	Page 1
1	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS TRIBAL CONSULTATION
2	
3	NEGOTIATED RULE MAKING CONSULTATION
4	
5	PART 40 - STANDARDS, ASSESSMENTS AND
6	ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEMS
7	
8	Tuesday, July 23, 2019
9	8:30 a.m 5:00 p.m. CT
10	2001 Killebrew Drive
11	Minnesota Room
12	Bloomington, Minnesota 55425
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY:
23	Jonathan Wonnell, RMR
24	Capital Reporting
25	Washington, D.C.

	Page 2
1	CONTENTS
2	ITEM
3	Introduction by Mark Cruz 3
4	PowerPoint presentation by Dr. Deb Sigman6
5	PowerPoint presentation by Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer 20
6	Open forum 26
7	Closing by Travis Clark
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

* * * * * * * * *

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CRUZ: All right. Good morning, everybody. Can you hear me all right? Great.

It is 8:30 and that is the time listed as the start for this consultation so we'll go ahead and get started. Just to start off, I'm Mark Cruz. I'm the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Economic Development. I'm here today on behalf of Secretary Bernhardt and Secretary Tara Sweeney.

This is the fourth meeting on the student standards, accountability and assessment regulation. We started two weeks ago out in Albuquerque and then last week we had staff down in Window Rock and Pine Ridge as well. And so this week we are here in Minnesota and on Friday we'll be doing a teleconference and webinar. And then we'll conclude the series of consultations out in Seattle, Washington, next week.

And so just to kind of put a little bit of context from our perspective with the assistant secretary, this is a long-awaited rule. As many of you know, the statute was passed in December of 2015 and what has happened is BIE has gotten a little behind on implementing the new system. And so

Page 4

whereas many states finished within a year to 18 months, here we are today.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

And so the secretary and the assistant secretary feel an important need to get this rule done sooner rather than later. And so we appreciate the hard work of the negotiating and rule-making committee. They met multiple times over the last year. And so they're the ones that came out with the computer report that was issued in early -- I think we finished in March and they submitted it in April. And so that's kind of the framework for where this proposed reg is coming from.

And so I'll just go quickly around the room and introduce my colleagues from the Interior Department. From the Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs we have Jennifer Castillo. From our associate deputy director office here in Minnesota we have Rose-Marie Davis.

We have my colleague from Washington,

D.C., Travis Clark, senior policy advisor for the

director. Over to my right we have Dr. Jeff Hamley

who will be here to answer any questions. He's our

technocrat expert.

And you'll also hear from Deb Sigman.

She's from WestEd and has been very instrumental in

Page 5

helping the Bureau of Indian Education with this draft. And then we have Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer, our acting chief academic officer, who you will also hear from today.

2.0

2.1

2.2

A couple of things I would like to say at the outset. We will start with the presentation.

They will go through the PowerPoint. And did you guys print that off for them? Okay. Yeah. You should have printouts made available to you.

And then after the presentation if there are any tribal leaders in the room or elected tribal officials, we will go to them first. And then depending on if there are those individuals or not, we'll then go to comment from everyone else here in the public consultation.

Because this is a formal tribal consultation, we have a court reporter in the room. So when you do take the mike, please state your name. I mean every time, not only the first time. State your name and the organization that you're with. And if there's any need for spelling, please enunciate that for the court reporter.

Everything said here today will be made a part of the record and will be sent to Washington for the team to review. And it will be made part of the

final deliberations as this rule proceeds.

And then from the onset it's important to remember all public comments written are due August 9th. So that's coming up. So if you have school board members, principals, tribal officials back in your home communities that would like to opine, please make sure they do so. And the instructions on how to get that to us are on the Federal Register and I'm sure at the end of the presentation here today.

So with that let's go ahead and begin with the presentation. And I think we're starting with Deb. Is that correct?

MS. SIGMAN: Mm-hmm.

MR. CRUZ: Okay. Great, Deb.

MS. SIGMAN: Would it be okay if I stay

here?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Well, good morning. Good morning, all. I am Deb Sigman. I serve as a senior advisor to the Center On Standards and Assessment Implementation which is one of several technical assistance centers that is authorized by the U.S. Department of Education. And I have been providing technical assistance to the BIE as they have navigated the rule-making process and I am delighted to be here.

So my purpose today is to give you kind of

Page 7

a grounding in what we're talking about in terms of the requirements of the law, the statute and so forth. So this is all about ESEA, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, which began in 1965, at its core really an education law, but really a civil rights law as well. And as a result of the ESEA the Title I program began and it currently provides over \$14 million to schools and students and the States.

2.0

2.2

Obviously there have been many iterations because the law is reauthorized routinely. No Child Left Behind, NCLB, had a very long tenure as the reauthorization. It set the expectation that all students needed to learn and improve and progress; not just some groups, but all groups. And then in 2015, in December of 2015 the long-awaited reauthorization of NCLB occurred and it was reauthorized with the Every Student Succeeds Act, also known as ESSA.

However, it really is -- regardless of NCLB, ESSA, the pillars remain. And those pillars really are founded under standards, assessment, accountability, which includes the disaggregation of data and the transparency of that data. And then ultimately you do those things so you can provide the interventions to the schools and the students that

Page 8

need it. That really hasn't changed and that really is about equity and access and promoting excellence in education.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So we know that BIE is not a state, but for these purposes the Section 8204 requires that the Secretary of the Interior have requirements for standards, assessment and accountability and that those systems would apply to BIE-funded schools. But taking into account certain kinds of -- the unique circumstances.

Those requirements have to be consistent with ESSA Section 1111. And they have to be implemented prior -- or pardon me -- pursuant to regulations, which are where we are now.

So this system of standards, assessments and accountability, it's important to note that those standards come first. They guide everything. So the standards about what we expect students to know and to be able to do, those are fundamental. Those come first.

Then you have assessments, right?

Assessments to determine whether or not we are actually making progress with our students in those standards. And then ultimately they feed into an accountability system which maintains accountability

for ourselves, making sure that those students are actually meeting those standards.

2.0

2.1

2.2

As I said, standards are really statements about what we expect our students to know and to be able to do. And why do we have them, right? Well, it really is about making sure that all students are given a rigorous curriculum, that we make sure that all students receive that curriculum, all students are treated equitably and receive that excellent education as required by ESEA.

So what's required under ESSA? This is pretty similar to NCLB. So we're required to adopt challenging statewide content and achievement standards, right? So content is the "what" and achievement standards is the "how good is good enough." So states have to create each of those standards. And at a minimum in the content areas of math, reading and science.

We have to set at least three achievement levels. You could accept more, but three is required. And then you have to make sure that -- and this is new. This was not in NCLB. You have to make sure that these standards are aligned with entrance requirements to credit-bearing courses in the public higher education system as well as consistent with

Page 10

relevant career and technical education standards.

That's the main difference in the standards area

between NCLB and ESSA.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Consistent with NCLB, same standards have to apply to all students, with the exception of you can have an alternate set of standards for those students identified with the most significant cognitive disabilities. You also have to adopt standards for English language proficiency. So that's not an academic content, but rather a standard ensuring that students who are learning English, you have to address speaking, listening, reading and writing standards for language proficiency.

So that's the standards part. Assessment, why do we assess? We really assess because it's our way to collect evidence, if you will. So it's an objective measure to determine are students making progress, are they meeting the standards that we deem important, that we value, and are they meeting those standards across all of our classrooms, across all of our schools, across all of our states.

And if they're high-quality assessments, we want them to help us find those gaps in achievement across our students and our student groups. We want them to help give those schools and

Page 11

our systems information so that they can get better at educating our students. So really it's about improving and informing teaching and learning.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

So these are the requirements for assessments. And these have not changed really much either. Consistent with NCLB, you have to assess annually in math, reading, to every student in grades 3 through 8 and once in high school, so you can determine which grade that will be. And then in addition you have to assess science in the grade spans, so elementary, middle and high.

All students with disabilities, so those students who have IEDs, individualized education requirements, must be assessed. There are no exceptions. There is, however, a -- one could build an alternate assessment and that would be reserved for those students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

The assessments must be aligned. That is the most critical part of assessments, that they must be aligned with the standards that have been adopted. And 95 percent of the students must be assessed.

We also must assess all of our English learners for that language acquisition. Remember I talked about that, language proficiency. So that

Page 12

assessment must be provided annually as well. One must include our English learners in the annual academic assessments, although there are some slight differences in how those students may be counted in the accountability system.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And you have choices about how those assessments are delivered. So they can be delivered in part -- not a hundred percent, but in part -- as projects or portfolios, performance tasks. And you can administer a single test at the end of the year, which I think we're all very accustomed to, or you can give multiple interim assessments that build to a summit of score.

And then that alternate test that I talked about, there is a one-person cap on that in terms of counting the students that would be able to take that.

MR. LADUCER: So that one percent, is that in our schools or is that in the state?

DR. HAMLEY: It's one percent of the students in your school.

MR. LADUCER: Of the grades, but -- well, I'm in high school. So if I have 150 sophomores who are taking three language arts, I have 1 percent of those or 1.5 kid can take an alternative access.

1 That doesn't seem right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. SIGMAN: Well, remember, it's just reserved for those students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. So that's generally what you see, about one percent of the population.

MR. LADUCER: In a given year I might have So is there a waiver for that?

> MS. SIGMAN: So -- I'm looking at counsel.

I would have to look into MS. CASTILLO: that because I have a general idea but I don't want to give you something that's incorrect. But I just want to emphasize that it's specifically for students that do have IEPs and --

No, I agree. But if we MR. LADUCER: have -- some kids are so severe that we take total care of that kid, including the changing, the feeding sometimes. Schools can't -- you know, especially with a large population like ours of SpEd kids, can't be expected to be held to 1 percent.

So let me just add this. MS. SIGMAN: The 1 percent, let's say we are looking at BIE as a Then it's 1 percent of the students in the system.

> See, that's what I asked. MR. LADUCER:

MS. SIGMAN: In the system.

MR. LADUCER: Okay. Got you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DR. HAMLEY: Excuse me. Do you mind stating your name, who you're representing and your title for the record so the court reporter has that accurately, who proposed those questions?

MR. LADUCER: Melvin John Laducer, principal, Turtle Mountain High School, Belcourt, North Dakota.

MR. CLARK: Thanks.

MS. SIGMAN: So the next step after our standards and assessment is that accountability system. Right? So thinking how we hold ourselves accountable for ensuring that our students meet those standards. So a well-designed system will set clear expectations so that all of our stakeholders, our teachers, our students, our parents, know what those expectations are.

It may help us focus resources on all of the student groups that we serve. It really can signal priorities about what BIE thinks is important, what BIE values, what the stakeholders value. And then probably most importantly, it can prompt intervention in those places that look like they need that intervention based on the accountability system.

So what is included in ESSA for

Page 15

accountability? And this is probably the biggest change from NCLB to ESSA. NCLB, as you recall, was a pretty rigid accountability system based entirely on the proficiency of students meeting those standards. But there's quite a bit difference. Under ESSA it's expected that multiple measures will be used.

2.0

2.1

2.2

The first requirement is that for all schools, academic achievement must be measured. So in the area of ELA reading and math, just those two exams, students are measured by the proficiency. So that goes into the accountability system. Okay?

Number two -- I'm sorry. For high schools that can include a measure of growth. For elementary it can include -- pardon me. Other academic indicator for elementary and secondary. So these are not high school. So this would be your elementary and your middle schools. That also can include a measure of growth or another academic indicator.

So, for example, some states in this number two are including their science course. Okay? Science cannot be in number 1. Number 1 is strictly reading and math.

For all high schools a graduation rate has to be included in the accountability system. English language proficiency, do you remember I talked about

Page 16

you have to have English language proficiency standards? And then you have to have the annual assessments for that.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And then number 5 is any additional what's called a school quality or student success indicator. So, for example, lots of states are including a measure of chronic absenteeism. You can have one or you can have more of these. Right? That really is up to the entity to decide what that might look like. So it could also be something like a measure of school climate or school safety.

So here's what -- you take all those indicators and ESSA requires that all those indicators somehow meaningfully differentiate across schools. Okay?

So the system is required -- there are several requirements within that system. So each of the indicators, 1 through 4 -- remember, so that's proficiency on ELA and math, your other academic indicator, graduation rates and your English language proficiency -- those have to be afforded substantial weight.

Now, that is not defined in the statute.

That's why it's in quotes. And in the aggregate, in the total, those combined must be given greater

Meeting

Page 17

July 23, 2019

weight than the indicators in 5. You remember what 5 is? That's the school quality, school success indicator that entities can choose. But that is given less of a prominence than the other four.

2.0

2.1

2.2

You have to set long-term goals on at least the assessments and the graduation rates. You can set long-term goals on more of the elements, but at the very minimum you have to set goals for those two things for all of your student groups.

And then I want to thank the Department of Ed for providing this slide. This gets a little bit complicated, but essentially there are three categories of student improvement. So, again, remember, you're establishing an accountability system so that you can intervene when needed. And so the first comprehensive school improvement is identified by those lowest-performing 5 percent entitlement schools. And remember, based on what your accountability system is. The next would be the lowest graduation rates for your high schools.

And then if you have those schools that don't exit, right, because you give various criteria by which a school could exit this support, then you can apply additional targeted support for those schools. And then then there's called targeted

Page 18

support and that's for those schools, Title I and others, that have consistently underperformed in subgroups.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

And also you can have targets for improvement schools, which would be identified via your comprehensive system support. And then a state or an entity could have additional categories. But comprehensive, targeted and additional targeted support are the required categories.

So again a CSI is based upon the performance of all students and it's the lowest 5 percent of the Title I schools that fail. So performance based on your academic indicators or schools that failed to graduate 67 percent of their students. Those are automatically given a comprehensive support label. And you have to identify these entities or these schools at least once every three years.

Then we go into additional targeted support based on their performance of subgroups. So, remember, this is for schools that don't exit or schools that their subgroups are performing as low as those schools that you've identified in comprehensive school intervention.

So it's the lowest performing, have to be

Page 19

based on the performance of one or more subgroups.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And you can either identify them from all of those

3 schools or consistently underperforming. It's not

4 | limited to Title I. So this is a large category.

And then finally targeted support. And this is, remember, for all schools. And so that's identified where subgroups are underperforming. And schools are responsible for implementing their interventions, right? And schools must be identified annually.

So this isn't likely a category where, say, a state would intervene, but rather schools would be responsible for coming up with a plan and determining how they're going to improve the performance of their students.

So that's it. Standards, assessment, accountability and then ultimately intervention.

There's 23 states in which BIE schools operate. And so that's a lot of territory. The standards, assessment and accountability system really is about -- remember that education excellence and equity. Don't want to lose sight of that.

And I'm not going to go over this, but for your reference there is a chart, there is a group of charts in the back of your presentation booklet that

Dage 20

July 23, 2019

Page 20 1 describes the differences between NCLB and ESSA for 2 anyone who happens to be interested in that. But we don't want to take the time to go over that today. 3 4 So with that I'll turn it back. Okay. Thank you, Deb. 5 MR. CLARK: next presentation we're going to have is going to be 6 7 from Dr. Pfeiffer over the rule-making itself. 8 you want to do it up here? 9 DR. PFEIFFER: Good morning, again. 10 (Speaks in Navajo). I'm coming from the Navajo 11 Nation and I'm very thankful for your presence here 12 today. We're going to go over what the negotiated 13 rule-making committee has recommended and as a 14 preface I would just like to thank a couple of the 15 members. 16 Sue, thank you so much for your work, Sue 17 From this area we have Dr. Rick St. Bement. 18 Germaine, Jennifer McLeod and Leslie Harper. Any of 19 the committee members here? Okay. But I just wanted 2.0 to thank them because they kind of represented this 2.1 area. 2.2 And so I'll go ahead --I have my little 23 cheat sheet, so the first thing is that under

Section 8204 of the Elementary and Secondary

Education Act of 1965, these are some of the

24

25

Page 21

requirements that came out of the rule-making. Such requirements must be consistent with Section 1111 and the requirements are implemented pursuant to the regulations.

2.0

2.1

2.2

It took me a little while, so I'm just going to go ahead and just kind of acknowledge this a little bit too. When they speak about the rule it is the regulation. So that's what we're trying to get input on is the rule, because when it comes out as a regulation that will be what we stand for going forward.

So there were numerous times that the committee met from September 14th, 2017. And as you note on here, you'll see that with the change of administration they also had input as to the development of the committee again. And it reconvened with new committee members and completed all their tasks by June 10th of 2019. And that's what you're going to see today.

The 17 rule-making committees were total.

I mentioned three of them that were here in this area and they came from a variety of backgrounds. That's really important because we need input from school leaders, principals, teachers, college professors, individuals that are engaged in this work. So it was

Page 22

very good that, you know, we had school members and parents as well on this committee.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So the key recommendations -- and there's bigger print in your book. I'll kind of go through this too. First of all, it's to honor tribal sovereignty and education. That's key. It's also looking at a unified system of requirements.

As you saw from Deb's presentation, we currently have 23 state assessments. Here there was a recommendation that there would be one unified system; that it is an alignment of the standards assessment and accountability plan; that there would be ongoing stakeholder engagement with periodic review of total transparency as we move through this; and that standards and assessments and tribal civics would be phased in and science as an academic indicator.

The first proposed rule. Now I'm going to have to sit down because I have to look at my cheat sheets. I just hate to have my back to people.

So when you look at the first proposed rule, you'll see that there is -- the committee recommended that the rule include a requirement for standards, assessment and accountability to assure transparency.

Page 23

Similar to the state plan -- and

Dr. Hamley has been very forthcoming with this. This

was -- the state plan is not a requirement by the

BIE, but the director has determined that that is

something that we want to move forward to.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So our question that we want to pose to you in this is should a plan be -- should such a plan be specific to the rule. Here is stakeholder engagement. Again, the committee recommended that the rule require ongoing and meaningful representation with stakeholders regarding requirements.

Here are the questions we posed to you.

Is stakeholder engagement a requirement beyond

existing tribal consultation necessary? What effects

would such requirements have on BIE's ability to make

changes to requirements when necessary? What form

should that engagement take?

Tribal civics. The committee recommended that the rule require implementation of standards and assessment in a subject to be called tribal civics that would include topics related to tribal sovereignty, self-determination, treaty law, et cetera. How might such a requirement be implemented nationally across BIE-funded schools?

Might such a requirement conflict with curricula work tribes have developed for their communities?

2.0

2.1

2.2

Proposed rule science. The committee recommended that the rule require the incorporation of science in the accountability system. And as you remember, we had ELA, math and language -- and English. ELA math and -- should such a requirement apply nationally to all BIE-funded schools, what might be the effect of such a requirement?

Proposed rule, tribal civics and science as SQSS. The committee recommended the rule require tribal civics be phased in and science as school quality and school success as QSS indicator was a possible later incorporation of tribal civics as an academic achievement indicator at a later date. The question we posed, what might be the effect of such a requirement?

Proposed rule, Native language, American language. Many recommended that the rule include an affirmation of the right to develop and implement academic standards and academic assessments in Native American languages. Does a statement regarding what a tribal governing body or school board -- and that's important to note -- might implement belong in the federal rule governing what must be implemented in

Page 25

BIE-funded schools?

2.0

2.1

2.2

Proposed rule, state requirements, opt-in.

During transition the committee recommended that the rule allow tribal governing school boards the option to continue to use state requirements for standards and assessments where the state agrees and that teaching BSB has notified the secretary of such an intention.

The question we asked, how might this affect the goal of a unified system of requirements agreed upon by the committee? Does this conflict with a statutory waiver and alternative proposal plan process? Proposed rule waivers and alternative proposals.

The committee recommended that the rule include timelines for responses to proposals for alternative requirements to ensure timeliness and transparency in review process, including updates every 30 days. Would such requirements be overly burdensome?

Here is additional proposed section. The following did not get the attention of the rule committee, but we would -- again, it's around the transparency and we'd like your input.

Support and improvement. The proposed

Page 26

rule describes requirements for support and improvement. Do such requirements belong in the rule? How much autonomy should BIE-funded schools have with regards to SI? These were the consultation schedule. Again, we've got a webinar coming up later this week. Here is where you can download the actual slides and information around both the standards and assessment and the rule-making committee.

2.0

2.1

2.2

This is probably the most important slide because we have the need for tribes and stakeholders to give comment as late as August 9th, but we also acknowledge that there are two different ways to make comment. One is through e-mail and one is an online process.

So we would like to now open the floor for comment.

MR. CLARK: Thank you, Dr. Pfeiffer. So with that we moved pretty quickly through those presentations. Thank you, Dr. Pfeiffer. Thank you Deb.

So really now is when the heart of the consultation starts which is getting those statements for the record, getting this vitally critical input that we need to strengthen this rule and make it effective from you, the stakeholders. So with that

Page 27

I'm just going to really briefly talk about a couple logistical things moving forward before we start this open session to take comment.

2.0

2.1

2.2

First, just remind everybody we want to have a conversation with you here today. And it's great. We want to have a good, free-flowing dialogue with you. But going from here, the next step is for the team to be able to do a post-consultation analysis where they look at all of those statements that were provided and do a qualitative analysis, do a substantive analysis of the rule in light of those -- of your input that you provide today and make changes to the proposed rule accordingly.

In order to be able to do that effectively we need to know who made which statements, who they were representing, all of that good stuff. So it is very, very important and it's just been our experience the last four times -- you know, we want to have a question and dialogue session and it's easy to just kind of get into that free-flowing dialogue.

But just to stop you real quickly and remember that we do have a court reporter here and it's very important that every time you speak you provide your name, who you're representing and what your title is so that we have that recorded in the

Page 28

record so that when that team goes back and they're doing that analysis of all of the input that was provided, they're able to effectively figure out, okay, this person was speaking on behalf of a tribal government, this was a parent, this was so and so.

2.0

2.1

2.2

It's just very important to have that provided in the record. So, please, every time you make a statement, I know it will seen redundant, please give us your name, your title and all that good stuff.

In addition, part of that analysis, it is your statements here today. They will get that weight of being put into the analysis. That said, this is a very complex rule. So we are going to -- if you have questions, we are going to do the best we can to provide an accurate answer for you today. However, there are some very complex questions that -- you know, we had one earlier where we didn't want to give an answer right now because we wanted to be able to verify some things before we give false information or inaccurate information.

So for those questions, state your question for the record. We will get you an answer. It just may take a written format later once we're able to come back, verify that information and are a

hundred percent confident that we are giving you an accurate answer.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So with that, just understand we will do our best to answer your questions today. But please be patient if it is a complex question or we're not a hundred percent certain that we can give you a verifiable answer. You'll get a written response with an accurate answer.

And then also it is important -- you're not limited to what you say today for this consultation purposes. Again, these are complex issues. It's a very technocratic rule. So if you want to provide a written comment for the record, you can take that -- you can go that route as well.

So you can prepare a written statement, a written -- you know, get into the all minutiae and the detail that you want and provide that for the record and it will be taken in as part of that post-consultation analysis.

So in order to do that, just remember you can e-mail it to consultation@bie.gov or you can go to the regulations.gov and provide your written comment there. The deadline is August 9th at midnight Eastern Time. So that's the deadline to have those written statements provided for the

Page 30

record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

that?

And so with that I'm going to open it to the floor. First, as Mr. Cruz stated before, we want to open it first to tribally elected officials. So if there are any tribally elected officials in the room we want to welcome you to provide a statement for the record.

Hearing none? Okay.

If there are no tribal officials here today then we'll open the forum to everybody to provide a statement for the record or a question or a comment or any kind of input that you want to provide. So with that we've got a microphone. All you've got to do is raise your hand and we'll come by and get you a microphone and you can make your statement.

MR. LADUCER: For the record, Melvin J.

Laducer, Turtle Mountain Community High School

principal, Belcourt, North Dakota. Explain to us how

you're going to roll out the needs intervention

system, how it's going to be scored and what criteria

schools are going to be determined for tier 1, tier 2

or tier 3.

MR. CLARK: Dr. Hamley, will you take

Page 31

DR. HAMLEY: I'm Jeff Hamley, Associate

Deputy Director, BIE. As Dr. Pfeiffer's presentation

made clear, the committee did not create regulations

to address that. However, that portion of Section 11

of ESSA is well defined in statute. So we will

follow the statutory rules on that. And also the

Department of Education has given a lot of guidance

on how to do that.

2.0

2.2

So it's a prescribed process of choosing the lowest 5 percent -- lowest-performing school,

5 percent. 5 percent of 173 schools is 8.7, I think.

And so nine schools in that category. And then all schools that do not meet high school graduation rate.

So we've compiled that list and I don't know if it's published on our website yet, but it will be. And we'll be communicating.

So we have selected those schools for school year '19/'20 and we'll be notifying them and then providing the supports and interventions. We'll have a discussion with them. But the actual procedures for doing that are fairly well defined in ESSA and we'll be doing presentations to all the schools who are selected about what that process is and also roles and responsibilities.

Because the role is different for the SEA.

Meeting

Page 32

July 23, 2019

It's changed -- it changed from No Child Left Behind 1 2 a bit where more autonomy is given to the schools to make decisions about, you know, what interventions it 3 4 wants to use. We'll be going over that with all the schools. We're running a little late on that. 5 6 School is about to start, but we will communicate 7 with all the schools about that. 8 MR. LADUCER: Dr. Hamley, can you cite in 9 the statute what section that is so I can read it? 10 DR. HAMLEY: Off the top of my head I 11 can't, but I will today get that to you. 12 MR. LADUCER: And then my other question 13 is you're saying 5 percent of our lowest-performing 14 schools in our nation. There's 173 Bureau-operated 15 schools. But each state takes a different 16 assessment. How is it fair that you can say my 17 school may be lower, in that 5 percent, but our 18 assessment that we use is at a much higher level than 19 some of the other schools that are out west? 2.0 Right. Good question. DR. HAMLEY: That 2.1 question has come up before. But that's a question 2.2 that we've had to live with for all of No Child Left 23 Behind. So since 2001 we've had that problem. So 24 the BIE does have -- so in a state as you're pointing 25 out, it's relatively easy. They have the same

assessment and they have the same assessments scores that are comparable. So it's very easy to compile a list.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So in the BIE with the 23-part assessment system -- it's actually not 23 anymore. It's less than that. But, yeah, we've had to develop a methodology to do that. And it's not perfect, but it's the best we could do. And our discussions with the Department of Ed, over the years we've been very transparent about what our methodology is.

But you're right, it's not as easy what the states do, but we've still been forced to come up with the methodology to do it. And that's the one we dealt with.

MR. LADUCER: So can we have copies as schools and tribes of how you come up with that method?

DR. HAMLEY: Yes.

MS. LEADBETTER: Thank you. For the record, Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy principal, White Earth Nation. Just following up on that comment, my concern is isn't the entire point of this moving out of the No Child Left Behind? And if that's true then why wouldn't we look at new methodology for unified assessments in the entire BIE

Page 34

schools nationwide?

2.0

2.2

MR. CLARK: That makes sense.

DR. HAMLEY: Well, the committee did agree in principle to have a unified system. So, I mean, we're talking about, you know, right now, this time frame, while we're still pre-unified system -- that's what you're asking.

MR. LADUCER: Say that again?

DR. HAMLEY: The question you're asking is in context of right now. So we're still operating under No Child Left Behind until we get new regs.

But once the regulations are published, depending on what the regs say, we do intend to move to a unified system. We will have a single assessment.

But those are big ifs. You know, we have to take this one step at a time. But that was what the committee agreed upon in principle, was to have a unified system, one assessment ELA and math and science and all the rest, for all BIE-funded schools, except that in ESSA there is a waiver process if a governing tribe or school board does not want to use that.

But that is the principle that the committee agreed upon, is to have a unified system.

So we will develop a new methodology for the unified

system as soon as it becomes regulation.

2 Shouldn't we -- again, MS. LEADBETTER:

- Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, 3
- 4 superintendent, White Earth Nation. Shouldn't we be
- doing that at the same time if that's the goal, 5
- 6 knowing that we're moving out of No Child Left
- 7 If we are working on regulations now, and
- that's the plan, is to have it unified, why wouldn't 8
- 9 you plan for that now? Why would you wait for the
- req first? 10

1

- 11 DR. HAMLEY: Well, there is discussion --
- 12 this is Jeff Hamley again. Sorry. There is
- 13 discussion going on. I mean, this has been discussed
- 14 very widely for a couple years. So there is
- 15 discussion going on in the Bureau, you know, about
- how this will happen, how it would unfold. But we're 16
- 17 just being cautious, exercising caution about getting
- ahead of the published final rule. So we're erring 18
- 19 on the side of caution.
- 2.0 Okay. Melvin Laducer again, MR. LADUCER:
- 2.1 Turtle Mountain High School principal. You're saying
- 2.2 you continue to work on this and you continue to --
- 23 but the rollout is '19/'20 school year. So what are
- 24 schools going to be accountable for under your
- 25 rollout that's going to start this year?

July 23, 2019

DR. PFEIFFER: Good morning again. So that's been a conversation since the published report of how is this going to affect school year '19/'20. We've had numerous conversations with the Department of Ed and we know that the first -- as you remember the slide, the first recommendation is that you determine your standards and those standards are -- should be transparent with the teachers. They should have engagement with the teachers, professional development with the teachers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

We also are acknowledging that the regulation has to roll out before we can purchase or even go out for a unified system. If that is the determination from our stakeholders as well -- if you look on page 5 that was a recommendation.

MR. LADUCER: Section 1?

