	Page 1
1	
2	2019 TRIBAL CONSULTATION
3	Conducted by Juanita Mendoza
4	Friday, July 26, 2019
5	1:06 p.m.
6	
7	
8	Meeting via Webinar
9	Call-in number: +1(872)240-3412
10	Access Code: 661-181-805
11	20005
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Reported by: Nate Riveness
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

	Micening via webinar 5419 20, 2017
	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Juanita Mendoza
4	Dr. Jeffrey Hamley, Associate Deputy Director
5	Division of Performance and Accountability
6	Bureau of Indian Education
7	Deb Sigman, Senior Advisor
8	Center on Standards and Assessment Implementation
9	WestEd
10	Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer, Acting Chief Academic Officer
11	Bureau of Indian Education
12	Brian Quint, Attorney Advisor
13	Office of the Solicitor
14	Joe Moran, Director
15	Policy and Strategic Initiatives
16	South Dakota Department of Education
17	Bonnie Haines, Education Specialist
18	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate Tribal Education Department
19	Agency Village, South Dakota
20	Cherie Farlee
21	Thomas Williams
22	Delor Curling, Cogito Boarding School, School Board

	Page 3
1	Veronica Lane
2	Sue Bement, Designated Federal Officer
3	Bureau of Indian Education
4	Regina
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	www.CapitalPoportingCompany.com

		Weeting via webinar	July 20, 2019
			Page 4
1		CONTENTS	
2			
3	SPEAKER		PAGE
4	Juanita Mendoza		5
5	Deb Sigman		10
6	Dr. Jeffrey Hamley		28
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

	Page 5		
1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	MS. MENDOZA: So let's go ahead and get		
3	started. Again, my name is Juanita Mendoza. I work		
4	for the Bureau of Indian Education. I am a special		
5	assistant to Director Tony Dearman.		
б	I want to welcome everyone for joining the		
7	Tribal Consultation today. Unfortunately, the		
8	Director is not able to join. However, he does he		
9	did ask me to send his thoughts for a very successful		
10	Tribal Consultation.		
11	I want to go ahead and allow the presenters		
12	to introduce themselves. So I will go ahead and start		
13	with Dr. Jeff Hamley.		
14	DR. HAMLEY: Good day, everyone. This is		
15	Jeff Hamley. I'm the Associate Deputy Director,		
16	Division of Performance and Accountability for the		
17	Bureau of Indian Education. And I'll be presenting		
18	the slides on the proposed rule.		
19	MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Deb.		
20	MS. SIGMAN: Good morning, everyone. My name		
21	is Deb Sigman. And I serve as a senior advisor to the		
22	Center on Standards and Assessment Implementation		

	Page 6
1	housed at WestEd. We provide technical assistance to
2	the BIE regarding this negotiated rulemaking process
3	around standards, assessment, and accountability. And
4	we are a federally funded center that provides
5	technical assistance not only to BIE, but to all the
6	states, as well around the area.
7	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Deb.
8	And Tamarah?
9	DR. PFEIFFER: Good morning. This is Tamarah
10	Pfeiffer. I'm the Acting Chief Academic Officer for
11	the Bureau of Indian Education. Welcome.
12	MS. MENDOZA: And Brian.
13	MR. QUINT: Hi. This is Brian Quint. I'm an
14	attorney advisor at the Office of the Solicitor. I've
15	been providing support to the Bureau of Indian
16	Education throughout this negotiated rulemaking
17	process and these conversations.
18	MS. MENDOZA: Great. Thank you, everyone.
19	First off, I'd like to recognize our court
20	reporter. He will be recording everything that we're
21	saying, so this will be an official record.
22	If everyone, when you're speaking during the

	Page 7		
1	comment period, if you could say your name slowly		
2	before you start speaking and spell your name if it's		
3	a complicated name or a complex name, that would he		
4	would very much appreciate that.		
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off		
6	mic).		
7	MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry?		
8	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off		
9	mic).		
10	MS. MENDOZA: Oh. Oh, I'm sorry. Also, say		
11	your affiliation.		
12	Okay. So up on the screen, I have today's		
13	consultation agenda. I want to just note the time.		
14	So we're going to we started at 1:00. We'll go		
15	into we're talking about the ground rules right		
16	now.		
17	I want also wanted to direct folks to try		
18	to keep their comments to points that are relative to		
19	the PowerPoint and to the proposed rule. Of course,		
20	we want to hear, you know, what you have to say. But		
21	if we could focus our comments on the proposed rule,		
22	that would be very helpful.		

1	I also want to make note that the
2	consultation materials that are that we will be
3	referring to during the session, they are available on
4	the BIE and BIA website. And I'm showing the links
5	right now. So you can access them now or access them
6	later.
7	And so we're going to start off with the
8	overview of the Every Student Succeeds Act. Then we
9	will present on Part 30 the draft of the proposed
10	rule. Then we will go into tribal comments. We'll
11	have a short break, if necessary. And then we will
12	open comments to the public. And then we will
13	adjourn.
14	I also want to point out that all comments
15	for the draft rule are due by August 9th. And on the
16	screen, you'll see the email to send a comment as well
17	as the federal rulemaking portal. And there you want
18	to make sure that you refer to the docket number.
19	This information will also be displayed at the end of
20	the consultation. We'll make sure that it it's on
21	the screen for you to refer to.
22	Right now, in this session, I have folks

1	muted If I'm action to ac cheed and upmute
	muted. If I'm going to go ahead and unmute
2	everyone. But if you could be sure to put your phones
3	on mute so there isn't background interference. It
4	can be difficult for people to hear and especially for
5	the court reporter for him to hear people speak. So
6	I'm going to be taking you off of mute now.
7	AUTOMATED VOICE SYSTEM: Unmuted.
8	MS. MENDOZA: I don't know why it's not
9	allowing me to unmute everyone. All right. It's
10	doing it slowly. So if there's a problem, just write
11	in the chat box, and I can respond that way.
12	So Deb, I have turned over the PowerPoint to
13	you as the presenter.
14	MS. SIGMAN: Okay. Now, I don't see the
15	PowerPoint. So it's asking me to share. Do I need to
16	bring up the PowerPoint and share it? It looks like -
17	_
18	MS. MENDOZA: Do you see it now?
19	MS. SIGMAN: No.
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off
21	mic).
22	MS. MENDOZA: You know, it was just to

Γ

	Page 10
1	make this easier for us, why don't I go ahead
2	MS. SIGMAN: And well, Juanita, I can
3	certainly share my screen because it's asking me to
4	share my screen. And then we can try to do it that
5	way.
б	MS. MENDOZA: Yeah, do that.
7	MS. SIGMAN: Okay. So the can you see it
8	right now?
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off
10	mic).
11	MS. SIGMAN: Okay.
12	MS. MENDOZA: No, I don't see your screen.
13	Oh, there it is.
14	MS. SIGMAN: Okay. All right. We're good?
15	MS. MENDOZA: We are good.
16	Thank you, everyone, for your patience.
17	MS. SIGMAN: Yes, indeed. All right. Hang
18	on. Oh, my goodness.
19	All right. Can you still see it?
20	MS. MENDOZA: Yes.
21	MS. SIGMAN: All right. Okay. So thank you,
22	everyone. I appreciate your patience as we work

1	through this.
2	So my presentation is just to give you a
3	brief introduction and summary of the Standards and
4	Assessment and Accountability systems under the
5	Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by
б	the Every Student Succeeds Act, known as ESSA.
7	So we're going to go through this, and we
8	can, as Juanita indicated, answer questions after the
9	presentation.
10	So ESEA was has been around since 1955.
11	It's a very large federal education law for students
12	in K-12. Primarily, it's one of its functions is
13	to provide a great deal of funding through the Title I
14	program, which is now over \$14 million (ph) across the
15	states.
16	And there have been several iterations of
17	ESEA since that time. Most notably was No Child Left
18	Behind, also known as NCLB, which functioned to 2015
19	from 2002, this set the expectations for the first
20	time that, really, schools needed to be progressing
21	with all groups of students, not just one.
22	And then in December of 2015, ESEA was to be

Meeting	via	Webinar
meeting	1 100	,, coma

```
Page 12
```

1 authorized, as amended by ESSA. And so that is our 2 current -- we are currently functioning under that 3 law.

4 However, ESSA really maintains the core 5 pillars of the law. And that is really about б promoting educational excellence and equity. And it really does flow through the four pillars, standards 7 8 being that first pillar, the standards that we declare 9 what students should know and what we expect them to 10 be able to do. And those are supported with aligned 11 assessments so that we're able to measure and quantify 12 if those students are actually meeting those 13 standards.

Thirdly, the issue around transparency and disaggregation and, ultimately, accountability so that we can ensure all students are meeting the standards and getting the services that they need.

And the fourth is for those schools that are not able to meet the needs of those students -- are -that are not meeting the standards, that we can provide school support and intervention.

22

Now, the Bureau of Indian Education and -- is

Paqe	13

1	not a state. However, a section of ESEA, Section
2	8204, requires the Secretary of Interior to have
3	requirements around standards, assessments, and
4	accountability that applies to all BIE-funded schools
5	and taking into account the unique circumstances and
6	environment for such schools. And those requirements
7	have to be consistent with a certain section of ESEA,
8	Section 1111, which really is all about standards,
9	assessment, and accountability.
10	And the Secretary implements those
11	requirements pursuant to regulations that were crafted
12	during a negotiated rulemaking. And that brings us to
13	today and to this series of Tribal Consultations. As
14	a result of those negotiated rulemaking, we have a
15	rule now that we is out for comments.
16	So what is the system and the standards,
17	assessment, and accountability system? Well, it
18	really is a system. It really there are three
19	parts to the system, with the first part being
20	standards. They really foundational for the system
21	with everything rests on that standard. So the
22	achievement, those content standards, what we expect

students to be able to know and to do, and then those achievement standards that talk about -- we want them to know them. But what's the measure, and how good is good enough?

And that really is -- takes into account the assessment piece of the system, which is we develop or we procure assessments that really help guide us in terms of who we -- are our students really meeting the standards, and are they able to know and to be able to do what we expect of them?

And then ultimately, those two parts of the system feed into an accountability system that is really -- helps the adults to measure whether or not we are doing right by our students.

15 So we are going to go through each of these pieces and talk about the why. So why are standards 16 17 important? Well, as I said, they are statements about 18 what a student should know and be able to do at each 19 grade level, and it really is a way to be transparent with our communities and our parents and our 20 21 stakeholders about our expectations for the students 22 that we serve. And having consistence in our

1 expectations really is a critical piece of our system 2 because it makes sure that we don't give our students 3 two different kinds of standards and that we all 4 deliver to all of our students a rigorous set of 5 standards.

Under ESSA, a -- and by virtue of Section 6 7 8204, BIE is required to adopt challenging statewide 8 content standards. And so there are two kinds of 9 standards. There's the content standards, which is 10 the what, right, so what students should know and be 11 able to do. So those -- that content and those 12 standards have to be developed at a minimum in math, 13 reading, and science.

And then achievement standards are the 14 15 standards that indicate how good is good enough. What does it mean to be proficient, right? And so the 16 17 states have to adopt at least three levels of 18 achievement. For example, some states reported those 19 as advanced, proficient, and basic. It -- some states refer to it as exceeding standards and meeting 20 21 standards and approaching standards. So there are 2.2 different ways that you can define those levels of

1	achievement.
2	And then the third requirement, which is
3	different than NCLB this is a new piece of ESEA
4	these standards have to be aligned with interest
5	requirements for credit-bearing coursework in the
б	public higher education system. So that's a very
7	that's a different piece. That's a new piece that got
8	added with ESSA.
9	As I said before, the same standards must
10	apply to all students. The only exception to that is
11	if a state or BIE elected to have alternate
12	achievement standards, which can be set for students
13	with the most significant cognitive disability.
14	That's a small portion of students, and it's designed
15	for about 1 percent of the tested population.
16	In addition to that, standards for English
17	language proficiency so this is not an academic
18	content, but, rather, a language proficiency must
19	be adopted (inaudible) speaking, listening, reading,
20	and writing. And those standards must be aligned to
21	the academic standards.
22	For whatever reason, I am not I

Page 17 For whatever reason, I am unable to advance 1 2 my slides here. 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off 4 mic). UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: (inaudible -5 off mic). 6 7 MS. SIGMAN: Okay. There we go. Thank you. 8 So the next piece of the system is 9 assessment. And why is assessment important? Well, 10 it's important because it provides that objective tool 11 that we can measure students' progress for meeting 12 those standards. But it also gives us a way to 13 measure our performance in classrooms, schools, and districts to make sure that we're meeting the needs of 14 15 the students that we serve. 16 And high quality in assessments can help 17 expose gaps -- achievement gaps, opportunity gaps -between various groups. And as I said, it can -- it 18 19 gives schools and systems information, data, evidence that they need in order to get better at educating 20 21 students and to inform and improve teaching and 2.2 learning.

1	Also, requirements under ESSA require that
2	assessments are administered every year in both math
3	and reading, language arts to every student in grades
4	3 through 8 and once in high school. So there's a
5	choice at which grade in high school those assessments
6	are given.
7	And in addition to that, a science assessment
8	must be given once per grade span. And so that grade
9	span is an elementary grade span, a middle grade span,
10	and a high school grade span.
11	Our students with disabilities must be
12	included in the system of assessment. They can be
13	included in the regular assessments that are developed
14	for all students, or they can be assessed via that
15	alternate system that we talked about just a few
16	minutes ago. But again, that alternate system would
17	be for a very small percentage of students. And our
18	students with disabilities really have to be provided
19	accommodations so that they can be provided access to
20	that assessment.
21	Assessment the most important thing about
22	assessments is that they have to be aligned with

1	whatever academic standards were adopted. So you
2	don't want to have an assessment that is not actually
3	assessing and measuring the standards that we deem
4	important for students to know and be able to do.
5	And then, again, all students must be tested,
б	but, minimally, 95 percent of students. And the
7	system must be assessed.
8	All identified English learners so those
9	students who are in the process of learning English
10	must also be assessed in the their language
11	acquisition with that language proficiency assessment.
12	And English learners must be included, also, in those
13	academic assessments, although the rules are slightly
14	different. We're not going to go into them here.
15	And states, BIE, have the choice about how
16	those assessments may be delivered. And so you have
17	choices. They can be delivered as projects or
18	portfolios or extended performance tests, in part, not
19	in full. So the expectation is that there's a
20	traditional kind of assessment that is given to
21	students, but these can be included as well. And you
22	can have a single sum (ph) of those tests at the end

1	of the year, or you can have multiple interims that
2	lead to a single (inaudible) score.

And then there -- as I said, there -- for those students with the most significant cognitive disabilities that would participate in an alternate assessment, there is a 1 percent cap on that number.

7 So then that brings us to our last piece of 8 the system, which is accountability. And why is that 9 important? Well, well-designed systems really set 10 clear expectations. So we have our standards that set 11 expectations for what our students must know and be 12 able to do.

13 Our accountability system sets expectations for schools and systems so that they know what the 14 15 expectations are for them in order to raise the achievement for all students, not just some, but 16 17 really is about creating that equitable system so that all of our students receive rigorous standards and 18 receive -- and are able to meet those standards. 19 Ιt helps them focus their attention and resources on all 20 21 of the students that we serve.

22

It really can be a signal, a statement, a

1 priority about what BIE and its stakeholders consider important. What do we care about? What do we want 2 accountability to say about our system? 3 4 And then ultimately, it really provides a way 5 that it trumps intervention, a way for hints (ph) that б we can intervene when schools or -- just don't meet the expectations for any group of students. 7 8 So what does accountability look like under 9 ESSA? Unlike NCLB, which was very much about a single 10 assessment -- and it was, really, accountability 11 systems were driven strictly by assessment -- ESSA has 12 really opened that up. And it's about using multiple 13 measures in the system. But there are -- the law is 14 pretty specific about what those measures have to be. 15 So the first measure is that the academic achievement has to be measured for all students, and 16 17 it has to be measured by proficiency on those yearly 18 assessments. In just the two subjects, so in ELA, 19 reading, and math. This is the first indicator that all states must subscribe to. 20 21 (inaudible) sorry. My system is having a little bit of a problem. 22

Paqe	22	
------	----	--

1	Secondly is,	for high schools, this could
2	also include a measure	of growth.

3 The other academic indicator is number 2 for 4 elementary and secondary schools, secondary not being high school. And that means for the measure of growth 5 that other academic indicator, or it could be some 6 7 other measure that might yield a valid, reliable 8 academic indicator. For example, some states have science as their other academic indicator for the 9 10 school.

You must include graduation rates for all high schools in the system. You must include a measure of English language proficiency, progress on English language proficiency.

15 And then number 5 is really up to the states 16 But it's an additional factor about school or BIE. 17 quality or student success. And there are lots of 18 different -- this is up to -- this is a choice, and you can have more than one. You at least have to have 19 20 You could -- lots of states are electing to look one. 21 at a measure of chronic absenteeism. Some states are 2.2 looking at school climate. Other states are looking

1 at college and career readiness, as measured by 2 perhaps students -- how students are doing on advanced 3 coursework. So this is really -- gives states quite a 4 bit of flexibility in what they choose.

So those are the five must-have indicators. 5 6 And how those indicators play out in an accountability 7 system, the state does have quite a bit of flexibility, but there are some requirements under 8 And that is that each of the indicators -- A, 9 ESSA. 10 you have to have a system that can differentiate 11 performance across the schools. But you also have to 12 do that on an annual basis.

13 But each of the indicators, so the 1 through 4 -- so that's proficiency on ELA, math; it's that 14 15 other academic indicator; it's the graduation rate and the English proficiency -- those four indicators have 16 17 to be given substantially (ph). And together, those four indicators must be -- have much greater weight 18 19 than the indicator in 5, which, remember, that was that flexible indicator around school quality or 20 21 success.

22

And you have to have one from both, at least

1 on assessments and graduation rates, right? So that's 2 a must. You have to think, where do we want students 3 to be -- our groups of students to be in the long 4 term, right? And the expectation is that you'll 5 expect more progress from some groups than others that 6 are further behind.

7 And then part of that accountability system, 8 as I said, is intervening. How can we determine where we need to intervene? And so this slide talks about 9 10 how we identify schools that may need intervention. 11 And this is a slide that I -- the U.S. Department of 12 Ed has provided. And I think it's a complicated 13 system, but I think this slide tries to make it at least understandable. 14

There are categories of identification. And so that first blue box in the left-hand corner is called comprehensive school improvement. And that -or comprehensive support and improvement, sorry. And those are identified by the lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I schools. So it's a defined number, and it's defined by the lowest-performing.

2.2

Then in addition to that, you also have to

```
Page 25
```

1 identify any high school that has a low graduation
2 rate. That -- those are musts. You can provide
3 additional support to Title I schools, but don't exit
4 their comprehensive status.

5 You also can identify schools with 6 consistently underperforming subgroups. That's 7 targeted support. And so -- and then you also have 8 additional targeted support for schools that are not 9 necessarily Title I schools. And then states can 10 choose additional categories if they'd like to 11 identify schools for intervention.

But the blue and the green are -- those are the must-do's, according to law. The blue apply to Title I schools only, and the green apply -- even identify from the whole pool of schools.

So this identification of comprehensive support and improvement, also known as CSI, as we said, it's based on the performance of all students, the lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I, and all schools that fail to graduate more than 67 percent, or all high schools that fail to graduate more than 67 percent of their students. And those are identified

Page 26

for comprehensive support. That identification is to
 occur every three years.

