what, you don't have a traders license, you don't follow the general criteria that are useful to the tribe in vetting out these companies; then you therefore are in violation of state -- or excuse me -- of tribal and federal law. there may be some teeth to these statutes that would allow us to remedy existing situations that have been

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	tough for the tribe.
2	Also, with the you know, the Indian
3	commerce clause, it would make sense for the government
4	to continue to regulate commerce within Indian Country
5	and for us to therefore preempt state taxation so that we
6	can use our sophisticated tribal codes to actually
7	implement and benefit from the taxes that we are, you
8	know, paying and implementing into our tribal programming
9	that benefits tribal members themselves.
10	That was a mouthful.
11	MR. PORTER: Well, that's why you're here.
12	MR. QUADE: That was well said.
13	MR. PEARMAN: Thank you.
14	MS. APPEL: Thank you for that input.
15	And that that you touched on several
16	of the questions, I think; but the one that strikes me
17	the most, what you last said about the taxation sort of
18	relates to our last question, which is what services do
19	tribes currently provide to individuals or entities doing
20	business in Indian Country, and what rule do tax revenues
21	play in providing those services.
22	So we're interested in hearing more about
23	what types of infrastructure tribes currently possess and
24	what role tax revenues play in building and maintaining

25

that infrastructure. We know that tribes provide a wide

1	range of services and infrastructure to Indians and
2	non-Indians doing businesses in Indian Country, so we're
3	interested in what types of services you offer, whether
4	it's law enforcement, health inspection, transportation,
5	or other infrastructure. And are you able to rely on tax
б	revenues to provide those services and infrastructure.
7	MR. QUADE: The Sokaogon Chippewa Community
8	in Northern Wisconsin is a very, very small reservation.
9	Tax revenue is zero. It's we don't have it. We don't
10	have the business on the reservation. We don't have the
11	population. Just the geographic area where we live
12	doesn't allow us to tax, for us anyway. It would be more
13	of a burden than an advantage for us.
14	MR. PORTER: What would?
15	MR. QUADE: The taxation on additional
16	businesses. We just don't have we don't have the
17	people for that.
18	MR. PEARMAN: I'll come a little closer
19	over here, but
20	The Flandreau Saute-Sioux Tribe is
21	operating a tax ordinance that's I believe the 60s or
22	70s. We revised that tax ordinance quite frequently so
23	it can encounter new or changes in the business
24	environment and things like that.
25	In the state of South Dakota, the majority

of the tribes do contracting with the State. So they agree on a certain amount of percentages with the state, and a certain percentage of that given back to the tribe.

We do not do that. We implement and collect our own sales taxes, and it's been fairly easy for us because we have -- like I said, a majority of the businesses are tribally owned, so it's beneficial for us in that manner.

The tax revenues that we collect are pivotal to our existing programming, and they directly benefit tribal membership. It's -- we have substantial actual litigation within the state right now. And during that discovery process, we have identified all of the areas in which we help not only the tribe, but visitors to the reservation and the surrounding community; and the results and the discovery has been overwhelming and has shown that we provide tons of services.

Not only do we write checks to our local -to our local fire department for fire trucks, we provide
just a plethora of things that are really beneficial to
the community as a whole. The tribe is a smaller,
land-based, you know, around 6,500 acres. So it's -- we
don't have an existing boundary, but we do the best we
can with the tax dollars that we have and the limited 638
contracting funds to really implement successful

2.

1	programming.
2	MR. PORTER: To me one of the challenges is
3	going to be the fact that there's so many different
4	tribal sizes, schemes, structures. We've got two tribes
5	here, and here we've got tremendous difference in what
6	trader regulations would accomplish and how to structure
7	them.
8	So just from the mechanical aspect of how
9	to structure and revise modern useful regulatory scheme,
10	that to me seems like a big challenge. How do we make
11	this work for Navajo and Flandreau and Sokaogon Chippewa
12	and you know, when the reservation's in California.
13	I'm imagining a like a menu of options
14	that tribes folks can adopt on a reservation, but
15	THE REPORTER: You need to get by the mic.
16	MR. PORTER: I'm sorry.
17	THE REPORTER: That's okay.
18	MR. PORTER: I'm imagining a menu of
19	options within the regulatory scheme that tribes then
20	could choose to adopt.
21	MR. PEARMAN: I think that makes sense.
22	When you look at like the Hearth Act and some other major
23	legislation that was passed from the Federal Government
24	to Indian Country, that's exactly what the Hearth Act
25	does; it, you know, provides a tribe the opportunity to