DR. PFEIFFER: With the slides.

And you then align your assessment to your standards and then finally the accountability. So to answer your question, we're using the school year '19/'20 as status quo and implementation 2021, given that we need to work on some things this year.

MR. LADUCER: So you're saying that basically -- Melvin Laducer, Turtle Mountain High School. Basically you're saying this school year is

1 going to be a baseline for growth after the 2021 2 school year? Is that what you're telling us? 3 Because for our school all of our 4 standards and assessment which we follow through the State of North Dakota and our standards have been --5 6 we've been working under those continuously for 7 years. Our standards are set according to -- our state's North Dakota so it's North Dakota and it's 8 9 aligned with North Dakota. 10 So the majority of schools -- and I'm not 11 going to speak for the majority of schools -- have 12 standards and assessments that are in place for right 13 now and now the Bureau is saying you're going to wait 14 how long or what's going to go on with those? 15 DR. PFEIFFER: So, again, this school year is a transition school year, '19/'20. We're giving 16 17 status quo currently to the process so that we have 18 time as a Bureau to get the regulation in place. 19 MR. LADUCER: Explain status quo. Just as 2.0 is? 2.1 MR. CRUZ: So from listening to this I 2.2 think we're all saying the same thing here. 2.3 MR. LADUCER: Identify yourself. 2.4 MR. CRUZ: Mark Cruz. Yes, this upcoming

school year, the one that starts next month in

25

July 23, 2019

September, schools in the BIE system will operate under their previous or current, what you just did last year, standards and assessments.

2.0

2.1

2.2

It was the recommendation of the BIE not to try to jam this draft rule that we're working on because, yes, ideally we would like everything to be aligned, but in terms of rollout that wasn't going to be possible before the start of this academic school year.

So we're kind of in this like weird spot where the final draft of this proposed rule that we're currently deliberating will be rolled out toward the end of this calendar year for implementation at the start of the following school year, which is 2021.

So I think if I'm understanding your question, that wouldn't interfere with the standards and assessments you're currently operating under and going into for this current academic year.

MR. LADUCER: Okay. Melvin Laducer again,
Turtle Mountain. So what I'm hearing from you, it
wouldn't affect standards we're using currently for
this school year. So you're saying, what I'm hearing
is, you're requiring all of our tribal-operated
schools to change to some other format of standards

Page 39

and assessments based on the Bureau roll-out, the BIE or the government?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CRUZ: So what will happen, the recommendation of the committee was to do a unified system. So that would be a BIE system for the following school year. Now, that's not final. That's just a proposal right now. And that's why we're doing consultation whether -- to hear if that's a good idea or not a good idea and for what reasons.

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. I love the idea of the unified piece. My concern is if I'm receiving funding from the -- federal funding, but I'm also receiving state funding, how does that piece work?

Because the state has requirements that I have to adhere to and then the BIE has requirements that I have to adhere to, so I report to two bodies. And has that been considered in your discussions?

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. That's actually not a new topic. There are other states, Washington State, that have raised that issue with us. So it depends on what requirements you have with your state. If your requirement is that you take their state assessment, well, there is the waiver process.

July 23, 2019

So this is sort of undefined territory, but we've been in discussion with Washington State, for example, for a couple years on this. So there is a waiver process, but also it's going to involve discussion with the state about whether they would allow acceptance of the new BIE assessment.

2.0

2.1

2.2

It might be the same assessment -- I don't know -- depending on the state. Washington State uses Smarter Balanced. We don't know what assessment we're going to get or we're going to use yet. We have to go out for solicitation on that, probably a solicitation process.

So, yeah, those are unanswered questions. But within the context of the law, there's ways to address those. So we understand that there's going to be more deeper discussion on these topics about how to accommodate schools that are meeting state requirements, but there are options in the law.

MS. LEADBETTER: I so appreciate your response and thank you. But I also think that these things need to be talked about now in preparation so that it doesn't cause undue burden on the schools. My concern is, yes, there's a waiver process but it's cumbersome and it's time consuming. And if we can fix that sooner rather than later and try to do all

- 1 the alignment all at once, it would certainly make
- 2 things a lot easier.
- And if you're already working with
- 4 | Washington State -- correct?
- DR. HAMLEY: Correct.
- 6 MS. LEADBETTER: Okay. Bring in
- 7 | Minnesota. Heck, I'll join. Let's visit. Let's see
- 8 if we can sort it out.
- DR. HAMLEY: Yes. We're willing to come
- 10 out and talk to any school or state. The state
- 11 | itself -- actually Washington state has been at the
- 12 | table too. So yeah, we're willing to come out and
- 13 talk with you, talk this through and see what might
- 14 need to happen.
- MS. LEADBETTER: And I don't just want to
- 16 talk. I do want to see action. Because it does seem
- 17 | like there's a lot of discussion and not always
- 18 | follow-through from my experiences thus far. Thank
- 19 you.
- MR. CLARK: You had your hand raised. Did
- 21 | you have another question?
- MR. LADUCER: Dr. Hamley -- Melvin
- 23 Laducer, Turtle Mountain High School. Dr. Hamley,
- 24 | you talked about the waiver process. The State of
- 25 North Dakota uses AIR for their assessment. And

Page 42

because we receive state funds also, we are required to take assessment AIR through the State of North Dakota.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

So if we're receiving for grant school upgrading and we receive grant school funds in the Bureau and they decide they're going to use another assessment, will that require schools in our state to take two separate assessments?

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. I mean, that would be one solution, but that's not the ideal solution because, as we know, time spent assessing is time taken away from instruction. So that affects student learning. So that's not an ideal solution. And I don't think we would advocate that. We would try and come up with a different solution.

And the previous speaker, I mean, your point is well taken about these discussions should happen now. But we do -- as Dr. Pfeiffer explained, this is a transition year, '19/'20. So we have a year to have these discussions before the new system kicks in in 2021. So we'll have to resolve these issues depending on what the final rule says.

But I think we have options in the law to come up with solutions.

MR. LADUCER: And I agree -- Melvin

Page 43

1 Laducer, again, Turtle Mountain. I agree with Jenna, 2 but you need to come to the State of North Dakota too because I was informed of this meeting last Tuesday 3 4 by our previous ELO to be here Tuesday. So that didn't give a lot of time for the other schools to be 5 6 notified if they even were, or tribes who should have 7 valuable input into this committee decision. And I believe if we're going to do this 8 9 transparently, you need to go out to those tribes in those states and schedule meetings that clearly give 10 11 us time to come together and look at the 12 documentation and develop questions for that. 13 But asking tribes and leaders, education 14 leaders like myself, and give us less than a week notice to be here, that is isn't a fair tribal 15 16 consultation, especially on the ramifications of this 17 and how it's going to impact our school system. 18 MR. CLARK: Sure. So I can address some of that. Regina, when was this published in the 19 2.0 Federal Register notice? What date? Do you remember 2.1 that off the top of your head? 2.2 I think it was in June. MS. GILBERT: 23 MR. CLARK: June 10th? 24 MS. GILBERT: June 10th. 25 MR. CLARK: So the consultation, the

Page 44

scheduled consultations, there was a "dear tribal leader" letter that was prepared and sent out and then the schedule of consultation was submitted into the Federal Register notice on June 10th.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

So, you know, we really did not try to spring this on anybody. We went through the formal process, the standard process of providing timely notice before these consultations started.

So I'm sympathetic. I apologize if you personally did not get that notice until a week ago. Frankly, it puts us in a less than idea situation because we want and we need, you know, the input of you, the stakeholders, the subject matter experts that are working with our students. That's certainly not something that we want.

I would also say you don't have to have everything ready today for a statement if you want that. Again, you have the option of providing that written statement for the record, a written -- if you have suggested language, if there's something in the rule that you want to see changed, you still have ample opportunity to provide a written comment before the August 9th deadline.

So apologies if for whatever reason -- you know, obviously information trickles down sometimes

Page 45

and there is a delay. But from our perspective we did try our best to get timely notification out before the consultation started. Again, it happened occurring on June 10th along with a "dear tribal leader" letter that went out to tribes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. I can speak to that. I actually found out about this through Turtle Mountain. Thank you. And my thought is the comments of transparency and consistency, those words were used quite a bit in the jargon. I'm just wondering how things are communicated.

And perhaps it's a communication issue within my tribe. I don't know. But things aren't being -- aren't trickling down to us that are working on the ground with the kids the way that maybe they should be or the way you're hoping they are. We're finding out through each other rather than our leaders.

And some of that might have to do with turnover and changes in leadership as well, just so you're aware.

MR. CLARK: Sure.

MS. LEADBETTER: I know within my tribe there has been a change, and so maybe that's where

Page 46

that has come from. But know now that I will be watching very diligently and we appreciate your efforts.

MR. CLARK: Very good.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: Speaking -- if you don't mind, I'm going to continue on.

Let's see. Your presentation, Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer, looking at page 4, you were talking about proposed rule, the stakeholder engagement. I do believe that based off of what's been going on now in our discussions that there is a lack of clarity between you and us that are working directly with the children that you represent that stakeholder engagement would be almost mandatory, this pilot 2019/2020 school year. I would say that would be my feedback for that comment.

And then to piggyback off of Turtle

Mountain's commentary about coming and visiting the

tribes, I think that's vital, especially if you turn

to page 6 and 7 where you're talking about Native

languages and you're talking about tribal civics. I

already have programs like this implemented in my

tribally controlled school.

So I would be interested -- I think it would be interesting for you to collaborate with the

Page 47

people that I represent to make sure that there is alignment for not only in our languages, but the way we are viewing civics, leadership and that role within our curricula. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CLARK: Thank you, ma'am. Again, the rest of the day is open forum for any statements for the record, questions, concerns, comments or input. So just raise your hand and Regina will find you. Sir?

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. As we're all aware that their funding

continues to be cut for BIE-funded schools, with such
a proposal and the requirements at the school level,
what is the Federal Government doing about making

sure that these initiatives are funded so that we can
be successful in implementing these before they're

required to do them and then we have to use the

existing dollars that are barely enough to operate

our schools? Can you answer that for me, please?

MR. CRUZ: Has Department of Ed provided

funding for implementation that we're eligible for?

MR. CLARK: Not that I'm -- Dr. Hamley,

are you aware if Department of Ed is providing any

funding?

MR. LADUCER: Could you state your name,

please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. CLARK: Sorry. Travis Clark.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. I'm not sure I understand your question.

MR. LADUCER: My question is it looks like the Federal Government is going to come up with some sort of plan. You're consulting with us now. And it looks like implementation is going to be probably the following school year, 2021.

My question is throughout this school year as a baseline year, the requirements are going to start to come in and we're going to have to make changes to our standards and assessment or look at possibly taking two separate assessments and then coming into a three-tiered system of schools targeted or support, targeted or comprehensive support.

My question again, though, is what is the government going to do to fund these initiatives, or are schools going to be tasked to use the limited amount of dollars they have and the Bureau is going to come in and say, well, you need to do it regardless of your money or your funds?

DR. HAMLEY: Well, the funding remains the same. On the DOI side there's the ISEP and then on the Department of Ed side there is the ESSA and IDEA.

Page 49

So the funding remains the same. For the most part it's all formula except under the ESSA side there are discretionary programs, 21st Century, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

But, I mean, the funding remains the same. So I don't think -- the ESSA does not change that.

MR. CLARK: Travis Clark, BIE. Sorry, I was struggling to -- I think I understand what your question is. I think your question is is this a new program that is essentially an unfunded mandate, and the answer to that is no. It's simply replacing the system that we've already been operating under and there's no unfunded mandate here.

There's no additional costs associated with this because it's not technically a program.

It's just going from one system of standards,

assessment and accountability under No Child Left

Behind to the new standards, assessment

accountability system.

And so there's no unfunded program here.

So I don't think -- sorry. It took me a minute to understand that, but I think that's the answer, that this is not an unfunded program or unfunded mandate that's new and additional to.

MR. CRUZ: Mark Cruz. I appreciate that question because I do think that's going to be one of

Page 50

the issues, that if you receive state and federal money, how you negotiate them. So I'll make sure that our team has a precise answer for that as we continue to draft this rule.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And I also -- just in reading media, I know the department has helped facilitate some of the more technocratic aspects of the devising standards as well as the designing accountability and they have the education resource centers, that the BIE and the Department of Ed have worked together on them providing the BIE some technical assistance. And so we'll continue to look at partnerships with the Department of Ed in that way.

And then our team in house will be the ones devising the accountability system. So that's all in-house work performed by our employees. But if there are other specific questions, please ask and we'll make sure we get answers in the record.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. And I know I'm asking a lot of questions

but I'm going to. Regarding that resource centers,

those sites, Turtle Mountain was supposed to have one

in April. We still don't see any job announcements

on USA Jobs. We still don't have a position in there

and we're going to start the school year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Page 51

So my question is when are we going to get that resource center for our schools? Because if we have to transition and start the new standards and assessments in the previous school year, we should start now. So my question is when are those jobs and that center going to be opened in Turtle Mountain? MR. CLARK: So I can take this. Clark, BIE. Let me get you my business card. I will get you a specific answer. I don't know that off the top of my head. I do know with the litigation everything was frozen. That has now been lifted and we are moving forward with filling those positions I just don't know specifically where in the HR process those Turtle Mountain positions are, but I can find that information out for you and follow up with you. MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer again, Turtle Mountain. They're not even posted on USA Jobs. Where are you finding qualified people to apply? MR. CLARK: I understand. There's some process behind -- so for instance writing out position descriptions, there's some administrative functions that go on before they're actually posted to USA Jobs. So let me find out specifically where in that HR process, that administrative function,

July 23, 2019

they're at right now and then I'll get a specific answer and I'll try to get you an estimated time that they'll be posted on USA Jobs.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. LADUCER: So except for the people who were RIFed and one was moved to Turtle Mountain and then declined, none of those jobs are actually open yet. Is that what you're telling me?

MR. CLARK: I'm not sure what you mean by a RIF. But again, if your question is what is the status of those positions at Turtle Mountain, I'll get you my business card and get you an answer for that, where they're at.

MR. LADUCER: Thank you.

MS. LEADBETTER: Okay. Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. Three different question, unrelated but not -- well, under the single umbrella here. First just clarity on that one percent cap on the beginning. That is for the entire Bureau of Indian Education or for schools? I wasn't clear and I just want to make sure I report back to my leaders correctly.

MS. SIGMAN: So the statute defines it as one percent of the students assessed in that content area for -- now, remember, the statute refers to states. So in the State of Minnesota it would be one

Page 53

percent of the students who are assessed and it
applies to each of the content areas. So it would be
1 percent of those assessed in reading ELA and
1 percent of those assessed in math.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: I'm concerned with that just because in my school district and other tribally controlled school districts that I've spoken to in the last few months, I have a 25 percent or greater population of students with special education needs. I would say within that I'm looking at 10 percent with significant to profound needs. And I'm wondering how that's going to affect that 1 percent cap.

MS. CASTILLO: Hi. I just want to provide some clarity for -- Jennifer Castillo from the solicitor's office. So there is the 1 percent -- and I don't want to use the word "cap" because it's not -- there is some flexibility. You can actually submit a waiver. You just have to justify the reason why you want to sort of increase the 1 percent cap.

And that's all I'm going to say now because I want to do some more research in terms of what that waiver would entail, meaning like what information you would specifically need for the state that you're in. So I don't know how you would submit

July 23, 2019

Page 54 comments in writing. So I can get that and just 1 2 review it. But there is a possibility for you to 3 increase that 1 percent. 4 MR. CLARK: Anybody have another question? Melvin? 5 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer. If you look 6 7 at the register here, Section 30.104(d) and 30.104(e), Number 1, "Consistent with paragraph (b) 8 9 of this section, ensure that for each subject the 10 total number of students assessed in the subject 11 using the alternative assessments does not exceed 12 1 percent of the total number of all students in the 13 BIE-funded school..." 14 It's not the state; it's the schools. So 15 if the BIE have how many students? 16 MR. CLARK: Around 45,000. 17 Then 1 percent of that. MR. LADUCER: The 18 whole Bureau can't exceed 1 percent in the waiver 19 process, not the state or your school. 2.0 I just want to -- this is MS. CASTILLO: 2.1 Jennifer Castillo. I just want to emphasize that 2.2 there is a mechanism for increasing that 1 percent 23 waiver. We're not going to get into discussion on it 24 today just because it's fairly complex and I think 25 this is not the right venue for it. But I just want

Page 55

to emphasize that there is a mechanism for increasing that 1 percent for the assessment of those specific students.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CLARK: So I think this just falls into one of those buckets where it's in the record, we'll get you a specific written response once we have a chance to kind of untangle some of those complex issues involved in it. So -- but you'll get an answer.

Okay. Any other statements, questions, comments?

MS. LEADBETTER: Again, Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. And forgive me. I'm not as prepared as I would have liked to be, but I will be in the near future. So if this is something that's covered, just direct me to that page and the question will be answered.

We were talking about the -- I think you mentioned indicator 5 in the climate surveys or the attendance and what schools can select for themselves. I hesitate whenever a school is selecting a survey. What survey? And how is it valid? And then how is that data being unified across the BIE of course submitted to the Federal Government?

Page 56

MS. SIGMAN: So the statute identifies the 1 2 state would select that other indicator. And the BIE is not a state. But if you have a unified system 3 4 then presumably BIE would select that other indicator. Right? So there would be some 5 accountability across all of the schools. 6 7 MS. LEADBETTER: I appreciate that. 8 data woman, so I want to make sure there's 9 accountability. 10 Absolutely. MS. SIGMAN: 11 MS. LADUCER: Thank you. I have a 12 comment. Tammy Laducer, Turtle Mountain Elementary 13 School assistant principal. I just have a comment. 14 We're looking at a unified system through the Bureau 15 but yet we are allowed to do a waiver for this, a 16 waiver for that. 17 So how is that going to be different from 18 what we do now? Because basically what we do now as 19 a Bureau school is we apply for these waivers and we 2.0 do what the state is requiring of us. So how is this 2.1 new system going to be any different than what we're 2.2 doing now? 23 MR. CLARK: So generally right now the 24 system that we have is 23 different state 25 The schools do the assessments in the assessments.

Page 57

state in which they're located. Presently there are two tribes that have sought after and were granted a waiver and that's Navajo and Miccosukee. So they do not take the state assessments in which they're located.

2.0

2.1

2.2

I think what we're describing here is just going away from 23 different assessments, having one BIE assessment, with the opportunity to do what Navajo and Miccosukee have done. And if a tribe wants to have their own assessment, if they don't like the BIE system, then they can still have that opportunity to get a waiver and establish that system that they want, such as Navajo and Miccosukee.

So it wouldn't technically be one. Right?
But instead of having 23, you know, maybe we're down
to one BIE assessment and then there's three or four
that have sought after and obtained a waiver and they
have their own assessments.

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal.

Okay. So what I'm hearing is the waiver is not to bypass the BIE assessment in lieu of the state assessment, but is just to create an assessment of our own?

So we would be ultimately doing two

Page 58

assessments then if we're getting state funding and federal funding. We would be doing the BIE-required assessment plus the state assessment. And you said there are conversations that are going on about that, but that is really something that needs, needs, to be worked out before this becomes the regulation.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. CLARK: Yes, ma'am. I think that's -- again, Travis Clark, BIE. That's what Dr. Hamley was speaking to earlier, was if there are those schools that are having to do state assessments, having that conversation in this next year about how we work that out.

Like we said with Oregon, Oregon is at the table with them. Or Washington. I misspoke. And talking about those sorts of transitional issues, that this year is the opportune year to be able to have those conversations.

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. With

all due respect, conversations are not action, as

Jenna said. And having those conversations is not

going to be enough if this goes into regulation.

Because then we're going to be bound by it.

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, superintendent, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth

Page 59

Nation. We're just getting to know each other in a very short amount of time here. It's good to bring a lot of laughter into this.

2.0

2.1

2.2

How does Minnesota get to that table?

MR. LADUCER: And North Dakota.

MS. LEADBETTER: And North Dakota. How do we get to the table? When you're having those discussions with Washington State, how does Minnesota state?

DR. HAMLEY: Well, the way Washington

State did it was they e-mailed -- well, they e-mailed me actually. But you could -- the issue of technical assistance begins with a formal request to the director, but to any one of us. So it's just an e-mail. But, I mean, I guess this is considered a request. So you don't have to e-mail. We've got your request. You want -- Minnesota and North Dakota want technical assistance, so we will respond.

And I agree about the comments about, you know, discussion. But it has to begin -- I mean, discussion is not enough was the statement, I think, but it has to begin somewhere. So we've had discussions, for example, with Washington State. And it has progressed and we're going to meet with them again next week. It has progressed. The issues are

complicated but we are moving closer to something.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And then also -- I'll go back to the two waivers that were approved by a joint committee of the Department of Interior and Department of Education and that was with Miccosukee Indian Tribe of Florida and Navajo Nation. It began with a discussion.

So it has to begin someplace. So I think now we've set a context. But we have less than a year to have these serious discussions. So, you know, it will have to begin and then move forward quickly.

MS. LEADBETTER: Dr. Hamley, thank you so much for that comment. I know that less than a year is not a lot of time to complete any sort of statistical analysis, let alone the qualitative pieces that you're going to have in a discussion.

What you're hearing from us on the ground is that we're worried. We're worried that this isn't enough time, especially if you're just visiting with one state, Washington State, who, might I add, has significantly difficult political affiliations and support, and that of North Dakota, and even that of Minnesota. And so I worry about the political piece that might influence this as well.

July 23, 2019

And you're hearing our worry. That's what you're hearing. Thank you, sir.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CRUZ: Mark Cruz, as I'm listening to the questions, I was just kind of reviewing, because I understand that this is transitional and we go from the 23-state system to an opt-out system. So you go to one and then opt out with these waivers.

And so if you turn to page -- this is the notice, the Federal Register notice. On page 26787 under Section 2, general description of the proposed rule, the first column on the lower left-hand side, I was just reviewing this language. And I'll have our staff unpack this a little more.

But it says that "The secretary is mandated by Section 8204 of ESEA to establish requirements for standards, assessments, and accountability for BIA-funded schools consistent with ESEA Section 1111 as amended on a national, regional or tribal basis as appropriate, taking into account the unique circumstances and needs of BIE-funded schools and the students they serve by such schools."

And so the word that kind of jumps out at me is the word "regional" and I'm wondering if schools in a region can kind of create a consortium of some sort, kind of like Navajo does. Because the

Page 62

whole theory behind ESSA was to provide more

flexibility at the local level. And so I'm wondering

if this is an opportunity for tribes in regional

areas to exercise that newfound flexibility.

MR. CLARK: And just to highlight, you

know, as Dr. Hamley said, this is a proposed rule.

We don't want to get out ahead of the regulation.

And that's because of this forum right here. If what

Mr. Cruz described is something that you think is

more appealing, again, August 9. This is your

opportunity to look at this, this proposed rule, and

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, superintendent, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. Mr. Cruz, I do appreciate that commentary. I cannot speak for the tribe, though. That needs to go to our tribal leaders. And I would feel it would be well received by them, just knowing the leaders that I represent today.

then voice what your preferences are.

So I don't know who would make that connection, the committee that is sitting in the inner circle, but I know that from my standpoint it would be greatly appreciated so that I can do my job to serve the kids on our reservation.

Another piece that I'm looking at the

- 1 | language here now that I'm having a little bit more
- 2 | time, it just says "BIE-funded schools." I'm
- 3 | wondering if there should be some sort of delineation
- 4 between that and tribally controlled schools, because
- 5 | I feel like there are some strong differences when
- 6 it's a tribally controlled BIE school.
- 7 | Something to consider. Just food for
- 8 thought. Thank you.
- 9 MR. CRUZ: Thank you.
- MR. CLARK: Okay. We're about an hour and
- 11 | a half of into it, so if it's okay with everybody, we
- 12 have a scheduled break. So we can take a ten-minute
- 13 break and then reconvene and continue with comments.
- 14 | So we'll reconvene at five after.
- 15 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 9:56
- 16 | a.m to 10:10 a.m.)
- MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. It's ten
- 18 after, so let's come back together. Okay. We're
- 19 going to open the record back up again. And, again,
- 20 we're here for the rest of the day for comments,
- 21 | input, questions. So if you have a comment,
- 22 anything, just raise your hand, we'll get a mike to
- 23 | you.
- 24 MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle
- 25 | Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. I

Meeting

Page 64

July 23, 2019

want to speak to the section that talks about technical assistance. And we touched on this a little bit earlier, about our ERC in the Turtle Mountains.

2.0

2.1

2.2

We haven't had one in a number of years and to say that we're going to have that technical assistance, we haven't had it in the past. The people that do come up and visit with us or offer assistance come from Albuquerque, Phoenix, wherever.

The positions for the ERC have been advertised. They were advertised this spring. The people who applied in our area, none of them were qualified so the position was readvertised. The specialist positions, we're hearing now that the people that applied for those are getting e-mails saying that they weren't qualified.

So the prospect of this office opening anytime soon is dismal at best and not having that office has detrimentally affected our ability to provide what we need to provide for our students in regards to the requirements that BIE has of us.

So if anybody can move that process along, that would be great. And looking forward to -- you know, looking forward with this new rule and somebody is supposed to be providing us that assistance, that

1 has to be addressed also.

MR. CLARK: Yes, ma'am. Okay. Any other

3 | comments, questions?

4 MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter,

5 | superintendent, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth

6 | Nation. And this just may be how I operate, but I do

like having definitions. Technical assistance, is

there is a definition for what that entails for us as

9 | school administrators?

glossary of definitions.

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

25

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Well, it's in the the ESSA. And I'm just trying to see if it's in the

MR. CRUZ: I don't see it.

DR. HAMLEY: Okay. But it's in the ESSA that the Bureau will provide technical assistance either directly or through a consultant, I think, or a contractor to tribes that request it. So it's just kind of general, but it's been operationally defined working with Navajo and Miccosukee as to -- so we

20 know the shape and form that it takes and how it

moves forward.

So we have a context of how that would work. But, yeah, it's not defined specifically in

24 the law. It's just sort of general.

MS. LEADBETTER: Is it possible to give

Meeting

Page 66

July 23, 2019

that shape and form so we kind of know -- I'm so new to this. Knowing what kind of assistance and who I would go to for that would be so beneficial for those lines of open, honest and transparent communication. DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Yes. We'll request the director to make a clear statement to everybody about the point of contact for technical assistance on the waiver and, you know, how to proceed on that. MS. LEADBETTER: Okay. Thank you, sir. MR. CLARK: Thank you. Okay. More statements, comments, input? Or questions. Just whenever, if anybody does have a statement or I know everybody is kind of reviewing materials and maybe you just don't have one now. worries. Just raise your hand whenever you're ready and we'll get a mike to you. MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle Mountain High School principal. The tribal civics section says, "The BIE-funded schools accountability system as a School Quality Success Indicator."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The civics, is that going to be -- and I know in the State of North Dakota you have to take a civics test to graduate. It's a requirement beyond. So in the proposed ruling, is a tribal civics going to be a requirement for graduation?

Page 67

DR. HAMLEY: No, not for graduation. Our graduation requirements are outlined in a separate 25 CFR. But so not -- Jeff Hamley. Sorry. Not graduation specifically, but it will be required. Although the committee left this somewhat undefined, it will be required of all schools.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

And the committee didn't state all students, so it's unclear at this point whether it be K-12, but it would be required of all schools. But not specifically a graduation requirement.

And so the option -- if it's adopted, the option would be -- and the committee also asked that it be phased in because they recognize that a curriculum does not exist. Standards and assessments do not exist at this point. So it would take a period of years to be developed and then phased in, but then would be required of all schools.

However, it is subject to a waiver. So if a school did not want to do that, then they would request a waiver of that. So different -- at the various consultations, we've gotten feedback about that and you can read the record on that.

But that was what the committee had done at this point. It made the requirements, not a graduation requirement, but it's somewhat undefined

Page 68

as to who it would apply to.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: Maybe I should just move next to you. Jenna Leadbetter, superintendent, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. Within my tribally controlled school, Circle of Life Academy, we've done the civics piece a couple different ways. I'm really excited about this, but I'm also concerned about how it can be rolled out.

You used the term "undefined." I like defined. Defined gives me direction. So moving towards that way, just FYI, Dr. Hamley, I would love to hear that definition.

But we have it within our civics graduation requirement. We've done it that way. We've moved to separate the two so that one had more cultural relevance. It has a cultural component to our school. This upcoming year we're trying it a different way.

The fact that we've done it a couple different ways I think speaks to that undefined piece that needs definition if we're going to include this in a regulation. Just my two cents. And if you have any comments or feedback or suggestions, we're growing too.

MR. CLARK: Thank you. Okay, comments,

questions, input?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. BEMENT: Sue Bement, DFO for this committee, BIE. The reason for that, for the looseness of the tribal civics, was because of all of the tribes and all of the tribes are so different. There was much discussion around how can we have something that is -- you're going to teach about your tribal leaders, about treaties that affected your tribes, about the wars or the chiefs or the important things of your tribe. And it just became something that could not be controlled, in a way.

And Jennifer McLeod -- and Jennifer is from Sault Ste. Marie. This was kind of her brainchild. So we all thought it was a wonderful idea, it's a good thing to have, but really how do you do that when we're all so different. Just a comment.

MR. CLARK: Thank you.