And secondly, that targeted support and 3 4 improvement is based primarily on the performance of subgroups. So it's identified where particular 5 subgroups are underperforming. And in this case, б 7 schools have to implement -- are responsible for 8 implementing interventions within a set period of time. And this identification needs to occur 9 10 annually, but on a state-identified timeline.

And then finally, additional targeted support 11 12 and improvement, this is also about the performance of 13 subgroups. And the methodology that a state or BIE 14 would use to determine this would have to be the same 15 methodology that you use to determine the lowestperforming CSI schools. But it must be based on the 16 17 performance of one or more subgroups. And again, you 18 can identify these from either all of the schools or 19 those identified as targeted support.

And again, this is not limited to Title I schools. So there's quite a bit more identification in here. So Title -- this is about Title I funding,

Daga	27
Page	21

1	essentially, as in the accountability system,
2	obviously, encompasses all schools and all students.
3	So as I said at the beginning, ESSA, ESEA, at
4	its core, is about education excellence and equity.
5	And so for BIE, 23 states, this system, making sure
6	that we have standards and assessments and
7	accountability is really about ensuring that equity
8	equity for all of our students served by BIE and in
9	our BIE states.
10	So that's the end of the presentation. I
11	just want to for your reference. And this is
12	available to you on those links that Juanita was
13	talking about there in the agenda presentation.
14	But for your reference, there are several
15	slides, six slides, in the back that really do a
16	comparison between NCLB and ESSA around the standards,
17	assessment, and accountability. This is adapted from
18	an ASCD document. But for those of you who have lived
19	in the NCLB time, it's it might be a nice reference
20	for you so that you can take a look at kind of how the
21	world has changed in terms of assessment standards,
22	assessments, and accountability.

Page 28 1 And with that, I will stop sharing my screen. Did that take the screen off, Juanita? 2 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it did. Thank you so 3 4 much, Deb. 5 MS. SIGMAN: All righty. MS. MENDOZA: So we're supposed to move into б 7 the BIE proposed rule presentation. And I will be 8 turning it over to Dr. Hamley. 9 But first, I want to make sure everybody can 10 hear me. 11 Deb, could you hear me? 12 MS. SIGMAN: Yes, I can hear you just fine. 13 MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Okay. Great. And let's 14 Give me a moment. see. 15 Okay. Let's go ahead and get started. DR. HAMLEY: Hello, everybody, again. 16 This 17 is Jeff Hamley, Associate Deputy Director of Bureau of 18 Indian Education. 19 I wanted to acknowledge Sue Bement. She --20 she's joined us. Sue was the designated federal 21 official for the rulemaking committee. 22 So I'll make this presentation. And then

Γ

<pre>1 afterwards, we'll open it up to questions. 2 So this presentation 3 MS. MENDOZA: Hold on. Where we got some 4 feedback here that we can't see the presentation. 5 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Let's see. 7 DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it 8 MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what</pre>	
 MS. MENDOZA: Hold on. Where we got some feedback here that we can't see the presentation. DR. HAMLEY: Okay. MS. MENDOZA: Let's see. DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what 	
 4 feedback here that we can't see the presentation. 5 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Let's see. 7 DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it 8 MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what 	
 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. MS. MENDOZA: Let's see. DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what 	
6 MS. MENDOZA: Let's see. 7 DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it 8 MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what	
7DR. HAMLEY: You have to unshare it8MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what	
8 MS. MENDOZA: I think so. That's what	
0 share	
9 share.	
10 DR. HAMLEY: Or not share.	
11 MS. MENDOZA: And then try that.	
DR. HAMLEY: Regina (ph), can you see it now?	
13 REGINA: Yes, but just through the full	
14 screen on the presentation.	
15 MS. MENDOZA: That's what I was trying to do.	
DR. HAMLEY: Well, while she's doing that, so	
17 this presentation outlines the vast rule that was	
18 published on the Federal Register Notice. And I'll	
19 cover the major points.	
20 Oh, do you	
21 MS. MENDOZA: Let's just go ahead and	
22 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. So we'll proceed. She's	

1	working Juanita's working on that.
2	The proposed rule is explained by CFR Part
3	30. ESSA Section 8204 requires the Secretary of the
4	Interior to have requirements for standards,
5	assessments, and accountability system that apply to
б	the BIE-funded schools on a national, regional, or
7	tribal basis, as appropriate, taking into account the
8	unique circumstances and needs of such schools and the
9	students served by the schools.
10	I'm reading this literally from the law
11	because it's important.
12	Such requirements shall be consistent with
13	the ESSA Section 1111, as Deb outlined. And the
14	requirements should be well, shall be implemented
15	pursuant to regulations requiring a negotiated
16	rulemaking process.
17	So as a federal agency, we are required to
18	undergo rulemaking to develop our standards,
19	assessments, and accountability system.
20	MS. MENDOZA: Next slide.
21	DR. HAMLEY: The timeline for the rulemaking
22	was, in September 2017, we began the process of

1	establishing the committee.
2	In January 2018, the administration directed
3	the BIE to restart the process of establishing the
4	committee.
5	In August 2018, the Bureau of Indian
6	Education established a committee, an ongoing
7	committee, that biweekly and then weekly on
8	organization of the committee and conducting the rule
9	the rulemaking sessions.
10	And from September 2018 to March 2019, the
11	committee met four times.
12	And in April 2019, the committee report was
13	submitted to the BIE director, following the
14	consultation meetings.
15	And January June 10th excuse me
16	2019, a proposed rule was published in the Federal
17	Register. And that rule, if you don't have it, is
18	available on our website, bie.edu. On the right side,
19	it has Rulemaking Committee. If you click on that,
20	there's a full page of documents.
21	Okay. The committee oh, go back.
22	MS. MENDOZA: You want to go back?

1	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. The committee was
2	comprised of 17 members 12 primary nonfederal; and
3	2 alternates, nonfederal members. So there were 14
4	nonfederal members. And then there were two federal
5	members and one alternate federal member. And all
6	that information is contained in the various Federal
7	Register Notice as well, who they were.
8	So the nonfederal members reflected a wide
9	variety of stakeholders, geographic locations, and
10	included administrators, teachers, parents, and school
11	board representatives.
12	Major recommendations of the committee
12 13	Major recommendations of the committee number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education.
13	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education.
13 14	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for
13 14 15	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability system.
13 14 15 16	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability system. Three, recognize or acknowledge or or actually
13 14 15 16 17	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability system. Three, recognize or acknowledge or or actually codify the right of tribal governing bodies and school
13 14 15 16 17 18	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability system. Three, recognize or acknowledge or or actually codify the right of tribal governing bodies and school boards to waive and in whole or part the Secretary's
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	number one, honor tribal sovereignty in education. Two, create a unified system of requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability system. Three, recognize or acknowledge or or actually codify the right of tribal governing bodies and school boards to waive and in whole or part the Secretary's definition of standards, assessments, and

	Page 33
1	consistent with Section 1111 of the ESSA.
2	The committee also wanted to create a
3	standards, assessments, and accountability plan
4	we'll talk more about that i.e., a state plan for
5	the Bureau. And they also wanted ongoing stakeholder
6	engagement and periodic review of the contents of the
7	SAAP. We call it the SAAP. I our also, we
8	still refer to it as the state plan sometimes.
9	They wanted to adopt standards, assessments,
10	and Tribal Civics, which would be phased in over a
11	period of time. And they wanted to adopt science as
12	an academic indicator. So we'll talk a little bit
13	more about these as we go.
14	MS. MENDOZA: So we're on
15	DR. HAMLEY: It's the proposed rule.
16	MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Science?
17	DR. HAMLEY: Back. Back. You're getting way
18	ahead. One more back.
19	MS. MENDOZA: Sorry.
20	DR. HAMLEY: Six, yeah. Six slide six,
21	Proposed Rule. The committee recommended that the
22	rule include a requirement that BIE have the

standards, assessments, and accountability plan, a
 SAAP, so-called state plan.

Now, as Deb explained, the Bureau is not a 3 4 state. So in ESSA, states are required to do a state 5 plan. And so that's not a requirement of the Bureau, according to the law, or the statute. However, the 6 7 Bureau and the committee thought this was a good idea 8 for the Bureau to have a so-called state plan, which would ensure transparency and consistency to 9 10 stakeholders similar to the state plans required by 11 states.

Now, the Bureau had already a few years before decided that it would do a state plan because we needed a means by which to communicate. What are the Bureau's standards, assessments, and accountability system? And so we had already adopted that, and the committee realized that. But they wanted to codify it into law.

19 So I guess one question that came up: Does 20 the state plan actually need to be specified in the 21 rule since the Bureau had already decided that it will 22 do that?

Page 3	35
--------	----

1	There's also one other means to create a
2	requirement. And in ESSA, the Department of the
3	Interior/BIE and the Department of Education are
4	required to engage in a memorandum of an agreement
5	that we also call an MOA, but a memorandum of
6	agreement. And under No Child Left Behind, I believe
7	there was three of them. So that would be another
8	vehicle to specify that the Bureau do a state plan.
9	MS. MENDOZA: Next slide?
10	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah, go ahead.
11	Stakeholder engagement. The committee
12	recommended the rule require ongoing and meaningful
13	engagement with stakeholders regarding requirements.
14	But by putting it into a regulation, which is law, it
15	would make this a requirement. And the Bureau the
16	Department of Interior, as all federal agencies, have
17	a tribal consultation policy.
18	So I guess it raised questions about, you
19	know, what is the rule of the regulation for
20	stakeholder engagement versus the Department of
21	Interior requirement for to engage in meaningful
22	tribal consultation. So that was a question that the

committee did not address.
However, in BIE's plan to develop a state
plan, we included in that that we would undergo
stakeholder engagement. So we will ask them
regardless of whether it's in a rule or even the MOA.
Proposed rule and Tribal Civics. The
committee recommended that the rule require
implementation of standards and assessments in a
subject to be called Tribal Civics that would include
topics related to tribal sovereignty, self-
determination, treaty law, and other topics. So
that's that proposal would require that Tribal
Civics be required nationally in all BIE-funded
schools. Although the rule didn't specifically say
that, but that's the implication.
And I guess some of the discussion in the
committee and some of the discussions since is in the
consultations that we're doing, is how does that
requirement that it be implemented across the Bureau
system conflict with work that tribes have already
engaged in, in developing their own curricula and
teaching in schools about their tribes, so their

1	tribal histories. And so another question that
2	recently came up is: How does this affect the
3	graduation requirements in particular states where
4	they're required to meet state requirements for
5	graduation and they already teach civics in some form.
6	So there were questions raised about this.
7	Proposed rule, science. The committee
8	recommended the rule require the incorporation of
9	science in the accountability system. Congress as
10	Deb explained, science is required by Congress in
11	ESSA. So it's required in all the schools. It's
12	taught it's required by grade band (ph) rather than
13	grade level. And so it's already a requirement.
14	But the questions are, which aren't really
15	defined in by the committee: What would be the
16	effect of such requirements in terms of, you know,
17	science standards, curricula, science labs, you know,
18	all of those topics? And it would also elevate
19	science to possibly to an accountability to a
20	weight and accountability indicator.
21	And so for some schools, that might be
22	challenging if they're already challenged with

1	proficiency in literacy and in numeracy. Science
2	would require, you know, a high a somewhat high
3	level of proficiency in those areas. And it may
4	affect the overall status of the school in terms of
5	their determination if it were elevated to an
6	indicator.
7	So those questions weren't fully answered.
8	But everybody agreed, you know, science is important.
9	And that's why Congress has made it a requirement.
10	Proposed rule, Tribal Civics, and science as
11	a school quality school student success indicator.
12	So the committee recommended that the rule requiring
13	Tribal Civics and science be incorporated as school
14	quality, student success indicators.
15	So I guess the question on that is: What
16	would be the impact of doing that? Indicators are
17	weighted. So then those two indicators would become
18	part of the overall accountability determination of a
19	school and would be required in all schools.
20	It also creates the impression (ph) of,
21	generally, this you know, if you look at what all
22	the states have done on their state plans, they have

1	selected a few school quality, student success
2	indicators. But this puts the Bureau in the position
3	of already having two required ones. So the question
4	is: How many more, whether it was chronic absenteeism
5	or, you know, some other topic, would be added in
6	addition? And would there be room for additional
7	school quality, student success indicators?
8	And generally, the choice of school quality,
9	student success indicators is left to the
10	superintendent, you know, guidance perhaps from, you
11	know, their stakeholders, obviously. But in this
12	case, it would codify it in the law and, you know,
13	would not be changeable unless it went through
14	negotiated rulemaking again, which is a very complex
15	process.
16	So generally, states there's flexibility
17	in states to make these selections, to change them
18	over time if they find out that they're not the ideal
19	school quality, student success indicator. Or if they
20	want to weight them differently and make those
21	decisions, that flexibility is left to the

22 superintendent. In this case, it would be, you know,

3.6	•	TT 7 1 ·
Meeting	V12	Webinar
meeting	1 100	,, coma

a decision by the Bureau and the Secretary of the
 Interior. But by codifying it in law, it makes it
 permanent.

The page got away from me.

4

Proposed rule, Native American languages. 5 The committee recommended that the rule included 6 7 affirmation of the rights to develop and implement 8 academic standards and academic assessments in Native American languages. The committee -- everybody on the 9 10 committee agreed that Native American language is 11 important. But it was also acknowledged that there's 12 already a law, Public Law 101-477, the Native American 13 Languages Act, on this topic. And so the question How does the fact that there's already statutes 14 was: 15 on -- independent statutes on this topic fit into these recommendations for standards, assessments, and 16 17 accountability system?

Proposed rule on states requirement option. The committee had a discussion about, during this transition period before the -- while the rule is being adopted and the Secretary actually determines what standards, assessments, and accountability

	Page 41
1	system, recognizing that may take a little while, that
2	there be an opt-in provision where, if a school wanted
3	to well, I'll just read it. "The committee
4	recommended that the rule allow tribal governing
5	bodies, school boards, the option to continue to use
6	state requirements for standard assessments where
7	state agrees and the tribal governing body has
8	notified Secretary of such an intention."
9	So there was interest in that. It was agreed
10	upon. But it also left unanswered questions. How
11	does such an opt-in fit in with the statutory
12	requirement for a waiver? Because the waiver already
13	is embedded in ESSA or tribal governing bodies and
14	school boards to waive all or part of the Secretary's
15	system. And this seems to circumvent that process.
16	So there's a question of, you know, what how are
17	those two regulations, those two options, balanced.
18	Proposed rule waivers and alternative
19	proposals. The committee recommended that the rule
20	input timelines and responses to proposals for
21	alternative requirements to ensure timeliness and
22	transparency in the review process, including updates

	5
1	every 30 days. So it there have been there were
2	two waivers under No Child Left Behind with the
3	Miccosukee Indian Tribe of Florida and also the Navajo
4	Nation. And those processes took a number of years.
5	So the committee was concerned about that there be,
6	you know, transparency and some official way to
7	register progress every 30 days.
8	So but I guess the concern was about
9	this is that it creates bureaucratic requirements that
10	the two parties, the Bureau who's providing technical
11	assistance and the tribe, essentially stop work to
12	file an official notification of what the status of
13	the work is. And our experiences with the work that
14	goes on, it becomes very fluid, the meetings. The
15	communications may initially be monthly, or whatever.
16	But as it moves on, it becomes weekly and daily.
17	So it just was seen as maybe an unnecessary
18	bureaucratic requirement. The communication is
19	already ongoing by regular at least it was in the
20	case of Navajo and Miccosukee. I mean, I know we have
21	interest at this point from other tribes, and
22	technical assistance is just getting off the ground

3.6	•	*** 1 *
Meeting	V12	Webinar
meeting	114	vi comu

	Page 43
1	with those. But that was a concern about this one.
2	Additional proposed section. Next slide.
3	It's number 15.
4	Support and improvement comprehensive
5	support and improvement. Now, the Section 1111
6	contains a section on support and improvement.
7	However, the committee did not comment on this. And
8	so we're relying basically upon what's in ESSA.
9	But the section in ESSA is fairly clear. So
10	it's not certain that there needs to be a rule on
11	support and improvement. The committee could have
12	acted on this, but they didn't choose to do so.
13	Here is the consultation schedule. We're at
14	the second from the bottom, the webinar today.
15	There's one more left on July 30th Tuesday, July
16	30th at Wa He Lut School in Olympia, Washington.
17	So that will be the final consultation.
18	So we are seeking comments. Well, no. This
19	is just additional information. Here are the websites
20	for additional information. And there are also the
21	information is also on our website at bie.edu.
22	I guess so the main point is that the

	Page 44
1	deadline for proposed rule comments is August 9th,
2	2019, midnight, Eastern Time. So there are two
3	methods to submit. You can use an email or online at
4	regulations.com. The email address is
5	consultation@bie.gov. And the online regulations is
6	go to www.regulations.comgov excuse me.
7	So we solicit comments via those ways
8	methods or here today. They can be oral or written,
9	so and comments from everybody, the public.
10	Next steps after this is that the comments
11	will be reviewed. They'll make changes to the
12	Register as appropriate based on the comments. And
13	the final rule will be published in the Federal
14	Register. And I believe 30 days after that
15	publication, it becomes a final rule.
16	Did you remember (inaudible - off mic).
17	MS. MENDOZA: So thank you, everyone. And
18	thank you for your patience with the technical issues.
19	I want to go ahead. There were some chats
20	that came through during the presentation. So I
21	wanted to just see let's see. Let me go ahead and
22	read the comment that Mr. Moran said.