1	execute leases and do some other things within Indian
2	Country with less Bureau of Indian Affairs intervention.
3	And actually the you know, Flandreau
4	hasn't implemented the Hearth Act yet, but it's on
5	its radar, and it would like to implement soon. But I
б	believe that the tribes that hadn't been implemented
7	that have found very successful results.
8	So it would make sense that if the statutes
9	and regulations are written in a way that, you know, so
10	long as, you know, the tribal business corporation code
11	or the tribal tax ordinance has these certain
12	requirements, that, you know, the tribe could really
13	implement and do this themselves.
14	What I did like or what I do like about
15	the process is that there's still the opportunity for the

the process is that there's still the opportunity for the Federal Government to take action against somebody who would be violating tribal law and the Indian Trader Statute as they're written now, and I think that would be beneficial to Indian Country, too, and give the tribe some real authority to say, you know, this is — this kind of company has breached contracts, this company has, you know, caused damage to its natural resources.

It's — you know, there's a lot of things that bad business practices can do to negatively impact the tribe and its membership.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MS. APPEL: Thank you for that.
2	So let's let's go through the other
3	questions and see if that prompts any thoughts.
4	Starting with the first one: Should the
5	Federal Government address trade occurring in Indian
6	Country through an updated Part 140 and why?
7	We talked about this a little bit already.
8	Congress granted the department broad authority to
9	regulate trade in Indian Country and specifically the
10	Indian trader statutes provide the department with the
11	authority to make rules specifying the kind and quantity
12	of goods that may be sold to Indians and the prices at
13	which such goods shall be sold. And that's at Section
14	261.
15	And one of the sheets in your handout has
16	some excerpts from the Indian trader statutes if you want
17	to use that as a reference.
18	Section 262 allows the department to
19	establish rules and regulations governing who is a proper
20	person to engage in trade on Indian reservations for the
21	protection of Indians.
22	So we know that many tribes currently
23	regulate trades that occur within their jurisdictions
24	under tribal law and authority without federal
25	involvement. And we also acknowledge that we have a
1	

```
1
    trust responsibility to tribes.
 2.
                   Are there any other thoughts on how we can
 3
    update these regulations in a way that recognizes those
            We heard about the Hearth Act model with the
 4
    facts?
    opportunity for federal enforcement.
 5
6
                   Are there any other thoughts on that?
7
                   MR. PEARMAN:
                                 I'd also like to note that,
8
    you know, the -- the implementation of gaming on the
    tribes has -- you know, within Indian Country has allowed
10
    tribes to license and really take a strong look at its
11
    vendors and things like that in accordance with some
12
    federal standards, including management agreements and
13
    things that would be run through the Bureau of Indian
    Affairs and the NIGC.
14
15
                   So I think that's another mechanism that
16
    kind of shows there's less -- excuse me -- there's more
17
    intervention in that type of model; but, you know,
18
    really it's -- it's still one and the same. Those people
19
    are still being vetted by a process.
                                           The process is in
20
    place to -- you know, to protect the tribe from poor
    business, and it's been useful to the tribes I think.
21
22
                   MS. APPEL:
                               Great.
                                       Thank you.
23
                   The second question we have is are there
24
    certain components of the existing rule that should be
25
    kept?
           And if so, why?
```

1	So if you look through the current
2	regulation that's also in your packet, there are some
3	varied provisions in there; some that appear to have been
4	superseded by law, such as I think there's a provision on
5	gambling and on liquor. So should any of the existing
6	sections of the existing rule be kept? And this may be
7	just something to flag for you to think about for your
8	written comments. Because we wouldn't want to
9	comprehensively overhaul or repeal and then later
10	determine that there was something helpful in Part 140.
11	And in cases where the department has
12	issued Indian trader licenses, should there be some kind
13	of grandfathering clause for any valid license
14	licenses that have been issued and are currently in
15	effect?
16	If no sections of the current Part 140 the
17	way it is now should be kept, we'd like some information
18	on why you think that is and what would an entirely new
19	rule look like. So if BIA would no longer issue licenses
20	under the rule, what would the federal involvement be;
21	what would the scope of it be; and what would that look
22	like?
23	MR. PEARMAN: Does the BIA have any
24	instances where the Indian trader licensing is being
25	utilized in the United States?