MS. HOPKINS: Thank you. My name is

Shannon Hopkins. I work for the Fort Peck Tribes

Education Department. And I actually am a product of
a four-year school. I graduated from Lockton

Community Boarding School. And I would have
graduated and I was planning to if my grandmother

didn't make me come home and graduate from a public

school my senior year.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So with that being said -- granted it was 30 years ago -- I never got any of this history or none of this. I got the mainstream education going to a boarding school and that was the purpose of why I went to boarding school to go to school and not be used and to hear more about myself. Instead I heard more about myself from my grandmother, my elders powwowing.

So that's the reason why I'm so vested in my tribe and I really speak and try to push for it because I believe in it because I'm a product of it.

But it's really hard to push that component when the public schools -- and recently in the state of Montana they're allowed to have class language instructor come in to teach their language. That was never taught to me at boarding school.

Nobody wants to speak or -- well, anymore anyway. And I can say this because both my parents went to boarding schools. They were beat for talking their language. I am a Dakota speaker and my mom is a fluent Dakota speaker. I knew neither. I had to pay for it at my local tribal college. And so that needs to be brought up.

You need to realize that cycle is still

Page 71

repeating itself because of what started with the BIE when you wanted to kill the Indians' native language. So you need to think about these kids. These kids are still living that trauma. And I don't see -- I mean, it's put on paper, words to paper, but I'm with her. I want to see action.

MR. CLARK: Additional comments, questions, statements for the record?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: Excuse me, Ms. -- thank you so much for your comments. Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, superintendent, White Earth Nation. I'm sitting here and I just feel your passion. And that's the passion that I serve every day for every child that comes to my school.

And that's exactly why I'm asking the questions when it comes to any of the tribe-specific portion of the framework that's proposed in front of us today, is making sure that the tribe I represent and their personal interests are being recognized and listened to.

Because our language is not going to be the same as the language of the other tribes that BIE represents. Our tribal politics are certainly not going to be the same. So how are those going to be incorporated into that beautiful brainchild. Because

Page 72

I love that it's there. It's just the how. How is it going to be done.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And without that how being answered, that's what makes me as a school administrative side, the more westernized portion, asking, okay, what do I do, what are the steps, and then how do I combine that with the fluidity of the culture that I represent as well. So thank you, ma'am.

MS. BEMENT: Sue Bement, BIE. Regina just brought to my attention some portions of the book.

So if you look under Tab 4, page 8, there is a section called "Creating a Unified System." Refer to that. And then on page 10, "Tribal Civics As a Challenging Academic Standard." Please review those sections. Thank you.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, principal,
Turtle Mountain High School, Belcourt, North Dakota.
In page, the Federal Register, 26787, Section 2,
general description of proposed ruling, it said
"Require that the secretary to provide technical
assistance to tribal governing bodies or school
boards in the development and proposal of alternative
requirements and to respond to such requests in a
timely manner."

At this time I'm asking for technical

July 23, 2019

assistance and I'm asking that a date and a time be given to us that technical assistance is going to be provided up to Turtle Mountain because we do not have an ERC center. So when can I get a response to that request?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CLARK: To clarify your question -- sorry. Travis Clark, BIE -- are you referring to -- it sounds like you're referring to the waiver section.

MR. LADUCER: It's on page 267 -- Melvin Laducer again, Turtle Mountain. Under page 26787, under Section 2, general description of proposed rules, on the second column, down toward the bottom, the second bullet towards the last portion, requiring the secretary to provide technical assistance tribal governing schools.

At this time because Turtle Mountain does not have an ERC, I am requesting for our tribe and the schools within our tribe technical assistance to begin immediately.

MR. CLARK: So if you need technical assistance today, not specific to an accountability waiver, that would be -- Rose-Marie Davis is your ADD. You can directly e-mail her and she will be able to coordinate whatever technical assistance that

you need. Or alternatively, again I will give you my business card at the end of the day and I will make sure that you get whatever assistance that you need for whatever particular issue that you're needing assistance with.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. LADUCER: I was monitored in school year '17 we did a fiscal monitoring by the BIE out of Albuquerque. One of the requests in writing that I placed was technical assistance. And, Dr. Hamley, this is directed to you, because you know very well that I have called you personally and asked when are we going to get this technical assistance.

As grant schools we normally had grant training, contract conditions, our grant condition training. The last one was held in 2008. I gave a person from Albuquerque my packet of the last grant conditions training for grant schools.

So my question is, again, I'm still waiting for that technical assistance from that visit. How long am I going to have to wait for this?

MR. CLARK: Again, what we can do, I know that you're waiting for those positions to be filled in your ERC. I will give you my business card. I'll get you an update on where that is at in the process of getting those filled. So that's the permanent

solution, having your ERC there on site.

2.0

2.1

2.2

In the interim, if there are specific needs that you need technical assistance with, I work in the director's office. I will give you my business card and we can talk about a plan where we can get with Rose-Marie Davis and her staff and figure out what things that you need and try to build you out a technical assistance plan in the interim.

MS. LEADBETTER: Hello. Jenna Leadbetter, superintendent, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation. Can I also piggyback off of that? There's been so much change in many of the grant schools that I've spoken with in leadership and then how the communicative pieces with those above us.

So, yes, I'll take your card and I will happily meet, Zoom, Skype, Face Time, whatever, to make it happen. I'm with you on getting that additional support. So thank you.

DR. PFEIFFER: We're having a sidebar conversation here, but we just wanted to clarify. When there's technical assistance terminology inside the Federal Register, it really is speaking to the waiver process. And we want to address -- there are two concurrent conversations going on around technical assistance, but the technical assistance in

Page 76

1 | the Federal Register is around the waiver. 26787.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Comments, questions, statements for the record? Raise your hand at any moment when you're ready and we'll get a mike to you.

MR. FOX: Everall Fox, superintendent, Flandreau Indian School. The first name is spelled E-v-e-r-a-l-l.

Not so much a question, more of a comment, is I had a sidebar discussion during the break about the ERCs. A concern I've had, and it's been shared numerous times, is that I think there are a bunch of moving pieces that need to take place. Sort of the end result with these expectations is they need to be met, but we don't quite have all the materials in place. So we're expected to build this house at the end, but we don't have the materials we need to build it with.

For my example, we have an ERC, but it's in Phoenix, Arizona, and it's understaffed. It's really hard to get -- and I understand the difference between the technical assistance or the negotiated rule-making here and just running technical assistance needed for the work that we do on a daily basis at the school level.

Page 77

I also heard discussion about working with states. We actually probably lean more on the state of South Dakota for technical assistance, even though we're not funded by them in Title I or in some of the other programs, because we've developed relationships with some of the staff members that work in the offices and they always answer our questions, because the law is basically the same, Title I and some of the other programs.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And my comment is just an encouragement and hope that ERCs are moved forward and established so we can meet these goals or can be successful in the discussion being held today. Thanks.

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, superintendent, White Earth Nation. A passion of mine is languages, so the Native American language piece is sticking. It keeps coming up. What have you thought of for academic assessments of Native American languages? Have you considered what assessment tools? That seems like a challenge.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley, BIE. That's a long story. Well, that was explored in the committee. But, I mean, that would be a possible waiver by a governing tribe or school board, that

Page 78

1 | they implement some aspect of tribal assessments.

2 | But that's -- I mean, Section 1111 makes clear that

3 | for ELA and math the assessments, you know, are in

4 English.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Get up here if I get in trouble. But I guess to cut to the chase, the major issue is if a governing tribe or school board wants to use Native language assessments for some aspect of accountability, it would have to go through a peer review. And many tribes have developed standards, you know, for Native language, for their Native language.

The languages aren't necessarily written.

And so it would have to be an oral language

assessment. And I think what we're hearing from the

Department of Ed is that, you know, that's never been

done and would be difficult to get through a peer

review.

So that sort of removes that issue from the accountability, moving Native language into the accountability box. But what the committee did do on this is that they made an affirmation that they encourage the use of Native language in the schools but they only went that far.

But then it was also pointed out that,

Page 79

well, there's already the Native American Language
Act which is up for reauthorization now, I believe.
So the question was raised is it really necessary to
make even an affirmation in the federal regulations
when there's already a statute for that, there's
already a law, a full law, on that. So was it
necessary.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

But for right now it's in there. There's an affirmation statement that the Bureau, the government, encourages schools to use Native language in the school. But that's as far as the committee went.

So, yeah. Creating assessments is a challenge. In the context of peer review, that level, that standard, that is a challenge. But many of the schools -- and the Bureau has actually supported this activity for several years when we had funding, was to help schools develop standards and assessments. So you can have assessments for the purposes of teaching and learning in the classroom, but to move it to the level of where it's going to go to peer review, that's another issue.

So I think the work on preserving languages in the context of the school, having written standards and also have been oral language

Page 80

assessments is a great activity and the Bureau supports that. But moving into accountability is a completely different issue.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

MS. LEADBETTER: Thank you for your comments. It's definitely such an important piece for the students' identity and something that they are seeking within the schools where encouragement is not enough. I don't know if it needs to to be incentivized so that we're seeing more buy-in by schools to adopt initiatives to move the language to the forefront, especially when we're looking at BIE-funded schools.

And I would even say that the sidebar of the tribally controlled schools, I know that that is a high point of interest, is bringing back the language of the people. So I'd be very interested to see how this grows over the year.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. We already have an ISEP for language. So I believe the schools have the option for how to use those funds within that context, so there is some leeway. So there already is an ISEP on that, to provide funding for that.

DR. PFEIFFER: And I would just add just recently in the last three months, Native language

Page 81

grants have gone out to schools who have applied for it. So that is another way to incentivize it and it was developed to be at the discretion of the schools and the tribes.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: I'm almost wondering if that can be tied into doing some of that research piece for building the assessment. Because what you're telling me is we're doing an oral language peer review. Right? I mean, it's a study. So I'm wondering if we're incentivizing it at the school levels. And that's where we're building our language because we're bringing back some languages and that's where we're fostering those speakers.

DR. HAMLEY: Well, Jeff Hamley again.

Maybe I misspoke. Now, we're not doing a Native language peer review. I mean, we've done work several years ago in that area.

But, I mean, I'll just be frank. I think what that exercise showed is that it highlighted the challenges of developing our language assessments.

And so some progress was made by some tribes, but not to the level of accountability that it's going to go through the Department of Education peer review.

So we are definitely not exploring that anymore. But like I said, that's a possible waiver,

Page 82

but I guess governing tribes and school boards are 1 2 going to have to decide where they want to put their energy. And if something, you know, is -- I guess 3 4 the other point I wanted to make is that everything that we're discussing here is -- the terminology in 5 the law is "consistent with 1111." 6 7 So 1111, Section 1111 is the context of this. 8 Those are the statutory requirements we're 9 trying to meet. So some of the things in the 10 committee like language fell outside 1111. And there 11 were several other topics that fell outside of 1111. 12 So that was discussed. It took committee time. 13 in the end we're always back to the same point. It's 14 we're trying to achieve regulations consistent with 15 1111. 16 So I think that the language thing, you 17 know, parts of that fall out of the requirements of 18 1111. 19 MS. LEADBETTER: Thank you. 2.0 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle 2.1 Mountain High School. I'm just asking where 2.2 Section 1111 is so I could read it. 2.3 MR. CLARK: It's under Tab 5. 24 MR. LADUCER: Thank you.

MR. CLARK: Okay. Questions, comments,

25

Page 83

statements? Just shoot your hand up. And, again, I
know people are reviewing materials. Again, whenever
you're ready, just shoot your hand up.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. I

had a question about implementing the targeted

support and improvement.

Now, schools that are identified as being low performing are going to be required to submit a plan. Is that going to be separate from the plan that we already submit through the Indistar NativeStar platform or is that going to be part of that system?

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Good question.

I believe that it's going to be similar but different because it will have to meet the Section 1111 requirements. But we'll provide guidance on that.

And, yeah, it could be submitted through NativeStar, but NativeStar is -- I mean, it doesn't have to be submitted through NativeStar. We just have to -- that's just the easier way to do it. But we'll be providing guidance shortly on that about what shape and form the final will take.

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. Is

Tammy Laducer, Turtle

MS. LADUCER:

Page 84

there additional Title I funding that's going to be given for those?

2.0

2.1

2.2

DR. HAMLEY: Yes. Yes. This is 1003(a), 1003(g). State funding has been phased out in ESSA, although we still have some of those funds as carryover. But, yeah, it's a brand-new pot of money. 1003(a). Yeah. So there will be significant funding coming for those lowest-performing schools.

MS. LADUCER: Okay. Tammy Laducer, Turtle Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. Are we going to have to apply for those funds or will those be part of the process of identifying those underperforming schools.

DR. HAMLEY: It's part of the process, but you will have to submit a plan still. That's a statutory requirement that each school will have to submit a plan outlining how they're going to use those funds.

MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, Circle of Life Academy, White Earth Nation, superintendent. Coming off of the sixth school -- well, actually, technically, fourth, fifth year -- what are the checks and balances in place following the submission of the plan to ensure that the school is able to fiscally carry out any of those improvements long

Page 85

term?

2.0

2.1

2.2

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. You mean fiscally capable? Well, it's assumed that the school will be fiscally capable of administering its funds. So if there's an issue there -- okay. So we should work with the school directly then on that. Okay?

I mean, I hate to raise the term, but, I mean, technically if a school, any school, for any reason, can't fiscally manage its funds and they run into trouble, then it runs into sanctions. But we want to avoid that. So we'll work with you.

I mean, if a school is having a particular issue or problems with that, we'll work closely with you on that. Because we're still required by statute to provided the funds to those lowest-performing schools, so those will be significant funds and it's expected that the school will manage those funds.

MS. LEADBETTER: I guess where my question is coming from is, well, personal experiences on the ground, coming into a school that needed -- we'll call it fixing, fixing a budget deficit, and proceeding sick for numerous years and overexpenditures with no checks and balances from BIE.

So that funding was being provided and

Page 86

things were being overspent at the school level, but no one caught it for years. So I'm wondering if you're going to be doing improvement processes in the future, what measures are in place to monitor the use of those funds?

2.0

2.1

2.2

DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Jeff Hamley again.

Well, generally the process for checks and

balances -- I'm understanding what you're asking now

better -- are, one, for tribally controlled schools

the process is that there's an A-133 audit. But

that's still aid, you know?

So when you file your A-133 audit on June 30th, it's for the prior year. So we're learning late that there's maybe audit issues. And it should show up in the audit. If it doesn't show up in the audit then that's another issue.

But another way to do it is through the monitoring process. So that's if a school is -receives a monitoring visit separately than the A-133
audit, those issues would be highlighted. And then,
again, I think technical assistance is appropriate
for the Bureau to try and help the school.

But all you have to do is request the technical assistance at any time, you know. I mean, it doesn't have to come from an audit or a monitoring

Page 87

1 | visit. And then -- but there's a line there where,

2 you know, it still is a tribally controlled school.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

We're not -- the Bureau is not directly responsible for administering the school.

So we can provide advice, but we're not in the role -- we're not in the driver's seat in terms of control of the school's funds and things like that. But, I mean, in our monitoring -- I mean, I'll just have to say one of the things that -- and I don't know all the monitoring processes, but I get feedback from staff.

One of the things that we encounter often is that a school is frankly glad to see that we're there. They say we desperately have questions about special ed or use of funds and thank you for -- you know, and so our staff clarified there.

And I think it's been a highlighted a little bit in these discussions. But always the follow-up, technical assistance, isn't timely. So they don't need just technical assistance during that week; they need it for the next year. And sometimes the Bureau, you know, is not providing that timely technical assistance.

So that's a challenge for the Bureau. And it's not just the ADD tribally controlled out of

Page 88

Bloomington; it's the entire Bureau that should be helping those schools.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So I appreciate what you're saying when I hear you say, well, the school has not -- has been challenged in managing its funds. Because we hear that often when we go to schools, is that they are having challenges, they really need help and they're looking for us to give them the help.

MS. LEADBETTER: Not necessarily like the full hundred percent help, because I believe we do have a very capable team, but more just consistent guidance, especially if you're considering -- I'll use the word "sick school" -- that there's that consistent follow-through, and not just during that sick process, but even follow through a couple years after to ensure that those skill sets are still there, especially when you've probably implemented quite expensive curricula and changes in programming that need fiscal monitoring and management.

So thank you for your comments and I'm glad to hear this is a discussion amongst the leaders of BIE.

MR. CLARK: Thank you. Regina?

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. Has

Page 89

there been discussion about how long the waiver process is going to take? Because on one section it says to apply within 60 days of the decision to waive the secretary's requirements in part. Then in another section it says the secretary will provide a status update regarding the processing of the proposal within 120 days of receiving the proposal and every 30 days thereafter.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Is this going to be a lengthy process to get these waivers approved? And how much time should we be applying for them ahead if it's going to take them that time to review them?

DR. HAMLEY: This is Jeff Hamley, BIE.

Good question. Well, I think under the current situation moving forward, we have a circumstance that's different than what we went through with Miccosukee and Navajo. So I think what's different is that we -- there's a definite timeline that you need these decisions -- you need the assistance provided and you need a proposal ready to go.

I know with the Department of Education we've talked about, you know, what would constitute a lengthier process if a governing tribe or school board wanted to consider a whole range of things versus a very simple one.

For example, under No Child Left Behind we had a couple inquiries about just changing a particular assessment, like the science assessment. Well, we saw that, rather than a full-blown proposal, as maybe being a letter, you know. So it just depends.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Now let me go back to Navajo and
Miccosukee. Those were the first time that they had
ever been done. You know? So those were a long
period of exploration, several years, because it had
never been done. Well, we've done two now. It's
proof of concept.

I think we have -- both the Department of Education -- I can't really speak for them -- but under the Bureau, we have a pretty clear perception of how to proceed and move forward.

But the length of time really depends on one thing: What is the governing tribe or school board asking for. Now with Navajo and Miccosukee they were asking for a full range of things. But what we did with them was that we agreed finally to a phased approach where they put into the proposals what was immediately acceptable and that they moved the harder ones like Native language and, you know, having some SEA status to later phases. So we agreed

Meeting

Page 91

July 23, 2019

on that and that's when they immediately became approved.

2.0

2.2

So, now, what happened -- so essentially they're both at phase 2 right now. But in the interim we've had a new law passed. So we can't immediately move into phase 2. They're going to have to resubmit their proposals probably under -- you know, for a phase 1.

If they need a proposal. That's always up to the governing tribe or school board. It's not up to the Bureau to decide whether you want a proposal, whether you want to waive something or not.

So to go back to the point I made, it really depends on what the governing tribe or school board is asking for. If it neatly and easily fits in with a portion of Section 1111, I mean, the governing tribe or school board can waive in whole or in part. If it's just a part, it can move quickly, you know.

But if it's 10 or 12 or 15 different things, that's literally going to take years. To explore the legalities of and the possibilities of them, it is going to take years. But I think going forward, both the -- well, I won't speak for the Department of Ed, but the Bureau is committed to moving these proposals forward as quickly as we can.

Page 92

And I think your request to the director for immediately -- and I'm writing him an e-mail right now -- for technical assistance and to have people on the ground to help you do that will be part of that. So you know what you want. Work should begin right away I think on that.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LADUCER: All right, Tammy Laducer, assistant principal, Turtle Mountain Elementary School. When I think about this waiver and I think about assessments, the Bureau is looking at a unified assessment. So if a school wants to do the state assessment in lieu of the Bureau-approved assessment, would that fall under this waiver process?

DR. HAMLEY: Yes, we think it would. So I don't -- I mean, is the state requiring -- I mean, you don't have to answer this. But if a state is requiring that you use all their assessments, ELA, math, science, alternate, and English language proficiency, and that's their position, then that would be your waiver.

If they're only requiring that you take ELA and math, then that would be your waiver. So in that case you would still use the Bureau's science, alternate and -- well, the alternate actually probably would have to follow with the ELA and math.

So I don't know if it's become clearer.

We can have lunch and discuss this.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, principal,
Turtle Mountain High School, Belcourt, North Dakota.

Dr. Hamley, when you're talking about this waiver -and I'm not sure where I read it, but -- I kind of
walked in on this conversation -- is that until the
waiver is decided, which could take months and/or
years, you're saying that once this proposal becomes
law -- and I think I asked this and I want
clarification -- is that we may have to look,
depending on what assessments the state uses and what
the proposal determines as an assessment; is that
correct?

DR. HAMLEY: If I understand your question -- Jeff Hamley again. If I understand your question, yeah, if your waiver doesn't take effect -- well, you'll have to use -- you'll have to use the unified system in 2021 unless you get a waiver at that time.

So I think the timeline issue has been established, you know, sometime between now and next July or so -- well, before that, ideally, you'll want to get a waiver. So when the final rule is published hypothetically in December, sometime shortly after

Page 94

that you'll want a waiver so that you know what you're going to do in 2021.

2.0

2.1

2.2

But we don't have to wait until the rule is published to enter into technical assistance.

We're talking to a number of tribes right now. So I think that process begins now. You know in theory what you want and where you're going. We don't know exactly what the final rule is going to say yet. But we know that the committee agreed that they want a unified system. So we can have these discussions now and be ready.

So I know that's a small window, December to July next year, but that's what we have to work with.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. Again, Dr. Hamley you're saying we can

start the waiver process now, but any assessment

portion, what language am I going to write to as what

I'm asking for a waiver for when we don't know what

assessment is going to be used? That just couldn't

be the same amount.

How do you predict -- I guess how do I put in a waiver now so that it's in place when we don't even know what the BIE is proposing as an assessment piece?

Page 95

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Well, I mean, I don't think you need to state what assessment the Bureau -- I mean you don't need hinge it on that.

You can just say you don't want to use the Bureau -- the secretary's accountability system regarding assessments; you want use the North Dakota assessment. I mean, I guess the glitch in that is if we decided to use the North Dakota assessment.

2.0

2.1

2.2

But -- and discussions can happen now. I mean, discussions, it's good to have discussions to explore these topics actively now. But the actual filing of the waiver would not happen until after the publication of the rule. But we can explore all the possibilities right now.

Okay. I guess Deb just pointed -- this is Jeff Hamley -- just pointed something out. On page 26801, the left column, G. A couple of the members were interested in a transition clause. So in the interim they wanted to -- "During the transition to the secretary's requirements for standards and assessments under this part or at anytime thereafter a tribal governing body or school board may elect to use the standards and assessments of a state without submitting such standards and assessments for approval as an alternative proposal

July 23, 2019

Page 96

1 | under paragraph (c)."

2.0

2.2

So they did throw in this section which would allow them to continue on without a waiver.

But that also raised some questions. What does that do to the waiver process if they've created a specific, you know, federal code to allow them to bypass the waiver?

The statute created the waiver process. So now the Bureau is going to write a regulation to bypass that? So it raised a technical like legal issue there.

We understand why they wanted to do that is because they have the same view. They like the state assessment after 15 years of seeing it.

They've gotten used to it. They've gotten cozy with their states and they want to continue that process.

But -- so this does raise questions. And we'll have to see if this makes it through to the final regulation.

And so I guess, as Deb I think was pointing out to me, I have been talking about the waiver process, but there is a section in here that is an alternative to the waiver process that the committee put in and said, well, if a school wants to continue to use the state in the interim they can go

Page 97

ahead and do that and we'll just bypass the whole waiver process.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. Then do we have to put that in writing

that we want to stay with the state? You're saying

you don't have to do a waiver, but we have to

identify that we -- under that section, Section G.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Frankly, I don't know. I think you would have to notify the Bureau in some way.

MR. LADUCER: Would it be the director?

DR. HAMLEY: It would be the director.

But then also, the whole question of, you know, whether the state is going to allow you to use its assessment. Right now we have MOUs with the states, but those probably are going to have to be redone because we only have MOUs with 12, I believe, of the 21 states.

We were never able to get all 21 because that involves complex legal discussions between the feds and the states and they just -- we tried. We tried many times but we could never get it. But those will have to be redone because the legal authority on those is No Child Left Behind and the law has now changed.

Page 98

1 But if the state were -- if the schools, 2 12 schools in North Dakota, were going to use the state assessment, that MOU would have to be looked 3 4 Now, this regulation doesn't even address that. But that would probably have to --5 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle 6 Mountain. 7 I'm under the understanding that the state 8 MOU was already looked at by the Bureau and was 9 approved and that's why our schools are using the 10 state assessments. 11 DR. HAMLEY: But that MOU is under the 12 legal authority of No Child Left Behind. I mean, I'm 13 not a lawyer, so we have to look at that again and 14 see and they would have to decide. But Deb is also 15 pointing out that I quit reading on it. 16 It does say, okay, "alternative proposal 17 under paragraph (c) of this section, provided the 18 tribal governing body or school board notifies the 19 secretary of the intention to use the state standards 2.0 and the state agrees to allow you." So it does 21 actually spell out the whole thing in there. 2.2 So you would notify the secretary and the 23 state would have to agree to it, probably in writing. 24 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer.

clarification, Dr. Hamley. You're saying we

25

Meeting

July 23, 2019

Page 99

1 notify -- as tribal school, tribal governing body 2 notifies the secretary and then the state has to agree with the tribe -- the tribes are asking to use 3 4 the state assessment in lieu of whatever assessment 5 the BIE is going to come up with? Is that what 6 you're saying? DR. HAMLEY: 7 Well, the state has to agree 8 to let you use their assessments. 9 MR. LADUCER: And they are. 10 DR. HAMLEY: Yeah, they are. So... who 11 pays for them is not a question. But --12 MR. LADUCER: Not the school, but the 13 state. 14 DR. HAMLEY: Yeah. The states have been 15 very generous in paying for the assessments. Not in 16 all cases, but have been pretty generous in doing 17 So will they continue to do that is the 18 question. And, you know, it seems that they've been 19 doing it in the past, that they would. And also your 2.0 students are also citizens of the state. So we would 2.1 think they would pay for them, but we don't know. 2.2 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle 23 Mountain. By legislation that we just finished, they 24 will continue to fund the assessment for the next

three years in the State of North Dakota.

25

DR. HAMLEY: Is that a question?

2 MR. LADUCER: No. That's just a statement

3 | if they're going to continue to pay for it and, yes,

4 they funded that.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. I don't

6 understand what --

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CLARK: He's just letting us know that the state has already funded it.

DR. HAMLEY: Okay. So you're just making a statement?

MR. LADUCER: Correct.

DR. HAMLEY: Thank you. That needed to be brought up. I've been trying to avoid that all day, but thank you.

MS. CLAYMORE: Hi. Margaret Claymore.

I'm an education specialist with the Minneapolis ERC

here in Bloomington, Minnesota. I'm just curious

knowing that, you know, our schools have stayed with

all their different state assessments. And we've had

some that have gone with PARCC, Smarter Balanced.

21 We've seen a variety.

Has the Bureau decided on which assessments that they think is probably unique or going to address across the Bureau those 23 states?

Because we've seen those pockets. And I know when we

Page 101

were doing all the common core stuff, we were looking at those specifically because that's what we were telling our schools to do. We were telling them to do Smarter Balanced or to go with PARCC and some of them did that.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So rather than making it more confusing, is there something that's on the table that's well known to work or are you guys looking at having an alternative assessment created?

DR. PFEIFFER: Thank you for your question. Tamarah Pfeiffer, BIE. So the first thing we want to do for '19/'20 is to make sure that we roll out our standards trying to get the cart before the horse. This is big league work, so we want the standards first.

We have conducted what's called a sole source where we have spoken with vendors. But that was more for informational purpose to start to think about what is the scope of work. We know some schools need paper-based assessments versus computer-based just because of the bandwidth. We know that part of the assessment language is around do they already have adopted standards that they align to. Are they rigorous and college/career ready standards.

Page 102

1 So to answer your question, we want to 2 make sure that our language this year is about feedback on the rule first, getting the regulation in 3 4 place so that we know is this a commitment by all stakeholders to have this unified system. And that 5 6 conversation, again, is still ongoing. So thank you. 7 MS. CLAYMORE: Thank you. 8 MR. CLARK: Okay. The floor is open 9 again. 10 MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle 11 Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. 12 Dr. Pfeiffer, you're talking about standards. And 13 for lack of a better reference, are you talking about 14 a common core set of standards for the Bureau? 15 we already have standards that we have used that were 16 through the states. Would we have to have a waiver 17 for that too or would we be tied to those standards 18 for the Bureau? 19 DR. PFEIFFER: So thank you. Again, 2.0 language has changed across the administration and 2.1 with the Department of Education common core 2.2 standards are rolled out. And a lot of states took 23 those standards, made them their own and aligned 24 their assessment to that. 25 Now we're looking at college and career

1 ready standards and of course with the waiver that 2 language would all be inclusive to that because you want to always assess to the standards you're 3 4 teaching. Deb Sigman. I would just add 5 MS. SIGMAN: 6 that when Dr. Hamley talked about that Section G, 7 that applies to standards as well. 8 MR. CLARK: Okay. Again, comments, 9 questions, statements? 10 MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle 11 Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. 12 Okay. We covered the standards, the assessments. 13 Now, the accountability piece. When No Child Left 14 Behind rolled out with the common core there was AYP 15 and I know that language is changing too. Do you 16 know what that accountability piece is going to look 17 like yet? 18 MS. SIGMAN: So in terms of what -- states 19 have already implemented their accountability 2.0 systems. So if you're with North Dakota I'm assuming 21 you're under their accountability system. 2.2 So what's different is I talked about the 23 multi-measures, so the five indicators as opposed to 24 simply proficiency on assessment. But each state 25 through their state plan has had to identify what

Page 104

those indicators will look like and how they will feed into the accountability system.