	Page 45
1	Or Mr. Moran, if you would like to speak
2	that, that is fine, too.
3	MR. MORAN: Hello?
4	MS. MENDOZA: Yes. Thank you.
5	MR. MORAN: Hey, yeah.
6	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you.
7	MR. MORAN: Thanks.
8	MS. MENDOZA: If you could give your
9	affiliation, that would be helpful. Thank you.
10	MR. MORAN: Sure. Just real quick. I don't
11	want to take too much of your time. My name is Joe
12	Moran. I work for the South Dakota Department of
13	Education. And obviously, we have a lot of back-and-
14	forth between private, public, BIE tribal out here in
15	South Dakota. So this was a really interesting call
16	for me to understand some of those nuances.
17	The comment that I made was I do appreciate
18	the recognition of self-determination and tribal
19	sovereignty that were brought up, although it might
20	not be as strong as an issue where tension across the
21	United States. Out here, it's an issue that I
22	actually engage in regularly. And in a recent civics

Page 46 1 summit that we out here in South Dakota, we kind of navigated some of those issues in terms of what does 2 statewide civics mean and to whom does it mean. 3 4 There's -- you know, many of our citizens here across 5 the state have dual citizenship. And what does that How does that interact with their communities б mean? 7 and their civic engagement at home? 8 So it was a really interesting piece. And 9 when we start to interlay that and the intrinsic motivators behind civics, the discussion of 10 11 standardized testing becomes very gray. So it's an 12 interesting pool that you guys are starting with. You 13 know, many of our states started with math, ELA, and 14 then just kind of kept plugging along. But you guys are kind of starting with some interesting topics. 15 16 MS. MENDOZA: Thank you. 17 Do you want to respond? Does anybody want to 18 respond? 19 (No audible response.) 20 MS. MENDOZA: I'm checking to see if there 21 are any more comments in the chatroom. Nothing? 22 So we can go ahead and open it up to

	Page 47
1	questions from other attendees. Just if you could,
2	again, say your name; if it's a complex name, spell
3	it; and give us your affiliation.
4	MR. MORAN: Hi. This is Joe again.
5	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Joe.
6	MR. MORAN: Yeah. I just I might have
7	missed it as I had to bounce out for a little bit.
8	What is there any understanding of (inaudible) how
9	BIE's considering (inaudible)
10	MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. I'm
11	MR. MORAN: any progress in terms of what
12	tests you guys are considering developing from the
13	ground up?
14	AUTOMATED VOICE SYSTEM: Muted.
15	MR. MORAN: Hey, can you hear me now?
16	AUTOMATED VOICE SYSTEM: Unmuted.
17	MS. MENDOZA: Hello. Hello. Can people hear
18	me?
19	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. I can
20	we can hear you, Juanita.
21	MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Thank you.
22	Mr. Moran, if you could ask your question

	Page 48
1	again. Sorry about that.
2	MR. MORAN: No, that's okay. Can you guys
3	hear me?
4	MS. MENDOZA: Yes.
5	MR. MORAN: Okay. So I was just wondering
6	(inaudible).
7	MS. MENDOZA: If folks could put their phones
8	on mute, that would be very helpful.
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off
10	mic).
11	MR. MORAN: Can you hear me now?
12	MS. MENDOZA: Yes, we can.
13	MR. MORAN: Okay. So my one question is: Is
14	there something in mind that BIE is moving toward in
15	terms of test development? As far as I understand,
16	you guys are part of the Smarter Balanced Consortium.
17	Is that something you're working on? Or and maybe
18	that's way in the future. I just didn't know if you
19	guys had any idea where you were headed, if you were
20	developing from the ground up, or joining forces with
21	the existing structure.
22	DR. HAMLEY: This is Jeff Hamley. Well,

1	we're we definitely have been in internal
2	discussions and then, also, in the Tribal Consultation
3	process. And also, the committee that I mean the
4	rulemaking meetings that happened, that was a topic of
5	discussion.
6	But for the purpose of the rule, we're just
7	establishing a legal framework consistent with 1111
8	that we will adopt challenging academic standards and
9	assessment. So we've been having discussions, but it
10	won't be part of the rule. It won't be confined to
11	the rule. But we have a group that's working on that.
12	Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer is the Acting Chief Academic
13	Officer, is leading that group.
14	About and so we're actively pursuing, you
15	know, what we will do regarding adoption of standards
16	and assessments at this point. So but we're not
17	ready to announce any kind of decision.
18	MR. MORAN: Gotcha. I appreciate the
19	clarification.
20	MS. MENDOZA: Do the attendees have any
21	additional comments? Do
22	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: No, I don't have

	Page 50
1	any.
2	MS. HAINES: This is Bonnie Haines
3	MS. MENDOZA: Hello.
4	MS. HAINES: Education Specialist with the
5	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate Tribal Education Department,
6	Agency Village, South Dakota.
7	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Bonnie.
8	MS. HAINES: To take you back off of what Joe
9	was asking and I know that it was said that Tamarah
10	and the committee are working on this and having
11	spent the last two and a half years working on
12	standards for our tribe to use as a part of moving
13	towards an accountability plan for our own tribe, it
14	seems to me that we are under an extreme deadline, if
15	that is not correct, that we have to have something in
16	place by the start of the '20-'21 school year.
17	MS. MENDOZA: You want to
18	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. This is Jeff Hamley.
19	Tamarah, feel free to jump in at any time.
20	Yes. We are looking towards the '20-'21
21	school year.
22	MS. HAINES: So is that legally required, or

	Page 51
1	is that just what you all are hoping to have in place?
2	DR. HAMLEY: Interesting question. Yes.
3	It's by statute. It's for states Deb, help me
4	on this it was required in I don't know the year
5	'17-'18 maybe. But the Bureau was given an
6	extension from the Department of Education. And but
7	now, as it stands, it's '20-'21 that we would
8	implement our new standards, assessments, and
9	accountability system.
10	So we would have a school year '19-'20 to do
11	the work to do that, to acquire to adopt and
12	acquire standards and the assessments and to put
13	together the accountability system, and also to get
14	stakeholder input into all of that.
15	So we're we are on a tight timeline. But
16	as we said before, I mean, we even though we're
17	not, you know, publishing in the paper everything
18	we're doing, we're we are actively discussing this
19	internally, you know, about what the options are and
20	what we might do. So I think after the final rule is
21	published, we will you know, we will have some
22	movement to make direct about what we're going to do.

1	But you're right. The timeline is tight.
2	MS. HAINES: Okay. Thank you, Jeff.
3	So I guess that lends me to kind of what my
4	comment was going to be and the fact that knowing that
5	the development of standards and then selecting an
6	assessment for that for those particular standards
7	is not something that's easily done in a one-year time
8	frame. So it's probably, you know, incorrect for me
9	to make an assumption, but I would assume that we're
10	probably we being the Bureau of Indian Education
11	are going to be looking at something that's already in
12	place, be it Common Core as the standards, be it
13	Smarter Balanced or PARCC for the assessment. Is that
14	a correct assumption?
15	DR. HAMLEY: Well, we're so I think it
16	yeah, that could be an assumption. But what we would
17	like to do is that we will go out as a federal
18	agency, we're going to have to go out how at least
19	for the assessments on for a full and open
20	solicitation. So we're going to let vendors propose
21	to us, you know, what they have.
22	And you know, the in the world of

1	assessments, I mean, initially, there was the
2	consortia developments and the standard and Smarter
3	Balance, in part. But things have evolved, you know,
4	much beyond that now where there is monetized (ph)
5	systems of assessment. Some of them draw from PARCC;
6	some draw from Smarter Balance. And there are other
7	vendors.
8	So there are things out there that have
9	that we wouldn't necessarily have to develop
10	completely from scratch. We could, you know, put
11	together. But we'll we're going to get input from
12	our vendors to do that.
13	And just as an aside, I'll mention that there
14	is another federal school system, and that is the
15	Department of Defense. It's called DoDEA, Department
16	of Defense Education Agency. And so when they adopted
17	a few years ago their standards and assessments, they
18	adopted standards which what a lot which is what a
19	lot of the states have done, which became a modified
20	Common Core. It's not Common Core, but it's they
21	have a different name for it. I think they call it
22	College and Career Ready Standards. But it was a

	Page 54
1	modified system based on existing standards that were
2	out there, which we could do, hypothetically.
3	And then they put out on the street because
4	they're a federal agency, presumably, you know, what
5	vendors could provide. And in the end, they selected
6	PARCC, you know.
7	So we'll have to go through a similar
8	process. But things have evolved from even when they
9	did it, you know. We have I won't mention any
10	vendors, but there's several other vendors out there
11	that are providing assessments.
12	So I think we have very viable options out
13	there when we do get the solicitation out on the
14	street. So I think that, you know, things may
15	progress, you know, more quickly than, you know, you
16	might assume.
17	MS. HAINES: Thank you, Jeff. I appreciate
18	that. I was not aware, I guess, of all of those
19	options, so I appreciate you sharing that. Thank you.
20	DR. HAMLEY: Okay. You're welcome.
21	MS. MENDOZA: So folks, I have the

22 presentation up. So if you need me to go back to a

```
Page 55
```

1	slide, just ask me. But we we're still open to
2	hearing comments.
3	There's a question from Cherie Farlee. She's
4	asking: It is my understanding the schools will
5	follow the state for this school year; is that
6	correct?
7	DR. PFEIFFER: Cherie, I've already sent out
8	something through the ADDs (ph) that speaks to the NRM
9	and the status quo that we're going to be in for '19-
10	'20. And I'm also, state by state, sending out those
11	letters as well to acknowledge to the state.
12	So Joe, I just had a meeting this morning
13	with Becky (ph) and Roxanne (ph) and shared that
14	letter as well.
15	MS. MENDOZA: For the court reporter, that
16	was Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer.
17	DR. HAMLEY: Cherie, does that answer your
18	question?
19	MS. HAINES: This is Bonnie Haines again.
20	MS. MENDOZA: Yes, please.
21	MS. HAINES: I'm seeing in the Part 30 at the
22	end it's talking about the annual report card, which

1	is something that, obviously, BIE has been very
2	neglectful of for the last couple of years. Do we
3	know I mean, we've got to have things in place,
4	obviously, before we determine what is going to be in
5	that annual report card. But are there certain things
6	that mandatorily have to be in there, like attendance,
7	graduation rate, the number of students enrolled and
8	what their demographic breakdown is? What all are we
9	going to be anticipating as a result of this report
10	card?
11	DR. HAMLEY: Deb, did you want to take that?
12	I can if you don't want to.
13	MS. SIGMAN: No. I I'm sorry. I was
14	unmuting.
15	So the what's required in the report card
16	is in statute. So it's really it's not I
17	wouldn't say it's outside of the scope, but it
18	certainly is there, you know. You will have to
19	continue to report the things that you have reported.
20	I don't have the list in front of me on the call. I
21	can look for it quickly and send a text to everyone.
22	DR. HAMLEY: Right. And that's what I

	Page 57
1	MS. SIGMAN: Do you want to add anything?
2	Jeff
3	DR. HAMLEY: That's why I
4	MS. SIGMAN: did you
5	(Crosstalk.)
б	DR. HAMLEY: That's why I punted to Deb
7	because I don't have the list in front of me. But it
8	is a defined list. It's it'll be it's clear to
9	everyone in the law what needs to be included.
10	But I mean, just generally, off the top of my
11	head, now, it's changed a little bit from No Child
12	Left Behind to ESSA. I think teacher qualifications
13	is a major change. And but it's proficiency in
14	English language arts and math and science and also by
15	subgroup, graduation rates for high school. It's
16	participation rates in those assessments. And there
17	are probably another dozen things. But off the top of
18	my head, I don't recall them all right now.
19	MS. HAINES: So is there in the law and so
20	I'm sorry. I don't have the law in front of me. But
21	is there in the law something that says when this has
22	to be out and given to the schools and the states?

	Paq	e	58
--	-----	---	----

1	Or, you know, it's the BIE is a state. But when
2	does it have to be disseminated through the schools?
3	DR. HAMLEY: Well, in this actually came
4	up in the last consultation at Bloomington. And I
5	know from my memory of No Child Left Behind is the
6	language is I'll paraphrase but it's by the
7	beginning of the following school year. So Congress
8	didn't set a definite date, but they want it
9	available, you know, at the beginning of the school
10	year so the school can take into consideration what
11	their level of determination is and have information
12	about how they've done. And also, the community will
13	know.
14	But so I don't know if the ESSA and I'll -
15	- well, we'll check I'll check on that has the
16	exact same language. But that's the intent, is that
17	the letter of determination be delivered to the
18	schools, you know, before the beginning of the school
19	year, so sometime, you know, in September of August,
20	and that a report card also be published on a timely
21	basis. So I have to admit I don't know the exact
22	language in the statute.

	Page 59
1	MS. SIGMAN: So Jeff, I just posted a link to
2	all the requirements, including timelines and so
3	forth, that was put out by U.S. Department of Ed after
4	ESSA. So folks can take a look at that. I just
5	posted it in the chat.
6	DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Thanks, Deb.
7	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Deb.
8	MS. SIGMAN: You're welcome.
9	MS. HAINES: Thank you. I think that answers
10	my question.
11	DR. HAMLEY: Now, can I just say, Bonnie,
12	that, I mean, reflecting on No Child Left Behind, I
13	mean, one of the and you're absolutely right. The
14	Bureau has not been able to make any kind of timeline
15	at all both in the letter of determinations and the
16	report cards. And but the challenge for the Bureau
17	has been was the requirement that we use a 23-part,
18	you know, accountability system.
19	So you know, in the beginning of No Child
20	Left Behind, you know, there were there was great
21	range it was basically state assessments. And then
22	it became there were fewer assessments with PARCC

Page 60

and Smarter Balance. But now we've moved back to a
 lot more state assessments.

But in all -- under No Child Left Behind and currently today, you know, the -- those assessments are the states'. They're not the Bureau's. So we have -- it's a challenge for us to get the assessment scores from the state or the states' vendors. Or in some cases, the state doesn't want to give it to us, so we have to go to the schools.

10 So there's always, you know -- for states to 11 get their assessment scores in the spring, it's --12 usually, they can, you know, have an arrangement with 13 their vendor that, if the testing is done, complete, 14 by May 30th, you know, that at some point in June, a few weeks later -- this is all hypothetical -- that 15 they will get the assessment scores, you know. 16 So 17 then they can begin the determinations and the 18 creation of the report cards.

But for us, it's like we're spending the next several months, usually, trying to collect the assessments. And I know in one case, you know, it took, literally, years to get the assessment data. So

1	for us, it's a huge challenge under the 23-part
2	assessment system. And that's why, you know, this
3	discussion in the rulemaking committee that the
4	committee agreed to adopt a unified system where the
5	Bureau would have one standards, one assessments in
6	each subject. But that we and the implication
7	is that we would also control the assessments. We
8	would administer them. We would have our vendor.
9	We'd be able to begin to meet these critical timelines
10	for delivering letters of determination and also to
11	post to the public website the report cards.
12	So you know, that's something we advocated
13	for, and the committee agreed. So we are moving in
14	that direction. At least that's what the committee
15	now, we are acknowledging, you know, always that the -
16	- in statute, Congress put the right of tribal
17	governing bodies and school boards to waive in full or
18	part the Secretary's, you know, accountability system.
19	So we're acknowledging that at every step. And we
20	realize that that may happen, and that may change the
21	concept of a unified system.
22	But at this point, we're hoping to put a

	raye 02
1	unified system into the rule. But also equally, it's
2	already in statute that a tribe can waive that. So if
3	a tribe doesn't like the unified system, they can
4	submit a waiver, and it will be reviewed by the
5	Department of Education and the Department of Interior
6	and then decided upon.
7	MS. HAINES: Thank you, Jeff.
8	MS. MENDOZA: Remember, folks, if you would
9	like to submit a question via the chat, please feel
10	free to do that as well.
11	DR. HAMLEY: Is there while people are
12	thinking of any questions, but is there anything
13	that's unclear that you want clarification on? And I
14	realize that you haven't had a chance, probably, to
15	many to delve into the rule. And hopefully, following
16	this, you will, and then you'll prepare your comments
17	for the for public submission. But at this point,
18	is there if there's anything that you want
19	clarification on, please feel free to speak up.
20	MS. MENDOZA: Hello. Did someone just join?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. This is Thomas from the
22	(inaudible). I keep fading in and out. So sorry.

	Page 63
1	MS. MENDOZA: No problem. Could you spell
2	your last name, please?
3	MR. WILLIAMS: W-I-L-I-A-M-S.
4	MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: No problem.
6	MS. MENDOZA: So for if you have just
7	joined, we went through several presentations. And so
8	we're at the point where we've opened it up to the
9	attendees to submit you know, submit comments or
10	ask for clarification or questions.
11	We have the PowerPoint. I have that
12	available. I can go back to slides, if necessary. So
13	
14	MR. WILLIAMS: I would have to apologize to
15	everyone. I'm not at my desk. I'm just listening in
16	on my phone as I'm driving back to the office.
17	MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Appreciate it. Thank
18	you.
19	MS. HAINES: This is Bonnie again.
20	MS. MENDOZA: Hi, Bonnie. Thank you.
21	MS. HAINES: Looking at 30.110 where it talks
22	about how the Secretary ensured BIE-funded schools

1	will provide for annual assessments the English
2	language proficiency for English learners, has that
3	definition of an English language for an English
4	learner changed from No Child Left Behind?
5	And so I guess what I'm remembering from No
6	Child Left Behind is if the school had a suspicion or
7	thought that a student was not English as their first
8	language or were was not a proficient English
9	speaker, then they would give an assessment. Is that
10	what this is still talking about?
11	MS. SIGMAN: Jeff, do you want me to try to
12	answer that?
13	DR. HAMLEY: Yes, go ahead. Go ahead, Deb.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. SIGMAN: So I'm not so the states do
16	this a number of ways. But generally, they're more
17	than a suspicion. They generally have a survey, often
18	called the Home Language Survey, that is provided to
19	any student that comes into the system. And based on
20	the responses to that survey, that would determine
21	whether or not that student would then be given the
22	language proficiency exam. And if, in fact, that

```
Page 65
```

1 student scored in a particular way that would identify
2 them as an English learner, then that identification
3 would be made for that student.
4 So it's the assessment that actually concerns

5 the identification. All students are provided this 6 home language survey that asks questions about what 7 language is spoken in the home, what language did you 8 first learn, that kind of thing, to get -- and if, in 9 fact, there -- it is answered a particular way, then 10 that starts the process of identification with the 11 assessment.

Does that make sense?

12

MS. HAINES: So just for clarification -- I don't know if I heard you correctly at the beginning -- I think you had said that there are places that do that. That isn't a requirement that a survey needs to be given. Am I correct on that?