1	MR. PORTER: I know of one, one instance
2	where a tribe is getting trader licenses from the BIA for
3	businesses on the reservation.
4	MR. PORTER: The third question the ANPRM
5	poses is how can revisions to existing to the existing
6	rule ensure that persons who conduct trade are reputable
7	and that there are mechanisms in place to address traders
8	who violate federal or tribal law?
9	So recognizing that tribes often have
10	comprehensive schemes in place regulating traders
11	already, how could the rule ensure that there are
12	reputable traders in Indian Country and ensure that
13	violations of federal or tribal law are properly
14	addressed?
15	MR. PORTER: I think you spoke to this
16	earlier with the
17	MR. QUADE: I think Seth spoke to this
18	earlier with it seems as simple as putting together a
19	vetted list that is available to the tribes.
20	That's what you're saying, right?
21	MR. PEARMAN: Yeah.
22	And just some some typical due diligence
23	procedures, too, of what every business will need to have
24	to obtain an Indian trader's license, too.
25	MS. APPEL: Great. Thank you.

Any other thoughts that you have on that
for the written comments would be appreciated.

MR. PORTER: To me there's three -- three

components of this discussion that we've had. The actual trader regulation, which is largely what we've talked about here, how we might extend this revision to cover dual taxation and help with that problem.

The third one that we haven't discussed explicitly here today is using this as a mechanism for clarifying tribal court jurisdiction over businesses on the reservation. To me, that kind of fits into that -- that specific question of how we self-govern in the tribes, obtain relief against bad -- bad traders.

So either in discussion here or as you think about it and submit in written comments, the mechanism for enhancing the clarity of tribal court jurisdiction. We all know the Supreme Court case currently weighing on -- that they 4-4 tied in Dollar General, right, that exact problem. And maybe this is a -- gives us an opportunity to clarify that as well.

MR. PEARMAN: I think that when you talk about tribal court jurisdiction, there are really a couple of things that need to be kept in mind. One is that when you're working with financial institutions or you're issuing bonds or things like that, the majority of

those institutions will prefer that you are choosing jurisdiction outside of the tribal jurisdiction, you know, to include state and federal courts.

And then I think that basic contracting with the tribes and with businesses you end up about half and half, I guess, with businesses that are willing to -- to purposefully avail themselves of tribal courts and some that just simply won't.

So pushing the statutes into a method that says, you know, only tribal courts would -- would be the applicable without some venue options I think would be challenging for the tribes and might eliminate some financing opportunities. So that would definitely need to be taken into consideration, but that could be easily done with just a small sense that said -- you know, or as the tribe otherwise agrees to by contract.

MS. APPEL: Thank you.

So the fourth question, how do tribes currently regulate trade and how might revisions help regulate trade in Indian Country sounds a little repetitive, but what we're trying to get at here is some information from you-all and suggestions about how you regulate trade currently and in recognition that there -- that tribes are not monolithic, and there are different levels of regulation. How can the Federal Government

2.

bolster those tribes that currently regulate trade, and as well as those tribes that don't have any trade regulations in place now?

And then what types of trade should be regulated, and what types of traders should be subject to regulation?

We received proposals from various tribes and tribal organizations, and some suggested that trade should include not only commercial activities, but also mineral and energy development and any form of natural resource extraction or agricultural. So we're looking at what the scope of this should be.

Do you have thoughts on that?

MR. QUADE: I think that what we're talking about here today, we're talking about reservations; and we're thinking inside the lines of the reservation. I think we can't forget the boundaries and outlying areas. What affects those areas also affects us. Most of the time we're landlocked, most of our reservations. So I haven't heard that brought up yet, regulation regarding outlying areas, you know, not just reservation lands.