2.0

2.2

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. Well, I mean, I guess it doesn't directly answer your question, but the standards and assessments have to be aligned with each other. So if you're going to use the North Dakota assessments, you would have to use the North Dakota standards. If you're going to use the Bureau standards and assessments, they will have to come as a pair, as a set, because you can't have -- it's unfair to the students to have assessments that are not in line with the standards.

So that's the main requirement, whatever you're going to do. There just has to be alignment between the standards and assessments.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain High School. Dr. Hamley, you said two

specific things. One is if you're going to use the

state's standards then you're going to use the

assessment. The accountability piece should be a

given. So under Section G if we notify our intent of

the -- to the secretary, do we notify the intent of

every component of it, the standards, assessments and

the accountability piece?

DR. HAMLEY: Section G, page 26801 of the

Page 105

Federal Register notice specifically states standards and assessments. It does not transition any accountability indicators, so it's just standards and assessments. So accountability indicators would be a waiver possibility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. LADUCER: So where in the proposed statute is there a waiver where it indicates waivers for the accountability piece?

DR. HAMLEY: Well, that's basic to -- in the ESSA it's stated and also in the regulations that a governing tribe or school board may waive in full or part the secretary's standards, assessments and accountability system. So it's in law and it's in statute and then depending on the regulations that are passed it will be in regulations.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer again. So

I'm requesting, Dr. Hamley, that you provide me with
that section of the statute so that I can use that
language as part of our waiver for the accountability
piece.

DR. HAMLEY: Okay. We will.

MR. CLARK: Okay. Additional comments, questions, statements for the record?

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain again. Under the accountability system,

Page 106

I've been in this business a long time so we used to have UPA which we don't have -- we haven't used in how long. So my question is if we have to report our accountability system, who and how are we going to have to report them? Because UPA no longer, under the old, whatever we did back then every child -- No Child Left Behind.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So, again, if we're going to have to report to the Bureau, who and how are we going to have to report?

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Good question.

Let's see if I have a good answer. Well, this is an undefined area. So, I mean, I think we're going to have to work that out. But just off the top of my head, the Bureau is a quasi-SEA; not in the ESSA, but through an MOA with the Department of Education.

We're given the responsibilities for certain purposes in the MOA. So we also have responsibility for accountability.

So the question is would we adopt those elements of a state's accountability and calculate the accountability and publish the report cards, et cetera, for that? It's not the responsibility of the state to do that. And there's no mechanism that I know of to assign that responsibility to a state.

Page 107

Now, that's not to say that under No Child Left Behind that states didn't publish report cards, et cetera, or try and make AYP determinations. We had that happen too. And we had to remind the states there's no statutory requirement to do that. So you're stepping out of your lane here. You're in our lane. It's our responsibility.

2.0

2.1

2.2

But, you know, how we would have to do that, that could get quite challenging for the Bureau. But, you know, Congress didn't have too many sleepless nights over that issue, the Bureau being challenged. So, I mean, I think we just have to follow the law.

So that's new territory. We have to explore that. So far no -- Miccosukee and Navajo didn't delve into the area of accountability indicators. They didn't say, well, we don't want to be a UAP, we want to do PAY or something.

So this is uncharted territory we'll have to explore on a step-by-step basis and through the technical assistance process we'll learn what schools -- what indicators that a state may want to use -- I mean, a Bureau school in a state -- from a state's accountability system versus the Bureau's.

But you have to understand the Bureau has

not published -- the secretary has not published his accountability system yet. So we're still at the proposing stage. But we're required to meet the requirements consistent with Section 1111.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

So we will have an accountability system.

It will look like many of the state's state plans,

you know, where we've chosen what are indicators,

we've weighted them, you know, things like that. But

we're not quite there yet.

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. So

if we do the waiver and we decide or we're approved

to go with the state standards and the state

assessments, how is the BIE going to identify schools

needing intervention, what data are they going to use

for that and how are they going to get that data from

the schools?

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. Well, that would put us in the same situation we're in right now where where we would have dissimilar assessment data. So we would have to have a methodology to do it.

And the way we do it now is -- although

I'm not the person that does this. It was Brian

Bough previously and now it's Sandra Poolaw It's the

Page 109

percentage proficient using the state assessments.

That's what we adopt. We adopt that. So it's not the Bureau's own proficiency results on the assessments. We're still using the states and we're just moving that into our calculation.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So that's an imperfect system because the assessments are different, the test scores are different. So it's apples and oranges. But that's the best we can do. So under this -- if a state did decide to use -- I mean, if a school did decide to use the state's standards and assessments, it would put us back into the No Child Left Behind era where we have an imperfect methodology.

And that's why the Bureau and the committee agreed to move to a unified system so that we would have valid and reliable methodology to determining lowest-performing schools and provide assistance to schools. And that's what the committee had hoped we would move towards. But with so much discussion now of adopting state standards and assessments, you know, it's possible that we won't have that.

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. I'm

looking at one of your slides, accountability under

1 So if we have one subgroup that is targeted 2 for support and improvement, does that mean your whole school is targeted for support and improvement? 3 4 DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. What slide is that? 5 6 MS. LADUCER: It's in Section 2, page 9 7 identification for -- no. Page 9, Section 5. 8 "Identification for targeted support and improvement. 9 Identified where subgroups are underperforming." 10 DR. HAMLEY: What slide? Or what page? 11 MS. LADUCER: Section 2, page 9. 12 section on "Accountability under ESSA -13 identification." So your schools are identified 14 based on -- must be based on a performance of one or 15 more subgroups. So if one of your subgroups is 16 underperforming is your whole school targeted for 17 intervention? 18 MS. SIGMAN: So this is the second. 19 Remember the first identification is the CSI. 2.0 that would be the lowest 5 percent determined by your 2.1 accountability system of those schools receiving 2.2 Title I funds. So, yes, the school gets identified 23 with the idea that the intervention would be targeted 24 for students that are needing that. 25 MS. LEADBETTER: Jenna Leadbetter, Circle

July 23, 2019

Page 111

of Life Academy, superintendent, White Earth Nation.

2 | Just a question in regards to the use of cut scores.

3 Is that still going to be a standard practice and how

4 does that impact reports?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley. Could you repeat your question?

MS. LEADBETTER: I have concerns with the use of cut scores. Specifically if we're going to use, for example, NWA testing and you have five domains and then you use cut scores and then you're combining certain domains, which then impacts the data and the validity of the entire assessment.

So I'm wondering are those going to be used and then if they are is that going to be unified in BIE? And then, furthermore, how does that impact that lower 5 percent for identification?

MS. SIGMAN: Deb Sigman. So what's required is that a state, or in this case BIE, established three levels of achievement, right? So it could be advanced, proficient, basic, something like that. So generally there would be a range of scores, but there is a bottom of that floor. So essentially that would be a cut score, right?

So that is a traditional model of how, when you're using an assessment, that you would

Page 112

establish that achievement level. So it is required that you establish an achievement level. Where you put those cut scores, that's up to the entity.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: Isn't that a questionable practice if you're allowing an entity to place scores? I mean, these are students. That represents an individual child.

MS. SIGMAN: Right. So there are very valid and reliable ways to do that. So generally what happens -- I'll speak -- I am from California. So we are a Smarter Balanced state. And so when those cut scores were established there were 500 educators brought in by -- all across those Smarter states.

And you go through a very long process to establish -- generally you have teachers in the room who are most knowledgeable about what students know and their students. And so you look at what those students -- how those students have performed and what those expectations are to arrive at a score that is -- that meets the definition -- that you've decided meets the definition of adequate or proficient. So that is a very common methodology.

MS. LEADBETTER: I don't know what it is about that that doesn't sit right with me. Maybe

Page 113

it's because an individual person is modifying data and then that completely overrides validity as an assessment tool. I mean, the Ph.D.s sitting at the table, you've got to agree with me.

2.0

2.1

2.2

This caused significant problems at Circle of Life Academy. And that's where if we're going to be utilizing cut scores, there's going to be some sort of unified approach to doing it.

And if California did do that, then maybe they are the pilot that shows us how to do it the right way so that we don't have individuals slotting students on a scale that has five different criteria, basic, mid-level -- the WMA testing, right? And having to put those children into three categories when the test itself is designed for five.

MS. SIGMAN: So, again, the validity and the reliability are all about the process, right? And so if you have lots of individuals who can make judgments about the students that they serve, then you're in a better position to ensure that those scores are appropriately placed, right? So it's not just -- it's not one individual. It would be a group of individuals.

MS. LEADBETTER: Okay.

DR. HAMLEY: This is Jeff Hamley again.

Page 114

The system of doing that should be standardized, established and transparent. And I know of some cases where states have gotten into trouble where, you know, individuals have varied from the agreed-upon. So that's a very serious issue. So that should not be happening.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LEADBETTER: It is happening.

DR. HAMLEY: Yeah, okay. Well, we'll be visiting you.

MS. LEADBETTER: Good. But because it is happening and there weren't checks and balances, that's why it needs to be addressed so that it doesn't happen again, because it hurts kids. So yes. I just want that to be a consideration when we're using cut scores and you're talking about unifying an entire nation where we have California that has a methodology that's telling me it's reliable, it's valid, and I haven't heard anything from Minnesota on a reliable, valid methodology for using cut scores.

So that in itself is telling me we have two states that are different and you're trying to unify a nation of many states. I'm just planning ahead, thinking ahead here. That's all. Thank you.

MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. Just as an FYI, we've got about 20 minutes before we break for

Page 115

the lunch period. And then we'll continue on for the rest of the afternoon. This is the same forum, just open record for statements and questions. So I'm just giving everybody a heads-up on the time.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So we've got about 20 more minutes for the morning session. We'll break for lunch. Lunch is an hour and fifteen, reconvene at 1:15 and then it will be an open forum for the rest of the day.

So, again, questions, comments, raise your hand and we'll get you a mike.

MS. HOPKINS: Thank you. My name is

Shannon Hopkins from the Fort Peck Tribes Education

Department. I just had -- it's really a dumb

question, but I'm wondering how come -- I'm on page

26796 under Section 30.105. In that middle column D.

Why do you need to know if they're serving on full

time National Guard duty?

The sentence reads, "Students with status as a student with a parent who is a member of the armed forces on active duty or serves on full-time National Guard duty."

MS. SIGMAN: So that language is straight from ESSA statute. And that group was added. People wanted to make sure that we were collecting data with regard to students of military families.

Then I just had one other 1 MS. HOPKINS: only because -- oh. This is Shannon 2 question, Hopkins again. Only because I'm actually the -- I 3 4 work with the Montana OPI and I'm actually their 5 only -- I go to the schools and do training. 6 northeastern Montana nobody wants to come out there 7 from Helena. 8 So how are they being identified? Because 9 that is important when they go to college because 10 they get paid and they get funded -- they get full 11 So how can you be sure that --12 Are you looking at --MS. SIGMAN: 13 MS. HOPKINS: Under the --14 So they would be MS. SIGMAN: Oh. 15 identified however you happen to be currently 16 identifying them. 17 MS. HOPKINS: How would you know that? 18 MS. SIGMAN: I'm assuming BIE collects --19 that's a data element that may or may not be 2.0 collected. 2.1 DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. When ESSA 2.2 was passed in December 2015, we began talking to 23 NASIS about creating this field in NASIS. 24 mean, I'm not positive, but it's been created. Now, 25 the NASIS contract is coming to an end.

1 transitioning to a new system.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. LEADBETTER: We were just told yesterday at NASIS that we're staying with it.

DR. HAMLEY: Okay. Well, I wasn't sure. I was just turning to Travis to see whether I could announce that. So it is -- if the new contract for five years is awarded to NASIS, so we believe -- I'll verify that both military and foster care are two elements that have been created in NASIS. I'm 99.99 percent sure, but I'll verify that. So that's how we identify it.

MR. CLARK: Okay. Proposal, we can break for lunch now. I think the morning is kind of petering out. And instead we'll reconvene at 1:00 p.m. Kind of typical for our other consultations.

We will be here, the federal employees will be here at 1:00 p.m. to reopen the record. So if you had a comment, a statement for the record that you didn't get into the record this morning, please feel free to come back and at any time we'll be here to take that comment.

Don't feel obligated to be here if you don't want to be here for the afternoon, because this is very much -- this is the precise format that we're

Page 118 taking the rest of the day. It's just going to be open forum, keeping the record open for comments, questions, statements, all that good stuff. So with that we'll break for lunch now and we'll be back here at 1:00 p.m. for reopen the record. Thanks. (Whereupon, at 11:43 a.m. a lunch recess was taken.)

viceting July 23, 201

Page 119

1 AFTERNOON SESSION

2 (1:05 p.m.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CLARK: Okay. We'll go ahead and get going again. Again, beating a dead horse a little bit, but just to reiterate, we're here until you're out of questions, out of comments, all of that good stuff. So from now until the close of business we're here ready, willing and able to listen to any questions, comments, all that good stuff. So as soon as you have one, raise your hand and we're ready to record it for the record.

Do you have a question?

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain High School. Subsection B, page 26802,

subpart D, responsibilities and accountability.

Again, I still haven't gotten a clear answer on the accountability piece. Can you enlighten us on what plan if any plan you have for the accountability piece at this time?

MR. CLARK: Jeff was the one that was doing that piece. He is not here. Can you come back to that in just a minute? When Jeff is here we can get to that. I'm sorry. I want to get you an answer to that and Jeff is the expert on that, so...

Page 120 1 (Pause.) 2 (Dr. Hamley returns to the conference 3 room.) 4 MR. CLARK: We had a question for you 5 nobody knew how to answer. One more time. 6 MR. LADUCER: 26802, Subpart B. 7 Page 26208, Section Subpart D, responsibilities and 8 accountability. And I believe my question was, if I 9 remember correctly, what is the accountability piece? 10 How will we report that? Because if there isn't a 11 developed accountability piece, how do you determine 12 what schools are in what areas and how do we go on 13 from there, I quess? 14 Jeff Hamley. So you're DR. HAMLEY: 15 referring to 30.125? 16 MR. LADUCER: Yes. 17 DR. HAMLEY: Okay. This is an ESCA 18 requirement and also under ESSA reauthorized. 19 Bureau as drafted No Child Left Behind in ESSA is 2.0 required to publish an annual report card for the BIE 2.1 school system as a whole and also for -- well, and 2.2 the ESCA says LEAs, but in our system LEAs are 23 schools, local education agencies that are schools. 24 So for BIE-funded schools. So throughout No Child

Left Behind and continuing we're required to do that.

25

Page 121

Now, we have fallen behind and are in the process of catching up, starting with the schools first, but the BIE overall is still lagging by a few years. So we'll be required to do this under our new accountability system. And what the Bureau will publish is how the schools have done, proficiency on the state assessments.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So if it's a unified system using the same assessment throughout the system, it will be based on that, the proficiency on that. Now, if there's a waiver where a school wants to use its state assessment, it would be based on that assessment.

MR. LADUCER: But -- again, Melvin

Laducer, Turtle Mountain -- I think we've established that the standards and the assessment are -- we can place a waiver on. But the accountability piece is the part that I think the Bureau has no idea what they're going to do yet, am I correct, as far as any plan to have a template or something to collect that data?

DR. HAMLEY: Correct. We haven't published what our accountability system will look like. However, we've been in internal discussion as to what we're going to propose. And I think your question is so when we publish the school's report

July 23, 2019 Meeting

Page 122

card, will we publish it on the Bureau's system or if 1 2 a school requests a waiver of a particular indicator -- for example, say growth.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Say the Bureau hypothetically weights a growth at 50 percent, but -- or say another template. What if they waived it at 25 percent and the school wants to use the state's -- like in Washington State I believe it's 50 percent. So then the report card for the school if that waiver is approved would reflect that. And it would be noted in the report card that the school has a waiver, they've decided to use the state's -- some aspect of the state's accountability system.

So, I mean, it's feasible. It can be worked out. It's just right now it's all hypothetical as to what that would look like. But whatever it is, it can be worked out, it can be explained, it can be expressed and it can be published.

And, again -- Melvin Laducer MR. LADUCER: I mentioned this earlier. Now with this accountability piece not -- there's not even a design for it yet. I'll call it that. Are schools going to be, once it's -- if we're going to implement this plan next school year and it takes the Bureau three

Page 123

more years to come up with an accountability piece -- and I'm going to back to DPA days where we were sanctioned a thing that happened three years ago.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

What assurances are you going to give us that once this accountability template is rolled out, we're not going to have to fill it out and say, well, three years ago you didn't make adequate yearly progress? Is it going to be we fill in the data for the previous years, but the accountability piece is going to be in current year where we would need it?

DR. HAMLEY: I mean, that's a good question. And I know exactly what you're talking about. The intent of making accountability determinations is that -- and the law said this, No Child Left Behind -- that they be provided before the beginning of the next school year. I think that was pretty much the language.

So the idea is that states would, you know, get the assessment scores in May and then in June develop the determination letters, June, July, and then issue them to the schools before school started in September, in some cases August, some schools start in August.

So the school could adjust to their determination level and make administrative and

Page 124

instructional decisions. So, yeah, the Bureau had great trouble in doing that, the reason being that we had a multipart accountability system. We did not own the assessment data. So sometimes it took us months if not years to collect the assessment data.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So based on the old model, the 23-part accountability system, we could rarely if ever make those timelines. And so that's the reason the Bureau wants to move to a uniform system so that we can do like the states do, get the data, you know, early, May, June, we own the data, so we just call the vendor and the next Monday we have the data and then we can begin making the determinations based on that, you know, in time for before school starts in the fall. That's the whole intent of going with a unified system, is to shorten that timeline and provide the information.

Now, if a school gets a waiver and they're using the state assessments, yeah, that may continue to be a challenge. I won't say it's going to continue to be a challenge. It may be. So we'll have to, you know, work closely with the state to get the data and try and make a determination, deliver a determination to the school as early as possible.

So I don't know if that explains --

July 23, 2019

Page 125 1 provides some context. It doesn't. 2 MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer again. Again, I'm putting you on the spot, Dr. Hamley. 3 4 DR. HAMLEY: That's all right. 5 MR. LADUCER: But what you're saying is 6 the way it should be. What assurances are you giving 7 schools that it will be, not should be? Because in 8 the past -- and if you look at right now with our 9 SpEd, special ed, we're still looking at two years 10 ago and we're getting dinged on '15, '16 right now, 11 We can't even catch up with that. you know. 12 And I know when we were at DPA -- and I 13 keep bringing this up -- that we were years behind. 14 And, again, in an ideal situation you're saying we 15 should. But what assurances are you giving tribal 16 schools and grant schools that we will be, or you

should. But what assurances are you giving tribal schools and grant schools that we will be, or you will be dealing with current year, regardless of what year your accountability piece comes out, and not the accountability piece came out and, okay, you fill it in for such and such year and you're going to be

sanctioned based on three years ago?

21

2.2

23

24

25

DR. HAMLEY: Well, I guess all I can say at this point is that if we do have a unified system, there's going to be a much greater chance that we will make these decisions, provide those

Page 126

determinations on a much shorter timeline. That's the whole intent.

2.0

2.1

2.2

I mean, I can't give an assurance at this point because the rule has not been written. But that is, you know, the intent, as I said, of having an unified system so that we can do the same as the states do and provide these determinations on time.

MR. LADUCER: The rule hasn't been written again, yes, I agree. But when are you going to take into consideration because this is just a draft proposal and we're here for consultation? So when are you going to look at this draft proposal and say when this accountability piece comes out we're going to deal with current year and not because it took the Bureau three years to come up with an accountability piece we're going to going back and penalize schools for three-years-ago data regardless of what they're doing in the current year? Where is that assurance?

MR. CRUZ: Yeah. I absolutely understand where you're coming from on that. And it's going to be our policy direction with the new unified system, if that's the way that the winds are blowing after consultation, is to put everyone on equal footing with that baseline and allow the BIE to make sure that they're getting timely corrective action or

reports back to the schools.

2.0

2.1

2.2

I know in conversations with the solicitors, because we are still beholden to NCLB, there are certain requirements that the Bureau is still required to ask of those tribal grant schools and our Bureau-operated schools. And so our treatment from a policy level has been to direct the BIE to comply with the new statute, get all the schools on kind of the similar level.

Because I've heard from numerous schools that are still reporting, like you mentioned, four or five years ago. I tend to believe that's not a very productive use of time. But for potential litigation, potential litigation requirements, we have to comply with the law.

And so our goal will be to get everybody up to the same starting point and let the Bureau manage that new system, whatever we design it to entail, and then identify next steps if we need to close out some of that old law, whatever perfunctory work needs to be done there.

So I'm not sure what our litigation risk is on that, but that will have to be a decision made in the future. But just from the assistant secretary's level, it's let's comply with the 2015

July 23, 2019

law and get a reasonable system in place that is clear, transparent, easily understandable and disseminate it to all of our schools.

2.0

2.2

MR. LADUCER: I still don't think that answers my question, but I'll let it go.

DR. HAMLEY: Jeff Hamley again. I just wanted to add one thing. You mentioned a couple times three years to get the accountability system.

No. We're working on defining the accountability system. We've had a state plan for a couple of years published to our website.

The missing pieces are the Sand assessments accountability system, which we're waiting for a final rule. But we've been exploring options for the accountability system. We have a committee or a work group that's working on that. So it's not going to be three years. We will get an accountability system in place fairly quickly.

MR. LADUCER: Again, and I know this consultation is supposed to end August 9th if we have any questions. So my question then is if there's not an accountability piece right now to question, are you going to extend the August 9th deadline for the accountability portion of this proposed bill or law?

MR. CLARK: Let me make sure I'm stating

Page 129

your question correctly. If we do not have an accountability system set up prior to August 9th, will we extend the deadline for the rule making? Is that the question?

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. LADUCER: Just for the accountability. So we have a common something we can actually see versus, what, you've had your chance. How do I question the accountability piece? I could see the waiver. The standards and the assessment piece, I can live with that. But if you don't have an accountability piece in place and you're saying this is done August 9th, how does it give tribes a chance to litigate or question their concerns about the accountability piece?

DR. HAMLEY: Well, the purpose of this meeting is to comment to the draft federal regulations. So the federal regulations are a framework based on ESSA Section 1111. We're just discussing the framework now. And the framework is that we -- the Bureau will have, like it states, an accountability system.

That's all we're doing here today. But what you're mentioning, what you're raising, though, is when the actual details of the accountability system are created, what will be the process for

Page 130

that.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Well, in the -- as Dr. Pfeiffer mentioned earlier in her presentation, the Bureau agreed a couple years ago that it would do a so-called state plan, even though it's not required by the law.

That's where -- and in the reg there's a section in there that requires as a matter of regulation our law for the Bureau to do a state plan.

It's called the SAAP, the Standards,
Assessments and Accountability Plan. At that stage
that's where the accountability system will be laid
out in detail like North Dakota's state plan, similar
to that, or Minnesota's, or Montana's, and that's
when we would go up for stakeholder input on that and
that's where you would have the opportunity to give
input into that, is at that stage.

And it's on page 226795, Section C on the far right side of the Federal Register notice. So I'll give you a chance to read that.

So to summarize, there will be a -- not at this stage. Right now we're just doing the legal framework. But at the next stage with the state plan, there will be an opportunity for you to see in black and white, the entire country, what we're proposing for an accountability system and to give

Page 131

comment on that.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So as you're reading, I hope I'm clear about those two separate processes. This is the regulations, the overall legal framework, but the next stage is the specific part of what the accountability system will consist of and we do have people working on that.

And I'll just add here, we have the benefit that 50 states have written state plans. So we're able to read those and to evaluate them and see what looks good in different states. So we're benefiting from that process.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. Dr. Hamley, I guess my concern then is

when I read this Section C it says continue with the

BIE-operated schools and tribally controlled schools,

meeting government-to-government consultation with

tribal governments.

If you can light that back up again, I keep going back to that deadline and how are you going to assure tribes and -- as a tribal school, the minister of the grant school in my community, how are you going to assure that? Because that deadline is hard and it's in there.

MR. CLARK: Correct. So this deadline is

- 1 | just for the framework that Jeff was talking about.
- 2 The accountability system through the SAAP will
- 3 | require a separate essentially consultation. So this
- 4 is the consultation for the regulatory framework.
- 5 There will be additional stakeholder outreach input
- 6 consultation such and such as this for that
- 7 | accountability system in the SAAP.
- 8 So this August 9th deadline is just for
- 9 the regulation.
- 10 MR. LADUCER: Can you pull that back up?
- 11 MR. CLARK: Rosie, can you log in?
- 12 MR. LADUCER: Show me where you say that
- the accountability, the SAAP, is going to be a
- 14 different consultation, please.
- MR. CLARK: It's in the regs.
- MR. LADUCER: It's not in there.
- 17 MR. CLARK: Okay. So if you go to
- 18 | page 26795, Section B --
- MR. LADUCER: Subpart? 795, you're
- 20 saying?
- 21 MR. CLARK: Yeah. 26795.
- MR. LADUCER: Okay.
- MR. CLARK: So Section 30.103,
- 24 | Subsection B.
- MR. LADUCER: "The director will implement

Page 133 1 a standards, assessment and accountability" -- like I 2 keep saying -- "system" for BIE-funded schools. according to that, written comments --3 4 MR. CLARK: Right. Right. But this is 5 describing a separate consultation and a separate process for consulting on the SAAP. 6 7 MR. LADUCER: Where in this does it say 8 it's separate? 9 MR. CLARK: Well, one, this is the 10 proposed reg that we're going to consult on. 11 MR. LADUCER: Correct. 12 MR. CLARK: So if -- by August 9th, if 13 this section stays within the reg that we're 14 consulting on now by August 9th, then this becomes 15 published. And then this is published as a 16 requirement that we will go out for a separate 17 consultation regarding the SAAP. 18 It's the entire section. All of 103 is 19 describing the separate and additional consultation 2.0 that will take place regarding the SAAP. 2.1 I may have missed it. MR. LADUCER: 2.2

MR. LADUCER: I may have missed it.

Melvin Laducer. Read to me in that section where it says it's going to go back after for the assessment -- the accountability piece. Read to me in this proposal. It doesn't. So I'm assuming --

23

24

25

Page 134

But the language of MR. CLARK: Yeah. that section says "The director will implement a standards, assessment and accountability plan that will provide Indian tribes, parents and stakeholders with quality, transparent information the only how the act will be implemented at BIE-funded schools, including the requirements that have been established for standards, assessments" -- that is stating that -- that's giving with the force of regulation the direction to the BIE to go out and conduct that consultation regarding the SAAP. MR. LADUCER: And that's what you're doing right now? MR. CLARK: No. This says a separate consultation from what's being described here. MS. CASTILLO: Hi. This is Jennifer Castillo for the record. I just want to clarify procedurally where we are. So as Mark indicated in the beginning, in 2015 ESSA passed, and that was amending ESCA which before that was No Child Left So what ESSA required is that for the Behind. BIE-operated schools there needs to be a regulatory rule-making process in order for the assessment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

portion, the standards portion and also the

accountability portion.

Page 135

So then we're sort of at the front end of that process, establishing the regulatory framework. And that's what this consultation is covering. And as was indicated, the next piece would be to talk about the accountability. So I don't know if that helps you understand things procedurally.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle

Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. Is

there a timeline as to when that next phase is going

to begin and how long it will take for the

accountability piece?

DR. HAMLEY: Well, this task crosses some offices in the Bureau, but we do want to wait for the final rule because we don't want to get in front of the final rule. But I don't know if Tamarah has given any time as to when she's going to release that. But we are working on it.

The other thing I wanted to say, going back to your comments, is that if you want to make a suggestion about, you know, making this section more definite, you can submit comments to that. You know, if it's not defined enough for you, you can make comments for that. That's why we're here. So if you think that the SAAP timeline is too indefinite, you can make comments to put into regulation, you know,

Page 136

that it should commence such and such.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So, Tamarah, did you want address the second question?

DR. PFEIFFER: So the timeline -- I came on again in April as acting chief academic officer. The timeline initially had been pushed out based on the NRM committee's report in April for '19/'20. It was in consultation with the Department of Ed that it was acknowledged that we need to wait for regulation.

And then in the meantime there are all these parallel practices that have to start, including working on developing focus groups around standards, looking deeply at definitions in the accountability development. All of this began in January of 2019. So this is just continuing work.

But really defining things like gross, if that's going to be a recommendation, and getting those elements in place so that once the rule has been published, things like the professional development around standards could be rolled out, work on getting an RFP out there for a unified system can go out.

But I'll just let you know right now there are like five or six different parallels that are happening continuously while we are waiting for this

final publishing.

2.0

2.1

2.2

MR. CLARK: Okay. Additional questions, comments or statements? And, again, I know some of the folks were trying to review the materials kind of right now. Again, we still have that August 9th deadline to submit a written comment or statement for the record.

I know for some of these things, for instance, if language within a certain section you don't think is clear enough, I strongly encourage not only comment on that but provide some alternative language that you'd like to see. I know that's always really helpful on our end when we do this sort of post analysis. So just keep that in mind.

MR. LADUCER: Melvin Laducer, Turtle

Mountain. For the record, the comments need to come

from either the tribal council or the school board.

MR. CLARK: They don't have to, but it's strongly encouraged that it does come from the tribal council or the school board, because as a government-to-government consultation obviously we give a great amount of weight and deference to those stakeholders as opposed to other stakeholders.

That's just the inherent nature of tribal consultation.