18 MS. SIGMAN: I -- you know what? I'll look 19 in the law right now.

And Brian Quint, if you have a ready answer to that -- but I will look. I believe it is a law that you have to have some mechanism by which to Г

	Page 66
1	determine which students are assessed. But I will
2	look in the law right now.
3	MS. HAINES: Okay.
4	MS. SIGMAN: Do you Brian Quint, do you
5	have a response to that?
6	MS. MENDOZA: Brian, you may be on mute.
7	MS. SIGMAN: Maybe he's not here.
8	DR. HAMLEY: Well, while Deb's looking, let
9	me I my understanding is exactly what Deb's is,
10	is that there's, you know, a process of the Home
11	Language Survey. And that will determine whether the
12	student is an English language there are English
13	language proficiencies. But like Deb, I'm not I
14	don't know whether I haven't compared No Child Left
15	Behind to ESSA.
16	So Bonnie, is that something if we don't
17	address that in this meeting, we will follow up with
18	you personally.
19	MS. HAINES: Okay. Thank you.
20	DR. HAMLEY: But I'll just say this is
21	Jeff I mean, part of this rule is also proposing
22	that we adopt the uniform standards and assessments

Page	67

1	for English language proficiency in the Bureau system
2	because that's one thing where the Bureau has not been
3	able to collect uniform data. So we don't have a good
4	sense because the state even though it wasn't
5	required in No Child Left Behind that they use the
6	states' English language proficiency standards and
7	assessments, that's what the schools have done. And
8	actually, the Bureau issued a memo in 2005 which
9	recommended that the schools do that.
10	So you know, but the end result is that we
11	don't have uniform data, and we also don't have a
12	uniform approach. And so some states some schools
13	in some states have been able to work closely with
14	their states to adopt the standards and assessments
15	and even be part of the training that goes on. But in
16	other states, they have not, you know. So it's been
17	kind of a hit and miss.
18	So part of this rule is also to adopt uniform
19	standards and assessments for English language
20	proficiency and the Bureau to step up its role in
21	providing, you know, robust training in that area for
22	the schools about how to administer those assessments

Page 68 1 and to develop a language program -- English language proficiency program. 2 MS. HAINES: I agree whole-heartedly in what 3 4 you're saying, that there needs to be some 5 consistency. And Deb, thank you for sharing that б 7 information. 8 And I quess the thing that kind of -- and 9 this should be in the chat for everyone -- but the 10 thing that kind of stands out in regard to this is, at 11 the very end, that it says, "Including an assurance 12 that all students who may be EL are assessed." I 13 guess that "maybe" is what has me kind of -- you know, 14 kind of confused from, you know, the way that it was interpreted or it was done through the No Child Left 15 Behind, was that suspicion. There was no formal 16 17 assessment or a survey or procedure or process for 18 that. So I guess there's -- that all needs to be 19 defined, which is kind of what Jeff is saying. 20 MS. SIGMAN: Right. But I would say that the 21 "maybe" is there because you don't know a child is an 22 English learner until they're assessed with what you

1	all consider to be a language a measure of language
2	proficiency. But I also can tell you that certainly -
3	- and I was worked at the Department of Ed for
4	California. Every school for every student that came
5	in was administered this statewide language Home
6	Language Survey. And that is I don't know of a
7	state that doesn't have a similar kind of survey that
8	actually does that.
9	So it's again, there are reasonable
10	questions that you can ask to, you know, say, okay,
11	this is someone this is a child who we think may be
12	acquiring this language, and so we're going to give
13	them that assessment. That's where the "maybe" comes
14	in because
15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: (inaudible - off
16	mic).
17	MS. SIGMAN: until you give that
18	assessment, you won't know whether or not they're
19	actually identified as an English learner.
20	I'm going to provide another website that
21	gives you information about the Home Language Survey
22	from the Department of Education. So I'll do that for

1	you all.
2	MS. HAINES: So again, this is Bonnie.
3	And I guess, Jeff, this is a question for
4	you, that, you know, I see that this says the Title
5	III grants. Do we are there opportunities? I
6	guess I haven't seen a Title III grant for our
7	schools, but I haven't worked real closely with in
8	a school that may have wanted to apply for this. So
9	does the BIE offer the schools the opportunity to
10	apply for a Title III grant?
11	DR. HAMLEY: No. Title III is one of the
12	titles that the Bureau is not eligible for. So we
13	don't have we don't receive as a grantee Title III
14	funding. And in turn, we can't turn those funds to
15	subgrantees. So that that's yeah, that's and
16	that's just the way a determination was made, I guess,
17	by Congress, that the Bureau doesn't is not
18	eligible for Title III funding.
19	MS. HAINES: Okay, which lends the question
20	then, according to the thing that was posted earlier
21	that Deb posted, it talks about this being a
22	requirement if they receive Title III grants to do

	Page 71
1	this. And I'm in full agreement, Jeff, in what you're
2	saying about we need to get a handle on who our
3	English language learners are. But I don't know if
4	what I'm seeing here that this really held the BIE
5	based on what I just saw posted there that they have
б	to do that.
7	MS. SIGMAN: Well, let me just say that Title
8	the assessment of language proficiency was moved
9	into Title I. Title III was moved into Title I. So
10	there still is the requirement regardless to measure
11	English language proficiency. So that does fall into
12	the your requirements.
13	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. Thanks, Deb.
14	I had that was a little detail I had
15	forgotten over time. But that's
16	MS. SIGMAN: Yeah.
17	DR. HAMLEY: incredibly important that
18	it's now moved into Title I.
19	And then also, I mean, you can say that
20	English language proficiency has been elevated in ESSA
21	from No Child Left Behind, that it's now an indicator.
22	So it's critically important that the Bureau have a

	Page 72
1	uniform method to address it and then, you know, in
2	addition, provide support to schools who work with
3	their with the students who are English language
4	on English language proficiency.
5	MS. HAINES: Thank you.
6	And what you just provided, Deb, I think is
7	very helpful in terms of that little piece of
8	information, the tools and resources. So thank you.
9	MS. SIGMAN: You're very welcome.
10	MS. HAINES: Well, this is Bonnie again. In
11	looking at the 30.113, which is talking about the
12	opportunity for the governing body or school board to
13	waive the requirements and I think, Jeff, we've had
14	this discussion before, and I think that that's what I
15	see here, that when you do a way where you can waive
16	just certain parts of it. And
17	DR. HAMLEY: Correct.
18	MS. HAINES: then you, you know, work
19	towards whatever you want to do in terms of it that
20	waiving that.
21	And so, like, if we're waiving, let's say,
22	the assessment part, we have that opportunity to have

our own assessment to work with our own standards and 1 2 do our own thing as a tribal entity; is that correct? 3 Well, you can waive in whole or DR. HAMLEY: 4 part, so standards, assessments, or some element of 5 the accountability system. So yes, you can -- if you choose assessments -- for example, under both -- under 6 7 the prior waivers under No Child Left Behind, but this 8 process is the same under the ESSA -- both Miccosukee and Navajo chose the assessment part to waive. 9 Of 10 course, that also includes standards but -- because 11 they have to be aligned. 12 But the -- so Miccosukee switched from the 13 Florida, the (inaudible) -- the Florida assessment because they had issues with who (inaudible) hear 14 15 about that assessment -- those assessments. And they 16 chose Smarter Balanced. And then, also, for 17 alternates and English language proficiency and 18 science, they made selections. 19 But -- and then for Navajo, their big issue was that they are located -- their schools are located 20 21 in three states. And they wanted a common assessment, 2.2 which is also, you know, parallel to what the Bureau

1	is doing right now. So they chose to move from Utah's
2	and New Mexico's to Arizona's well, not New Mexico
3	but to Arizona's to PARCC. Now, New Mexico was
4	using is using PARCC, so it wasn't a big switch in
5	those schools. But the but for Utah and Arizona,
6	it was a big switch. But they wanted comparable data
7	for all their students across, you know, three states.
8	And so that's an example of assessments.
9	Now, in that case, they chose existing
10	assessments. So that was just a matter of contracting
11	issue for the Bureau to quickly acquire those
12	assessments on behalf of those schools.
13	But you know, I think a new question, and
14	what you may be asking, is: What if a tribal
15	governing body chooses to not adopt something that's
16	existing and go and choose something that's
17	completely brand new? Well, that would be I mean,
18	that would be a harder question to for the Bureau -
19	- I mean for Department of Interior/Bureau and
20	Department of Ed to consider. And so we're not there
21	yet. We'll just have to wait and see if that proposal
22	was made by a tribe.

Page 75
But you know, to develop assessments from the
ground up is a very a time-consuming and extremely
expensive proposition. I mean, I I'm not an expert
in this, but I understand it's in the several million
dollars. So I mean, that might be a barrier to a
governing tribe or school board deciding to develop
assessments from the ground up.
But we're we haven't crossed that bridge
yet. So you know, we'll if it comes up, we will
discuss it fully with the particular body at that
point.
MS. HAINES: Thank you. And yes, I totally
agree. Having us, you know, working on our own
standards and concerning (ph) an assessment, we know
that we do not have any of the funding or enough of
the funding to really go forward with that. So I
yes, I'm in full agreement that it's not something
that's easily done to have an assessment developed.
DR. HAMLEY: But there still are options, you
know, because there are a lot of vendors out there now
with assessments. And then one of the things that
we're talking about in the Bureau is that whatever

1	assessments we eventually adopt, that we want to
2	review them over time, like in summers, to and
3	involve the school communities, the teachers
4	especially, and the review of those assessments and
5	standards and make changes to so that they're more
6	relevant to the student populations we serve.
7	So DoDEA is doing a similar thing. We in
8	our discussions with them, we really like that idea
9	where they're engaging with their stakeholders to have
10	input into the standards and assessments that they're
11	using.
12	MS. HAINES: Which I think has probably been
13	a question that's been asked probably numerous times
14	already, is NWEA MAP assessment, does it meet the
15	letter of the law based on the fact that it's a growth
16	assessment?
17	DR. HAMLEY: Well, I mean, Tamarah or Deb
18	I mean, but let me take a first attempt.
19	
17	As it currently exists, no. And again, I'm
20	As it currently exists, no. And again, I'm not a technical expert. Deb is much more than I am.
20	not a technical expert. Deb is much more than I am.

different vendors out here. But that is one 1 2 assessment that we couldn't just take off the shelf 3 and plug into the Bureau and say, hey, we're doing 4 this for '20-'21. It wouldn't pass peer review at 5 this point. So some form of an assessment from that 6 7 vendor could be developed, too. But if it were similar to the existing NWEA MAPs, it would have to go 8 through peer review, and that would take, you know, 9 10 quite a bit of time. 11 Deb, did you want to address that? 12 Well, yeah. I'll just add. MS. SIGMAN: So 13 currently, NWEA, as Jeff has stated, has not been -it has not passed peer review. And assessments that 14 15 are used by states have to be peer-reviewed and 16 accepted. 17 I would also just remind folks that if, in 18 fact, you choose to -- BIE chooses to use some kind of 19 growth attribute in their accountability system, that can be done with a variety of assessments. Many 20 21 states include a growth attribute or a growth element 2.2 in their accountability system and don't use that

particular assessment, but use a variety of
 assessments that you can employ some measure of
 growth.

4 DR. HAMLEY: Right. And just let me follow up on that. I mean, from the discussions we have had 5 with tribes at this point is to -- that they are very 6 7 interested in a growth model and especially one that 8 gives credit to schools for the growth they're making. So you know, when we develop the accountability model, 9 10 I mean, grow -- growth models are something we will 11 definitely be looking at.

MS. HAINES: Thank you.

12

DR. PFEIFFER: This is Tamarah Pfeiffer. Bonnie, with the conversation that is occurring, again, I think if you have any specifics that you would like to add or recommend to the rule around growth, we'd really appreciate it.

18 MS. HAINES: Okay. Thank you.

DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. I mean, to follow up on what Tamarah said, I mean, everybody remember. I mean, you -- so you have the opportunity to provide formal input in writing. So you know, I encourage you

	rage //
1	to exercise that opportunity, you know, by August 9th.
2	So Bonnie, what page are you on now?
3	MS. HAINES: I think I'm nearly finished,
4	Jeff.
5	MS. MENDOZA: And if it would make things
6	easier, I could bring up the actual rule if other
7	people on the phone if it would be helpful.
8	Okay. Should I just go ahead? Yeah. I'll
9	just do it.
10	Okay. Let's go ahead and take a 10-minute
11	break. So I'm going to put that up on the screen, the
12	slide that shows what comments are due. And we can
13	come back at, say 3:13.
14	(Break.)
15	MS. MENDOZA: Thank you for returning if you
16	had left on the break, we are going to go ahead and
17	keep the consultation moving forward for another 15
18	minutes. And if we don't receive questions or we
19	don't receive comments, then we will go ahead and end
20	the session at 3:30. So please ask for any
21	clarifications, you know, make comments, et cetera.
22	MR. CURLING: Hello?

	Meeting via Webinar July 26, 2019
	Page 80
1	MS. MENDOZA: Yes. This is Juanita. Please
2	say your name and your affiliation.
3	MR. CURLING: Hi. My name is Delor Curling
4	(ph). I am a part of the Cogito (ph) Boarding School
5	School Board. I'm currently the secretary on that
6	board.
7	I just wanted to know. Are we asking
8	questions pertaining to the comments in the standard
9	and assessment document? Or what type of information
10	could I speak on, on behalf of
11	DR. HAMLEY: Hi. This is Jeff Hamley.
12	We're asking your comments for the record
13	regarding the draft proposed rule, which was published
14	on June 10th, 2019. And I don't know if you have
15	that. Or we're also just answering questions that
16	people may have about the rule.
17	Do you have a copy of the rule available to
18	you?
19	MR. CURLING: I don't have any of that
20	available to me. I'm looking on the BIE website and -
21	- or the BIA website under this BIE Standards,
22	Accountability Assessments and Accountability. And

	Page 81
1	all I see is the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee Final
2	Report Section 1111, Section 8208. And then that's
3	all I see on here.
4	DR. HAMLEY: Sure
5	MR. CURLING: But I guess my question would
6	be I guess if we're just asking general questions,
7	or whatnot but my question would be: What is the
8	Bureau's I guess the Bureau's oversight or
9	oversight into a actually having a school meet AYP?
10	So let's say if school is not meeting AYP and it has
11	been a number of years, what is the Bureau of Indian
12	Education actually doing to try to correct that type
13	(ph), ensuring that there's enough material for that
14	one school in order to accomplish AYP?
15	DR. HAMLEY: Okay.
16	MR. CURLING: And I understand that you guys
17	had, like, all these other assessments, like the

18 growth assessments, the NWEA scores, and whatnot. And 19 I guess, wouldn't the BIE be utilizing those test 20 scores to, I guess, get a general idea as to how 21 they're doing in that -- on the academic level and 22 that they're meeting AYP? And also, would that be

Page 82 used as an official sort of document to say, you know, 1 this school is not meeting AYP according to NWEA 2 scores, and this is what the Bureau of Indian 3 4 Education should try to implement in order to get AYP? 5 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Let me take the second 6 question first. And that is: Do we -- what scores do 7 Well, we -- we're required by law to -- by we use? 8 ESSA to reuse the state assessments. 9 So Cogito -- you're in Arizona? 10 MR. CURLING: Yes. We're in the northern 11 part of Arizona, yes, on the Navajo Nation. So yeah. We would use 12 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. 13 the Arizona. Under the previous rulemaking, 25 CFR, the rulemaking committee under -- for No Child Left 14 15 Behind decided that we -- that our schools would use 16 the standards and assessments of the school in which 17 they're located. 18 So under No Child Left Behind, we use the --19 we would use the Arizona assessments for -- to determine your academic status under -- for AYP. 20 So 21 it's the state assessments, not the NWEA. The NWEA is 2.2 just a supplemental assessment that many schools have

1	liked and used. Not all of them have used it. But
2	they especially like the growth portion of it. But
3	it's not the assessment that we use to determine AYP.
4	We use the state assessment, so that answering that
5	question.
6	Now, under the new rule, we're proposing that
7	the Bureau move away from using the state assessments
8	and to adopt its own assessment, a uniform assessment.
9	So under those circumstances, hypothetically, you
10	would not be your school would not use the Arizona
11	assessment. You would use whatever the Bureau
12	adopted.
13	But the governing tribe or school boards
14	could still waive that requirement. And for example,
15	if you wanted to use the state assessment, you could
16	request a waiver on that. So
17	MR. CURLING: And that would be acceptable
18	for all Bureau-operated schools the Bureau-operated
19	schools, not grant schools?
20	DR. HAMLEY: You're Bureau-operated?
21	MR. CURLING: Yes, I am.
22	DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Okay. That would be

different. Under the definitions in the proposed
 rule, the waiver is available to tribally controlled
 schools, not Bureau-operated schools.

And Brian Quint, are you on?

5 MR. CURLING: So I quess my question -another question then after that would be, you know, I 6 7 know that there's a difference between a Bureauoperated school and a grant school. Grant schools 8 have more flexibility in determining what they can or 9 10 what they can offer to their students as far as, you 11 know, programs and whatnot. And Bureau-operated is 12 extremely limited.

13 What I was wanting to know if in the future with this assessment that this rule -- in this 14 15 rulemaking that you mentioned that you're wanting to go ahead and nationalize it or standardize it 16 17 nationally. I quess a new question would be: How 18 would that work, you know, for a Navajo school? 19 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. That -- that's a great 20 question because you're --21 MR. CURLING: So how would you -- oh, go

22 ahead.

4

	Page 85
1	DR. HAMLEY: because under the waiver
2	under
3	(Cell phone ringing.)
4	MR. CURLING: No, I'm sorry.
5	DR. HAMLEY: Great. Under the waiver for No
6	Child Left Behind, at that point, we did allow Bureau-
7	operated schools to be included in that waiver. But
8	however, under the new rulemaking, we're separating
9	the tribal schools versus the Bureau schools.
10	So is that your understanding? Let me look.
11	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: The waiver?
12	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah, I'm looking at the
13	MR. CURLING: Okay.
14	DR. HAMLEY: here. I believe that the
15	Bureau school would not be included in the Navajo
16	Nation's waiver. And so that would
17	MR. CURLING: All right. So
18	DR. HAMLEY: a departure from the prior
19	waiver.
20	MR. CURLING: So I guess, basically, how is
21	that going to really improve if you're going to
22	have a national test, how is that going to work for a

	Page 86
1	Navajo Nation school who has their own problems, and
2	then you have another school from another state
3	(inaudible) South Dakota or something like that
4	they're having some other different type of issue that
5	wouldn't really be similar, I guess you could say, and
6	you're trying to have it nationalize it into
7	standardized tests?
8	So I think maybe having the Bureau-operated
9	schools have or, actually, some of the governing
10	bodies actually have some voice in this since we're
11	apparently in an advisory committee. You know, I
12	think maybe it would be best that you guys would be
13	able to give them that opportunity.
14	DR. HAMLEY: And that's why we're here today,
15	is to you know, I mean, you don't have to, like,
16	you know, have a final statement on what your
17	recommendation is. But we're encouraging everyone to
18	submit their written statement. So you can go back to
19	and talk to your colleagues in the community and see
20	what you want to recommend for by the August 9th
21	deadline on you know, regarding.
22	But those are great questions. So you know,

Paq	e	87

1	I we already know that Navajo was interested based
2	on their waiver for No Child Left Behind in having a
3	uniform standard assessments across all Navajo
4	schools. And I think I mean, I think they've
5	indicated that they want to continue with that
6	principle under the ESSA. So we will likely see a
7	waiver request from them to incorporate that.
8	But at this point, the Secretary hasn't
9	announced what standards and assessments he's going to
10	adopt. So you know, we won't know that until sometime
11	after the final rule is published. And at that point,
12	then I think everybody can get ready to consider what
13	their waivers are, although we are taking requests for
14	technical assistance right now from any governing
15	tribal or school board. And we've gotten a number.
16	We are willing to come out and talk or over the phone
17	to explore options in advance of the final rule being
18	published.
19	But great questions about, you know, how this
20	is going to affect Navajo schools. And I admit I
21	don't have all the answers, but we're going to work
22	through you know, we will work through all those

Page 88 1 issues so that something can be implemented in '20-2 21. MR. CURLING: Okay, great. And also, is 3 4 there a way or somewhere I can look online to possibly 5 get this rulemaking you're talking about so that way б 7 DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. 8 MR. CURLING: -- could also go ahead and 9 input my voice? 10 MS. MENDOZA: Let me -- I'm going to put it 11 into the chat section, okay? 12 MR. CURLING: Okay. 13 DR. HAMLEY: But it is on bie.edu when -- our 14 15 MR. CURLING: Okay. DR. HAMLEY: -- front (inaudible) page. 16 Ιf 17 you go to the right, you'll see Rulemaking, our 18 Consultation. Click on that, and there's a webpage 19 with all our documents on there. And there are a lot 20 of them, but there is this rule, June 10th, 2019, 21 that's listed there. 22 MS. MENDOZA: See, I think (inaudible) --

	Page 89
1	DR. HAMLEY: Okay. It may be
2	MS. MENDOZA: It's embedded in the paragraph
3	of the
4	DR. HAMLEY: And yeah, some people are going
5	to bia.gov. So that the Assistant Secretary of
б	Indian Affairs also has a webpage on this, so it's
7	also on that page.
8	To go back to your first question about, you
9	know, if a school does not make AYP, I mean, that's a
10	great question, too. Now, we have switched away from
11	AYP. I mean, AYP went away under ESSA. But so now
12	we're using the term, you know, "accountability," you
13	know, our accountability determination.
14	So well, the state education agency has a
15	responsibility under No Child Left Behind. It was
16	called, you know, a system of support. But we have a
17	responsibility to have an approach to help schools
18	improve that are not making proficiency levels. So
19	we're required by law to have a better approach and to
20	work with schools to have a plan.
21	Deb, I don't know if you want to say any more
22	about this or