MR. PEARMAN: I think you also have to look at when you -- when we're trying to encapsulate all reservations, too, we need to take a look at not only the businesses that do direct contracting or business with

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the tribes themselves, but also with tribal members. I
2	think that would be beneficial to to really put
3	something in place so that, you know, any operator of
4	business that is coming on the reservation that are
5	actual tribal membership would also be subject to
6	regulations.
7	MR. QUADE: I just want to go back to the
8	tribal court thing.
9	I'll be honest, our tribal court system
10	isn't that strong. We're taking steps right now to
11	strengthen our tribal court. We have problems, you know,
12	making the people within our reservation abide by our
13	laws through our tribal courts.
14	But something that Seth had spoke about
15	earlier with the tribal courts, I think if we're not
16	holding these vendors and people that are going to apply
17	for an Indian traders license accountable in tribal
18	courts, it's not going to mean much. There has to be
19	repercussions of somebody that violates an Indian trader
20	license in tribal court. It has to mean something there
21	first I think.
22	MR. PORTER: What do you mean by mean
23	something?
24	MR. QUADE: Currently, it doesn't seem like
25	penalties or sanctions or whatever is handed down from a

1	tribal court is really taken with that much credibility,
2	or I don't see a push to make people follow, you know,
3	fines or, you know, whatever sanctions are put against
4	them.
5	MR. PORTER: So even where the tribal court
6	has had a hearing and issued a ruling against, for
7	instance, a vendor, the ability of the court to or the
8	tribe to collect on the judgment is weak. Is that
9	MR. QUADE: Absolutely. Yes.
10	MR. PEARMAN: Yeah, I'd definitely echo
11	that to say that, you know, we've we've been in tribal
12	court and had cases that we've litigated for years and
13	years, and we we won in our court of appeals.
14	And now after that point, we'd have to jump
15	into some other state courts or the federal court to even
16	have the honor to start to remedy the situation.
17	And it's it's
18	MR. QUADE: It's costly as well.
19	MR. PORTER: Sure.
20	MR. PEARMAN: Absolutely.
21	MR. QUADE: Why go through the process to
22	start all over again?
23	MR. PEARMAN: So I would say within the
24	business realm, litigation is really the only option the
25	tribes have to to remedy bad business or breach of

1	contract and things like that, and it's cost prohibitive.
2	I mean, it's very expensive to have an outside law firm
3	come in and represent you to run through tribal court and
4	then have your jurisdiction immediately challenged into
5	district court and then be back in tribal court.
6	And by that time, you know, five years down
7	the line, the company could be insolvent, so there's no
8	remedy for the tribe then.
9	MR. QUADE: Which has happened to us. That
10	exact thing has happened to a company that disappeared,
11	so we're out.
12	MS. APPEL: And do you have any thoughts
13	on because listening, it sounds like we're talking
14	mostly about commercial business.
15	Are you also including natural resource
16	extraction or people who you know, coal companies or
17	grazing on your land, do you consider them to be within
18	the scope of traders; or you are thinking more just the
19	business/commercial side?
20	MR. QUADE: Everything. Everything under
21	the umbrella, it seems like I mean, we're in a casino
22	having this discussion. People like to suggest or assume
23	that most of our revenues do come from gaming. A lot do,
24	but that's just a starting point for other businesses.
25	MR. PEARMAN: Flandreau doesn't do a ton of

1	mineral extraction, if any; but the it does lease
2	out quite a bit of its land is a lot of farmland. And
3	I think that throwing into the lease agreement that you
4	will obtain a Indian traders license wouldn't be that
5	burdensome; and it, again, adds that second layer of
6	protection for the tribe.
7	MR. PORTER: Flandreau is one of the tribes
8	that put together the the wind energy consortium,
9	right?
10	Is anything being developed yet?
11	MR. PEARMAN: They worked pretty
12	tirelessly. It's the I believe it's the Wind Power
13	Development Authority, something like that. And
14	they've I believe they've had a lot of challenges
15	because most of the infrastructure in South Dakota is
16	owned by local cooperatives, so I think it's challenging
17	for them to connect to the grid, but that'd be another
18	a method that you know, energy production we'd
19	definitely be taking a look at, too.
20	I think when when all of this is said
21	and done, if there are requirements and codes or
22	ordinances that need to be put in place, that the
23	Department of Interior should work on some model codes.
24	I think that'd be really beneficial to the tribes to
25	ensure that we're complying with the federal