Page 138

1 MR. LADUCER: I'll have a question on 2 If we as tribal leaders got together and wrote one thing and had multiple councils sign off on it, 3 4 can that be done? Is that allowable? Yeah, absolutely. And that 5 MR. CLARK: 6 has been done in previous tribal consultations. 7 MR. LADUCER: Thank you. 8 MS. LADUCER: Tammy Laducer, Turtle 9 Mountain Elementary School assistant principal. 10 proposed rule says the committee recommended that the 11 rule include a requirement that BIE have a standards, 12 assessments and accountability plan. We've heard about standards and assessments. The accountability 13 14 to me just seems like it's not there, the plan. We 15 might do this, we may do that, we have done this, you 16 know, and that has caused issues. 17 But I would like to see something on that 18 accountability plan in writing about what it is you 19 guys are even thinking about what's going to happen 2.0 as far as how the schools are going to report their 2.1 data to the BIE. If we choose to go with the state,

been outlined here and that's kind of what I've been asking about.

how BIE is going to get that data. None of that has

2.2

23

24

25

So if the committee had recommended it,

then I think that piece should be discussed a little bit more in depth and something added in here in regards to what that's going to look like.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

Any questions, comments, for the record?
We'll hold the record open as long as people have
statements or questions to give. If you don't,
again, don't feel obligated to stay. We're just
going to keep the record open until we're sure that
everybody here has had ample opportunity to say
everything they wanted for the record.

I would also say, again, August 9th, midnight, deadline to have written comments submitted to the Bureau regarding these regulations.

MS. LADUCER: Thank you, guys, for your time and efforts and hard work on this.

MR. CLARK: Thank you for coming. We really appreciate the comments and the interaction.

MS. LADUCER: Oh, you'll hear some more.

MR. CLARK: Good.

MR. LADUCER: Thank you, everybody. I appreciate everybody listening to us. I hope we weren't too harsh. We have to answer to our schools and tribe.

MR. CLARK: Okay. Right now it is 4

Page 140 minutes until 2:00. We're going to keep the record open until 2:00 at least. If nobody has any comments or questions for the record, we'll go ahead and close it at 2:00 formally. (Pause). MR. CLARK: Okay. I think we're going to close. (Whereupon, at 1:59 p.m. the hearing was adjourned.)

Page 141 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3) STATE OF MINNESOTA 4 SS. 5 COUNTY OF HENNEPIN) 6 I hereby certify that I reported the 7 proceedings regarding the Bureau of Indian Affairs Negotiated Rule-Making Consultation, on July 23, 2019, in Bloomington, Minnesota; 8 9 That the testimony was transcribed by me and is a true record of the testimony of the 10 participants; 11 That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a 12 relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; 13 That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, 14 attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect 15 my impartiality. 16 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 28th day of July, 2019. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Jonathan Wonnell Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota 25 My Commission expires January 31, 2022

1 1 12:24 13:19,21	4 4 = 11 = =		9
	1:15 115:7	2:00 140:1,2,4	9 62:10 110:6,7,11
13:22 15:21,21	1:59 140:8	3	95 11:22
16:18 30:22 36:16	2	3 2:3 11:8 13:7	99.99 117:9
53:3,4,12,16,20	2 30:22 61:10	30:23	9th 6:4 26:11
54:3,8,12,17,18	72:18 73:12 91:4	30 25:19 70:3 89:8	29:23 44:23
54:22 55:2 91:8	91:6 110:6,11	30.103 132:23	128:20,23 129:2
1.5 12:25	20 2:5 31:18 35:23	30.104 54:7,8	129:12 132:8
10 53:10 72:13	36:3,21 37:16	30.105. 115:15	133:12,14 137:5
91:19	42:19 101:12	30.125 120:15	139:12
1003 84:3,4,7	114:25 115:5	30th 86:13	a
103 133:18	136:7	31 141:25	a.m 63:16
10:10 63:16	2001 1:10 32:23	4	a.m. 03:10 a.m. 1:9 63:16
10th 21:18 43:23	2008 74:15		118:7
43:24 44:4 45:4	2015 3:23 7:15,15	4 13:7 16:18 46:8 72:11 139:25	ability 23:16
11 31:4	116:22 127:25	40 1:5	64:19
1111 8:12 21:2	134:19	45,000 54:16	able 8:19 9:5
61:18 78:2 82:6,7	2017 21:13		12:16 27:8,14
82:7,10,11,15,18	2019 1:8 21:18	5	28:3,20,25 58:16
82:22 83:16 91:16	136:15 141:8,16	5 16:4 17:1,1,17	73:25 84:24 97:19
108:4 129:18	2019/2020 46:15	18:12 31:10,11,11	119:9 131:10
11:43 118:7	2021 36:21 37:1	32:13,17 36:15	absenteeism 16:7
12 67:9 91:19	38:15 42:21 48:9	55:19 82:23 110:7	absolutely 56:10
97:17 98:2	93:19 94:2	110:20 111:16	126:19 138:5
120 89:7	2022 141:25	50 122:5,8 131:9	academic 5:3
133 86:10,12,19	21 97:18,19	500 112:12	10:10 12:3 15:8
14 7:8	21st 49:3	55425 1:12	15:14,18 16:19
140 2:7	226795 130:17	5:00 1:9	18:13 22:16 24:15
14555 141:23	23 1:8 19:18 22:9	6	24:21,21 38:8,19
14th 21:13	33:4,5 56:24 57:7	6 2:4 46:20	72:14 77:18 136:5
15 91:19 96:14	57:15 61:6 100:24	60 89:3	academy 33:20
125:10 150 12:23	124:6 141:7	67 18:14	35:3 39:11 45:7
16 125:10	25 53:8 67:3 122:6	7	52:15 55:13 58:25
17 21:20 74:7	26 2:6 26208 120:7	7 46:20	62:14 65:5 68:4,6
17 21.20 74.7 173 31:11 32:14	267 73:10	795 132:19	71:11 75:10 77:15
18 4:1	26787 61:9 72:18	8	84:20 111:1 113:6
19 31:18 35:23	73:11 76:1	_	accept 9:20
36:3,21 37:16	26795 132:18,21	8 11:8 72:11 8.7 31:11	acceptable 90:23
42:19 101:12	26796 115:15	8204 8:5 20:24	acceptance 40:6
136:7	26801 95:17	61:15	access 8:2 12:25
1965 7:4 20:25	104:25	8:30 1:9 3:5	accommodate
1:00 117:15,18	26802 119:15	U.JU 1.7 J.J	40:17
118:5	120:6		

account 8:9 61:19	112:1,2	administer 12:10	agreed 25:11
accountability 1:6	acknowledge 21:6	administering	34:17,24 90:21,25
3:12 7:22 8:7,16	26:12	85:4 87:4	94:9 109:15 114:5
8:25,25 12:5	acknowledged	administration	130:3
14:11,24 15:1,3	136:9	21:15 102:20	agrees 25:6 98:20
15:11,24 17:14,19	acknowledging	administrative	ahead 3:6 6:10
19:17,20 22:12,24	36:11	51:22,25 72:4	20:22 21:6 35:18
24:5 36:19 49:16	acquisition 11:24	123:25	62:7 89:11 97:1
49:18 50:8,15	act 7:4,17 20:25	administrators	114:23,23 119:4
56:6,9 61:17	79:2 134:6	65:9	140:3
66:19 73:22 78:9	acting 5:3 136:5	adopt 9:12 10:8	aid 86:11
78:20,21 80:2	action 41:16 58:20	80:10 106:20	air 41:25 42:2
81:22 95:5 103:13	71:6 126:25	109:2,2	albuquerque 3:13
103:16,19,21	141:13,14	adopted 11:21	64:9 74:8,16
104:2,20,24 105:3	active 115:20	67:11 101:23	align 36:18 101:24
105:4,8,13,19,25	actively 95:11	adopting 109:20	aligned 9:23 11:19
106:4,19,21,22	activity 79:17 80:1	advanced 111:20	11:21 37:9 38:7
107:16,24 108:2,5	actual 26:6 31:20	advertised 64:11	102:23 104:6
109:25 110:12,21	95:11 129:24	64:11	alignment 22:11
119:16,18,19	add 13:20 60:21	advice 87:5	41:1 47:2 104:14
120:8,9,11 121:5	73:24 80:24 87:25	advisor 4:20 6:18	allow 25:4 40:6
121:16,22 122:13	103:5 128:7 131:8	advocate 42:14	96:3,6 97:14
122:22 123:1,5,9	added 115:23	affairs 1:1 4:16	98:20 126:24
123:13 124:3,7	139:2	141:7	allowable 138:4
125:18,19 126:13	addition 11:10	affect 25:10 36:3	allowed 56:15
126:15 128:8,9,13	28:11	38:22 53:12	70:15
128:15,18,22,24	additional 16:4	141:14	allowing 112:5
129:2,5,8,11,14	17:24 18:7,8,19	affiliations 60:22	alternate 10:6
129:21,24 130:10	25:21 49:13,23	affirmation 24:20	11:16 12:14 92:18
130:11,25 131:6	71:7 75:18 84:1	78:22 79:4,9	92:24,24
132:2,7,13 133:1	105:22 132:5	afforded 16:21	alternative 12:25
133:24 134:3,25	133:19 137:2	afternoon 115:2	25:12,13,17 54:11
135:5,11 136:14	address 10:12	117:24 119:1	72:22 95:25 96:23
138:12,13,18	31:4 40:15 43:18	agencies 120:23	98:16 101:9
accountable 14:13	75:23 98:4 100:24	aggregate 16:24	137:11
35:24	136:2	ago 3:13 44:10	alternatively 74:1
accurate 28:16	addressed 65:1	70:3 81:17 123:3	amended 61:18
29:2,8	114:12	123:7 125:10,21	amending 134:20
accurately 14:5	adequate 112:22	126:17 127:12	american 24:18
accustomed 12:11	123:7	130:4	24:22 77:17,19
achieve 82:14	adhere 39:16,17	agree 13:14 34:3	79:1
achievement 9:13	adjourned 140:9	42:25 43:1 59:19	amount 48:20
9:15,19 10:24	adjust 123:24	98:23 99:3,7	59:2 94:21 137:22
15:8 24:15 111:19		113:4 126:9	

ample 44:22	applying 89:11	assessing 42:11	100:19,23 101:20
139:10	appreciate 4:5	assessment 3:12	103:12 104:5,7,9
analysis 27:9,10	40:19 46:2 49:24	6:19 7:21 8:7	104:12,15,23
27:11 28:2,11,13	56:7 62:15 88:3	10:14 11:16 12:1	105:2,4,12 108:14
29:19 60:16	139:18,22	14:11 19:16,20	109:1,4,7,11,21
137:14	appreciated 62:23	22:12,24 23:21	121:7 124:19
announce 117:6	approach 90:22	26:8 32:16,18	128:13 130:10
announcements	113:8	33:1,4 34:14,18	134:8 138:12,13
50:23	appropriate 61:19	36:18 37:4 39:24	assign 106:25
annual 12:2 16:2	86:21	40:6,7,9 41:25	assistance 6:20,23
120:20	appropriately	42:2,7 48:13	50:11 59:13,18
annually 11:7	113:21	49:16,17 55:2	64:2,7,9,25 65:7
12:1 19:10	approval 95:25	57:8,10,16,22,23	65:15 66:2,7
answer 4:22 28:16	approved 60:3	57:23 58:3,3	72:21 73:1,2,15
28:19,23 29:2,4,7	89:10 91:2 92:12	77:20 78:15 81:7	73:19,22,25 74:3
29:8 36:20 47:19	98:9 108:12 122:9	90:3,3 92:11,12	74:5,9,12,19 75:3
49:10,21 50:3	april 4:10 50:23	92:12 93:13 94:17	75:8,21,25,25
51:9 52:2,11 55:9	136:5,7	94:20,24 95:2,7,8	76:22,24 77:3
77:7 92:16 102:1	area 10:2 15:9	96:14 97:15 98:3	86:21,24 87:19,20
104:4 106:12	20:17,21 21:21	99:4,4,24 101:9	87:23 89:19 92:3
119:17,24 120:5	52:24 64:12 81:17	101:22 102:24	94:4 107:21
139:23	106:13 107:16	103:24 104:20	109:18
answered 55:17	areas 9:17 53:2	108:21 111:12,25	assistant 3:8,21
72:3	62:4 120:12	113:3 121:9,12,12	4:3 56:13 57:20
answers 50:18	arizona 76:20	121:15 123:19	58:19 63:25 83:5
128:5	armed 115:20	124:4,5 129:9	83:25 84:10 88:25
anybody 44:6 54:4	arrive 112:20	133:1,24 134:3,23	92:8 102:11
64:22 66:12	arts 12:24	assessments 1:5	103:11 108:11
anymore 33:5	asked 13:24 25:9	8:15,21,22 10:22	109:24 127:24
70:18 81:25	67:12 74:11 93:10	11:5,19,20 12:3,7	135:8 138:9
anytime 64:18	asking 34:7,9	12:12 16:3 17:6	associate 4:17
95:22	43:13 50:20 71:15	22:9,15 24:21	31:1
anyway 70:19	72:5,25 73:1	25:6 33:1,25	associated 49:13
apologies 44:24	82:21 86:8 90:19	37:12 38:3,18	assumed 85:3
apologize 44:9	90:20 91:15 94:19	39:1 42:8 48:14	assuming 103:20
appealing 62:10	99:3 138:24	51:4 54:11 56:25	116:18 133:25
apples 109:8	aspect 78:1,8	56:25 57:4,7,18	assurance 126:3
applied 64:12,15	122:12	58:1,10 61:16	126:18
81:1	aspects 50:7	67:14 77:19 78:1	assurances 123:4
applies 53:2 103:7	assess 10:15,15	78:3,8 79:13,19	125:6,15
apply 8:8 10:5	11:6,10,23 103:3	79:19 80:1 81:20	assure 22:24
17:24 24:8 51:19	assessed 11:14,22	92:10,17 93:12	131:21,23
56:19 68:1 84:11	52:23 53:1,3,4	95:6,21,23,25	attendance 55:20
89:3	54:10	98:10 99:8,15	

attention 25:22	backgrounds	bement 20:17 69:2	bill 128:24
72:10	21:22	69:2 72:9,9	bit 3:20 15:5
attorney 141:11	balanced 40:9	beneficial 66:3	17:11 21:7 32:2
141:12	100:20 101:4	benefit 131:9	45:11 63:1 64:3
attorneys 141:14	112:11	benefiting 131:12	87:18 119:6 139:2
audit 86:10,12,14	balances 84:23	bernhardt 3:10	black 130:24
86:15,16,20,25	85:23 86:8 114:11	best 28:15 29:4	bloomington 1:12
august 6:3 26:11	bandwidth 101:21	33:8 45:2 64:18	88:1 100:17 141:8
29:23 44:23 62:10	barely 47:18	109:9	blowing 126:22
123:22,23 128:20	based 14:24 15:3	better 11:1 86:9	blown 90:4
128:23 129:2,12	17:18 18:10,13,20	102:13 113:20	board 6:5 24:23
132:8 133:12,14	19:1 39:1 46:10	beyond 23:14	34:21 77:25 78:7
137:5 139:12	101:20,21 110:14	66:23	89:24 90:19 91:10
authority 97:24	110:14 121:9,12	bia 61:17	91:15,17 95:23
98:12	124:6,13 125:21	bie 3:24 6:23 8:4,8	98:18 105:11
authorized 6:21	129:18 136:6	13:21 14:20,21	137:17,20
automatically	baseline 37:1	19:18 23:4,25	boarding 69:23
18:15	48:11 126:24	24:8 25:1 26:3	70:5,6,17,20
autonomy 26:3	basic 105:9 111:20	31:2 32:24 33:4	boards 25:4 72:22
32:2	113:13	33:25 34:19 38:1	82:1
available 5:9	basically 36:24,25	38:4 39:1,5,16	bodies 39:17
avoid 85:11	56:18 77:8	40:6 47:12 49:6	72:21
100:13	basis 61:19 76:25	50:9,11 51:8	body 24:23 95:22
awaited 3:22 7:15	107:20	54:13,15 55:24	98:18 99:1
awarded 117:7	bearing 9:24	56:2,4 57:8,11,16	book 22:4 72:10
aware 45:22 47:11	beat 70:20	57:22 58:2,8	booklet 19:25
47:23	beating 119:5	61:20 63:2,6	bottom 73:13
ayp 103:14 107:3	beautiful 71:25	64:21 66:19 69:3	111:22
b	began 7:4,7 60:6	71:1,22 72:9 73:7	bough 108:25
b 54:8 119:15	116:22 136:14	74:7 77:22 80:12	bound 58:23
120:6 132:18,24	beginning 52:18	85:24 88:22 89:13	box 78:21
back 6:5 19:25	123:16 134:19	94:24 99:5 101:11	brainchild 69:14
20:4 22:20 28:1	begins 59:13 94:6	108:14 111:15,18	71:25
28:25 52:21 60:2	behalf 3:9 28:4	116:18 120:20,24	brand 84:6
63:18,19 80:15	beholden 127:3	121:3 126:24	break 63:12,13
81:12 82:13 90:7	belcourt 14:7	127:8 131:16	76:10 114:25
91:13 106:6	30:19 72:17 93:4	133:2 134:6,10,22	115:6 117:12
109:12 117:21	believe 43:8 46:10	138:11,21,22	118:4
118:5 119:22	70:12 79:2 80:19	bie's 23:16	brian 108:24
123:2 126:16	83:15 88:10 97:17	bie.gov 29:21	briefly 27:1
127:1 131:19,20	117:7 120:8 122:8	big 34:15 101:14	bring 41:6 59:2
132:10 133:23	127:12	bigger 22:4	bringing 80:15
135:19	belong 24:24 26:2	biggest 15:1	81:12 125:13

[brought - civil] Page 5

brought 70:24	c	cause 40:22	chart 19:24
72:10 100:13	c 2:1 3:1 96:1	caused 113:5	charts 19:25
112:13	98:17 130:17	138:16	chase 78:6
bsb 25:7	131:15	caution 35:17,19	cheat 20:23 22:19
buckets 55:5	calculate 106:21	cautious 35:17	checks 84:23
budget 85:21		center 6:19 51:2,6	85:23 86:7 114:11
build 11:15 12:12	calculation 109:5	73:4	chief 5:3 136:5
75:7 76:16,17	calendar 38:13	centers 6:20 50:9	chiefs 69:9
building 81:7,11	california 112:10	50:21	child 7:10 32:1,22
bullet 73:14	113:9 114:16	cents 68:22	33:23 34:11 35:6
bunch 76:12	call 85:21 122:23	century 49:3	49:16 71:14 90:1
burden 40:22	124:11	certain 8:9 29:6	97:24 98:12
burdensome	called 16:5 17:25	106:17 111:11	103:13 106:6,7
25:20	23:21 72:12 74:11	127:4 137:9	107:1 109:12
bureau 1:1 5:1	101:16 130:4,9	certainly 41:1	112:7 120:19,24
32:14 35:15 37:13	cap 12:15 52:18	44:14 71:23	123:15 134:20
37:18 39:1 42:6	53:13,17,20	certificate 141:1	children 46:13
48:20 52:19 54:18	capable 85:3,4	certify 141:6	113:14
56:14,19 65:15	88:11	cetera 23:24 49:3	choices 12:6
79:9,16 80:1	capital 1:24	106:23 107:3	choose 17:3
86:22 87:3,22,24	card 51:8 52:11	cfr 67:3	138:21
88:1 90:15 91:11	74:2,23 75:5,15	challenge 77:21	choosing 31:9
91:24 92:10,12	120:20 122:1,8,11	79:14,15 87:24	chosen 108:7
95:3,4 96:9 97:10	cards 106:22	124:20,21	chronic 16:7
98:8 100:22,24	107:2	challenged 88:5	circle 33:20 35:3
102:14,18 104:9	care 13:16 117:8	107:12	39:10 45:6 52:15
106:9,15 107:10	career 10:1	challenges 81:20	55:13 58:25 62:14
107:11,23,25	101:24 102:25	88:7	62:22 65:5 68:4,5
107:11,23,23	carry 84:25	challenging 9:13	71:11 75:10 77:14
121:5,17 122:4,25	carryover 84:6	72:14 107:9	84:19 110:25
124:1,8 126:15	cart 101:13	chance 55:7	113:5
127:4,6,17 129:20	case 92:23 111:18	125:24 129:7,12	circumstance
130:3,8 135:13	cases 99:16 114:3	130:19	89:15
139:14 141:7	123:22	change 15:2 21:14	circumstances
bureau's 92:23	castillo 4:16 13:9	38:25 45:25 49:5	8:10 61:20
107:24 109:3	53:14,15 54:20,21	75:12	cite 32:8
122:1	134:16,17	changed 8:1 11:5	citizens 99:20
business 51:8	catch 125:11	32:1,1 44:21	civics 22:15 23:19
52:11 74:2,23	catching 121:2	97:25 102:20	23:21 24:10,12,14
75:5 106:1 119:8	categories 17:13	changes 23:17	46:21 47:3 66:18
buy 80:9	18:7,9 113:14	27:13 45:21 48:13	66:21,23,24 68:6
bypass 57:22 96:7	category 19:4,11	88:18	68:13 69:4 72:13
96:10 97:1	31:12	changing 13:16	civil 7:5
70.10 77.1	caught 86:2	90:2 103:15	CIVII 7.5
		70.2 103.13	

clarification 93:11	climate 16:11	coming 4:12 6:4	67:12,23 69:3
98:25	55:19	19:13 20:10 26:5	77:24 78:21 79:11
clarified 87:16	close 119:8 127:20	46:18 48:15 77:17	82:10,12 94:9
clarify 73:6 75:20	140:3,7	84:8,21 85:19,20	96:24 109:15,18
134:17	closely 85:13	116:25 126:20	128:16 138:10,25
clarity 46:11	124:22	139:17	committee's 136:7
52:17 53:15	closer 60:1	commence 136:1	committees 21:20
clark 2:7 4:20	closing 2:7	comment 5:14	common 101:1
14:9 20:5 26:17	code 96:6	26:11,13,16 27:3	102:14,21 103:14
30:24 34:2 41:20	cognitive 10:8	29:13,23 30:12	112:23 129:6
43:18,23,25 45:23	11:18 13:4	33:22 44:22 46:16	communicate 32:6
46:4 47:5,22 48:2	collaborate 46:25	56:12,13 60:14	communicated
48:2 49:6,6 51:7,8	colleague 4:19	63:21 66:13 69:17	45:12
51:20 52:8 54:4	colleagues 4:14	76:9 77:10 117:19	communicating
54:16 55:4 56:23	collect 10:16	117:22 129:16	31:16
58:7,8 62:5 63:10	121:19 124:5	131:1 137:6,11	communication
63:17 65:2 66:10	collected 116:20	commentary	45:13 66:4
68:25 69:18 71:7	collecting 115:24	46:18 62:15	communicative
73:6,7,21 74:21	collects 116:18	comments 6:3	75:14
76:2 82:23,25	college 21:24	45:9 47:7 54:1	communities 6:6
88:23 100:7 102:8	70:23 101:24	55:11 59:19 63:13	24:2
103:8 105:22	102:25 116:9	63:20 65:3 66:11	community 30:18
114:24 117:12	column 61:11	68:23,25 71:7,10	69:23 131:22
119:4,21 120:4	73:13 95:17	76:3 80:5 82:25	comparable 33:2
128:25 131:25	115:15	88:20 103:8	compile 33:2
132:11,15,17,21	combine 72:6	105:22 115:9	compiled 31:14
132:23 133:4,9,12	combined 16:25	118:2 119:7,10	complete 60:15
134:1,14 137:2,18	combining 111:11	133:3 135:19,21	completed 21:17
138:5 139:4,17,20	come 8:17,19	135:23,25 137:3	completely 80:3
139:25 140:6	28:25 30:14 32:21	137:16 139:5,13	113:2
class 70:15	33:12,16 41:9,12	139:18 140:2	complex 28:14,17
classroom 79:20	42:15,24 43:2,11	commission	29:5,11 54:24
classrooms 10:20	46:1 48:6,12,21	141:25	55:8 97:20
clause 95:18	63:18 64:8,9	commitment	complicated 17:12
claymore 100:15	69:25 70:16 86:25	102:4	60:1
100:15 102:7	99:5 104:10	committed 91:24	comply 127:8,15
clear 14:14 31:3	115:14 116:6	committee 4:7	127:25
52:20 66:6 78:2	117:21 119:22	20:13,19 21:13,16	component 68:16
90:15 119:17	123:1 126:15	21:17 22:2,22	70:13 104:23
128:2 131:2	137:16,19	23:9,19 24:3,11	comprehensive
137:10	comes 21:9 71:14	25:3,11,15,23	17:16 18:6,8,16
clearer 93:1	71:16 125:18	26:8 31:3 34:3,17	18:23 48:16
clearly 43:10	126:13	34:24 39:4 43:7	computer 4:9
		60:3 62:21 67:5,7	101:21

	ı	1	T
concept 90:12	29:11,19,21 39:8	93:7 102:6	creating 72:12
concern 33:22	43:16,25 44:3	conversations	79:13 116:23
39:12 40:23 76:11	45:3 126:11,23	36:4 58:4,17,20	credit 9:24
131:14	128:20 131:17	58:21 75:24 127:2	criteria 17:22
concerned 53:5	132:3,4,6,14	coordinate 73:25	30:21 113:12
68:8	133:5,17,19	copies 33:15	critical 11:20
concerns 47:7	134:11,15 135:3	core 7:5 101:1	26:23
111:7 129:13	136:8 137:21,25	102:14,21 103:14	crosses 135:12
conclude 3:17	141:7	correct 6:12 41:4	cruz 2:3 3:3,7 6:14
concurrent 75:24	consultations 3:18	41:5 93:14 100:11	30:3 37:21,24,24
condition 74:14	44:1,8 67:21	121:18,21 131:25	39:3 47:20 49:24
conditions 74:14	117:16 138:6	133:11	49:24 61:3,3 62:9
74:17	consulting 48:7	corrective 126:25	62:15 63:9 65:13
conduct 134:10	133:6,14	correctly 52:21	126:19
conducted 101:16	consuming 40:24	120:9 129:1	csi 18:10 110:19
conference 120:2	contact 66:7	costs 49:13	ct 1:9
confident 29:1	content 9:13,14,17	council 137:17,20	cultural 68:16,16
conflict 24:1 25:11	10:10 52:23 53:2	councils 138:3	culture 72:7
confusing 101:6	context 3:21 34:10	counsel 13:8	cumbersome
congress 107:10	40:14 60:9 65:22	141:11,12	40:24
connection 62:21	79:14,24 80:21	counted 12:4	curious 100:17
consider 63:7	82:7 125:1	counting 12:16	current 38:2,19
89:24	continue 25:5	country 130:24	89:14 123:10
consideration	35:22,22 46:6	county 141:5,24	125:17 126:14,18
114:14 126:10	50:4,12 63:13	couple 5:5 20:14	currently 7:7 22:9
considered 39:18	96:3,16,25 99:17	27:1 35:14 40:3	37:17 38:12,18,22
59:15 77:20	99:24 100:3 115:1	68:6,19 88:15	116:15
considering 88:12	124:19,21 131:15	90:2 95:17 128:7	curricula 24:1
consist 131:6	continues 47:12	128:10 130:4	47:4 88:18
consistency 45:10	continuing 120:25	course 15:20	curriculum 9:7,8
consistent 8:11	136:15	55:24 103:1	67:14
9:25 10:4 11:6	continuously 37:6	courses 9:24	cut 47:12 78:6
21:2 54:8 61:17	136:25	court 5:17,22 14:4	111:2,8,10,23
82:6,14 88:11,14	contract 74:14	27:22	112:3,12 113:7
108:4	116:25 117:6	covered 55:16	114:15,19
consistently 18:2	141:13	103:12	cycle 70:25
19:3	contractor 65:17	covering 135:3	d
consortium 61:24	control 87:7	cozy 96:15	d 3:1 54:7 115:15
constitute 89:22	controlled 46:23	create 9:16 31:3	119:16 120:7
consult 133:10	53:7 63:4,6 68:5	57:23 61:24	d.c. 1:25 4:20
consultant 65:16	69:11 80:14 86:9	created 96:5,8	daily 76:24
consultation 1:1,3	87:2,25 131:16	101:9 116:24	dakota 14:8 30:19
3:6 5:15,17 23:15	conversation 27:5	117:9 129:25	37:5,8,8,9 41:25
26:4,22 27:8	36:2 58:11 75:20		42:3 43:2 59:5,6