	Page 90
ING: Okay. So okay.	So it's my
the State of Arizona	s supposed to
-hand with the Bureau	of Indian
certain AYP or academi	goals. It -
ng that correctly?	
EY: Well, it's not sp	cified in law
he State of Arizona wi	ll work hand-
ING: So how is it	
EY: We	
ING: that you're s	aying that, you
d to be the state's re	ponsibility
ol, but yet it's not i	n the law or
practice? And I gues	3 it I'm
sed as to how this who	le thing is
EY: Well, I think tha	:
ING: that basicall	how we're
ur students' achieveme	ıt improve
emics.	
EY: Well, it's not th	e state's
improve the academics	of BIE-funded
EY: We ING: that you're s d to be the state's re ol, but yet it's not i practice? And I gues sed as to how this who EY: Well, I think tha ING: that basicall ur students' achieveme emics. EY: Well, it's not th	sponsibility h the law or s it I'm le thing is t y how we're ht improve e state's

	Page 91
1	schools. It's the BIE's responsibility because the
2	BIE is the quasi-state education agency for our
3	schools. So
4	MR. CURLING: Okay.
5	DR. HAMLEY: it's the BIE's responsibility
6	to work with schools. And we do that through,
7	primarily, the Associate Deputy Director's Office on
8	Navajo. I mean, I think there is some partnership
9	activity with the with DoDEA, the Department the
10	main (ph) Department of Education. But it's the
11	Bureau's responsibility to work with schools to
12	improve. I mean, it's we're just using the state's
13	assessments, you the Arizona assessment. That's
14	all. And
15	MR. CURLING: Okay.
16	DR. HAMLEY: they don't have
17	responsibility.
18	That's not to say that many of the schools in
19	the states we have, have a very good working
20	relationship with their states. So I think in some
21	states the state is actually assisting schools to help
22	improve, but I'm you know, but not all states. And

Γ

	Page 92
1	they don't have
2	MR. CURLING: Okay.
3	DR. HAMLEY: a legal responsibility to do
4	so. That's the Bureau's legal responsibility.
5	MR. CURLING: Okay. And so I guess another
6	thing would be, I guess, if you go back to my first
7	question, is to is there an independent committee
8	or is there an independent department within the
9	Bureau of Indian Education to determine whether, you
10	know, AYP is actually being met at these Bureau-
11	operated schools?
12	DR. HAMLEY: Well, the
13	(Crosstalk.)
14	MR. CURLING: committee, or something like
15	that, I guess what I'm trying to get to
16	DR. HAMLEY: Yeah, I can
17	MR. CURLING: ensure that
18	DR. HAMLEY: Hmm?
19	MR. CURLING: Okay.
20	DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Well, in under No
21	Child Left Behind, well, it wasn't exactly a
22	committee, but we had an office, the Division of

1	Performance and Accountability that made the
2	accountability determination and issued the
3	determination letters to schools using the state
4	assessments. And because we're using the state
5	assessments, that was a lengthy process because it
б	took time to get the I'm not talking about Arizona,
7	in particular, but generally about all the states
8	it took time to get the assessments. It took time to
9	assemble all the elements to make the determination.
10	But we did deliver those letters. So that was we
11	had an office within the Division of Performance and
12	Accountability that did that. So it wasn't it was
13	I mean, I guess you could consider them a
14	committee.
15	So in the end, they would have at the end
16	of the school year, they would have a list of who made
17	AYP, who did not make AYP. I mean, I I've seen
18	that list many times. It was compiled into an Excel

AYP, who did not make AYP. I mean, I -- I've seen that list many times. It was compiled into an Excel sheet on a year-by-year basis and what the standing of each school was. And then it's also on the Bureau's webpage published as a report card. And that's a statutory requirement. Now, we're behind in

Meeting	via	Webinar
meeting	1 100	,, coma

1 publishing those report cards. But we -- as we gear 2 up under ESSA, we will again start publishing those 3 report cards.

Now, under the BIE reorganization, this
activity, however, has been -- has shifted from DPA to
-- Division of Performance and Accountability to an
academic affairs -- I mean Assessments and
Accountability Office, I think they're called. And
that's under the chief academic officer.

10 So the people doing it and the office doing 11 it will change under the Every Student Succeeds Act. 12 But the process will be the same. And the process is 13 defined in statute that we have to make annual determinations to schools about their academic status. 14 15 And then we also need to publish information about that status in a report card and publish it to our 16 17 website and then also publish a summary of all the 18 schools into a BIE report card. So the -- it -- those 19 were defined in statute in the No Child Left Behind and now in ESSA. The only difference is that the 20 21 people do -- the office doing it within the Bureau 2.2 will have changed.

	Page 95
1	Now, since ESSA has passed, we and AYP has
2	been suspended, we haven't made levels of
3	determination for a few years. But once the Secretary
4	adopts standards, assessments, and accountability, we
5	will commence that system again and, hopefully, be
6	able to make deliver those determination letters
7	before the beginning of the school year.
8	Now, that now, for '19-'20, we're going to
9	go status quo. So there probably there will not be
10	a letter based on spring 2019, but for or probably
11	to spring 2020. But beginning in spring 2021, we will
12	issue those letters again.
13	MR. CURLING: Okay.
14	DR. HAMLEY: I know it's a lot of information
15	and may be hard to follow, but I hope that was
16	understandable.
17	MR. CURLING: No, it was. I was just
18	MS. MENDOZA: So we went past the 3:30 time,
19	and we still have a few people logged in. So I'll
20	wait 10 more minutes, and then we will end the
21	session.
22	(Pause.)

	Page 90
1	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Navajo.
2	MS. MENDOZA: Hello, everyone. Thank you so
3	much for participating in today's consultation.
4	Please be sure to submit your comments if you choose
5	to at the two sites that are on the screen. And
6	recall that all comments must be received by August
7	9th by midnight Eastern Time.
8	Thank you, everyone.
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Thank you.
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you.
11	THE COURT REPORTER: Hello. This is the
12	court reporter. Is anyone still there?
13	(No audible response).
14	(Whereupon, the meeting was concluded.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Nate Riveness, the officer before whom the
3	foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify
4	that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings,
5	prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the
6	proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced
7	to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that
8	said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a
9	true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge,
10	skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for,
11	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the
12	action in which this was taken; and, further, that I
13	am not a relative or employee of any counsel or
14	attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor
15	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of
16	this action. Natherweets
17	1 autor and a
18	Nate Riveness
19	Notary Public in and for the
20	Washington, D.C.
21	
22	

Page	98

	Page 90
1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, Karynn Willman, do hereby certify that
3	this transcript was prepared from the digital audio
4	recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said
5	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
б	proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and
7	ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a
10	relative or employee of any counsel or attorney
11	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
13	
14	
15	Karynn Willman
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

[1 - adopt]

29 4.6 accolonia 2.10 colonomiadaina				
1	28 4:6	academic 2:10	acknowledging	
1 1:9 16:15 20:6	3	6:10 16:17,21	61:15,19	
23:13	3 18:4	19:1,13 21:15	acquire 51:11,12	
10 4:5 79:10 95:20	30 8:9 30:3 42:1,7	22:3,6,8,9 23:15	74:11	
101-477 40:12	44:14 55:21	33:12 40:8,8 49:8	acquiring 69:12	
10th 31:15 80:14	30.110 63:21	49:12 81:21 82:20	acquisition 19:11	
88:20	30.113 72:11	90:4 94:7,9,14	act 8:8 11:5,6	
1111 13:8 30:13	30th 43:15,16	academics 90:20	40:13 94:11	
33:1 43:5 49:7	60:14	90:22	acted 43:12	
81:2	3:13 79:13	acceptable 83:17	acting 2:10 6:10	
12 11:12 32:2	3:30 79:20 95:18	accepted 77:16	49:12	
14 11:14 32:3	4	access 1:10 8:5,5	action 97:12,16	
14843 97:17		18:19	98:8,12	
15 43:3 79:17	4 23:14	accommodations	actively 49:14	
17 32:2 51:5	5	18:19	51:18	
18 51:5	5 4:4 22:15 23:19	accomplish 81:14	activity 91:9 94:5	
19 51:10 55:9 95:8	24:19 25:19	account 13:5 14:5	actual 79:6	
1955 11:10	6	30:7	adapted 27:17	
1:00 7:14		accountability 2:5	add 57:1 77:12	
1:06 1:5	661-181-805 1:10	5:16 6:3 11:4	78:16	
2	67 25:20,21	12:15 13:4,9,17	added 16:8 39:5	
	8	14:12 20:8,13	addition 16:16	
2 22:3 32:3	8 18:4	21:3,8,10 23:6	18:7 24:22 39:6	
20 50:16,20 51:7	8204 13:2 15:7	24:7 27:1,7,17,22	72:2	
51:10 55:10 77:4	30:3	30:5,19 32:15,20	additional 22:16	
88:1 95:8	8208 81:2	32:22 33:3 34:1	25:3,8,10 26:11	
20005 1:11	872 1:9	34:16 37:9,19,20	39:6 43:2,19,20	
2002 11:19	9	38:18 40:17,22	49:21	
2005 67:8		50:13 51:9,13	address 36:1 44:4	
2015 11:18,22	95 19:6	59:18 61:18 73:5	66:17 72:1 77:11	
2017 30:22	9th 8:15 44:1 79:1	77:19,22 78:9	adds 55:8	
2018 31:2,5,10	86:20 96:7	80:22,22 89:12,13	adjourn 8:13	
2019 1:2,4 31:10	a	93:1,2,12 94:6,8	administer 61:8	
31:12,16 44:2	ability 97:10 98:7	95:4	67:22	
80:14 88:20 95:10	able 5:8 12:10,11	accurate 97:9 98:5	administered 18:2	
2020 95:11	12:19 14:1,9,9,18	achievement	69:5	
2021 95:11	15:11 19:4 20:12	13:22 14:2 15:14	administration	
21 50:16,20 51:7	20:19 59:14 61:9	15:18 16:1,12	31:2	
77:4 88:2	67:3,13 86:13	17:17 20:16 21:16	administrators	
23 27:5 59:17 61:1	95:6	90:19	32:10	
240-3412 1:9	absenteeism 22:21	acknowledge	admit 58:21 87:20	
25 82:13	39:4	28:19 32:16 55:11	adopt 15:7,17	
26 1:4	absolutely 59:13	acknowledged	33:9,11 49:8	
	· ·	40:11	51:11 61:4 66:22	

[adopt - august]

July 26, 2019

67:14,18 74:15	allow 5:11 41:4	appropriate 30:7	19:13,16 21:18
76:1 83:8 87:10	85:6	44:12	24:1 27:6,22 30:5
adopted 16:19	allowing 9:9	april 31:12	30:19 32:15,19,21
19:1 34:16 40:21	alternate 16:11	area 6:6 67:21	33:3,9 34:1,15
53:16,18 83:12	18:15,16 20:5	areas 38:3	36:8 40:8,16,22
adoption 49:15	32:5	arizona 74:5 82:9	41:6 49:16 51:8
adopts 95:4	alternates 32:3	82:11,13,19 83:10	51:12 52:19 53:1
adults 14:13	73:17	90:2,7 91:13 93:6	53:17 54:11 57:16
advance 17:1	alternative 41:18	arizona's 74:2,3	59:21,22 60:2,4
87:17	41:21	arrangement	60:21 61:5,7 64:1
advanced 15:19	amended 11:5	60:12	66:22 67:7,14,19
23:2	12:1	arts 18:3 57:14	67:22 73:4,6,15
advisor 2:7,12	american 40:5,9	ascd 27:18	74:8,10,12 75:1,7
5:21 6:14	40:10,12	aside 53:13	75:21 76:1,4,10
advisory 86:11	announce 49:17	asked 76:13	77:14,20 78:2
advocated 61:12	announced 87:9	asking 9:15 10:3	80:22 81:17,18
affairs 89:6 94:7	annual 23:12	50:9 55:4 74:14	82:8,16,19,21
affect 37:2 38:4	55:22 56:5 64:1	80:7,12 81:6	83:7 87:3,9 91:13
87:20	94:13	asks 65:6	93:4,5,8 94:7 95:4
affiliation 7:11	annually 26:10	assemble 93:9	assist 90:13
45:9 47:3 80:2	answer 11:8 55:17	assessed 18:14	assistance 6:1,5
affirmation 40:7	64:12 65:20	19:7,10 66:1	42:11,22 87:14
agencies 35:16	answered 38:7	68:12,22	assistant 5:5 89:5
agency 2:19 30:17	65:9	assessing 19:3	assisting 91:21
50:6 52:18 53:16	answering 80:15	assessment 2:8	associate 2:4 5:15
54:4 89:14 91:2	83:4	5:22 6:3 11:4 13:9	28:17 91:7 assume 52:9 54:16
agenda 7:13 27:13	answers 59:9	13:17 14:6 17:9,9	
a g a = 10.1652.17		12.71220021	occumption 52.0
ago 18:16 53:17	87:21	18:7,12,20,21	assumption 52:9
agree 68:3 75:13	anticipating 56:9	19:2,11,20 20:6	52:14,16
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21	52:14,16 assurance 68:11
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10 44:19,21 46:22	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4 10:22 45:17 49:18	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16 77:2,6 78:1 80:9	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10 attribute 77:19,21
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10 44:19,21 46:22 64:13,13 79:8,10	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4 10:22 45:17 49:18 54:17,19 63:17	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16 77:2,6 78:1 80:9 82:22 83:3,4,8,8	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10 attribute 77:19,21 audible 46:19
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10 44:19,21 46:22 64:13,13 79:8,10 79:16,19 84:16,22	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4 10:22 45:17 49:18 54:17,19 63:17 78:17	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16 77:2,6 78:1 80:9 82:22 83:3,4,8,8 83:11,15 84:14	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10 attribute 77:19,21 audible 46:19 96:13
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10 44:19,21 46:22 64:13,13 79:8,10 79:16,19 84:16,22 88:8	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4 10:22 45:17 49:18 54:17,19 63:17 78:17 approach 67:12	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16 77:2,6 78:1 80:9 82:22 83:3,4,8,8 83:11,15 84:14 91:13	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10 attribute 77:19,21 audible 46:19 96:13 audio 97:8 98:3
agree 68:3 75:13 agreed 38:8 40:10 41:9 61:4,13 agreement 35:4,6 71:1 75:17 agrees 41:7 ahead 5:2,11,12 9:1 10:1 28:15 29:21 33:18 35:10 44:19,21 46:22 64:13,13 79:8,10 79:16,19 84:16,22 88:8 aligned 12:10 16:4	anticipating 56:9 anybody 46:17 apologize 63:14 apparently 86:11 applies 13:4 apply 16:10 25:13 25:14 30:5 70:8 70:10 appreciate 7:4 10:22 45:17 49:18 54:17,19 63:17 78:17 approach 67:12 89:17,19	19:2,11,20 20:6 21:10,11 27:17,21 49:9 52:6,13 53:5 60:6,11,16,22 61:2 64:9 65:4,11 68:17 69:13,18 71:8 72:22 73:1,9 73:13,15,21 75:14 75:18 76:14,16 77:2,6 78:1 80:9 82:22 83:3,4,8,8 83:11,15 84:14 91:13 assessments 12:11	52:14,16 assurance 68:11 attempt 76:18 attendance 56:6 attendees 47:1 49:20 63:9 attention 20:20 attorney 2:12 6:14 97:14 98:10 attribute 77:19,21 audible 46:19 96:13 audio 97:8 98:3 august 8:15 31:5

[authorized - changed]

July 26, 2019

		1	1
authorized 12:1	believe 35:6 44:14	body 41:7 72:12	с
automated 9:7	65:21 85:14	74:15 75:10	c 2:1 4:1 5:1
47:14,16	bement 3:2 28:19	bonnie 2:17 50:2,7	california 69:4
available 8:3	best 86:12 97:9	55:19 59:11 63:19	call 1:9 33:7 35:5
27:12 31:18 58:9	98:6	63:20 66:16 70:2	45:15 53:21 56:20
63:12 80:17,20	better 17:20 89:19	72:10 78:14 79:2	called 24:17 34:2
84:2	beyond 53:4	bottom 43:14	34:8 36:9 53:15
aware 54:18	bia 8:4 80:21	bounce 47:7	64:18 89:16 94:8
ayp 81:9,10,14,22	bia.gov. 89:5	box 9:11 24:16	cap 20:6
82:2,4,20 83:3	bie 6:2,5 8:4 13:4	brand 74:17	card 55:22 56:5
89:9,11,11 90:4	15:7 16:11 19:15	break 8:11 79:11	56:10,15 58:20
92:10 93:17,17	21:1 22:16 26:13	79:14,16	93:21 94:16,18
95:1	27:5,8,9 28:7 30:6	breakdown 56:8	cards 59:16 60:18
b	31:3,13 33:22	brian 2:12 6:12,13	61:11 94:1,3
back 27:15 31:21	35:3 36:13 45:14	65:20 66:4,6 84:4	care 21:2
31:22 33:17,17,18	48:14 56:1 58:1	bridge 75:8	career 23:1 53:22
45:13 50:8 54:22	63:22 70:9 71:4	brief 11:3	case 26:6 39:12,22
60:1 63:12,16	77:18 80:20,21	bring 9:16 79:6	42:20 60:21 74:9
79:13 86:18 89:8	81:19 90:7,22	brings 13:12 20:7	cases 60:8
92:6	91:2 94:4,18	brought 45:19	categories 24:15
background 9:3	bie's 36:2 47:9	bureau 2:6,11 3:3	25:10
balance 53:3,6	91:1,5	5:4,17 6:11,15	cell 85:3
60:1	bie.edu 88:13	12:22 28:17 31:5	center 2:8 5:22
balanced 41:17	bie.edu. 31:18	33:5 34:3,5,7,8,12	6:4
48:16 52:13 73:16	43:21	34:21 35:8,15	certain 13:7 43:10
band 37:12	bie.gov. 44:5	36:19 39:2 40:1	56:5 72:16 90:4
barrier 75:5	big 73:19 74:4,6	42:10 51:5 52:10	certainly 10:3
based 25:18 26:4	bit 21:22 23:4,7	59:14,16 61:5	56:18 69:2
26:16 44:12 54:1	26:21 33:12 47:7	67:1,2,8,20 70:12	certificate 97:1
64:19 71:5 76:15	57:11 77:10	70:17 71:22 73:22	98:1
87:1 95:10	biweekly 31:7	74:11,18,19 75:22	certify 97:3 98:2
basic 15:19	bloomington 58:4	77:3 81:11 82:3	cetera 79:21
basically 43:8	blue 24:16 25:12	83:7,11,18,18,20	cfr 30:2 82:13
59:21 85:20 90:18	25:13	84:3,7,11 85:6,9	challenge 59:16
basis 23:12 30:7	board 2:22 32:11	85:15 86:8 90:3	60:6 61:1
58:21 93:19	72:12 75:6 80:5,6	92:9,10 94:21	challenged 37:22
bearing 16:5	87:15	bureau's 34:15	challenging 15:7
becky 55:13	boarding 2:22	60:5 81:8,8 91:11	37:22 49:8
began 30:22	80:4	92:4 93:20	chance 62:14
beginning 27:3	boards 32:18 41:5	bureaucratic 42:9	change 39:17
58:7,9,18 59:19	41:14 61:17 83:13	42:18	57:13 61:20 94:11
65:14 95:7,11	bodies 32:17 41:5		changeable 39:13
behalf 74:12 80:10	41:13 61:17 86:10		changed 27:21
			57:11 64:4 94:22

ider 21:1 69:1
20 87:12 93:13
ideration
10
idering 47:9
12
istence 14:22
istency 34:9
5
istent 13:7
12 33:1 49:7
istently 25:6
ortia 53:2
ortium 48:16
ultation 1:2
,10 7:13 8:2,20
14 35:17,22
13,17 44:5
2 58:4 79:17
18 96:3
ultations
13 36:18
uming 75:2
ained 32:6
ained 32:6 ains 43:6
ains 43:6
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5
ains43:6ent13:22 15:89,11 16:18ents33:6inue41:519 87:5racting74:10
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7
ains43:6ent13:22 15:89,11 16:18ents33:6inue41:519 87:5racting74:10rol61:7rolled84:2
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14
ains43:6ent13:22 15:89,11 16:18ents33:6inue41:519 87:5racting74:10rol61:7rolled84:2ersation14ersations
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17 12:4 27:4
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17 12:4 27:4 12 53:20,20
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17 12:4 27:4 12 53:20,20 er 24:16
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17 12:4 27:4 12 53:20,20 er 24:16 ect 50:15
ains 43:6 ent 13:22 15:8 9,11 16:18 ents 33:6 inue 41:5 19 87:5 racting 74:10 rol 61:7 rolled 84:2 ersation 14 ersations 7 80:17 12:4 27:4 12 53:20,20 er 24:16