1	requirements.
2	MS. APPEL: And the last part of that
3	question number 5 that I wanted to point out is whether
4	the rules should define the type of trader, as in the
5	proposals that we've received would apply to any person
6	conducting trade in Indian Country, including
7	non-Indians.
8	So do you see any reason for distinguishing
9	the type of trader in any revised Indian trader
10	regulations?
11	MR. PEARMAN: Within the regulations
12	itself, it says trading means buying, selling, bartering,
13	renting, leasing, permitting, or and any other
14	transaction involving the acquisition of property or
15	services.
16	That's pretty all-encompassing as it is, so
17	I think that it would really encapsulate any and all
18	trade that happens within the reservation.
19	MS. APPEL: So you would keep it that
20	broad?
21	MR. PEARMAN: Well, I think so. I mean,
22	that's even a small contract that that is initially
23	started could lead into something larger, and the
24	magnitude of that would be a lot larger, so
25	MS. APPEL: Okay. Moving on to question 6.

1	How might revisions to the regulations
2	promote economic viability and sustainability in Indian
3	Country?
4	And how could the rule facilitate economic
5	activity and tribal economic self-sufficiency?
6	MR. PEARMAN: I know that some of the
7	companies we've worked with - and not necessarily by our
8	tribe, but some of them have taken some hits while
9	working with tribes or in Indian Country that makes them
10	somewhat reluctant to participate again.
11	I've encountered some companies like that.
12	They say, well, you know, we've worked with, you know,
13	Tribe A; and we had a bad experience there, so we might
14	not even participate again. So we'll say, you know,
15	obviously we have codes that are on our website; you
16	know, you can take a look at those.
17	And then having a having sustainability
18	in the economics of any area are going to directly
19	excuse me are going to directly benefit trade and
20	commerce. There's no company that wants unreliable law
21	or courts or anything like that because it's it's
22	something they can't count on, and they won't make those
23	business decisions.
24	So I think stability that would come from
25	this would promote economic activity within the tribes.

1	And as we discussed, if we can keep out of court to
2	defend the tribe against bad practices and businesses,
3	then we can really use that money that we're spending on
4	litigation to further develop the economics of the tribe.
5	MR. QUADE: I guess my own expectation
6	would be not that we would be we wouldn't have to go
7	into court. Eventually in a dispute you go to court.
8	But that the the outcome would be far more predictable
9	and quickly arrived at, which means that, you know, maybe
10	you negotiate a settlement, or you accomplish what you
11	need before getting to full litigation.
12	But if you've got a regulatory scheme that
13	spells out precisely where the liability lies, right? I
14	mean, I'm totally in agreement with what you're saying;
15	the most certain outcomes are, the happier business is.
16	MS. APPEL: And I think you're referring
17	back to how you have to resort to litigation to collect
18	on judgments; is that right?
19	MR. PEARMAN: Right. I'd also include
20	that, you know, any considerations and regulations made
21	should also include provisions and protect sovereign
22	immunity that's enjoyed by the tribes because that's
23	pivotal to one of the defenses that we have in these
24	type of situations is that, you know, even if we do waive
25	sovereign immunity, it's in clever and limited fashion

1	that is that protects the tribe and its other
2	ancillary businesses that may not be involved in specific
3	contracts or other business arrangements.
4	MR. PORTER: Have you experienced any
5	reluctance from business partners, potential partners to
6	enter contracts with the sovereign?
7	MR. PEARMAN: Absolutely. I mean, it's
8	it's something that when I draft a contract, I put in
9	every contract just letting them even know that, you
10	know, that the tribe enjoys sovereign immunity so that
11	they're aware of it and so that they're not taken aback
12	by it in the future.
13	Some companies choose not to do business
14	with the tribe, and some companies choose not to do
15	business based on not wanting to accept our taxation
16	scheme or our taxation laws, so and at that point, we
17	just say, well, we'll see you then; you know, we'll find
18	somebody else who can provide these services to us.
19	MR. QUADE: Ditto.
20	MS. APPEL: We already discussed the last
21	question.
22	So I'll just open it up for any other
23	thoughts; or do you have any questions for us?
24	MR. PORTER: I do know, just looking at 7,
25	there the in a majority of the communities that