[dakota - directed] Page 8

59:17 60:23 66:22	decide 16:9 42:6	50:10,13 60:4,4	determines 93:13
70:21,22 72:17	82:2 91:11 98:14	69:21 78:16 81:23	determining 19:14
77:3 93:4 95:6,8	108:12 109:10,10	89:21 90:13 91:24	109:17
98:2 99:25 103:20	decided 93:8 95:8	102:21 106:16	detrimentally
104:7,8	100:22 112:22	115:13 136:8	64:19
dakota's 130:12	122:11	depending 5:13	develop 24:20
data 7:23,23 55:23	decision 43:7 89:3	34:12 40:8 42:22	33:6 34:25 43:12
56:8 108:15,16,21	127:23	93:12 105:14	79:18 123:20
111:12 113:1	decisions 32:3	depends 39:22	developed 24:2
115:24 116:19	89:19 124:1	90:6,17 91:14	67:16 77:5 78:10
121:20 123:8	125:25	depth 139:2	81:3 120:11
124:4,5,10,11,12	declined 52:6	deputy 3:8 4:17	developing 81:20
124:23 126:17	deem 10:18	31:2	136:12
138:21,22	deeper 40:16	described 62:9	development 3:9
date 24:15 43:20	deeply 136:13	134:15	21:16 36:10 72:22
73:1	deference 137:22	describes 20:1	136:14,20
davis 4:18 73:23	deficit 85:21	26:1	devising 50:7,15
75:6	defined 16:23 31:5	describing 57:6	dfo 69:2
day 47:6 63:20	31:21 65:18,23	133:5,19	dialogue 27:6,19
71:14 74:2 100:13	68:10,10 135:22	description 61:10	27:20
115:8 118:1	defines 52:22	72:19 73:12	difference 10:2
141:16	defining 128:9	descriptions 51:22	15:5 76:21
days 25:19 89:3,7	136:16	design 122:22	differences 12:4
89:8 123:2	definite 89:18	127:18	20:1 63:5
dead 119:5	135:21	designed 14:14	different 26:12
deadline 29:23,24	definitely 80:5	113:15	31:25 32:15 42:15
44:23 128:23	81:24	designing 50:8	52:16 56:17,21,24
129:3 131:20,23	definition 65:8	desperately 87:14	57:7 67:20 68:7
131:25 132:8	68:12,21 112:21	detail 29:17	68:18,20 69:5,16
137:6 139:13	112:22	130:12	80:3 83:15 89:16
deal 126:14	definitions 65:7	details 129:24	89:17 91:19
dealing 125:17	65:12 136:13	determination	100:19 103:22
dealt 33:14	delay 45:1	23:23 36:14	109:7,8 113:12
dear 44:1 45:4	deliberating 38:12	123:20,25 124:23	114:21 131:11
deb 2:4 4:24 6:12	deliberations 6:1	124:24	132:14 136:24
6:14,18 20:5	delighted 6:24	determinations	differentiate
26:20 95:15 96:20	delineation 63:3	107:3 123:14	16:14
98:14 103:5	deliver 124:23	124:13 126:1,7	difficult 60:22
111:17	delivered 12:7,7	determine 8:22	78:17
deb's 22:8	delve 107:16	10:17 11:9 36:7	diligently 46:2
december 3:23	department 4:15	120:11	dinged 125:10
7:15 93:25 94:12	6:21 17:10 31:7	determined 23:4	direct 55:16 127:7
116:22	33:9 36:4 47:20 47:23 48:25 50:6	30:22 110:20	directed 74:10

[direction - elo] Page 9

direction 68:10	doi 48:24	114:8 116:21	education 5:1 6:22
126:21 134:10	doing 3:16 28:2	117:4 120:2,14,17	7:4,5 8:3 9:10,25
directly 46:12	31:21,22 35:5	121:21 123:11	10:1 11:13 19:21
65:16 73:24 85:6	39:8 47:14 56:22	125:3,4,22 128:6	20:25 22:6 31:7
87:3 104:4	57:25 58:2 81:6,8	129:15 130:2	43:13 50:9 52:19
director 4:17,21	81:15 86:3 99:16	131:14 135:12	53:9 60:5 69:21
23:4 31:2 59:14	99:19 101:1 113:8	136:4	70:4 81:23 89:21
66:6 92:1 97:11	114:1 119:22	draft 5:2 38:5,11	90:14 100:16
97:12 132:25	124:2 126:18	50:4 126:10,12	102:21 106:16
134:2	129:22 130:21	129:16	115:12 120:23
director's 75:4	134:12	drafted 120:19	educators 112:13
disabilities 10:8	dollars 47:18	drive 1:10	effect 24:9,16
11:12,18 13:4	48:20	driver's 87:6	93:17
disaggregation	domains 111:10	due 6:3 58:20	effective 26:25
7:22	111:11	dumb 115:13	effectively 27:14
discretion 81:3	download 26:6	duty 115:17,20,21	28:3
discretionary 49:3	dpa 123:2 125:12	e	effects 23:15
discuss 93:2	dr 2:4,5 4:21 5:2		efforts 46:3
discussed 35:13	12:20 14:2 20:7,9	e 2:1 3:1,1 26:13	139:16
82:12 139:1	20:17 23:2 26:17	29:21 54:8 59:11	either 11:6 19:2
discussing 82:5	26:19 30:24 31:1	59:11,15,16 64:15	65:16 137:17
129:19	31:2 32:8,10,20	73:24 76:8,8 92:2	ela 15:9 16:19
discussion 31:20	33:18 34:3,9	earlier 28:18 58:9	24:6,7 34:18 53:3
35:11,13,15 40:2	35:11 36:1,17	64:3 122:21 130:3	78:3 92:17,22,25
40:5,16 41:17	37:15 39:19 41:5	early 4:9 124:10	elders 70:8
54:23 59:20,21	41:9,22,23 42:9	124:24	elect 95:23
60:7,17 69:6	42:18 46:7 47:22	earth 33:21 35:4	elected 5:11 30:4
76:10 77:1,13	48:3,23 58:8	39:11 45:7 52:15	30:5
88:21 89:1 109:20	59:10 60:13 62:6	55:13 58:25 62:14	element 116:19
121:23	65:10,14 66:5	65:5 68:4 71:11	elementary 7:3
discussions 33:8	67:1 68:11 74:9	75:10 77:15 84:20	11:11 15:13,15,16
39:18 42:17,20	75:19 77:22 80:18	111:1 easier 41:2 83:21	20:24 56:12 57:20
46:11 59:8,23	80:24 81:14 83:14	easily 91:15 128:2	58:19 63:25 83:5
60:10 87:18 94:10	84:3,14 85:2 86:6	easily 91.13 128.2 eastern 29:24	83:25 84:10 88:25
95:9,10,10 97:20	89:13 92:14 93:5		92:8 102:11
dismal 64:18	93:15 94:16 95:1	easy 27:19 32:25 33:2,11	103:11 108:11
disseminate 128:3	97:8,12 98:11,25	economic 3:9	109:24 135:8
dissimilar 108:20	99:7,10,14 100:1	ed 17:11 33:9 36:5	138:9
district 53:6	100:5,9,12 101:10	47:20,23 48:25	elements 17:7
districts 53:7	102:12,19 103:6	50:10,13 78:16	106:21 117:9
division 4:16	104:3,17,25 105:9	87:15 91:24 125:9	136:18
documentation	105:17,21 106:11	136:8	eligible 47:21
43:12	108:18 110:4,10	educating 11:2	elo 43:4
	111:5 113:25	cuucaung 11.2	

emphasize 13:12	enunciate 5:21	everybody 3:4	expires 141:25
54:21 55:1	equal 126:23	27:4 30:10 63:11	explain 30:19
employee 141:11	equitably 9:9	66:6,13 115:4	37:19
141:12	equity 8:2 19:22	127:16 139:10,21	explained 42:18
employees 50:16	era 109:12	139:22	122:18
117:17	erc 64:3,10 73:4	evidence 10:16	explains 124:25
encounter 87:12	73:18 74:23 75:1	exactly 71:15 94:8	exploration 90:10
encourage 78:23	76:19 100:16	123:12	explore 91:21
137:10	ercs 76:11 77:11	example 15:19	95:11,13 107:15
encouraged	erring 35:18	16:6 40:3 59:23	107:20
137:19	esca 120:17,22	76:19 90:1 111:9	explored 77:23
encouragement	134:20	122:3	exploring 81:24
77:10 80:7	esea 7:3,6 9:10	exams 15:10	128:14
encourages 79:10	61:15,18	exceed 54:11,18	expressed 122:18
energy 82:3	especially 13:17	excellence 8:2	extend 128:23
engaged 21:25	43:16 46:19 60:20	19:21	129:3
engagement 22:13	80:11 88:12,17	excellent 9:9	f
23:9,14,18 36:9	essa 7:18,20 8:12	exception 10:5	face 75:16
46:9,14	9:11 10:3 14:25	exceptions 11:15	facilitate 50:6
english 10:9,11	15:2,5 16:13 20:1	excited 68:7	fact 68:19
11:23 12:2 15:24	31:5,22 34:20	excuse 14:2 71:9	fail 18:12
16:1,20 24:7 78:4	48:25 49:2,5 62:1	exercise 62:4	failed 18:14
92:18	65:11,14 84:4	81:19	fair 32:16 43:15
enlighten 119:18	105:10 106:15	exercising 35:17	fairly 31:21 54:24
ensure 25:17 54:9	110:1,12 115:23	exist 67:14,15	128:18
84:24 88:16	116:21 120:18,19	existing 23:15	fall 82:17 92:13
113:20	129:18 134:19,21	47:18	124:15
ensuring 10:11	essentially 17:12	exit 17:22,23	fallen 121:1
14:13	49:9 91:3 111:23	18:21	falls 55:4
entail 53:23	132:3	expect 8:18 9:4	false 28:20
127:19	establish 57:12	expectation 7:12	families 115:25
entails 65:8	61:15 112:1,2,16	expectations	far 41:18 78:24
enter 94:4	established 77:11	14:15,17 76:14	79:11 107:15
entire 33:22,25	93:22 111:19	112:20	121:18 130:18
52:19 88:1 111:12	112:12 114:2	expected 13:19	138:20
114:16 130:24	121:14 134:7	15:6 76:16 85:17	feasible 122:14
133:18	establishing 17:14	expensive 88:18	federal 6:8 24:25
entirely 15:3	135:2	experience 27:18	39:13 43:20 44:4
entities 17:3 18:17	estimated 52:2	experiences 41:18	47:14 48:6 50:1
entitlement 17:18	et 23:24 49:3	85:19	55:24 58:2 61:9
entity 16:9 18:7	106:23 107:3	expert 4:23	72:18 75:22 76:1
112:3,5	evaluate 131:10	119:25	79:4 96:6 105:1
entrance 9:23	everall 76:6	experts 44:13	117:17 129:16,17
			130:18

[feds - give] Page 11

feds 97:21	fits 91:15	forthcoming 23:2	63:2 66:19 77:4
feed 8:24 104:2	five 63:14 103:23	forum 2:6 30:10	80:12 100:4,8
feedback 46:16	111:9 113:12,15	47:6 62:8 115:2,8	116:10 120:24
67:21 68:23 87:11	117:7 127:12	118:2	133:2 134:6
102:3	136:24	forward 21:11	funding 39:13,13
feeding 13:16	fix 40:25	23:5 27:2 51:12	39:14 47:11,21,24
feel 4:4 62:17 63:5	fixing 85:21,21	60:11 64:23,24	48:23 49:1,4 58:1
71:12 117:21,23	flandreau 76:7	65:21 77:11 89:15	58:2 79:18 80:22
139:8	flexibility 53:18	90:16 91:23,25	84:1,4,7 85:25
fell 82:10,11	62:2,4	foster 117:8	funds 42:1,5 48:22
field 116:23	floor 26:15 30:3	fostering 81:13	80:20 84:5,11,18
fifteen 115:7	102:8 111:22	found 45:8	85:4,9,15,16,17
fifth 84:22	florida 60:6	founded 7:21	86:5 87:7,15 88:5
figure 28:3 75:7	flowing 27:6,20	four 17:4 27:18	110:22
file 86:12	fluent 70:22	57:16 69:22	furthermore
filing 95:12	fluidity 72:7	127:11	111:15
fill 123:6,8 125:19	focus 14:18	fourth 3:11 84:22	future 55:15 86:4
filled 74:22,25	136:12	fox 76:6,6	127:24
filling 51:12	folks 137:4	frame 34:6	fyi 68:11 114:25
final 6:1 35:18	follow 31:6 37:4	framework 4:11	g
38:11 39:6 42:22	41:18 51:15 87:19	71:17 129:18,19	
83:23 93:24 94:8	88:14,15 92:25	129:19 130:22	g 3:1 84:4 95:17
96:18 128:14	107:13	131:4 132:1,4	97:7 103:6 104:21
135:14,15 137:1	following 25:22	135:2	104:25
finally 19:5 36:19	33:21 38:14 39:6	frank 81:18	gaps 10:23
90:21	48:9 84:23	frankly 44:11	general 13:10
financially 141:13	food 63:7	87:13 97:8	61:10 65:18,24
find 10:23 47:8	footing 126:23	free 27:6,20	72:19 73:12
51:15,24	force 134:9	117:21	generally 13:4
finding 45:18	forced 33:12	friday 3:16	56:23 86:7 111:21
51:19	forces 115:20	front 71:17 135:1	112:9,16
finished 4:1,10	forefront 80:11	135:14	generous 99:15,16 germaine 20:18
99:23	forgive 55:14	frozen 51:11	getting 26:22,23
first 5:12,19 8:17	form 23:17 65:20	full 79:6 88:10	35:17 58:1 59:1
8:20 15:7 17:16	66:1 83:23	90:4,20 105:11	64:15 74:25 75:17
20:23 22:5,18,21	formal 5:16 44:6	115:16,20 116:10	102:3 125:10
27:4 30:3,4 35:10	59:13	function 51:25	126:25 136:17,21
36:5,6 52:17	formally 140:4	functions 51:23	gilbert 43:22,24
61:11 76:7 90:8	format 28:24	fund 48:18 99:24	give 6:25 10:25
101:11,15 102:3	38:25 117:25	fundamental 8:19	12:12 13:11 17:22
110:19 121:3	formula 49:2	funded 8:8 23:25	26:11 28:9,19,20
fiscal 74:7 88:19	fort 69:20 115:12	24:8 25:1 26:3	29:6 43:5,10,14
fiscally 84:25 85:3	forth 7:3	34:19 47:12,15	65:25 74:1,23
85:4,9		54:13 61:17,20	75:4 88:8 123:4
			75.7 00.0 125.4

[give - hamley] Page 12

126:3 129:12	32:4 35:13,15,24	good 3:3 6:17,17	granted 57:2 70:2
130:15,19,25	35:25 36:3 37:1	9:15,15 20:9 22:1	grants 81:1
137:22 139:7	37:11,13,14 38:7	27:6,16 28:10	great 3:4 6:14
given 9:7 13:6	38:19 40:4,10,10	32:20 36:1 39:9,9	27:6 64:23 80:1
16:25 17:4 18:15	40:15 42:6 43:8	46:4 59:2 69:15	124:2 137:22
31:7 32:2 36:21	43:17 46:6,10	83:14 89:14 95:10	greater 16:25 53:8
73:2 84:2 104:21	48:6,8,11,12,18	106:11,12 114:10	125:24
106:17 135:16		118:3 119:7,10	greatly 62:23
	48:19,20 49:15,25	123:11 131:11	
gives 68:10	50:21,25 51:1,6 53:12,21 54:23	139:20	gross 136:16
giving 29:1 37:16	*		ground 45:16 60:18 85:20 92:4
115:4 125:6,15 134:9	56:17,21 57:7 58:4,22,23 59:24	gotten 3:24 67:21 96:15,15 114:3	
	60:17 63:19 64:6	119:17	grounding 7:1
glad 87:13 88:21	66:21,24 68:21		group 19:24 113:22 115:23
glitch 95:7	<i>'</i>	governing 24:23 24:25 25:4 34:21	128:16
glossary 65:12	69:7 70:4 71:21	72:21 73:16 77:25	
go 3:6 4:13 5:7,12	71:24,24 72:2	78:7 82:1 89:23	groups 7:14,14 10:25 14:19 17:9
5:14 6:10 18:19	73:2 74:12,20		136:12
19:23 20:3,12,22	75:24 79:21 81:22	90:18 91:10,14,16 95:22 98:18 99:1	
21:6 22:4 29:14	82:2 83:9,10,12		growing 68:24
29:21 36:13 37:14	83:15 84:1,11,17	105:11	grows 80:17
40:11 43:9 51:23	86:3 89:2,9,11	government 28:5	growth 15:13,18
60:2 61:5,6 62:17	91:6,20,22,22	39:2 47:14 48:6	37:1 122:3,5
66:3 70:6 78:9	94:2,7,8,18,20	48:18 55:25 79:10	guard 115:17,21
79:21 81:22 88:6	96:9 97:14,16	131:17,17 137:21	guess 59:15 78:6
89:20 90:7 91:13	98:2 99:5 100:3	137:21	82:1,3 85:18
96:25 101:4	100:24 103:16	governments	94:22 95:7,15
108:13 112:15	104:6,8,14,18,19	131:18	96:20 104:4
116:5,9 119:4	106:4,8,9,13	grade 11:9,10	120:13 125:22
120:12 128:5	108:14,15,16	grades 11:7 12:22	131:14
130:14 132:17	111:3,8,13,14	graduate 18:14	guidance 31:7
133:16,23 134:10	113:6,7 118:1	66:23 69:25	83:17,22 88:12
136:22 138:21	119:5 121:18,24	graduated 69:22	guide 8:17
140:3	122:23,24 123:2,4	69:24	guys 5:8 101:8
goal 25:10 35:5	123:6,8,10 124:15	graduation 15:23	138:19 139:15
127:16	124:20 125:20,24	16:20 17:6,20	h
goals 17:5,7,8	126:9,12,13,16,16	31:13 66:25 67:1	half 63:11
77:12	126:20 128:17,23	67:2,4,10,25	hamley 4:21 12:20
goes 15:11 28:1	131:20,21,23	68:14	14:2 23:2 30:24
58:22	132:13 133:10,23	grandmother	31:1,1 32:8,10,20
going 19:14,23	135:9,16,18	69:24 70:8	33:18 34:3,9
20:6,6,12 21:6,10	136:17 138:19,20	grant 42:4,5 74:13	35:11,12 39:19,19
21:19 22:18 27:1	138:22 139:3,9	74:13,14,16,17	41:5,9,22,23 42:9
27:7 28:14,15	140:1,6	75:12 125:16	42:9 47:22 48:3,3
30:2,20,21,22		127:5 131:22	48:23 58:8 59:10

60:13 62:6 65:10	harder 90:24	highlight 62:5	110:13,22 116:8
65:10,14 66:5	harper 20:18	highlighted 81:19	116:15
67:1,3 68:11 74:9	harsh 139:23	86:20 87:17	identifies 56:1
77:22,22 80:18,18	hate 22:20 85:7	hinge 95:3	identify 18:17
81:14,14 83:14,14	head 32:10 43:21	history 70:3	19:2 37:23 97:7
84:3,14 85:2,2	51:10 106:15	hmm 6:13	103:25 108:14
86:6,6 89:13,13	heads 115:4	hold 14:12 139:6	117:11 127:19
92:14 93:5,15,16	hear 3:4 4:24 5:3	home 6:6 69:25	identifying 84:12
94:16 95:1,1,16	39:8 68:12 70:7	honest 66:4	116:16
97:8,8,12 98:11	88:4,5,21 139:19	honor 22:5	identity 80:6
98:25 99:7,10,14	heard 70:7 77:1	hope 77:11 131:2	ieds 11:13
100:1,5,5,9,12	114:18 127:10	139:22	ieps 13:13
103:6 104:3,3,17	138:12	hoped 109:19	ifs 34:15
104:25 105:9,17	hearing 30:8	hoping 45:17	immediately
105:21 106:11,11	38:21,23 57:21	hopkins 69:19,20	73:20 90:23 91:1
108:18,18 110:4,4	60:18 61:1,2	115:11,12 116:1,3	91:6 92:2
110:10 111:5,5	64:14 78:15 140:8	116:13,17	impact 43:17
113:25,25 114:8	heart 26:21	horse 101:14	111:4,15
116:21,21 117:4	heck 41:7	119:5	impacts 111:11
120:2,14,14,17	held 13:19 74:15	hour 63:10 115:7	impartiality
121:21 123:11	77:13	house 50:14,16	141:15
125:3,4,22 128:6	helena 116:7	76:16	imperfect 109:6
128:6 129:15	hello 75:9	hr 51:13,25	109:13
131:14 135:12	help 10:23,25	hundred 12:8 29:1	implement 24:20
hand 30:14 41:20	14:18 79:18 86:22	29:6 88:10	24:24 78:1 122:24
47:8 61:11 63:22	88:7,8,10 92:4	hurts 114:13	132:25 134:2
66:15 76:4 83:1,3	helped 50:6	hypothetical	implementation
115:10 119:11	helpful 137:13	122:16	6:19 23:20 36:21
141:16	helping 5:1 88:2	hypothetically	38:14 47:21 48:8
happen 35:16 39:3	helps 135:6	93:25 122:4	implemented 8:13
41:14 42:18 75:17	hennepin 141:5,24	i	21:3 23:25 24:25
95:9,12 107:4	hesitate 55:21	idea 13:10 39:9,9	46:22 88:17
114:13 116:15	hi 53:14 100:15	39:11 44:11 48:25	103:19 134:6
138:19	134:16	69:15 110:23	implementing
happened 3:24	high 10:22 11:8,11	121:17 123:18	3:25 19:8 47:16
45:3 91:3 123:3	12:23 14:7 15:12	ideal 42:10,13	83:6
happening 114:6	15:16,23 17:20	125:14	important 4:4 6:2
114:7,11 136:25	30:18 31:13 35:21	ideally 38:6 93:23	8:16 10:19 14:20
happens 20:2	36:24 41:23 66:18	identification	21:23 24:24 26:9
112:10	72:17 80:15 82:21	110:7,8,13,19	27:17,23 28:6
happily 75:16	93:4 104:17	111:16	29:9 69:9 80:5
hard 4:6 70:13	119:15	identified 10:7	116:9
76:21 131:24	higher 9:25 32:18	17:17 18:5,23	importantly 14:22
139:16		19:7,9 83:8 110:9	
	I .		

[improve - join] Page 14

improve 7:13	22:17 24:13,15	instructions 6:7	107:11 114:5
19:14	55:19 56:2,5	instructor 70:16	123:21
improvement	66:20 122:3	instrumental 4:25	issued 4:9
17:13,16 18:5	indicators 16:13	intend 34:13	issues 29:12 42:22
25:25 26:2 83:7	16:14,18 17:1	intent 104:21,22	50:1 55:8 58:15
86:3 110:2,3,8	18:13 103:23	123:13 124:15	59:25 86:14,20
improvements	104:1 105:3,4	126:2,5	138:16
84:25	107:17,22 108:7	intention 25:8	item 2:2
improving 11:3	indistar 83:11	98:19	iterations 7:9
inaccurate 28:21	individual 112:7	interaction 139:18	j
incentivize 81:2	113:1,22	interest 80:15	j 30:17
incentivized 80:9	individualized	141:14	jam 38:5
incentivizing	11:13	interested 20:2	january 136:15
81:10	individuals 5:13	46:24 80:16 95:18	141:25
include 12:2 15:13	21:25 113:11,18	141:13	jargon 45:11
15:14,17 22:23	113:23 114:4	interesting 46:25	jeff 4:21 31:1
23:22 24:19 25:16	influence 60:25	interests 71:19	35:12 39:19 42:9
68:21 138:11	information 11:1	interfere 38:17	48:3 65:10 67:3
included 14:25	26:7 28:21,21,25	interim 12:12 75:2	77:22 80:18 81:14
15:24	44:25 51:15 53:24	75:8 91:5 95:19	83:14 85:2 86:6
includes 7:22	124:17 134:5	96:25	89:13 93:16 95:1
including 13:16	informational	interior 4:14 8:6	95:16 97:8 100:5
15:20 16:6 25:18	101:18	60:4	104:3 106:11
134:7 136:12	informed 43:3	internal 121:23	108:18 110:4
inclusive 103:2	informing 11:3	intervene 17:15	111:5 113:25
incorporated	inherent 137:24	19:12	116:21 119:21,23
71:25	initially 136:6	intervention	119:25 120:14
incorporation	initiatives 47:15	14:23,24 18:24	128:6 132:1
24:4,14	48:18 80:10	19:17 30:20	jenna 33:20 35:3
incorrect 13:11	inner 62:22	108:15 110:17,23	39:10 43:1 45:6
increase 53:20	input 21:9,15,23	interventions 7:25	52:14 55:12 58:21
54:3	25:24 26:23 27:12	19:9 31:19 32:3	58:24 62:13 65:4
increasing 54:22	28:2 30:12 43:7	introduce 4:14	68:3 71:10 75:9
55:1	44:12 47:7 63:21	introduction 2:3	77:14 84:19
indefinite 135:24	66:11 69:1 130:14	involve 40:4	110:25
indian 1:1 4:16	130:16 132:5	involved 55:8	jennifer 4:16
5:1 52:19 60:5	inquiries 90:2	involves 97:20	20:18 53:15 54:21
76:7 134:4 141:7	inside 75:21	isep 48:24 80:19	69:12,12 134:16
indians 71:2	instance 51:21	80:22	job 50:23 62:23
indicated 134:18	137:9	issue 39:21 45:13	jobs 50:24 51:5,18
135:4 indicates 105:7	instruction 42:12 instructional	59:12 74:4 78:6	51:24 52:3,6
	124:1	78:19 79:22 80:3 85:5,13 86:16	john 14:6
indicator 15:15,18 16:5,20 17:3	124.1	93:21 96:11	join 41:7
10.3,40 17.3		73.41 70.11	

joint 60:3	31:14 32:3 34:5	14:6 30:17,18	15:25 16:1,20
jonathan 1:23	34:15 35:15 36:5	32:8,12 33:15	24:6,18,19 44:20
141:24	40:8,9 42:11 44:5	34:8 35:20,20	61:12 63:1 70:15
judgments 113:19	44:12,25 45:14,24	36:16,23,24 37:19	70:16,21 71:2,21
july 1:8 93:23	46:1 50:6,20 51:9	37:23 38:20,20	71:22 77:17 78:8
94:13 123:20	51:10,13 53:25	41:22,23 42:25	78:11,12,14,20,23
141:7,16	57:15 59:1,20	43:1 47:10,10,25	79:1,10,25 80:10
jumps 61:22	60:11,14 62:6,20	48:5 50:19,19	80:16,19,25 81:8
june 21:18 43:22	62:22 64:24 65:20	51:17,17 52:4,13	81:11,16,20 82:10
43:23,24 44:4	66:1,8,13,22	54:6,6,17 56:11	82:16 90:24 92:18
45:4 86:12 123:20	74:10,21 78:3,11	56:12 57:19,19	94:18 101:22
123:20 124:11	78:16 80:8,14	58:18,18 59:5	102:2,20 103:2,15
justify 53:19	82:3,17 83:2	63:24,24 66:17,17	105:19 115:22
k	86:11,24 87:2,10	72:16,16 73:10,11	123:17 134:1
k 67:9	87:16,22 89:21,22	74:6 82:20,20,24	137:9,12
keep 125:13	90:5,9,24 91:8,18	83:4,4,24,24 84:9	languages 24:22
131:20 133:2	92:5 93:1,22 94:1	84:9 88:24,24	46:21 47:2 77:16
137:14 139:9	94:6,7,9,12,19,24	92:7,7 93:3,3	77:19 78:13 79:24
140:1	96:6 97:9,13	94:15,15 97:3,3	81:12
keeping 118:2	99:18,21 100:7,18	97:11 98:6,6,24	large 13:18 19:4
keeps 77:17	100:25 101:19,22	98:24 99:9,12,22	late 26:11 32:5
key 22:3,6	102:4 103:15,16	99:22 100:2,11	86:14
kicks 42:21	106:25 107:8,10	102:10,10 103:10	laughter 59:3
kid 12:25 13:16	108:7,8 109:21	103:10 104:16,16	law 7:2,5,6,10
kids 13:15,18	112:17,24 114:2,4	105:6,16,16,24,24	23:23 40:14,18
45:16 62:24 71:3	115:16 116:17	108:10,10 109:23	42:23 65:24 77:8
71:3 114:13	123:12,19 124:10	109:23 110:6,11	79:6,6 82:6 91:5
kill 71:2	124:14,22,25	119:14,14 120:6	93:10 97:25
killebrew 1:10	125:11,12 126:5	120:16 121:13,14	105:13 107:13
kind 3:20 4:11	127:2 128:19	122:20,20 125:2,2	123:14 127:15,20
6:25 20:20 21:6	135:5,15,20,21,25	125:5 126:8 128:4	128:1,24 130:5,7
22:4 27:20 30:12	136:23 137:3,8,12	128:19 129:5	lawyer 98:13
38:10 55:7 61:4	138:16	131:13,13 132:10	leadbetter 33:19
61:22,24,25 65:18	knowing 35:6	132:12,16,19,22	33:20 35:2,3
66:1,2,13 69:13	62:18 66:2 100:18	132:25 133:7,11	39:10,10 40:19
93:6 117:13,15	knowledgeable	133:21,22 134:12	41:6,15 45:6,6,24
127:9 137:4	112:17	135:7,7 137:15,15	46:5 52:14,14
138:23	known 7:18 101:8	138:1,7,8,8	53:5 55:12,12
kinds 8:9	l	139:15,19,21	56:7 58:24,24
knew 70:22 120:5	1 76:8,8	lagging 121:3	59:6 60:13 62:13
know 3:23 8:4,18	label 18:16	laid 130:11	62:13 65:4,4,25
9:4 13:17 14:16	lack 46:11 102:13	lane 107:6,7	66:9 68:2,3 71:9
22:1 27:15,18	laducer 12:18,22	language 10:9,13	71:10 75:9,9
28:8,18 29:16	13:6,14,24 14:1,6	11:24,25 12:24	77:14,14 80:4