[correctly - document]

correctly 65:14	dakota 2:16,19	delivered 19:16,17	development
90:5	45:12,15 46:1	58:17	48:15 52:5
counsel 97:10,13	50:6 86:3	delivering 61:10	developments
98:7,10	data 17:19 60:22	delor 2:22 80:3	53:2
couple 56:2	67:3,11 74:6	delve 62:15	difference 84:7
course 7:19 73:10	date 58:8	demographic 56:8	94:20
coursework 16:5	day 5:14	department 2:16	different 15:3,22
23:3	days 42:1,7 44:14	2:18 24:11 35:2,3	16:3,7 19:14
court 6:19 9:5	deadline 44:1	35:16,20 45:12	22:18 53:21 77:1
55:15 96:11,12	50:14 86:21	50:5 51:6 53:15	84:1 86:4
cover 29:19	deal 11:13	53:15 59:3 62:5,5	differentiate
crafted 13:11	dearman 5:5	69:3,22 74:19,20	23:10
create 32:14,20	deb 2:7 4:5 5:19	91:9,10 92:8	differently 39:20
33:2 35:1	5:21 6:7 9:12 28:4	departure 85:18	difficult 9:4
creates 38:20 42:9	28:11 30:13 34:3	deputy 2:4 5:15	digital 97:8 98:3
creating 20:17	37:10 51:3 56:11	28:17 91:7	direct 7:17 51:22
creation 60:18	57:6 59:6,7 64:13	designated 3:2	directed 31:2
credit 16:5 78:8	66:13 68:6 70:21	28:20	direction 61:14
critical 15:1 61:9	71:13 72:6 76:17	designed 16:14	director 2:4,14
critically 71:22	76:20 77:11 89:21	20:9	5:5,8,15 28:17
crossed 75:8	deb's 66:8,9	desk 63:15	31:13
crosstalk 57:5	december 11:22	detail 71:14	director's 91:7
92:13	decide 76:21	determination	disabilities 18:11
csi 25:17 26:16	decided 34:13,21	36:11 38:5,18	18:18 20:5
curling 2:22 79:22	62:6 82:15	45:18 58:11,17	disability 16:13
80:3,3,19 81:5,16	deciding 75:6	61:10 70:16 89:13	disaggregation
82:10 83:17,21	decision 40:1	93:2,3,9 95:3,6	12:15
84:5,21 85:4,13	49:17	determinations	discuss 75:10
85:17,20 88:3,8	decisions 39:21	59:15 60:17 94:14	discussing 51:18
88:12,15 90:1,9	declare 12:8	determine 24:8	discussion 36:16
90:11,18 91:4,15	deem 19:3	26:14,15 56:4	40:19 46:10 49:5
92:2,5,14,17,19	defense 53:15,16	64:20 66:1,11	61:3 72:14
95:13,17	define 15:22	82:20 83:3 92:9	discussions 36:17
current 12:2	defined 24:20,21	determines 40:21	49:2,9 76:8 78:5
currently 12:2	37:15 57:8 68:19	determining 84:9	displayed 8:19
60:4 76:19 77:13	94:13,19	develop 14:6	disseminated 58:2
80:5	definite 58:8	30:18 36:2 40:7	districts 17:14
curricula 36:21	definitely 49:1	53:9 68:1 75:1,6	division 2:5 5:16
37:17	78:11	78:9	92:22 93:11 94:6
d	definition 32:19	developed 15:12	do's 25:13
d 5:1	64:3	18:13 75:18 77:7	docket 8:18
d.c. 97:20	definitions 84:1	developing 36:21	document 27:18
daily 42:16	deliver 15:4 93:10	47:12 48:20	80:9 82:1
	95:6		
1	1	1	

[documents - expert]

		27.0	
documents 31:20	e	encompasses 27:2	73:8 82:8 87:6
88:19	e 2:1,1 4:1 5:1,1	encourage 78:22	89:11 94:2,20
dodea 53:15 76:7	earlier 70:20	encouraging	95:1
91:9	easier 10:1 79:6	86:17	essentially 27:1
doing 9:10 14:14	easily 52:7 75:18	engage 35:4,21	42:11
23:2 29:16 36:18	eastern 44:2 96:7	45:22	established 31:6
38:16 51:18 74:1	ed 24:12 59:3 69:3	engaged 36:21	establishing 31:1
76:7 77:3 81:12	74:20	engagement 33:6	31:3 49:7
81:21 94:10,10,21	educating 17:20	35:11,13,20 36:4	et 79:21
dollars 75:5	education 2:6,11	46:7	eventually 76:1
dozen 57:17	2:16,17,18 3:3 5:4	engaging 76:9	everybody 28:9,16
dpa 94:5	5:17 6:11,16 11:5	english 16:16 19:8	38:8 40:9 44:9
dr 2:4,10 4:6 5:13	11:11 12:22 16:6	19:9,12 22:13,14	78:20 87:12
5:14 6:9 28:8,16	27:4 28:18 31:6	23:16 57:14 64:1	evidence 17:19
29:5,7,10,12,16	32:13 35:3 45:13	64:2,3,3,7,8 65:2	evolved 53:3 54:8
29:22 30:21 32:1		66:12,12 67:1,6	exact 58:16,21
33:15,17,20 35:10	50:4,5 51:6 52:10	67:19 68:1,22	exactly 66:9 92:21
48:22 49:12 50:18	53:16 62:5 69:22 81:12 82:4 89:14	69:19 71:3,11,20	exam 64:22
51:2 52:15 54:20		72:3,4 73:17	example 15:18
55:7,16,17 56:11	90:4 91:2,10 92:9	enrolled 56:7	22:8 73:6 74:8
56:22 57:3,6 58:3	educational 12:6	ensure 12:16 34:9	83:14
59:6,11 62:11	effect 37:16	41:21 92:17	exceeding 15:20
64:13 66:8,20	either 26:18	ensured 63:22	excel 93:18
70:11 71:13,17	el 68:12	ensuring 27:7	excellence 12:6
72:17 73:3 75:19	ela 21:18 23:14 46:13	81:13	27:4
76:17 78:4,13,19	elected 16:11	entity 73:2	exception 16:10
80:11 81:4,15		environment 13:6	excuse 31:15 44:6
82:5,12 83:20,22	electing 22:20 element 73:4	equally 62:1	exercise 79:1
84:19 85:1,5,12		equitable 20:17	existing 48:21
85:14,18 86:14	77:21	equity 12:6 27:4,7	54:1 74:9,16 77:8
88:7,13,16 89:1,4	elementary 11:5 18:9 22:4	27:8	exists 76:19
90:6,10,17,21	elements 93:9	es 97:4	exit 25:3
91:5,16 92:3,12	elevate 37:18	esea 11:10,17,22	expect 12:9 13:22
92:16,18,20 95:14	elevated 38:5	13:1,7 16:3 27:3	14:10 24:5
draft 8:9,15 80:13	71:20	especially 9:4 76:4	expectation 19:19
draw 53:5,6		78:7 83:2	24:4
driven 21:11	eligible 70:12,18 email 8:16 44:3,4	essa 11:6 12:1,4	expectations
driving 63:16	embedded 41:13	15:6 16:8 18:1	11:19 14:21 15:1
dual 46:5	89:2	21:9,11 23:9 27:3	20:10,11,13,15
due 8:15 79:12	employ 78:2	27:16 30:3,13	21:7
duly 97:5	employed 97:11	33:1 34:4 35:2	expensive 75:3
	97:14 98:8,11	37:11 41:13 43:8	experiences 42:13
	employee 97:13	43:9 57:12 58:14	expert 75:3 76:20
	98:10	59:4 66:15 71:20	
	90.10		

[explained - grants]

July 26, 2019

		1	п
explained 30:2	first 6:19 11:19	full 19:19 29:13	60:9 63:12 64:13
34:3 37:10	12:8 13:19 21:15	31:20 52:19 61:17	64:13 74:16 75:16
explore 87:17	21:19 24:16 28:9	71:1 75:17	77:8 79:8,10,16
expose 17:17	64:7 65:8 76:18	fully 38:7 75:10	79:19 84:16,21
extended 19:18	82:6 89:8 92:6	functioned 11:18	86:18 88:8,17
extension 51:6	fit 40:15 41:11	functioning 12:2	89:8 90:16 92:6
extreme 50:14	five 23:5	functions 11:12	95:9
extremely 75:2	flexibility 23:4,8	funded 6:4 13:4	goals 90:4
84:12	39:16,21 84:9	30:6 36:13 63:22	goes 42:14 67:15
f	flexible 23:20	90:22	going 7:14 8:7 9:1
fact 40:14 52:4	florida 42:3 73:13	funding 11:13	9:6 11:7 14:15
64:22 65:9 76:15	73:13	26:22 70:14,18	19:14 51:22 52:4
77:18	flow 12:7	75:15,16	52:11,18,20 53:11
factor 22:16	fluid 42:14	funds 70:14	55:9 56:4,9 69:12
fading 62:22	focus 7:21 20:20	further 24:6 97:12	69:20 76:22 79:11
fail 25:20,21	folks 7:17 8:22	98:9	79:16 85:21,21,22
fairly 43:9	48:7 54:21 59:4	future 48:18 84:13	87:9,20,21 88:10
fall 71:11	62:8 77:17	g	89:4 90:14,16,19
far 48:15 84:10	follow 55:5 66:17	g 5:1	95:8
farlee 2:20 55:3	78:4,19 95:15	g 5.1 gaps 17:17,17,17	good 5:14,20 6:9
federal 3:2 8:17	following 31:13	gear 94:1	10:14,15 14:3,4
11:11 28:20 29:18	58:7 62:15	general 81:6,20	15:15,15 34:7
30:17 31:16 32:4	forces 48:20	generally 38:21	67:3 91:19
	foregoing 97:3,4	39:8,16 57:10	goodness 10:18
32:5,6 35:16 44:13 52:17 53:14	98:4		gotcha 49:18
54:4	forgotten 71:15	64:16,17 93:7	gotten 87:15
	form 37:5 77:6	geographic 32:9 getting 12:17	gov 44:6
federally 6:4	formal 68:16	0 0	governing 32:17
feed 14:12	78:22	33:17 42:22	41:4,7,13 61:17
feedback 29:4	forth 45:14 59:3	give 11:2 15:2 28:14 45:8 47:3	72:12 74:15 75:6
feel 50:19 62:9,19	forward 75:16	28:14 45:8 47:3 60:8 64:9 69:12	83:13 86:9 87:14
female 17:5 47:19	79:17		grade 14:19 18:5
85:11 96:10	foundational	69:17 86:13	18:8,8,9,9,10
fewer 59:22	13:20	given 18:6,8 19:20	37:12,13
file 42:12	four 12:7 23:16,18	23:17 51:5 57:22	grades 18:3
final 43:17 44:13	31:11 32:20	64:21 65:17	graduate 25:20,21
44:15 51:20 81:1	fourth 12:18	gives 17:12,19	graduation 22:11
86:16 87:11,17	frame 52:8	23:3 69:21 78:8	23:15 24:1 25:1
finally 26:11	framework 49:7	go 5:2,11,12 7:14	37:3,5 56:7 57:15
financially 97:15	free 50:19 62:10	8:10 9:1 10:1 11:7	grant 70:6,10
98:11	62:19	14:15 17:7 19:14	83:19 84:8,8
find 39:18	friday 1:4	28:15 29:21 31:21	grantee 70:13
fine 28:12 45:2	front 56:20 57:7	31:22 33:13 35:10	grants 70:5,22
finished 79:3	57:20 88:16	44:6,19,21 46:22	0,
		52:17,18 54:7,22	

[gray - included]

July 26, 2019

gray 46:11	hamley 2:4 4:6	96:11	identified 19:8
great 6:18 11:13	5:13,14,15 28:8	help 14:7 17:16	24:19 25:22 26:5
28:13 59:20 84:19	28:16,17 29:5,7	51:3 89:17 91:21	26:10,19 69:19
85:5 86:22 87:19	29:10,12,16,22	helpful 7:22 45:9	identify 24:10
88:3 89:10	30:21 32:1 33:15	48:8 72:7 79:7	25:1,5,11,15
greater 23:18	33:17,20 35:10	helps 14:13 20:20	26:18 65:1
green 25:12,14	48:22,22 50:18,18	hereto 97:14	iii 70:5,6,10,11,13
ground 7:15 42:22	51:2 52:15 54:20	98:11	70:18,22 71:9
47:13 48:20 75:2	55:17 56:11,22	hey 45:5 47:15	impact 38:16
75:7	57:3,6 58:3 59:6	77:3	implement 26:7
group 21:7 49:11	59:11 62:11 64:13	hi 6:13 47:4 63:20	40:7 51:8 82:4
49:13	66:8,20 70:11	80:3,11	implementation
groups 11:21	71:13,17 72:17	high 17:16 18:4,5	2:8 5:22 36:8
17:18 24:3,5	73:3 75:19 76:17	18:10 22:1,5,12	implemented
grow 78:10	78:4,19 80:11,11	25:1,21 38:2,2	30:14 36:19 88:1
growth 22:2,5	81:4,15 82:5,12	57:15	implementing
76:15 77:19,21,21	83:20,22 84:19	higher 16:6	26:8
78:3,7,8,10,17	85:1,5,12,14,18	hints 21:5	implements 13:10
81:18 83:2	86:14 88:7,13,16	histories 37:1	implication 36:15
guess 34:19 35:18	89:1,4 90:6,10,17	hit 67:17	61:6
36:16 38:15 42:8	90:21 91:5,16	hmm 92:18	important 14:17
43:22 52:3 54:18	90:21 91:5,10	hillin 92.18 hold 29:3	17:9,10 18:21
43.22 32.3 54.18 64:5 68:8,13,18	95:14	home 46:7 64:18	19:4 20:9 21:2
70:3,6,16 81:5,6,8	hand 24:16 90:3,3	65:6,7 66:10 69:5	30:11 38:8 40:11
81:19,20 84:5,17	90:7,8	69:21	71:17,22
85:20 86:5 90:14	handle 71:2	honor 32:13	impression 38:20
92:5,6,15 93:13	hang 10:17	hope 95:15	impression 30.20
guidance 39:10	happen 61:20	hopefully 62:15	85:21 89:18 90:19
guide 14:7	happened 49:4	95:5	90:19,22 91:12,22
guys 46:12,14	hard 95:15	hoping 51:1 61:22	improvement
47:12 48:2,16,19	harder 74:18	housed 6:1	24:17,18 25:17
81:16 86:12	head 57:11,18	huge 61:1	26:4,12 43:4,5,6
h	headed 48:19	hypothetical	43:11
	hear 7:20 9:4,5	60:15	inaudible 7:5,8
haines 2:17 50:2,2	28:10,11,12 47:15	hypothetically	9:20 10:9 16:19
50:4,8,22 52:2	47:17,20 48:3,11	54:2 83:9	17:3,5 20:2 21:21
54:17 55:19,19,21	73:14	i	44:16 47:8,9 48:6
57:19 59:9 62:7	heard 65:14		48:9 62:22 69:15
63:19,21 65:13	hearing 55:2	i.e. 33:4	73:13,14 86:3
66:3,19 68:3 70:2	heartedly 68:3	idea 34:7 48:19	88:16,22
70:19 72:5,10,18	held 71:4	76:8 81:20	include 22:2,11,12
75:12 76:12 78:12	hello 28:16 45:3	ideal 39:18	33:22 36:9 77:21
78:18 79:3	47:17,17 50:3	identification	included 18:12,13
half 50:11	62:20 79:22 96:2	24:15 25:16 26:1	19:12,21 32:10
		26:9,21 65:2,5,10	

[included - large]