1	tribes exist, they provide a bulk of the services to all
2	individuals living within that area. I mean, it's
3	it's pivotal to use the, you know, tax revenues and
4	business opportunity and economics created by the tribe
5	to directly benefit its members and the community. So
6	it's pretty pivotal that we have certain economic
7	practices.
8	MR. PEARMAN: Do you have anything?
9	MS. JOHNSON: No, you're hitting it.
10	MS. APPEL: Are there any other thoughts?
11	MR. QUADE: Something I'd like to add,
12	going back to the geography of things.
13	Unless you're doing a real big project -
14	you know, a huge project - as far as people that we're
15	able to do business with, they're mostly local entities.
16	So any concern about what additional regulations might
17	limit that group of people that we're able to pull from
18	and do business with, because we are very limited where
19	we live there.
20	So I don't think a bigger I don't want
21	to put everybody in the same same bucket here. I
22	think more consultation needs to be done. I wish more
23	people would have shown up today, but I think we need to
24	have more talks about this.
25	MR. PORTER: Off the record.

```
1
                   (Off the record 9:31-9:31.)
 2.
                                 I think with this
                   MR. PEARMAN:
    administration, too, it's -- it seemed that
 3
 4
    overregulation is something that is not favorable, and I
    think that's true. And with these ordinances as well, we
 5
 6
    can -- if the tribes have the option to adopt portions
7
    that are that are beneficial and actually fit within --
8
    within their body of law, that makes sense to me.
                   I would really hate, like -- like he was
10
    saying -- that I would hate to have some expansive
11
    regulation that would force all tribes into, you know, a
12
    certain method of practice that isn't feasible for them.
13
    so...
14
                   MR. OUADE:
                               Most of our business
15
    relationships are built on long-standing friendships, and
16
    this something we need to protect.
                                         These are the people
17
    that we do business with every day, you know, on a local
18
    level. So I'm concerned with additional regulations, it
19
    endanger that relationship.
20
                   MS. APPEL: So it would be great in your
21
    written comments if you could provide any ideas about
22
    how -- how we could have an option or craft a whole rule
23
    to protect that kind of business relationship and make it
24
    as minimally burdensome as possible.
25
                   MR. OUADE:
                               What is currently being
```

1	proposed?
2	MS. APPEL: So that is the interesting
3	the interesting thing.
4	MR. QUADE: Because I think
5	MS. APPEL: Yeah, we don't have a set
6	proposal. That we're really in information gathering
7	mode now trying to get ideas from you-all as to what this
8	should look like. Because we didn't want to presuppose
9	and come out with a draft that looked entirely different
10	from what an Indian tribe would want, and then the focus
11	would be on why the proposal was wrong, rather than
12	We're trying to get some initial direction,
13	since this is such an, I guess, archaic regulation,
14	archaic statutes, that we want we want some wanted
15	to get some direction before putting pen to paper.
16	So it's a great opportunity to help help
17	get so that's a great segue into the next step. If we
18	move forward with revising these regulations would be to
19	likely go to a proposed rule, and that proposed rule
20	could be anything in a menu of options, from repealing to
21	comprehensively revising to surgical revisions.
22	But that proposed rule would be published
23	in the federal register, and then we would go through
24	another round of tribal consultations for input. But we
25	want we want to get input now before coming up with a

1	proposed rule.
2	Any other thoughts?
3	MR. QUADE: That's it.
4	MR. PEARMAN: No.
5	MS. APPEL: Okay. Please remember April
6	10th, if you can provide your written comments. Probably
7	the easiest way is by e-mail to consultation at BIA.gov.
8	And we hope to see your written comments
9	then. Thank you so much for braving the cold and coming
10	out today.
11	MR. PORTER: Thank you for being here.
12	MS. APPEL: We've got some good input, even
13	though we had a small crowd. We appreciate it. Thank
14	you very much.
15	(Proceedings adjourned 9:36 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	0/11/2017
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MINNESOTA)
3	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)
4 5	I, Dawn Workman Bounds, hereby certify that I reported the above proceedings on the 14th day of March, 2017, in Prior Lake, Minnesota;
6 7 8	That the cost of the original has been charged to the party who noticed the proceedings, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the same rate for such copies;
9	That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such;
11 12	That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or Has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality.
13	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 21st day of March, 2017.
14	120
16	
17	Dawn Workman Bounds Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota My commission expires January 31, 2019
18	my Commission expires dandary 31, 2019
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	