81:5 82:19 84:19	level 32:18 47:13	log 132:11	32:13 84:8 85:15
84:19 85:18 88:9	62:2 76:25 79:15	logistical 27:2	109:17 110:20
110:25,25 111:7	79:21 81:22 86:1	long 3:22 7:11,15	lunch 93:2 115:1,6
112:4,24 113:24	112:1,2 113:13	17:5,7 37:14	115:6 117:13
114:7,10 117:2	123:25 127:7,9,25	74:20 77:23 84:25	118:4,7
leader 44:2 45:5	levels 9:20 81:11	89:1 90:9 106:1,3	m
leaders 5:11 21:24	111:19	112:15 135:10	ma'am 47:5 58:7
43:13,14 45:19	lieu 57:22 92:12	139:6	65:2 72:8
52:21 62:17,18	99:4	longer 106:5	mail 26:13 29:21
69:8 88:21 138:2	life 33:20 35:3	look 13:9 14:23	59:15,16 73:24
leadership 45:21	39:11 45:7 52:15	16:9 22:19,21	92:2
47:3 75:13	55:13 58:25 62:14	27:9 33:24 36:15	mailed 59:11,11
league 101:14	65:5 68:4,5 71:11	43:11 48:13 50:12	mails 64:15
lean 77:2	75:10 77:15 84:20	54:6 62:11 72:11	main 10:2 104:13
learn 7:13 107:21	111:1 113:6	93:11 98:13	mainstream 70:4
learners 11:24	lifted 51:11	103:16 104:1	maintains 8:25
12:2	light 27:11 131:19	108:6 112:18	major 78:6
learning 10:11	liked 55:15	121:22 122:16	majority 37:10,11
11:3 42:13 79:20	limited 19:4 29:10	125:8 126:12	making 1:3 4:6
86:13	48:19	139:3	6:24 8:23 9:1,6
leas 120:22,22	line 87:1 104:12	looked 98:3,8	10:17 20:7,13
leeway 80:21	lines 66:4	looking 13:8,21	21:1,20 26:8
left 7:11 32:1,22	list 31:14 33:3	22:7 46:8 53:10	47:14 71:18 76:23
33:23 34:11 35:6	listed 3:5	56:14 62:25 64:23	100:9 101:6
49:16 61:11 67:5	listen 119:9	64:24 80:11 88:8	123:13 124:13
90:1 95:17 97:24	listened 71:20	92:10 101:1,8	129:3 134:23
98:12 103:13	listening 10:12 37:21 61:3 139:22	102:25 109:25	135:20 141:7
106:7 107:2		116:12 125:9 136:13	manage 85:9,17
109:12 120:19,25	literally 91:20	looks 48:5,8	127:18
123:15 134:20	litigate 129:13 litigation 51:10	131:11	management
legal 96:10 97:20 97:23 98:12	127:14,14,22	looseness 69:4	88:19
130:21 131:4	little 3:20,24	lose 19:22	managing 88:5
legalities 91:21	17:11 20:22 21:5	lot 19:19 31:7 41:2	mandate 49:9,12
legislation 99:23	21:7 32:5 61:13	41:17 43:5 50:20	49:22
length 90:17	63:1 64:3 87:18	59:3 60:15 102:22	mandated 61:15
lengthier 89:23	119:5 139:1	lots 16:6 113:18	mandatory 46:14
lengthy 89:9	live 32:22 129:10	love 39:11 68:11	manner 72:24
leslie 20:18	living 71:4	72:1	march 4:10
letter 44:2 45:5	local 62:2 70:23	low 18:22 83:9	margaret 100:15
90:5	120:23	lower 32:17 61:11	marie 4:18 69:13
letters 123:20	located 57:1,5	111:16	73:23 75:6
letting 100:7	lockton 69:22	lowest 17:17,20	mark 2:3 3:7
100.7		18:11,25 31:10,10	37:24 49:24 61:3
		10.11,20 01.10,10	134:18

materials 66:14	meetings 43:10	mike 5:18 63:22	montana 70:15
76:15,17 83:2	meets 112:21,22	66:16 76:5 115:10	116:4,6
137:4	melvin 14:6 30:17	military 115:25	montana's 130:13
math 9:18 11:7	35:20 36:24 38:20	117:8	month 37:25
15:9,22 16:19	41:22 42:25 47:10	million 7:8	months 4:2 53:8
24:6,7 34:18 53:4	50:19 51:17 54:5	mind 14:2 46:6	80:25 93:8 124:5
78:3 92:18,22,25	54:6 66:17 72:16	137:14	morning 3:3 6:17
matter 44:13	73:10 82:20 93:3	mine 77:16	6:17 20:9 36:1
130:7	94:15 97:3 98:6	minimum 9:17	115:6 117:13,20
mcleod 20:18	98:24 99:22	17:8	mou 98:3,8,11
69:12	104:16 105:16,24	minister 131:22	mountain 14:7
mean 5:19 34:4	119:14 121:13	minneapolis	30:18 35:21 36:24
35:13 42:9,16	122:20 125:2	100:16	38:21 41:23 43:1
49:4 52:8 59:15	131:13 133:22	minnesota 1:11,12	45:9 47:11 50:20
59:20 71:5 77:24	137:15	3:16 4:18 41:7	50:22 51:6,14,18
78:2 81:9,16,18	member 115:19	52:25 59:4,8,17	52:5,10 56:12
83:19 85:2,7,8,12	members 6:5	60:24 100:17	57:20 58:19 63:25
86:24 87:8,8	20:15,19 21:17	114:18 141:3,8,24	66:18 72:17 73:3
91:16 92:15,15	22:1 77:6 95:18	minnesota's	73:11,17 82:21
95:1,3,7,10 98:12	mentioned 21:21	130:13	83:5,25 84:10
104:4 106:13	55:19 122:21	minute 49:20	88:25 92:8 93:4
107:12,23 109:10	127:11 128:7	63:12 119:23	94:16 97:4 98:7
110:2 112:6 113:3	130:2	minutes 114:25	99:23 102:11
116:24 122:14	mentioning	115:5 140:1	103:11 104:17
123:11 126:3	129:23	minutiae 29:16	105:25 108:11
meaning 53:23	met 4:7 21:13	missed 133:21	109:24 119:15
meaningful 23:10	76:15	missing 128:12	121:14 131:14
meaningfully	method 33:17	misspoke 58:14	135:8 137:16
16:14	methodology 33:7	81:15	138:9
measure 10:17	33:10,13,25 34:25	mm 6:13	mountain's 46:18
15:13,18 16:7,10	108:22 109:13,16	moa 106:16,18	mountains 64:4
measured 15:8,10	112:23 114:17,19	model 111:24	mous 97:15,17
measures 15:6	miccosukee 57:3,9	124:6	move 22:14 23:5
86:4 103:23	57:13 60:5 65:19	modifying 113:1	34:13 60:11 64:22
mechanism 54:22	89:17 90:8,19	mom 70:21	68:2 79:21 80:10
55:1 106:24	107:15	moment 76:4	90:16 91:6,18
media 50:5	microphone 30:13	monday 124:12	109:15,19 124:9
meet 14:13 31:13	30:15	money 48:22 50:2	moved 26:18 52:5
59:24 75:16 77:12	mid 113:13	84:6	68:15 77:11 90:23
82:9 83:16 108:3	middle 11:11	monitor 86:4	moves 65:21
meeting 3:11 9:2	15:17 115:15	monitored 74:6	moving 27:2 33:23
10:18,19 15:4	midnight 29:24	monitoring 74:7	35:6 51:12 60:1
40:17 43:3 129:16	139:13	86:18,19,25 87:8	68:10 76:13 78:20
131:17		87:10 88:19	80:2 89:15 91:25

109:5	neatly 91:15	107:14 117:1,6	obtained 57:17
multi 103:23	necessarily 78:13	121:4 126:21	obviously 7:9
multipart 124:3	88:9	127:8,18	44:25 137:21
multiple 4:7 12:12	necessary 23:15	newfound 62:4	occurred 7:16
15:6 138:3	23:17 79:3,7	nights 107:11	occurring 45:4
n	need 4:4 5:21 8:1	nine 31:12	offer 64:8
	14:23 21:23 26:10	normally 74:13	office 4:15,17
n 2:1,1 3:1	26:24 27:15 36:22	north 14:8 30:19	53:16 64:17,19
name 5:18,20 14:3 27:24 28:9 47:25	40:21 41:14 43:2	37:5,8,8,9 41:25	75:4
69:19 76:7 115:11	43:9 44:12 48:21	42:2 43:2 59:5,6	officer 5:3 136:5
	53:24 64:20 70:25	59:17 60:23 66:22	offices 77:7
nasis 116:23,23,25	71:3 73:21 74:1,3	72:17 93:4 95:6,8	135:13
117:3,7,9 nation 20:11	75:3,7 76:13,14	98:2 99:25 103:20	officials 5:12 6:5
	76:17 87:20,21	104:7,8 130:12	30:4,5,9
32:14 33:21 35:4	88:7,19 89:19,19	northeastern	oh 116:2,14
39:11 45:7 52:15	89:20 91:9 95:2,3	116:6	139:19
55:13 59:1 60:6	101:20 115:16	notary 141:24	okay 5:8 6:14,15
62:15 65:6 68:4	123:10 127:19	note 8:16 21:14	14:1 15:11,20
71:12 75:11 77:15	136:9 137:16	24:24	16:15 20:5,19
84:20 111:1	needed 7:13 17:15	noted 122:10	28:4 30:8 35:20
114:16,22	76:24 85:20	notice 43:15,20	38:20 41:6 52:14
national 61:18	100:12	44:4,8,10 61:9,9	55:10 57:21 63:10
115:17,21	needing 74:4	105:1 130:18	63:11,17,18 65:2
nationally 23:25	108:15 110:24	notification 45:2	65:14 66:5,9,10
24:8	needs 30:20 53:9	notified 25:7 43:6	68:25 72:5 76:2,3
nationwide 34:1	53:11 58:5,5	notifies 98:18 99:2	82:25 84:9 85:5,6
native 24:18,21	61:20 62:16 68:21	notify 97:9 98:22	86:6 95:15 98:16
46:20 71:2 77:16	70:24 75:3 80:8	99:1 104:21,22	100:9 102:8 103:8
77:19 78:7,11,11	114:12 127:21	notifying 31:18	103:12 105:21,22
78:20,23 79:1,10	134:22	nrm 136:7	113:24 114:8,24
80:25 81:15 90:24	negotiate 50:2	number 15:12,20	117:4,12 119:4
nativestar 83:12	negotiated 1:3	15:21,21 16:4	120:17 125:19
83:18,19,20	20:12 76:22 141:7	54:8,10,12 64:5	132:17,22 137:2
nature 137:24	negotiating 4:6	94:5	139:4,25 140:6
navajo 20:10,10	neither 70:22	numerous 21:12	old 106:6 124:6
57:3,9,13 60:6	never 70:3,17	36:4 76:12 85:22	127:20
61:25 65:19 89:17	78:16 90:11 97:19	127:10	once 11:8 18:18
90:7,19 107:15	97:22	nwa 111:9	28:24 34:12 41:1
navigated 6:23	new 3:25 9:22	0	55:6 93:9 122:24
nclb 7:11,16,20	21:17 33:24 34:11	_	123:5 136:18
9:12,22 10:3,4	34:25 39:20 40:6	o 2:1 3:1	ones 4:8 50:15
11:6 15:2,2 20:1	42:20 49:8,17,23	objective 10:17	90:24
127:3	51:3 56:21 64:24	obligated 117:23	ongoing 22:13
near 55:15	66:1 84:6 91:5	139:8	23:10 102:6
	00.10.10.71.0		25.15 102.0

[online - phased] Page 19

online 26:13	outset 5:6	95:21 101:22	percentage 109:1
onset 6:2	outside 82:10,11	105:12,19 121:17	perception 90:15
open 2:6 26:15	overall 121:3	124:6 131:5	perfect 33:7
27:3 30:2,4,10	131:4	participants	performance 12:9
47:6 52:6 63:19	overexpenditures	141:10	18:11,13,20 19:1
66:4 102:8 115:3	85:23	particular 74:4	19:15 110:14
115:8 118:2,2	overly 25:19	85:12 90:3 122:2	performed 50:16
139:6,9 140:2	overrides 113:2	parties 141:11,13	112:19
opened 51:6	overspent 86:1	partnerships	performing 17:17
opening 64:17	p	50:12	18:22,25 31:10
operate 19:18	p 3:1	parts 82:17	32:13 83:9 84:8
38:1 47:18 65:6	p.m. 1:9 117:15,18	passed 3:23 91:5	85:15 109:17
operated 32:14	118:5 119:2 140:8	105:15 116:22	perfunctory
38:24 127:6	packet 74:16	134:19	127:20
131:16 134:22	page 2:2 36:15	passion 71:13,13	period 67:16
operating 34:10	46:8,20 55:17	77:16	90:10 115:1
38:18 49:11	61:8,9 72:11,13	patient 29:5	periodic 22:13
operationally	72:18 73:10,11	pause 120:1 140:5	permanent 74:25
65:18	95:17 104:25	pay 70:23 99:21	person 12:15 28:4
opi 116:4	110:6,7,10,11	100:3 107:18	74:16 108:24
opine 6:6	115:14 119:15	paying 99:15	113:1
opportune 58:16	120:7 130:17	pays 99:11	personal 71:19
opportunity 44:22	132:18	peck 69:20 115:12	85:19
57:8,12 62:3,11	paid 116:10	peer 78:9,17 79:14	personally 44:10
130:15,23 139:10	pair 104:10	79:22 81:9,16,23	74:11
opposed 103:23	paper 71:5,5	pell 116:11	persons 141:14
137:23	101:20	penalize 126:16	perspective 3:21
opt 25:2 61:6,7	paragraph 54:8	people 22:20 47:1	45:1
option 25:4 44:18	96:1 98:17	51:19 52:4 64:8	petering 117:14
67:11,12 80:20	parallel 136:11	64:12,15 80:16	pfeiffer 2:5 5:2
options 40:18	parallels 136:24	83:2 92:4 115:23	20:7,9 26:17,19
42:23 128:15	parcc 100:20	131:7 139:6	36:1,17 37:15
oral 78:14 79:25	101:4	percent 11:22	42:18 46:8 75:19
81:8	pardon 8:13 15:14	12:8,18,20,24	80:24 101:10,11
oranges 109:8	parent 28:5	13:5,19,21,22	102:12,19 130:2
order 27:14 29:20	115:19	17:17 18:12,14	136:4
134:23	parents 14:16	29:1,6 31:10,11	pfeiffer's 31:2
oregon 58:13,13	22:2 70:19 134:4	31:11 32:13,17	ph.d.s 113:3
organization 5:20	part 1:5 5:24,25	52:18,23 53:1,3,4	phase 91:4,6,8
outlined 67:2	10:14 11:20 12:8	53:8,10,12,16,20	135:9
138:23	12:8 28:11 29:18	54:3,12,17,18,22	phased 22:16
outlining 84:17	33:4 49:1 83:12	55:2 88:10 110:20	24:12 67:13,16
outreach 132:5	84:12,14 89:4	111:16 117:10	84:4 90:22
	91:17,18 92:4	122:5,6,8	

[phases - process] Page 20

phases 90:25	planning 69:24	possibility 54:2	previous 38:2
phoenix 64:9	114:22	105:5	42:16 43:4 51:4
76:20	plans 108:6 131:9	possible 24:14	123:9 138:6
piece 39:12,14	platform 83:12	38:8 65:25 77:24	previously 108:25
60:24 62:25 68:6	please 5:18,21 6:7	81:25 109:21	principal 14:7
68:20 77:17 80:5	28:7,9 29:4 47:19	124:24	30:19 33:21 35:21
81:7 94:25 103:13	48:1 50:17 72:14	possibly 48:14	56:13 57:20 58:19
103:16 104:20,24	117:20 132:14	post 27:8 29:19	63:25 66:18 72:16
105:8,20 119:18	plus 58:3	137:14	83:5,25 84:10
119:20,22 120:9	pockets 100:25	posted 51:18,23	88:25 92:8 93:3
120:11 121:16	point 33:22 42:17	52:3	102:11 103:11
122:22 123:1,9	66:7 67:8,15,24	pot 84:6	108:11 109:24
125:18,19 126:13	80:15 82:4,13	potential 127:13	135:8 138:9
126:16 128:22	91:13 125:23	127:14	principals 6:5
129:8,9,11,14	126:4 127:17	powerpoint 2:4,5	21:24
133:24 135:4,11	pointed 78:25	5:7	principle 34:4,17
139:1	95:15,16	powwowing 70:9	34:23
pieces 60:17 75:14	pointing 32:24	practice 111:3	print 5:8 22:4
76:13 128:12	96:21 98:15	112:5	printouts 5:9
piggyback 46:17	policy 3:8 4:20	practices 136:11	prior 8:13 86:13
75:11	126:21 127:7	pre 34:6	129:2
pillars 7:20,20	political 60:22,24	precise 50:3	priorities 14:20
pilot 46:14 113:10	politics 71:23	117:25	probably 14:22
pine 3:14	poolaw 108:25	predict 94:22	15:1 26:9 40:11
place 37:12,18	population 13:5	preface 20:14	48:8 77:2 88:17
76:13,16 84:23	13:18 53:9	preferences 62:12	91:7 92:25 97:16
86:4 94:23 102:4	portfolios 12:9	preparation 40:21	98:5,23 100:23
112:5 121:16	portion 31:4 71:17	prepare 29:15	problem 32:23
128:1,18 129:11	72:5 73:14 91:16	prepared 44:2	problems 85:13
133:20 136:18	94:18 128:24	55:14	113:5
placed 74:9	134:24,24,25	prescribed 31:9	procedurally
113:21	portions 72:10	presence 20:11	134:18 135:6
places 14:23	pose 23:6	presentation 2:4,5	procedures 31:21
plan 19:13 22:12	posed 23:13 24:16	5:6,10 6:9,11	proceed 66:8
23:1,3,7,7 25:12	position 50:24	19:25 20:6 22:8	90:16
35:8,9 48:7 75:5,8	51:22 64:13 92:19	31:2 46:7 130:3	proceeding 85:22
83:10,10 84:15,17	113:20	presentations	proceedings 141:7
84:24 103:25	positions 51:12,14	26:19 31:22	proceeds 6:1
119:19,19 121:19	52:10 64:10,14	presently 57:1	process 6:24 25:13
122:25 128:10	74:22	preserving 79:23	25:18 26:14 31:9
130:5,8,10,12,23	positive 116:24	presumably 56:4	31:23 34:20 37:17
134:3 138:12,14	possibilities 91:21	pretty 9:12 15:3	39:25 40:4,12,23
138:18	95:14	26:18 90:15 99:16	41:24 44:7,7
		123:17	51:14,21,25 54:19

[process - quit] Page 21

64:22 74:24 75:23	proof 90:12	64:25 83:22 87:22	quasi 106:15
84:12,14 86:7,10	proposal 25:12	public 5:15 6:3	question 23:6
86:18 88:15 89:2	39:7 47:13 72:22	9:24 69:25 70:14	24:16 25:9 27:19
89:9,23 92:13	89:7,7,20 90:4	141:24	28:23 29:5 30:11
94:6,17 96:5,8,16	91:9,11 93:9,13	publication 95:13	32:12,20,21,21
96:22,23 97:2	95:25 98:16	publish 106:22	34:9 36:20 38:17
107:21 112:15	117:12 126:11,12	107:2 120:20	41:21 48:4,5,10
113:17 121:2	133:25	121:6,25 122:1	48:17 49:8,8,25
129:25 131:12	proposals 25:14	published 31:15	51:1,5 52:9,16
133:6 134:23	25:16 90:22 91:7	34:12 35:18 36:2	54:4 55:17 73:6
135:2	91:25	43:19 93:24 94:4	74:18 76:9 79:3
processes 86:3	propose 121:24	108:1,1 121:22	83:6,14 85:18
87:10 131:3	proposed 4:12	122:19 128:11	89:14 93:16,17
processing 89:6	14:5 22:18,21	133:15,15 136:19	97:13 99:11,18
product 69:21	24:3,10,18 25:2	publishing 137:1	100:1 101:11
70:12	25:13,21,25 27:13	pull 132:10	102:1 104:5 106:3
productive 127:13	38:11 46:9 61:10	purchase 36:12	106:11,20 111:2,6
professional 36:9	62:6,11 66:24	purpose 6:25 70:5	115:14 116:2
136:19	71:17 72:19 73:12	101:18 129:15	119:13 120:4,8
professors 21:24	105:6 128:24	purposes 8:5	121:25 123:12
proficiency 10:9	133:10 138:10	29:11 79:20	128:5,21,22 129:1
10:13 11:25 15:4	proposing 94:24	106:17	129:4,8,13 136:3
15:10,25 16:1,19	108:3 130:25	pursuant 8:13	138:1
16:21 92:19	prospect 64:17	21:3	questionable
16:21 92:19 103:24 109:3	prospect 64:17 provide 7:24	21:3 push 70:11,13	questionable 112:4
			_
103:24 109:3	provide 7:24	push 70:11,13	112:4
103:24 109:3 121:6,10	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6	112:4 questions 4:22
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7	<pre>push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3</pre>	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8 progressed 59:24	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7 29:25 47:20 73:3	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16 qualitative 27:10	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10 128:21 137:2 139:5,7 140:3 quickly 4:13 26:18
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8 progressed 59:24 59:25	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7 29:25 47:20 73:3 85:15,25 89:20	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16 qualitative 27:10 60:16	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10 128:21 137:2 139:5,7 140:3 quickly 4:13 26:18 27:21 60:12 91:18
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8 progressed 59:24 59:25 projects 12:9	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7 29:25 47:20 73:3 85:15,25 89:20 98:17 123:15 provides 7:7 125:1 providing 6:22	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16 qualitative 27:10 60:16 quality 10:22 16:5	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10 128:21 137:2 139:5,7 140:3 quickly 4:13 26:18 27:21 60:12 91:18 91:25 128:18
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8 progressed 59:24 59:25 projects 12:9 prominence 17:4	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7 29:25 47:20 73:3 85:15,25 89:20 98:17 123:15 provides 7:7 125:1	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16 qualitative 27:10 60:16 quality 10:22 16:5 17:2 24:13 66:20	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10 128:21 137:2 139:5,7 140:3 quickly 4:13 26:18 27:21 60:12 91:18
103:24 109:3 121:6,10 proficient 109:1 111:20 112:23 profound 53:11 program 7:7 49:9 49:14,19,22 programming 88:18 programs 46:22 49:3 77:5,9 progress 7:13 8:23 10:18 81:21 123:8 progressed 59:24 59:25 projects 12:9 prominence 17:4 promoting 8:2	provide 7:24 27:12,24 28:16 29:13,17,22 30:6 30:11,13 44:22 53:14 62:1 64:20 64:20 65:15 72:20 73:15 80:22 83:17 87:5 89:5 105:17 109:17 124:17 125:25 126:7 134:4 137:11 provided 12:1 27:10 28:3,7 29:25 47:20 73:3 85:15,25 89:20 98:17 123:15 provides 7:7 125:1 providing 6:22	push 70:11,13 pushed 136:6 put 3:20 28:13 71:5 82:2 90:22 94:22 96:24 97:4 108:19 109:12 112:3 113:14 126:23 135:25 puts 44:11 putting 125:3 q qss 24:13 qualified 51:19 64:13,16 qualitative 27:10 60:16 quality 10:22 16:5	112:4 questions 4:22 14:5 23:13 28:15 28:17,22 29:4 40:13 43:12 47:7 50:17,20 55:10 61:4 63:21 65:3 66:11 69:1 71:8 71:16 76:3 77:7 82:25 87:14 96:4 96:17 103:9 105:23 115:3,9 118:3 119:7,10 128:21 137:2 139:5,7 140:3 quickly 4:13 26:18 27:21 60:12 91:18 91:25 128:18

quite 15:5 45:11	27:1 44:5 58:5	55:5 63:19 67:22	36:12 37:18 58:6
76:15 88:18 107:9	68:7 69:15 70:11	71:8 76:4 105:23	58:22 62:7 68:22
108:9	70:13 75:22 76:21	115:3 117:18,19	96:9,19 98:4
quo 36:21 37:17	79:3 88:7 90:14	117:20 118:2,6	102:3 130:7 132:9
37:19	90:17 91:14	119:12,12 134:17	134:9 135:25
quotes 16:24	115:13 136:16	137:7,16 139:5,6	136:9
r	137:13 139:18	139:9,11 140:1,3	regulations 8:14
r 3:1 76:8	reason 44:24	141:9	21:4 31:3 34:12
raise 30:14 47:8	53:19 69:3 70:10	recorded 27:25	35:7 79:4 82:14
63:22 66:15 76:4	85:9 124:2,8	redone 97:16,23	105:10,14,15
85:7 96:17 115:9	reasonable 128:1	redundant 28:8	129:17,17 131:4
119:11	reasons 39:9	refer 72:12	139:14
raised 39:21 41:20	reauthorization	reference 19:24	regulations.gov
79:3 96:4,10	7:12,16 79:2	102:13	29:22
raising 129:23	reauthorized 7:10	referring 73:7,8	regulatory 132:4
ramifications	7:17 120:18	120:15	134:22 135:2
43:16	recall 15:2	refers 52:24	reiterate 119:6
range 89:24 90:20	receive 9:8,9 42:1	reflect 122:10	related 23:22
111:21	42:5 50:1	reg 4:12 35:10	relationships 77:5
rarely 124:7	received 62:18	130:6 133:10,13	relative 141:11,12
rate 15:23 31:13	receives 86:19	regard 115:25	relatively 32:25
rates 16:20 17:6	receiving 39:12,14	regarding 23:11	release 135:16
17:20	42:4 89:7 110:21	24:22 50:21 89:6	relevance 68:16
read 32:9 67:22	recess 63:15 118:7	95:5 133:17,20	relevant 10:1
82:22 93:6 130:19	recognize 67:13	134:11 139:14	reliability 113:17
131:10,15 133:22	recognized 71:19	141:7	reliable 109:16
133:24	recommendation	regardless 7:19	112:9 114:17,19
reading 9:18	22:10 36:6,15	48:22 125:17	remain 7:20
10:12 11:7 15:9	38:4 39:4 136:17	126:17	remains 48:23
15:22 50:5 53:3	recommendations	regards 26:4	49:1,4
98:15 131:2	22:3	64:21 111:2 139:3	remember 6:3
reads 115:18	recommended	regina 43:19 47:8	11:24 13:2 15:25
readvertised	20:13 22:23 23:9	72:9 88:23	16:18 17:1,14,18 18:21 19:6,21
64:13	23:19 24:4,11,19	region 61:24	,
ready 44:17 66:15	25:3,15 138:10,25	regional 61:18,23 62:3	24:6 27:22 29:20 36:5 43:20 52:24
76:5 83:3 89:20	reconvene 63:13 63:14 115:7	register 6:8 43:20	110:19 120:9
94:11 101:24	117:14	44:4 54:7 61:9	remind 27:4 107:4
103:1 119:9,11	reconvened 21:17	72:18 75:22 76:1	removes 78:19
real 27:21	reconvened 21:17 record 5:24 14:4	105:1 130:18	reopen 117:18
realize 70:25	26:23 28:1,7,23	regs 34:11,13	118:5
really 7:5,5,19,21	29:13,18 30:1,7	132:15	repeat 111:6
8:1,1 9:3,6 10:15	30:11,17 33:20	regulation 3:12	repeat 111.0
11:2,5 14:19 16:8	44:19 47:7 50:18	21:8,10 35:1	repeating /1.1
19:20 21:23 26:21	77.17 77.7 30.10	21.0,10 33.1	