July 26, 2019

		1	
36:3 40:6 57:9	intent 58:16	72:13 77:13 79:4	59:18,19,20 60:4
85:7,15	intention 41:8	80:11	60:10,12,14,16,21
includes 73:10	interact 46:6	jeffrey 2:4 4:6	60:21 61:2,12,15
including 41:22	interest 16:4 41:9	joe 2:14 45:11	61:18 63:9 65:14
59:2 68:11	42:21	47:4,5 50:8 55:12	65:18 66:10,14
incorporate 87:7	interested 78:7	join 5:8 62:20	67:10,16,21 68:13
incorporated	87:1 97:15 98:12	joined 28:20 63:7	68:14,21 69:6,10
38:13	interesting 45:15	joining 5:6 48:20	69:18 70:4 71:3
incorporation	46:8,12,15 51:2	juanita 1:3 2:3 4:4	72:1,18 73:22
37:8	interference 9:3	5:3 10:2 11:8	74:7,13 75:1,9,13
incorrect 52:8	interims 20:1	27:12 28:2 47:20	75:14,20 76:21
incredibly 71:17	interior 13:2 30:4	80:1	77:9 78:9,22 79:1
independent	35:3,16,21 40:2	juanita's 30:1	79:21 80:7,14
40:15 92:7,8	62:5 74:19	july 1:4 43:15,15	82:1 84:6,7,11,13
indian 2:6,11 3:3	interlay 46:9	jump 50:19	84:18 86:11,15,16
5:4,17 6:11,15	internal 49:1	june 31:15 60:14	86:21,22 87:1,10
12:22 28:18 31:5	internally 51:19	80:14 88:20	87:10,19,22 89:9
42:3 52:10 81:11	interpreted 68:15	k	89:12,13,16,21
82:3 89:6 90:3	intervene 21:6	k 11:12	90:12 91:22 92:10
92:9	24:9	karynn 98:2,15	95:14
indicate 15:15	intervening 24:8	keep 7:18 62:22	knowing 52:4
indicated 11:8	intervention	-	knowledge 97:9
			0
87:5	12:21 21:5 24:10	79:17 kent 46:14	98:6
87:5 indicator 21:19	12:21 21:5 24:10 25:11	kept 46:14	U U
		kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20	98:6
indicator 21:19	25:11	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17	98:6 known 11:6,18
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15	25:11 interventions 26:8	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8 80:9 94:15 95:14	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j january 31:2,15	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18 49:15 50:9 51:4	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8 71:11,20 72:3,4
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8 80:9 94:15 95:14 initially 42:15	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j january 31:2,15 jeff 5:13,15 28:17	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18 49:15 50:9 51:4 51:17,19,21 52:8	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8 71:11,20 72:3,4 73:17
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8 80:9 94:15 95:14 initially 42:15 53:1	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j january 31:2,15 jeff 5:13,15 28:17 48:22 50:18 52:2	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18 49:15 50:9 51:4 51:17,19,21 52:8 52:21,22 53:3,10	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8 71:11,20 72:3,4 73:17 languages 40:5,9
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8 80:9 94:15 95:14 initially 42:15 53:1 initiatives 2:15	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j january 31:2,15 jeff 5:13,15 28:17 48:22 50:18 52:2 54:17 57:2 59:1	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18 49:15 50:9 51:4 51:17,19,21 52:8 52:21,22 53:3,10 54:4,6,9,14,15,15	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8 71:11,20 72:3,4 73:17 languages 40:5,9 40:13
indicator 21:19 22:3,6,8,9 23:15 23:19,20 33:12 37:20 38:6,11 39:19 71:21 indicators 23:5,6 23:9,13,16,18 38:14,16,17 39:2 39:7,9 inform 17:21 information 8:19 17:19 32:6 43:19 43:20,21 58:11 68:7 69:21 72:8 80:9 94:15 95:14 initially 42:15 53:1 initiatives 2:15 input 41:20 51:14	25:11 interventions 26:8 intrinsic 46:9 introduce 5:12 introduction 11:3 involve 76:3 issue 12:14 45:20 45:21 73:19 74:11 86:4 95:12 issued 67:8 93:2 issued 67:8 93:2 issues 44:18 46:2 73:14 88:1 it'll 57:8 iterations 11:16 j january 31:2,15 jeff 5:13,15 28:17 48:22 50:18 52:2	kept 46:14 kind 19:20 27:20 46:1,14,15 49:17 52:3 59:14 65:8 67:17 68:8,10,13 68:14,19 69:7 77:18 90:15 kinds 15:3,8 know 7:20 9:8,22 12:9 14:1,3,9,18 15:10 19:4 20:11 20:14 35:19 37:16 37:17 38:2,8,21 39:5,10,11,12,22 41:16 42:6,20 46:4,13 48:18 49:15 50:9 51:4 51:17,19,21 52:8 52:21,22 53:3,10	98:6 known 11:6,18 25:17 l l 63:3,3 labs 37:17 lane 3:1 language 16:17,18 18:3 19:10,11 22:13,14 40:10 57:14 58:6,16,22 64:2,3,8,18,22 65:6,7,7 66:11,12 66:13 67:1,6,19 68:1,1 69:1,1,5,6 69:12,21 71:3,8 71:11,20 72:3,4 73:17 languages 40:5,9

[law - mexico's]

July 26, 2019

		1	
law 11:11 12:3,5	links 8:4 27:12	male 7:5,8 9:20	meeting 1:8 12:12
21:13 25:13 30:10	list 56:20 57:7,8	10:9 17:3 48:9	12:16,20 14:8
34:6,18 35:14	93:16,18	49:22 69:15 96:1	15:20 17:11,14
36:11 39:12 40:2	listed 88:21	96:9	55:12 66:17 81:10
40:12,12 57:9,19	listening 16:19	mandatorily 56:6	81:22 82:2 96:14
57:20,21 65:19,21	63:15	map 76:14	meetings 31:14
66:2 76:15 82:7	literacy 38:1	maps 77:8	42:14 49:4
89:19 90:6,13	literally 30:10	march 31:10	member 32:5
lead 20:2	60:22	material 81:13	members 32:2,3,4
leading 49:13	little 21:22 33:12	materials 8:2	32:5,8
learn 65:8	41:1 47:7 57:11	math 15:12 18:2	memo 67:8
learner 64:4 65:2	71:14 72:7	21:19 23:14 46:13	memorandum
68:22 69:19	lived 27:18	57:14	35:4,5
learners 19:8,12	located 73:20,20	matter 74:10	memory 58:5
64:2 71:3	82:17	mean 15:16 42:20	mendoza 1:3 2:3
learning 17:22	locations 32:9	46:3,3,6 49:3	4:4 5:2,3,19 6:7
19:9	logged 95:19	51:16 53:1 56:3	6:12,18 7:7,10 9:8
left 11:17 24:16	long 24:3	57:10 59:12,13	9:18,22 10:6,12
35:6 39:9,21	look 21:8 22:20	66:21 71:19 74:17	10:15,20 28:3,6
41:10 42:2 43:15	27:20 38:21 56:21	74:19 75:3,5	28:13 29:3,6,8,11
57:12 58:5 59:12	59:4 65:18,21	76:17,18 78:5,10	29:15,21 30:20
59:20 60:3 64:4,6	66:2 85:10 88:4	78:19,20,21 86:15	31:22 33:14,16,19
66:14 67:5 68:15	looking 22:22,22	87:4 89:9,11 91:8	35:9 44:17 45:4,6
71:21 73:7 79:16	50:20 52:11 63:21	91:12 93:13,17	45:8 46:16,20
82:14,18 85:6	66:8 72:11 78:11	94:7	47:5,10,17,21
87:2 89:15 92:21	80:20 85:12	meaningful 35:12	48:4,7,12 49:20
94:19	looks 9:16	35:21	50:3,7,17 54:21
legal 49:7 92:3,4	lot 45:13 53:18,19	means 22:5 34:14	55:15,20 59:7
legally 50:22	60:2 75:20 76:22	35:1	62:8,20 63:1,4,6
lends 52:3 70:19	88:19 95:14	measure 12:11	63:17,20 66:6
lengthy 93:5	lots 22:17,20	14:3,13 17:11,13	79:5,15 80:1
letter 55:14 58:17	low 25:1	21:15 22:2,5,7,13	88:10,22 89:2
59:15 76:15 95:10	lowest 24:19,21	22:21 69:1 71:10	95:18 96:2
letters 55:11 61:10	25:19 26:15	78:2	mention 53:13
93:3,10 95:6,12	lut 43:16	measured 21:16	54:9
level 14:19 37:13	m	21:17 23:1	mentioned 84:15
38:3 58:11 81:21	m 63:3	measures 21:13	met 31:11 92:10
levels 15:17,22	main 43:22 91:10	21:14	method 72:1
89:18 95:2	maintains 12:4	measuring 19:3	methodology
liked 83:1	major 29:19 32:12	mechanism 65:22	26:13,15
limited 26:20	57:13	meet 12:19 20:19	methods 44:3,8
84:12	making 27:5 78:8	21:6 37:4 61:9	mexico 74:2,3
link 59:1	89:18	76:14 81:9 90:4	mexico's 74:2

[mic - options]

July 26, 2019

7.600.01			07.0
mic 7:6,9 9:21	musts 25:2	neglectful 56:2	97:2
10:10 17:4,6	mute 9:3,6 48:8	negotiated 6:2,16	official 6:21 28:21
44:16 48:10 69:16	66:6	13:12,14 30:15	42:6,12 82:1
miccosukee 42:3	muted 9:1 47:14	39:14 81:1	oh 7:10,10 10:13
42:20 73:8,12	n	neither 97:10 98:7	10:18 29:20 31:21
middle 18:9	n 2:1 4:1,1 5:1	new 16:3,7 51:8	84:21
midnight 44:2	name 5:3,20 7:1,2	74:2,2,3,13,17	okay 5:19 7:12
96:7	7:3,3 45:11 47:2,2	83:6 84:17 85:8	9:14 10:7,11,14
million 11:14 75:4	53:21 63:2 80:2,3	nice 27:19	10:21 17:7 28:13
mind 48:14	nate 1:16 97:2,18	nonfederal 32:2,3	28:13,15 29:5,22
minimally 19:6	nation 42:4 82:11	32:4,8	31:21 33:16 47:21
minimum 15:12	86:1	northern 82:10	48:2,5,13 52:2
minute 79:10	nation's 85:16	notably 11:17	54:20 59:6 63:4
minutes 18:16	national 30:6	notary 97:1,19	63:17 66:3,19
79:18 95:20	85:22	note 7:13 8:1	69:10 70:19 76:22
missed 47:7		notice 29:18 32:7	78:18 79:8,10
moa 35:5 36:5	nationalize 84:16	notification 42:12	81:15 82:5,12
model 78:7,9	86:6	notified 41:8	83:22,22 84:19
models 78:10	nationally 36:13	nrm 55:8	85:13 88:3,11,12
modified 53:19	84:17	nuances 45:16	88:15 89:1 90:1,1
54:1	native 40:5,8,10	number 1:9 8:18	91:4,15 92:2,5,19
moment 28:14	40:12	20:6 22:3,15	92:20 95:13
monetized 53:4	navajo 42:3,20	24:20 32:13 42:4	olympia 43:16
monthly 42:15	73:9,19 82:11	43:3 56:7 64:16	once 18:4,8 95:3
months 60:20	84:18 85:15 86:1	81:11 87:15	ones 39:3
moran 2:14 44:22	87:1,3,20 91:8	numeracy 38:1	ongoing 31:6 33:5
45:1,3,5,7,10,12	96:1	numerous 76:13	35:12 42:19
47:4,6,11,15,22	navigated 46:2	nwea 76:14 77:8	online 44:3,5 88:4
48:2,5,11,13	nclb 11:18 16:3	77:13 81:18 82:2	open 8:12 29:1
49:18	21:9 27:16,19	82:21,21	46:22 52:19 55:1
morning 5:20 6:9	nearly 79:3		opened 21:12 63:8
55:12	necessarily 25:9	0	operated 83:18,18
motivators 46:10	53:9	o 4:1 5:1	83:20 84:3,8,11
move 28:6 74:1	necessary 8:11	objective 17:10	85:7 86:8 92:11
83:7	63:12	obviously 27:2	opportunities
moved 60:1 71:8,9	need 9:15 12:17	39:11 45:13 56:1	70:5
71:18	17:20 24:9,10	56:4	opportunity 17:17
movement 51:22	34:20 54:22 71:2	occur 26:2,9	70:9 72:12,22
moves 42:16	94:15	occurring 78:15	78:21 79:1 86:13
	needed 11:20	offer 70:9 84:10	
moving 48:14 50:12 61:13 79:17	34:14	office 2:13 6:14	opt 41:2,11
	needs 12:19 17:14	63:16 91:7 92:22	option 40:18 41:5
multiple 20:1	26:9 30:8 43:10	93:11 94:8,10,21	options 41:17
21:12	57:9 65:16 68:4	officer 2:10 3:2	51:19 54:12,19
	68:18	6:10 49:13 94:9	/5:198/:1/
			75:19 87:17

oral 44:8	partnership 91:8	piece 14:6 15:1	
order 17:20 20:15	parts 13:19 14:11	16:3,7,7 17:8 20:7	prepared 98:3 present 8:9
81:14 82:4	72:16	46:8 72:7	presentation 11:2
organization 31:8	pass 77:4	pieces 14:16	11:9 27:10,13
outcome 97:15	passed 77:14 95:1	pillar 12:8	28:7,22 29:2,4,14
98:12	patience 10:16,22	pillars 12:5,7	29:17 44:20 54:22
outlined 30:13	44:18	place 50:16 51:1	presentations
outlines 29:17	pause 95:22	52:12 56:3	63:7
outside 56:17	peer 77:4,9,14,15	places 65:15	presenter 9:13
overall 38:4,18	people 9:4,5 47:17	plan 33:3,4,8 34:1	presenters 5:11
oversight 81:8,9	62:11 79:7 80:16	34:2,5,8,13,20	presenting 5:17
oversigne 8:8	89:4 94:10,21	35:8 36:2,3 50:13	presumably 54:4
over view 0.0 oyate 2:18 50:5	95:19	89:20	pretty 21:14
-	percent 16:15	plans 34:10 38:22	previous 82:13
p	19:6 20:6 24:20	play 23:6	primarily 11:12
p 2:1,1 5:1	25:19,20,22	please 55:20 62:9	26:4 91:7
p.m. 1:5	percentage 18:17	62:19 63:2 79:20	primary 32:2
page 4:3 31:20	performance 2:5	80:1 96:4	principle 87:6
40:4 79:2 88:16	5:16 17:13 19:18	plug 77:3	prior 73:7 85:18
89:7	23:11 25:18 26:4	plugging 46:14	97:5
paper 51:17	26:12,17 93:1,11	point 8:14 42:21	priority 21:1
paragraph 89:2	94:6	43:22 49:16 60:14	private 45:14
parallel 73:22	performing 24:19	61:22 62:17 63:8	probably 52:8,10
paraphrase 58:6	24:21 25:19 26:16	75:11 77:5 78:6	57:17 62:14 76:12
parce 52:13 53:5	period 7:1 26:8	85:6 87:8,11	76:13 95:9,10
54:6 59:22 74:3,4	33:11 40:20	points 7:18 29:19	problem 9:10
parents 14:20 32:10	periodic 33:6	policy 2:15 35:17	21:22 63:1,5
52:10 part 8:9 13:19	permanent 40:3	pool 25:15 46:12	problems 86:1
19:18 24:7 30:2	personally 66:18	population 16:15	procedure 68:17
32:18 38:18 41:14	pertaining 80:8	populations 76:6	proceed 29:22
48:16 49:10 50:12	pfeiffer 2:10 6:9	portal 8:17	proceeding 98:4
53:3 55:21 59:17	6:10 49:12 55:7	portfolios 19:18	proceedings 97:3
61:1,18 66:21	55:16 78:13,13	portion 16:14 83:2	97:4,6,8 98:6
67:15,18 72:22	ph 11:14 19:22	position 39:2	process 6:2,17
73:4,9 80:4 82:11	21:5 23:17 29:12	possibly 37:19	19:9 30:16,22
participate 20:5	37:12 38:20 53:4	88:4	31:3 39:15 41:15
participating 96:3	55:8,13,13 75:14	post 61:11	41:22 49:3 54:8
participation	80:4,4 81:13	posted 59:1,5	65:10 66:10 68:17
57:16	91:10	70:20,21 71:5	73:8 93:5 94:12
particular 26:5	phased 33:10	powerpoint 7:19	94:12
37:3 52:6 65:1,9	phone 63:16 79:7	9:12,15,16 63:11	processes 42:4
75:10 78:1 93:7	85:3 87:16	practice 90:14	procure 14:7
parties 42:10	phones 9:2 48:7	prepare 62:16	proficiencies
97:11,14 98:8,11			66:13

[proficiency - regardless]

July 26, 2019 Page 13

-	-		C
proficiency 16:17	providing 6:15	84:5,6,17,20 89:8	37:14 45:15 46:8
16:18 19:11 21:17	42:10 54:11 67:21	89:10 92:7	56:16 71:4 75:16
22:13,14 23:14,16	provision 41:2	questions 11:8	76:8 78:17 85:21
38:1,3 57:13 64:2	public 8:12 16:6	29:1 35:18 37:6	86:5
64:22 67:1,6,20	40:12 44:9 45:14	37:14 38:7 41:10	reason 16:22 17:1
68:2 69:2 71:8,11	61:11 62:17 97:1	47:1 62:12 63:10	reasonable 69:9
71:20 72:4 73:17	97:19	65:6 69:10 79:18	recall 57:18 96:6
89:18	publication 44:15	80:8,15 81:6	receive 20:18,19
proficient 15:16	publish 94:15,16	86:22 87:19	70:13,22 79:18,19
15:19 64:8	94:17	quick 45:10	received 96:6
program 11:14	published 29:18	quickly 54:15	recognition 45:18
68:1,2	31:16 44:13 51:21	56:21 74:11	recognize 6:19
programs 84:11	58:20 80:13 87:11	quint 2:12 6:13,13	32:16
progress 17:11	87:18 93:21	65:20 66:4 84:4	recognizing 41:1
22:13 24:5 42:7	publishing 51:17	quite 23:3,7 26:21	recommend 78:16
47:11 54:15	94:1,2	77:10	86:20
progressing 11:20	punted 57:6	quo 55:9 95:9	recommendation
projects 19:17	purpose 49:6	r	86:17
promoting 12:6	pursuant 13:11	r 2:1 5:1	recommendations
proposal 36:12	30:15	raise 20:15	32:12 40:16
74:21	pursuing 49:14	raised 35:18 37:6	recommended
proposals 41:19	put 9:2 48:7 51:12	range 59:21	33:21 35:12 36:7
41:20	53:10 54:3 59:3	rate 23:15 25:2	37:8 38:12 40:6
propose 52:20	61:16,22 79:11	56:7	41:4,19 67:9
proposed 5:18	88:10	rates 22:11 24:1	record 6:21 80:12
7:19,21 8:9 28:7	puts 39:2	57:15,16	97:9 98:5
30:2 31:16 33:15	putting 35:14	read 41:3 44:22	recorded 97:6
33:21 36:6 37:7	q	readiness 23:1	recording 6:20
38:10 40:5,18	qualifications	reading 15:13	97:8 98:4
41:18 43:2 44:1	57:12	16:19 18:3 21:19	reduced 97:6
80:13 84:1	qualified 97:7	30:10	refer 8:18,21
proposing 66:21	quality 17:16	ready 49:17 53:22	15:20 33:8
83:6	22:17 23:20 38:11	65:20 87:12	reference 27:11
proposition 75:3	38:14 39:1,7,8,19	real 45:10 70:7	27:14,19
provide 6:1 11:13	quantify 12:11	realize 61:20	referring 8:3
12:21 25:2 54:5	quasi 91:2	62:14	reflected 32:8
64:1 69:20 72:2	question 34:19	realized 34:17	reflecting 59:12
78:21	35:22 37:1 38:15	really 11:20 12:4	regard 68:10
provided 18:18,19	39:3 40:13 41:16	12:5,7 13:8,18,18	regarding 6:2
24:12 64:18 65:5	47:22 48:13 51:2	13:20 14:5,7,8,13	35:13 49:15 80:13
72:6	55:3,18 59:10	14:19 15:1 18:18	86:21
provides 6:4 17:10	62:9 70:3,19	20:9,17,22 21:4	regardless 36:5
21:4	74:13,18 76:13	21:10,12 22:15	71:10
	81:5,7 82:6 83:5	23:3 27:7,15	
	01.3,7 02.0 03.3	23.3 21.1,13	

[regina - schools]

regina 3:4 29:12	requests 87:13	returning 79:15	rulemaking 6:2,16
29:13	require 18:1 35:12	reuse 82:8	8:17 13:12,14
regional 30:6	36:7,12 37:8 38:2	review 33:6 41:22	28:21 30:16,18,21
register 29:18	required 15:7	76:2,4 77:4,9,14	31:9,19 39:14
31:17 32:7 42:7	30:17 34:4,10	reviewed 44:11	49:4 61:3 81:1
44:12,14	35:4 36:13 37:4	62:4 77:15	82:13,14 84:15
regular 18:13	37:10,11,12 38:19	right 7:15 8:5,22	85:8 88:5,17
42:19	39:3 50:22 51:4	9:9 10:8,14,17,19	rules 7:15 19:13
regularly 45:22	56:15 67:5 82:7	10:21 14:14 15:10	
regulation 35:14	89:19	15:16 24:1,4	S
35:19	requirement 16:2	31:18 32:17 52:1	s 2:1 4:1 5:1 63:3
regulations 13:11	33:22 34:5 35:2	56:22 57:18 59:13	saap 33:7,7 34:2
30:15 41:17 44:5	35:15,21 36:19	61:16 65:19 66:2	saw 71:5
regulations.com.	37:13 38:9 40:18	68:20 74:1 78:4	saying 6:21 68:4
44:4	41:12 42:18 59:17	85:17 87:14 88:17	68:19 71:2 90:11
related 36:10	65:16 70:22 71:10	rights 40:7	says 57:21 68:11
97:11 98:7	83:14 93:22	righty 28:5	70:4
relationship 91:20	requirements 13:3	rigorous 15:4	schedule 43:13
relative 7:18	13:6,11 16:5 18:1	20:18	school 2:22,22
97:13 98:10	23:8 30:4,12,14	ringing 85:3	12:21 18:4,5,10
relevant 76:6	32:14,21 35:13	riveness 1:16 97:2	22:5,10,16,22
reliable 22:7	37:3,4,16 41:6,21	97:18	23:20 24:17 25:1
relying 43:8	42:9 59:2 71:12	robust 67:21	32:10,17 38:4,11
remember 23:19	72:13	role 67:20	38:11,13,19 39:1
44:16 62:8 78:20	requires 13:2 30:3	room 39:6	39:7,8,19 41:2,5
remembering	requiring 30:15	roxanne 55:13	41:14 43:16 50:16
64:5	38:12	rule 5:18 7:19,21	50:21 51:10 53:14
remind 77:17	resources 20:20	8:10,15 13:15	55:5 57:15 58:7,9
reorganization	72:8	28:7 29:17 30:2	58:10,18 61:17
94:4	respond 9:11	31:8,16,17 33:15	64:6 69:4 70:8
report 31:12	46:17,18	33:21,22 34:21	72:12 75:6 76:3
55:22 56:5,9,15	response 46:19	35:12,19 36:5,6,7	80:4,5 81:9,10,14
56:19 58:20 59:16	66:5 96:13	36:14 37:7,8	82:2,16 83:10,13
60:18 61:11 81:2	responses 41:20	38:10,12 40:5,6	84:8,8,18 85:15
93:21 94:1,3,16	64:20	40:18,20 41:4,18	86:1,2 87:15 89:9 90:13 93:16,20
94:18	responsibility	41:19 43:10 44:1	90:13 93:16,20 95:7
reported 1:16	89:15,17 90:12,22	44:13,15 49:6,10	95:7 schools 11:20
15:18 56:19	91:1,5,11,17 92:3	49:11 51:20 62:1	12:18 13:4,6
reporter 6:20 9:5	92:4	62:15 66:21 67:18	17:13,19 20:14
55:15 96:11,12	responsible 26:7	78:16 79:6 80:13	21:6 22:1,4,12
representatives	restart 31:3	80:16,17 83:6	23:11 24:10,20
32:11	rests 13:21	84:2,14 87:11,17	25:3,5,8,9,11,14
request 83:16 87:7	result 13:14 56:9	88:20	25:15,20,21 26:7
	67:10		
			26:16,18,21 27:2

[schools - stakeholders]

20.6 8 0 26.14 22	see 8:16 9:14,18	shelf 77:2	solicitor 2:13 6:14
30:6,8,9 36:14,22 37:11,21 38:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	shifted 94:5	somewhat 38:2
· · ·	10:7,12,19 28:14	shinted 94:3 short 8:11	
55:4 57:22 58:2	29:4,6,12 44:21 44:21 46:20 70:4		sorry 7:7,10 21:21 24:18 33:19 47:10
58:18 60:9 63:22		showing 8:4 shows 79:12	48:1 56:13 57:20
67:7,9,12,22 70:7	72:15 74:21 81:1		
70:9 72:2 73:20	81:3 86:19 87:6	side 31:18	62:22 85:4
74:5,12 78:8	88:17,22	sigman 2:7 4:5	sort 82:1
82:15,22 83:18,19	seeing 55:21 71:4	5:20,21 9:14,19	south 2:16,19
83:19 84:3,3,8	seeking 43:18 seen 42:17 70:6	10:2,7,11,14,17	45:12,15 46:1
85:7,9,9 86:9 87:4		10:21 17:7 28:5	50:6 86:3
87:20 89:17,20	93:17	28:12 56:13 57:1	sovereignty 32:13
91:1,3,6,11,18,21	selected 39:1 54:5	57:4 59:1,8 64:11	36:10 45:19
92:11 93:3 94:14	selecting 52:5	64:15 65:18 66:4	span 18:8,9,9,9,10
94:18	selections 39:17	66:7 68:20 69:17	speak 9:5 45:1
science 15:13 18:7	73:18	71:7,16 72:9	62:19 80:10
22:9 33:11,16	self 36:10 45:18	77:12	speaker 4:3 7:5,8
37:7,9,10,17,17	send 5:9 8:16	signal 20:22	9:20 10:9 17:3,5
37:19 38:1,8,10	56:21	signature 97:17	47:19 48:9 49:22
38:13 57:14 73:18	sending 55:10	significant 16:13	64:9 69:15 85:11
scope 56:17	senior 2:7 5:21	20:4	96:1,9,10
score 20:2	sense 65:12 67:4	similar 32:22	speaking 6:22 7:2
scored 65:1	sent 55:7	34:10 54:7 69:7	16:19
scores 60:7,11,16	separating 85:8	76:7 77:8 86:5	speaks 55:8
81:18,20 82:3,6	september 30:22	single 19:22 20:2	special 5:4
scratch 53:10	31:10 58:19	21:9	specialist 2:17
screen 7:12 8:16	series 13:13	sisseton 2:18 50:5	50:4
8:21 10:3,4,12	serve 5:21 14:22	sites 96:5	specific 21:14
28:1,2 29:14	17:15 20:21 76:6	six 27:15 33:20,20	specifically 36:14
79:11 96:5	served 27:8 30:9	33:20	specifics 78:15
second 43:14 82:5	services 12:17	skills 97:10 98:6	specified 34:20
secondary 11:5	session 8:3,22	slide 24:9,11,13	90:6
22:4,4	79:20 95:21	30:20 33:20 35:9	specify 35:8
secondly 22:1 26:3	sessions 31:9	43:2 55:1 79:12	spell 7:2 47:2 63:1
secretary 13:2,10	set 11:19 15:4	slides 5:18 17:2	spending 60:19
30:3 40:1,21 41:8	16:12 20:9,10	27:15,15 63:12	spent 50:11
63:22 80:5 87:8	26:8 58:8	slightly 19:13	spoken 65:7
89:5 95:3	sets 20:13	slowly 7:1 9:10	spring 60:11
secretary's 32:18	share 9:15,16 10:3	small 16:14 18:17	95:10,11,11
41:14 61:18	10:4 29:9,10	smarter 48:16	stakeholder 33:5
section 13:1,1,7,8	shared 55:13	52:13 53:2,6 60:1	35:11,20 36:4
15:6 30:3,13 33:1	sharing 28:1	73:16	51:14
43:2,5,6,9 81:2,2	54:19 68:6	solicit 44:7	stakeholders
88:11	sheet 93:19	solicitation 52:20	14:21 21:1 32:9
		54:13	34:10 35:13 39:11

[stakeholders - system]

76:9	50.21 60.2 7 0	structure 48:21	summers 76:2
standard 13:21	59:21 60:2,7,8 67:4 69:7 82:8,21	student 8:8 11:6	summers 76:2 summit 46:1
41:6 53:2 80:8	83:4,7,15 86:2	14:18 18:3 22:17	superintendent
87:3	89:14 90:2,7 91:2	38:11,14 39:1,7,9	39:10,22
standardize 84:16	91:21 93:3,4	39:19 64:7,19,21	supplemental
standardized	state's 90:12,21	65:1,3 66:12 69:4	82:22
46:11 86:7	91:12	76:6 94:11	support 6:15
standards 2:8	stated 77:13	students 11:11,21	12:21 24:18 25:3
5:22 6:3 11:3 12:7	statement 20:22	12:9,12,16,19	25:7,8,17 26:1,3
12:8,13,16,20	86:16,18	14:1,8,14,21 15:2	26:11,19 43:4,5,6
13:3,8,16,20,22	statements 14:17	15:4,10 16:10,12	43:11 72:2 89:16
14:2,9,16 15:3,5,8	states 6:6 11:15	16:14 17:11,15,21	supported 12:10
15:9,9,12,14,15	15:17,18,19 19:15	18:11,14,17,18	supposed 28:6
15:20,21,21 16:4	21:20 22:8,15,20	19:4,5,6,9,21 20:4	90:2,12
16:9,12,16,20,21	22:21,22 23:3	20:11,16,18,21	sure 8:18,20 9:2
17:12 19:1,3	25:9 27:5,9 32:22	21:7,16 23:2,2	15:2 17:14 27:5
20:10,18,19 27:6	34:4,11 37:3	24:2,3 25:18,22	28:9 45:10 81:4
27:16,21 30:4,18	38:22 39:16,17	27:2,8 30:9 56:7	96:4
32:15,19,21 33:3	40:18 45:21 46:13	65:5 66:1 68:12	survey 64:17,18
33:9 34:1,15 36:8	51:3 53:19 57:22	72:3 74:7 84:10	64:20 65:6,16
37:17 40:8,16,22	60:5,7,10 64:15	90:19,20	66:11 68:17 69:6
49:8,15 50:12	67:6,12,13,14,16	subgrantees 70:15	69:7,21
51:8,12 52:5,6,12	73:21 74:7 77:15	subgroup 57:15	suspended 95:2
53:17,18,22 54:1	77:21 91:19,20,21	subgroups 25:6	suspicion 64:6,17
61:5 66:22 67:6	91:22 93:7	26:5,6,13,17	68:16
67:14,19 73:1,4	statewide 15:7	subject 36:9 61:6	switch 74:4,6
73:10 75:14 76:5	46:3 69:5	subjects 21:18	switched 73:12
76:10 80:21 82:16	status 25:4 38:4	submission 62:17	89:10
87:9 95:4	42:12 55:9 82:20	submit 44:3 62:4,9	sworn 97:5
standing 93:19	94:14,16 95:9	63:9,9 86:18 96:4	system 9:7 13:16
stands 51:7 68:10	statute 34:6 51:3	submitted 31:13	13:17,18,19,20
start 5:12 7:2 8:7	56:16 58:22 61:16	subscribe 21:20	14:6,12,12 15:1
46:9 50:16 94:2	62:2 94:13,19	substantially	16:6 17:8 18:12
started 5:3 7:14	statutes 40:14,15	23:17	18:15,16 19:7
28:15 46:13	statutory 41:11	succeeds 8:8 11:6	20:8,13,17 21:3
starting 46:12,15	93:22	94:11	21:13,21 22:12
starts 65:10	step 61:19 67:20	success 22:17	23:7,10 24:7,13
state 13:1 16:11	steps 44:10	23:21 38:11,14	27:1,5 30:5,19
23:7 26:10,13	stop 28:1 42:11	39:1,7,9,19	32:14,15,20,22
33:4,8 34:2,4,4,8	strategic 2:15	successful 5:9	34:16 36:20 37:9
34:10,13,20 35:8	street 54:3,14	sue 3:2 28:19,20	40:17 41:1,15
36:2 37:4 38:22	strictly 21:11	sum 19:22	47:14,16 51:9,13
41:6,7 46:5 55:5	strong 45:20	summary 11:3	53:14 54:1 59:18
55:10,10,11 58:1		94:17	61:2,4,18,21 62:1

[system - two]

62:3 64:19 67:1	47:11 48:15 72:7	thirdly 12:14	totally 75:12
73:5 77:19,22	72:19	thomas 2:21 62:21	traditional 19:20
89:16 95:5	test 48:15 81:19	thought 34:7 64:7	training 67:15,21
systems 11:4	85:22	thoughts 5:9	transcriber 98:1
17:19 20:9,14	tested 16:15 19:5	three 13:18 15:17	transcript 98:3,5
21:11 53:5	testifying 97:5	26:2 32:16 35:7	transcriptionist
t	testing 46:11	73:21 74:7	97:7
t 4:1,1	60:13	tight 51:15 52:1	transition 40:20
take 27:20 28:2	tests 19:18,22	time 7:13 11:17,20	transparency
41:1 45:11 50:8	47:12 86:7	26:9 27:19 33:11	12:14 34:9 41:22
56:11 58:10 59:4	text 56:21	39:18 44:2 45:11	42:6
76:18 77:2,9	thank 6:7,18	50:19 52:7 71:15	transparent 14:19
79:10 82:5	10:16,21 17:7	75:2 76:2 77:10	treaty 36:11
taken 97:3,12 98:9	28:3 44:17,18	93:6,8,8 95:18	tribal 1:2 2:18 5:7
takes 14:5	45:4,6,9 46:16	96:7	5:10 8:10 13:13
talk 14:2,16 33:4	47:5,21 50:7 52:2	timeline 26:10	30:7 32:13,17
33:12 86:19 87:16	54:17,19 59:7,9	30:21 51:15 52:1	33:10 35:17,22
talked 18:15	62:7 63:4,17,20	59:14	36:6,9,10,12 37:1
talking 7:15 27:13	64:14 66:19 68:6	timelines 41:20	38:10,13 41:4,7
55:22 64:10 72:11	72:5,8 75:12	59:2 61:9	41:13 45:14,18
75:22 88:5 93:6	78:12,18 79:15	timeliness 41:21	49:2 50:5 61:16
talks 24:9 63:21	96:2,8,9,10	timely 58:20	73:2 74:14 85:9
70:21	thanks 45:7 59:6	times 31:11 76:13	87:15
tamarah 2:10 6:8	71:13	93:18	tribally 84:2
6:9 49:12 50:9,19	thing 18:21 65:8	title 11:13 24:20	tribe 42:3,11
55:16 76:17 78:13	67:2 68:8,10	25:3,9,14,19	50:12,13 62:2,3
78:20	70:20 73:2 76:7	26:20,22,22 70:4	74:22 75:6 83:13
targeted 25:7,8	90:15 92:6	70:6,10,11,13,18	tribes 36:20,22
26:3,11,19	things 53:3,8 54:8	70:22 71:7,9,9,9	42:21 78:6
taught 37:12	54:14 56:3,5,19	71:18	tries 24:13
teach 37:5	57:17 75:21 79:5	titles 70:12	true 97:9 98:5
teacher 57:12	think 24:2,12,13	today 5:7 13:13	trumps 21:5
teachers 32:10	29:8 51:20 52:15	43:14 44:8 60:4	try 7:17 10:4
76:3	53:21 54:12,14	86:14	29:11 64:11 81:12
teaching 17:21	57:12 59:9 65:15	today's 7:12 96:3	82:4
36:22	69:11 72:6,13,14	tony 5:5	trying 29:15 60:20
technical 6:1,5	74:13 76:12 78:15	tool 17:10	86:6 92:15
42:10,22 44:18	79:3 86:8,12 87:4	tools 72:8	tuesday 43:15
76:20 87:14	87:4,12 88:22	top 57:10,17	turn 70:14,14
tell 69:2	90:17 91:8,20	topic 39:5 40:13	turned 9:12
tension 45:20	94:8	40:15 49:4	turning 28:8
term 24:4 89:12	thinking 62:12	topics 36:10,11	two 14:11 15:3,8
terms 14:8 27:21	third 16:2	37:18 46:15	21:18 32:4,14
37:16 38:4 46:2			38:17 39:3 41:17
57.10 30.4 40.2			

[two - yeah]

	1		
41:17 42:2,10	updates 41:22	85:1,5,7,11,16,19	weeks 60:15
44:2 50:11 96:5	use 26:14,15 41:5	87:2,7	weight 23:18
type 80:9 81:12	44:3 50:12 59:17	waivers 41:18	37:20 39:20
86:4	67:5 77:18,22	42:2 73:7 87:13	weighted 38:17
typewriting 97:7	78:1 82:7,12,15	waiving 72:20,21	welcome 5:6 6:11
u	82:18,19 83:3,4	want 5:6,11 7:13	54:20 59:8 72:9
u.s. 24:11 59:3	83:10,11,15	7:17,20 8:1,14,17	went 39:13 63:7
ultimately 12:15	usually 60:12,20	14:2 19:2 21:2	89:11 95:18
14:11 21:4	utah 74:5	24:2 27:11 28:9	wested 2:9 6:1
unable 17:1	utah's 74:1	31:22 39:20 44:19	whatnot 81:7,18
unanswered 41:10	utilizing 81:19	45:11 46:17,17	84:11
unclear 62:13	v	50:17 56:11,12	wide 32:8
undergo 30:18	valid 22:7	57:1 58:8 60:8	williams 2:21
36:3		62:13,18 64:11	62:21 63:3,5,14
	variety 32:9 77:20 78:1	72:19 76:1 77:11	willing 87:16
underperforming		86:20 87:5 89:21	willman 98:2,15
25:6 26:6 understand 45:16	various 17:18 32:6	wanted 7:17 28:19	witness 97:4
48:15 75:4 81:16	vast 29:17	33:2,5,9,11 34:18	wondering 48:5
	vehicle 35:8	41:2 44:21 70:8	work 5:3 10:22
understandable	vendor 60:13 61:8	73:21 74:6 80:7	36:20 42:11,13,13
24:14 95:16	77:7	83:15	45:12 51:11 67:13
understanding	vendors 52:20	wanting 84:13,15	72:2,18 73:1
47:8 55:4 66:9	53:7,12 54:5,10	washington 43:16	84:18 85:22 87:21
85:10 90:2,5	54:10 60:7 75:20	97:20	87:22 89:20 90:7
unfortunately 5:7	77:1	way 9:11 10:5	91:6,11
unidentified 7:5,8	veronica 3:1	14:19 17:12 21:4	worked 69:3 70:7
9:20 10:9 17:3,5	versus 35:20 85:9	21:5 33:17 42:6	working 30:1,1
47:19 48:9 49:22	viable 54:12	48:18 65:1,9	48:17 49:11 50:10
69:15 85:11 96:1	village 2:19 50:6	68:14 70:16 72:15	50:11 75:13 90:3
96:9,10	virtue 15:6	88:4,5	91:19
unified 32:14 61:4	voice 9:7 47:14,16	ways 15:22 44:7	world 27:21 52:22
61:21 62:1,3	86:10 88:9	64:16	world 27.21 52.22 write 9:10
uniform 66:22	W	we've 49:9 56:3	writing 16:20
67:3,11,12,18	w 63:3	60:1 63:8 72:13	78:22
72:1 83:8 87:3	wa 43:16	87:15	written 44:8 86:18
unique 13:5 30:8	wahpeton 2:18	webinar 1:8 43:14	www.regulation
united 45:21	50:5	webpage 88:18	44:6
unmute 9:1,9	wait 74:21 95:20	89:6 93:21	
unmuted 9:7	waive 32:18 41:14	website 8:4 31:18	y
47:16	61:17 62:2 72:13	43:21 61:11 69:20	yeah 10:6 32:1
unmuting 56:14	72:15 73:3,9	80:20,21 94:17	33:20 35:10 45:5
unnecessary	83:14	websites 43:19	47:6 50:18 52:16
42:17	waiver 41:12,12		70:15 71:13,16
unshare 29:7	62:4 83:16 84:2	weekly 31:7 42:16	77:12 78:19 79:8
	02.4 03.10 04.2		82:12 85:12 88:7
		1	

[yeah - yield]

89:4 92:16	
year 18:2 20:1	
50:16,21 51:4,10	
52:7 55:5 58:7,10	
58:19 93:16,19,19	
95:7	
yearly 21:17	
years 26:2 34:12	
42:4 50:11 53:17	
56:2 60:22 81:11	
95:3	
yield 22:7	
yiciu 22.7	