[replacing - rule] Page 23

replacing 49:10	127:5 130:5	responsibilities	136:23 137:5
report 4:9 36:2	134:21	31:24 106:17	139:25
39:17 52:20 106:3	requirement 15:7	119:16 120:7	rights 7:6
106:5,9,10,22	22:23 23:3,14,24	responsibility	rigid 15:3
107:2 120:10,20	24:1,7,9,17 39:23	106:18,23,25	rigorous 9:7
121:25 122:8,10	66:23,25 67:10,25	107:7	101:24
136:7 138:20	68:14 84:16	responsible 19:8	risk 127:22
reported 1:22	104:13 107:5	19:13 87:3	rmr 1:23
141:6	120:18 133:16	rest 34:19 47:6	rock 3:14
reporter 5:17,22	138:11	63:20 115:2,8	role 31:25 47:3
14:4 27:22	requirements 7:2	118:1	87:6
reporter's 141:1	8:6,11 9:24 11:4	resubmit 91:7	roles 31:24
reporting 1:24	11:14 16:17 21:1	result 7:6 76:14	roll 30:20 36:12
127:11	21:2,3 22:7 23:12	results 109:3	39:1 101:13
reports 111:4	23:16,17 25:2,5	returns 120:2	rolled 38:12 68:8
127:1	25:10,17,19 26:1	review 5:25 22:14	102:22 103:14
represent 46:13	26:2 39:15,16,22	25:18 54:2 72:14	123:5 136:20
47:1 62:19 71:18	40:18 47:13 48:11	78:10,18 79:14,22	rollout 35:23,25
72:8	61:16 64:21 67:2	81:9,16,23 89:12	38:7
representation	67:24 72:23 82:8	137:4	room 1:11 4:14
23:11	82:17 83:17 89:4	reviewing 61:4,12	5:11,17 30:6
represented 20:20	95:20 108:4 127:4	66:13 83:2	112:16 120:3
representing 14:3	127:14 134:7	rfp 136:21	rose 4:18 73:23
27:16,24	requires 8:5 16:13	rick 20:17	75:6
represents 71:23	130:7	ridge 3:15	rosie 132:11
112:6	requiring 38:24	rif 52:9	route 29:14
request 59:13,16	56:20 73:14 92:15	rifed 52:5	routinely 7:10
59:17 65:17 66:5	92:17,21	right 3:3,4 4:21	rule 1:3 3:22 4:4,6
67:20 73:5 86:23	research 53:22	8:21 9:5,14 13:1	6:1,24 20:7,13
92:1	81:6	14:12 16:8 17:22	21:1,7,9,20 22:18
requesting 73:18	reservation 62:24	19:9 24:20 28:19	22:22,23 23:8,10
105:17	reserved 11:16	32:20 33:11 34:5	23:20 24:3,4,10
requests 72:23	13:3	34:10 37:12 39:7	24:11,18,19,25
74:8 122:2	resolve 42:21	52:1 54:25 56:5	25:2,4,13,15,22
require 23:10,20	resource 50:9,21	56:23 57:14 62:8	26:1,3,8,24 27:11
24:4,11 42:7	51:2	79:8 81:9 91:4	27:13 28:14 29:12
72:20 132:3	resources 14:18	92:3,6,7 94:5	35:18 38:5,11
required 9:10,11	respect 58:20	95:14 97:15	42:22 44:21 46:9
9:12,21 16:16	respond 59:18	108:20 111:19,23	50:4 61:11 62:6
18:9 42:1 47:17	72:23	112:8,25 113:11	62:11 64:24 76:23
58:2 67:4,6,9,17	response 29:7	113:13,17,21	93:24 94:3,8
83:9 85:14 108:3	40:20 55:6 73:4	122:15 125:4,8,10	95:13 102:3 126:4
111:18 112:1	responses 25:16	128:22 130:18,21	126:8 128:14
120:20,25 121:4		133:4,4 134:13	129:3 134:23
	1		

[rule - section] Page 24

135:14,15 136:18	18:24 21:23 22:1	school's 87:7	science 9:18 11:10
138:10,11 141:7	24:12,13,23 25:4	121:25	15:20,21 22:16
rules 31:6 73:13	30:18 31:10,13,18	schools 7:8,25 8:8	24:3,5,10,12
ruling 66:24 72:19	32:6,17 34:21	10:21,25 12:19	34:19 90:3 92:18
run 85:9	35:21,23 36:3,20	13:17 15:8,12,17	92:23
running 32:5	36:25,25 37:2,3	15:23 16:15 17:18	scope 101:19
76:23	37:15,16,25 38:8	17:20,21,25 18:1	score 12:13
runs 85:10	38:14,23 39:6	18:5,12,14,17,21	111:23 112:20
S	41:10,23 42:4,5	18:22,23 19:3,6,8	scored 30:21
	43:17 46:15,23	19:9,12,18 23:25	scores 33:1 109:7
s 2:1 3:1	47:13 48:9,10	24:8 25:1 26:3	111:2,8,10,22
saap 130:9 132:2	50:25 51:4 53:6,7	30:22 31:11,12,13	112:3,6,12 113:7
132:7,13 133:6,17	54:13,19 55:21	31:17,23 32:2,5,7	113:21 114:15,19
133:20 134:11	56:13,19 57:20	32:14,15,19 33:16	123:19
135:24	58:19 63:6,25	34:1,19 35:24	sea 31:25 90:25
safety 16:11 sanctioned 123:3	65:9 66:18,20	37:10,11 38:1,25	106:15
125:21	67:19 68:5,17	40:17,22 42:7	seal 141:16
sanctions 85:10	69:22,23 70:1,5,6	43:5 47:12,19	seat 87:6
sanctions 63.10 sand 128:12	70:6,17 71:14	48:15,19 51:2	seattle 3:18
sandra 108:25	72:4,17,21 74:6	52:19 54:14 55:20	second 73:13,14
salura 108.23 sault 69:13	76:7,25 77:25	56:6,25 58:9	110:18 136:3
saut 09.13 saw 22:8 90:4	78:7 79:11,24	61:17,21,21,24	secondary 7:4
saw 22.8 30.4 saying 32:13	81:10 82:1,21	63:2,4 66:19 67:6	15:15 20:24
35:21 36:23,25	83:5,25 84:10,16	67:9,17 70:14,20	secretary 3:8,10
37:13,22 38:23	84:21,24 85:3,6,8	73:16,19 74:13,17	3:10,22 4:3,4 8:6
64:16 88:3 93:9	85:8,12,17,20	75:12 78:23 79:10	25:7 61:14 72:20
94:16 97:5 98:25	86:1,18,22 87:2,4	79:16,18 80:7,10	73:15 89:5 98:19
99:6 125:5,14	87:13 88:4,13,25	80:12,14,20 81:1	98:22 99:2 104:22
129:11 132:20	89:23 90:18 91:10	81:3 83:8 84:8,13	108:1
133:2	91:14,17 92:9,11	85:16 86:9 88:2,6	secretary's 89:4
says 42:22 61:14	93:4 95:22 96:24	98:1,2,9 100:18	95:5,20 105:12
63:2 66:19 89:3,5	98:18 99:1,12	101:3,20 107:22	127:25
120:22 131:15	102:11 103:11	108:14,17 109:17	section 8:5,12
133:23 134:2,14	104:17 105:11	109:18 110:13,21	20:24 21:2 25:21
138:10	107:23 108:11	116:5 120:12,23	31:4 32:9 36:16
scale 113:12	109:10,24 110:3	120:23,24 121:2,6	54:7,9 61:10,15
schedule 26:5	110:16,22 119:15	122:23 123:21,23	61:18 64:1 66:19
43:10 44:3	120:21 121:11	125:7,16,16	72:12,18 73:9,12
scheduled 44:1	122:2,6,9,11,25	126:16 127:1,5,6	78:2 82:7,22
63:12	123:16,21,24	127:9,10 128:3	83:16 89:2,5
school 6:4 11:8	124:14,18,24	131:16,16 133:2	91:16 96:2,22
12:21,23 14:7	131:21,22 135:8	134:6,22 138:20	97:7,7 98:17
15:16 16:5,11,11	137:17,20 138:9	139:23	103:6 104:21,25
17:2,2,16,23			105:18 108:4

[section - special] Page 25

110:6,7,11,12	113:19	116:12,14,18	solicitor 4:15
115:15 120:7	serves 115:20	sign 138:3	solicitor's 53:16
129:18 130:6,17	serving 115:16	signal 14:20	solicitors 127:3
131:15 132:18,23	session 27:3,19	signature 141:23	solution 42:10,11
133:13,18,22	115:6 119:1	significant 10:7	42:13,15 75:1
134:2 135:20	set 7:12 9:19 10:6	11:17 13:3 53:11	solutions 42:24
137:9	14:14 17:5,7,8	84:7 85:16 113:5	somebody 64:24
sections 72:15	37:7 60:9 102:14	significantly	someplace 60:8
see 13:5,24 21:14	104:10 129:2	60:22	somewhat 67:5,25
21:19 22:22 41:7	sets 88:16	similar 9:12 23:1	soon 35:1 64:18
41:13,16 44:21	severe 13:15	83:15 127:9	119:10
46:7 50:23 65:11	shannon 69:20	130:12	sooner 4:5 40:25
65:13 71:4,6	115:12 116:2	simple 89:25	sophomores 12:23
80:17 87:13 96:18	shape 65:20 66:1	simply 49:10	sorry 15:12 35:12
98:14 106:12	83:22	103:24	48:2 49:6,20 67:3
117:5 129:6,8	shared 76:11	single 12:10 34:14	73:7 119:24
130:23 131:10	sheet 20:23	52:17	sort 40:1 41:8
137:12 138:17	sheets 22:20	sir 47:9 61:2 66:9	48:7 53:20 60:15
seeing 80:9 96:14	shoot 83:1,3	sit 22:19 112:25	61:25 63:3 65:24
seeking 80:7	short 59:2	site 75:1	76:13 78:19 113:7
seen 28:8 100:21	shorten 124:16	sites 50:22	135:1 137:13
100:25	shorter 126:1	sitting 62:21 71:12	sorts 58:15
select 55:20 56:2,4	shortly 83:22	113:3	sought 57:2,17
selected 31:17,23	93:25	situation 44:11	sounds 73:8
selecting 55:22	show 86:15,15	89:15 108:19	source 101:17
self 23:23	132:12	125:14	south 77:3
senior 4:20 6:18	showed 81:19	six 136:24	sovereignty 22:6
70:1	shows 113:10	sixth 84:21	23:23
sense 34:2	si 26:4	skill 88:16	spans 11:11
sent 5:24 44:2	sick 85:22 88:13	skype 75:16	speak 21:7 27:23
sentence 115:18	88:15	sleepless 107:11	37:11 45:7 62:16
separate 42:8	side 35:19 48:24	slide 17:11 26:9	64:1 70:11,18
48:14 67:2 68:15	48:25 49:2 61:11	36:6 110:4,10	90:14 91:23
83:10 131:3 132:3	72:4 130:18	slides 26:7 36:17	112:10
133:5,5,8,16,19	sidebar 75:19	109:25	speaker 42:16
134:14	76:10 80:13	slight 12:3	70:21,22
separately 86:19	sight 19:22	slotting 113:11	speakers 81:13
september 21:13	sigman 2:4 4:24	small 94:12	speaking 10:12
38:1 123:22	6:13,15,18 13:2,8	smarter 40:9	28:4 46:5 58:9
series 3:18	13:20,25 14:10	100:20 101:4	75:22
serious 60:10	52:22 56:1,10	112:11,13	speaks 20:10
114:5	103:5,5,18 110:18	sole 101:16	68:20
serve 6:18 14:19	111:17,17 112:8	solicitation 40:11	special 53:9 87:15
61:21 62:24 71:13	113:16 115:22	40:12	125:9

Meeting

July 23, 2019

[specialist - story] Page 26

specialist 64:14	8:15,17,18,24 9:2	39:15,21,23,24	118:3 137:3 139:7
100:16	9:3,14,15,17,23	40:2,5,8,8,17 41:4	states 4:1 7:8 9:16
specific 23:8 50:17	10:1,2,4,6,9,13,14	41:10,10,11,24	10:21 15:19 16:6
51:9 52:1 55:2,6	10:18,20 11:21	42:1,2,7 43:2	19:18 33:12 39:20
71:16 73:22 75:2	14:11,14 15:4	47:25 50:1 52:25	43:10 52:25 77:2
96:6 104:18 131:5	16:2 19:16,19	53:24 54:14,19	96:16 97:15,18,21
specifically 13:12	22:11,15,24 23:20	56:2,3,20,24 57:1	99:14 100:24
51:13,24 53:24	24:21 25:5 26:7	57:4,22 58:1,3,10	102:16,22 103:18
65:23 67:4,10	36:7,7,19 37:4,5,7	59:8,9,11,23	105:1 107:2,4
101:2 105:1 111:8	37:12 38:3,17,22	60:21,21 61:6	109:4 112:14
sped 13:18 125:9	38:25 48:13 49:15	66:22 67:7 70:14	114:3,21,22
spell 98:21	49:17 50:7 51:3	77:2 84:4 92:11	123:18 124:10
spelled 76:7	61:16 67:14 78:10	92:15,16 93:12	126:7 129:20
spelling 5:21	79:18,25 95:21,23	95:2,24 96:14,25	131:9,11
spent 42:11	95:24 98:19	97:5,14 98:1,3,7	statewide 9:13
spoken 53:7 75:13	101:13,15,23,25	98:10,19,20,23	stating 14:3
101:17	102:12,14,15,17	99:2,4,7,13,20,25	128:25 134:8
spot 38:10 125:3	102:22,23 103:1,3	100:8,19 103:24	statistical 60:16
spring 44:6 64:11	103:7,12 104:5,8	103:25 106:24,25	status 36:21 37:17
sqss 24:11	104:9,12,15,19,23	107:22,23 108:6	37:19 52:10 89:6
ss 141:4	105:1,3,12 108:13	108:13,13 109:1,9	90:25 115:18
st 20:17	109:11,20 121:15	109:20 111:18	statute 3:23 7:2
staff 3:14 61:13	129:9 130:9 133:1	112:11 121:7,11	16:23 31:5 32:9
75:6 77:6 87:11	134:3,8,24 136:13	122:7 124:19,22	52:22,24 56:1
87:16	136:20 138:11,13	128:10 130:4,8,12	79:5 85:14 96:8
stage 108:3 130:10	standpoint 62:22	130:22 131:9	105:7,14,18
130:16,21,22	start 3:6,7 5:6	138:21 141:3	115:23 127:8
131:5	27:2 32:6 35:25	state's 37:8 104:19	statutory 25:12
stakeholder 22:13	38:8,14 48:12	106:21 107:24	31:6 82:8 84:16
23:8,14 46:9,13	50:25 51:3,5	108:6 109:11	107:5
130:14 132:5	94:17 101:18	122:7,12,12	stay 6:15 97:5
stakeholders	123:23 136:11	stated 30:3 105:10	139:8
14:15,21 23:11	started 3:7,13	statement 24:22	stayed 100:18
26:10,25 36:14	44:8 45:3 71:1	28:8 29:15 30:6	staying 117:3
44:13 102:5 134:4	123:22	30:11,16 44:17,19	stays 133:13
137:23,23	starting 6:11	59:21 66:6,12	ste 69:13
stand 21:10	121:2 127:17	79:9 100:2,10	step 14:10 27:7
standard 10:10	starts 26:22 37:25	117:19 137:6	34:16 107:20,20
44:7 72:14 79:15	124:14	statements 9:3	stepping 107:6
111:3	state 5:18,19 8:4	26:22 27:9,15	steps 72:6 127:19
standardized	12:19 18:6 19:12	28:12 29:25 47:6	sticking 77:17
114:1	22:9 23:1,3 25:2,5	55:10 66:11 71:8	stop 27:21
standards 1:5	25:6 28:22 32:15	76:3 83:1 103:9	story 77:23
3:12 6:19 7:21 8:7	32:24 37:5 39:14	105:23 115:3	

July 23, 2019

[straight - tammy]

straight 115:22	83:20 139:13	116:11 117:4,10	t
strengthen 26:24	submitting 95:24	126:24 127:22	_
strictly 15:21	subpart 119:16	128:25 139:9	t 2:1,1
strong 63:5	120:6,7 132:19	survey 55:22,22	tab 72:11 82:23 table 41:12 58:14
strongly 137:10	subsection 119:15	surveys 55:19	
137:19	132:24	sweeney 3:10	59:4,7 101:7 113:4
struggling 49:7	substantial 16:21	sympathetic 44:9	
student 3:11 7:17	141:14	system 3:25 8:15	take 5:18 12:16,25 13:15 16:12 20:3
10:24 11:7 14:19	substantive 27:11	8:25 9:25 12:5	23:18 27:3 28:24
16:5 17:9,13	succeeds 7:17	13:22,23,25 14:12	29:14 30:24 34:16
42:13 115:19	success 16:5 17:2	14:14,24 15:3,11	39:23 42:2,8 51:7
students 7:8,13,25	24:13 66:20	15:24 16:16,17	57:4 63:12 66:22
8:18,23 9:1,4,6,8	successful 47:16	17:15,19 18:6	67:15 75:15 76:13
9:8 10:5,7,11,17	77:12	19:20 22:7,11	83:23 89:2,11
10:24 11:2,12,13	sue 20:16,16 69:2	24:5 25:10 30:21	91:20,22 92:21
11:17,22 12:4,16	72:9	33:5 34:4,6,14,18	93:8,17 117:22
12:21 13:3,12,22	suggested 44:20	34:24 35:1 36:13	126:9 133:20
14:13,16 15:4,10	suggestion 135:20	38:1 39:5,5 42:20	135:10
18:11,15 19:15	suggestions 68:23	43:17 48:15 49:11	taken 29:18 42:12
44:14 52:23 53:1	summarize 130:20	49:15,18 50:15	42:17 63:15 118:8
53:9 54:10,12,15	summit 12:13	56:3,14,21,24	takes 32:15 65:20
55:3 61:21 64:20	superintendent	57:11,12 61:6,6	122:25
67:8 80:6 99:20	35:4 58:25 62:14	66:20 72:12 83:13	talk 27:1 41:10,13
104:11 110:24	65:5 68:3 71:11	93:19 94:10 95:5	41:13,16 75:5
112:6,17,18,19,19	75:10 76:6 77:15	102:5 103:21	135:4
113:12,19 115:18	84:20 111:1	104:2 105:13,25	talked 11:25 12:14
115:25	support 17:23,24	106:4 107:24	15:25 40:21 41:24
study 81:9	18:1,6,9,16,20	108:2,5 109:6,15	89:22 103:6,22
stuff 27:16 28:10	19:5 25:25 26:1	110:21 114:1	talking 7:1 34:5
101:1 118:3 119:8	48:16,16 60:23	117:1 120:21,22	46:8,20,21 55:18
119:10	75:18 83:7 110:2	121:5,8,9,22	58:15 70:20 93:5
subgroup 110:1	110:3,8	122:1,13 124:3,7	94:5 96:21 102:12
subgroups 18:3,20	supported 79:17	124:9,16 125:23	102:13 114:15
18:22 19:1,7	supports 31:19	126:6,21 127:18	116:22 123:12
110:9,15,15	80:2	128:1,8,10,13,15	132:1
subject 23:21	supposed 50:22 64:25 128:20	128:18 129:2,21	talks 64:1
44:13 54:9,10 67:18		129:25 130:11,25 131:6 132:2,7	tamarah 2:5 5:2
submission 84:23	sure 6:7,9 9:1,6,7 9:21,23 43:18	131.0 132.2,7	46:7 101:11
submit 53:19,25	45:23 47:1,15	systems 1:6 8:8	135:15 136:2
83:9,11 84:15,17	48:3 50:2,18 52:8	11:1 103:20	tammy 56:12
135:21 137:6	52:20 56:8 71:18	11.1 103.20	57:19 58:18 63:24
submitted 4:10	74:3 93:6 101:12		83:4,24 84:9
44:3 55:24 83:18	102:2 115:24		88:24 92:7 102:10
TT.3 33.2T 03.10	102.2 113.24		103:10 108:10

[tammy - today] Page 28

109:23 135:7	ten 63:12,17	135:18 138:3	122:25 123:3,7
138:8	tend 127:12	things 5:5 7:24	125:21 126:15,17
tara 3:10	tendency 141:14	17:9 27:2 28:20	128:8,17
targeted 17:24,25	tenure 7:11	36:22 40:21 41:2	throw 96:2
18:8,8,19 19:5	term 17:5,7 68:9	45:12,14 69:10	tied 81:6 102:17
48:15,16 83:6	85:1,7	75:7 82:9 86:1	tier 30:22,22,23
110:1,3,8,16,23	terminology 75:21	87:7,9,12 89:24	tiered 48:15
targets 18:4	82:5	90:20 91:20	time 3:5 5:19,19
task 135:12	terms 7:1 12:15	104:18 108:8	20:3 27:23 28:7
tasked 48:19	38:7 53:22 87:6	135:6 136:16,19	29:24 34:5,16
tasks 12:9 21:18	103:18	137:8	35:5 37:18 40:24
taught 70:17	territory 19:19	think 4:9 6:11	42:11,12 43:5,11
teach 69:7 70:16	40:1 107:14,19	12:11 31:11 37:22	52:2 59:2 60:15
teachers 14:16	test 12:10,14	38:16 40:20 42:14	60:20 63:2 72:25
21:24 36:8,9,10	66:23 109:7	42:23 43:22 46:19	73:1,17 75:16
112:16	113:15	46:24 49:5,7,8,20	82:12 86:24 89:10
teaching 11:3 25:7	testimony 141:9,9	49:21,25 54:24	89:12 90:8,17
79:20 103:4	testing 111:9	55:4,18 57:6 58:7	93:20 106:1 115:4
team 5:25 27:8	113:13	59:21 60:8 62:9	115:17,20 117:21
28:1 50:3,14	thank 17:10 20:5	65:16 68:20 71:3	119:20 120:5
88:11	20:14,16,20 26:17	76:12 78:15 79:23	124:14 126:7
technical 6:20,22	26:19,19 33:19	81:18 82:16 86:21	127:13 135:16
10:1 50:11 59:12	40:20 41:18 45:9	87:17 89:14,17	139:16
59:18 64:2,6 65:7	47:4,5 52:13	90:13 91:22 92:1	timeline 89:18
65:15 66:7 72:20	56:11 60:13 61:2	92:6,9,9,14 93:10	93:21 124:16
72:25 73:2,15,19	63:8,9,17 66:9,10	93:21 94:6 95:2	126:1 135:9,24
73:21,25 74:9,12	68:25 69:18,19	96:20 97:9 99:21	136:4,6
74:19 75:3,8,21	71:9 72:8,15	100:23 101:18	timelines 25:16
75:25,25 76:22,23	75:18 76:2 80:4	106:13 107:12	124:8
77:3 86:21,24	82:19,24 87:15	117:13 121:14,17	timeliness 25:17
87:19,20,23 92:3	88:20,23 100:12	121:24 123:16	timely 44:7 45:2
94:4 96:10 107:21	100:14 101:10	128:4 135:24	72:24 87:19,22
technically 49:14	102:6,7,19 114:23	137:10 139:1	126:25
57:14 84:22 85:8	114:24 115:11	140:6	times 4:7 21:12
technocrat 4:23	138:7 139:4,15,17	thinking 14:12	27:18 76:12 97:22
technocratic	139:21	114:23 138:19	128:8
29:12 50:7	thankful 20:11	thinks 14:20	title 7:7 14:4 18:1
teleconference	thanks 14:9 77:13	thought 45:9 63:8	18:12 19:4 27:25
3:17	118:6	69:14 77:18	28:9 77:4,8 84:1
telling 37:2 52:7	theory 62:1 94:6	three 9:19,20	110:22
81:8 101:3,3	thing 20:23 37:22	12:24 17:12 18:18	today 3:9 4:2 5:4
114:17,20	69:15 82:16 90:18	21:21 48:15 52:15	5:23 6:9,25 20:3
template 121:19	98:21 101:11	57:16 80:25 99:25	20:12 21:19 27:5
122:5 123:5	123:3 128:7	111:19 113:14	27:12 28:12,16

[today - unified] Page 29

29:4,10 30:10	tribal 1:1 5:11,11	52:2 70:11 75:7	ultimately 7:24
32:11 44:17 54:24	5:16 6:5 22:5,15	86:22 107:3	8:24 19:17 57:25
62:19 71:18 73:22	23:15,19,21,22	124:23 umbrella 52:17	
77:13 129:22	24:10,12,14,23	trying 21:8 65:11	unanswered 40:13
told 117:2	25:4 28:4 30:9	68:17 82:9,14	uncharted 107:19
tool 113:3	38:24 43:15 44:1	100:13 101:13	unclear 67:8
tools 77:20	45:4 46:21 61:19	114:21 137:4	undefined 40:1
top 32:10 43:21	62:17 66:18,24	tuesday 1:8 43:3,4	67:5,25 68:9,20
51:10 106:14	69:4,8 70:23	turn 20:4 46:19	106:13
topic 39:20	71:23 72:13,21	61:8	underperformed
topics 23:22 40:16	73:15 78:1 95:22	turning 117:5	18:2
82:11 95:11	98:18 99:1,1	turnover 45:21	underperforming
total 13:15 16:25	125:15 127:5	turtle 14:7 30:18	19:3,7 84:13
21:20 22:14 54:10	131:18,21 137:17	35:21 36:24 38:21	110:9,16
54:12	137:19,24 138:2,6	41:23 43:1 45:8	understaffed
touched 64:2	tribally 30:4,5	46:17 47:10 50:19	76:20
traditional 111:24	46:23 53:6 63:4,6	50:22 51:6,14,17	understand 29:3
training 74:14,15	68:5 80:14 86:9	52:5,10 56:12	40:15 48:4 49:7
74:17 116:5	87:2,25 131:16	57:19 58:18 63:24	49:21 51:20 61:5
transcribed 141:9	tribe 34:21 45:14	64:3 66:17 72:17	76:21 93:15,16
transition 25:3	45:24 57:9 60:5	73:3,11,17 82:20	96:12 100:6
37:16 42:19 51:3	62:16 69:10 70:11	83:4,24 84:9	107:25 126:19
95:18,20 105:2	71:16,18 73:18,19	88:24 92:8 93:4	135:6
transitional 58:15	77:25 78:7 89:23	94:15 97:3 98:6	understandable
61:5	90:18 91:10,14,17	99:22 102:10	128:2
transitioning	99:3 105:11	103:10 104:16	understanding
117:1	139:24	105:24 108:10	38:16 86:8 98:7
transparency 7:23	tribes 24:2 26:10	109:23 119:14	undue 40:22
22:14,25 25:18,24	33:16 43:6,9,13	121:14 131:13	unfair 104:11
45:10	45:5 46:19 57:2	135:7 137:15	unfold 35:16
transparent 33:10	62:3 65:17 69:5,5	138:8	unfunded 49:9,12
36:8 66:4 114:2	69:9,20 71:22	two 3:13 15:9,12	49:19,22,22
128:2 134:5	78:10 81:4,21	15:20 17:9 26:12	unified 22:7,10
transparently	82:1 94:5 99:3	39:17 42:8 48:14	25:10 33:25 34:4
43:9	115:12 129:12	57:2,25 60:2	34:6,13,18,24,25
trauma 71:4	131:21 134:4	68:15,22 75:24	35:8 36:13 39:4
travis 2:7 4:20	trickles 44:25	90:11 104:17	39:12 55:23 56:3
48:2 49:6 51:7	trickling 45:15	114:21 117:8	56:14 72:12 92:10
58:8 73:7 117:5	tried 97:21,22	125:9 131:3	93:19 94:10 102:5
treated 9:9	trouble 78:5 85:10	typical 117:15	109:15 111:14
treaties 69:8	114:3 124:2	u	113:8 121:8
treatment 127:7	true 33:24 141:9	u.s. 6:21	124:16 125:23
treaty 23:23	try 38:5 40:25	uap 107:18	126:6,21 136:21
	42:15 44:5 45:2		

[uniform - weird] Page 30

uniform 124:9	varied 114:4	94:1,17,19,23	121:11 122:7
unify 114:22	variety 21:22	95:12 96:3,5,7,8	124:9
unifying 114:15	100:21	96:22,23 97:2,6	wars 69:9
unique 8:9 61:20	various 17:22	102:16 103:1	washington 1:25
100:23	67:21	105:5,7,19 108:12	3:19 4:19 5:24
unpack 61:13	vendor 124:12	121:11,16 122:2,9	39:21 40:2,8 41:4
unrelated 52:16	vendors 101:17	122:11 124:18	41:11 58:14 59:8
untangle 55:7	venue 54:25	129:9	59:10,23 60:21
upa 106:2,5	verifiable 29:7	waivers 25:13	122:7
upcoming 37:24	verify 28:20,25	56:19 60:3 61:7	watching 46:2
68:17	117:8,10	89:10 105:7	way 10:16 45:16
update 74:24 89:6	versus 89:25	walked 93:7	45:17 47:2 50:13
updates 25:18	101:20 107:24	want 10:23,25	59:10 68:11,14,18
upgrading 42:5	129:7	13:10,12 17:10	69:11 81:2 83:21
usa 50:24 51:18	vested 70:10	19:22 20:3,8 23:5	86:17 97:10
51:24 52:3	view 96:13	23:6 27:4,6,18	108:23 113:11
use 25:5 32:4,18	viewing 47:3	28:19 29:13,17	125:6 126:22
34:21 40:10 42:6	visit 41:7 64:8	30:3,6,12 34:21	ways 26:12 40:14
47:17 48:19 53:17	74:20 86:19 87:1	41:15,16 44:12,15	68:7,20 112:9
78:7,23 79:10	visiting 46:18	44:17,21 52:20	we've 26:5 30:13
80:20 84:17 86:4	60:20 114:9	53:14,17,20,22	31:14 32:22,23
87:15 88:13 92:17	vital 46:19	54:20,21,25 56:8	33:6,9,12 36:4
92:23 93:18,18	vitally 26:23	57:13 59:17,18	37:6 40:2 49:11
95:4,6,8,23 96:25	vicany 20.23 voice 62:12	62:7 64:1 67:19	59:16,22 60:9
97:14 98:2,19		71:6 75:23 82:2	67:21 68:6,14,15
99:3,8 104:7,8,9	W	85:11 91:11,12	68:19 77:5 81:16
104:18,19 105:18	wait 35:9 37:13	92:5 93:10,23	89:22 90:11 91:5
107:23 108:15	74:20 94:3 135:13	94:1,7,9 95:4,6	100:19,21,25
109:10,11 111:2,8	136:9	96:16 97:5 101:12	108:7,8 114:25
111:9,10 121:11	waiting 74:19,22	101:14 102:1	115:5 121:14,23
122:7,12 127:13	128:14 136:25	103:3 107:17,18	128:10,14 138:12
uses 40:9 41:25	waive 89:3 91:12	107:22 114:14	webinar 3:17 26:5
93:12	91:17 105:11	117:24 119:24	website 31:15
utiizing 113:7	waived 122:6	134:17 135:13,14	128:11
	waiver 13:7 25:12	135:19 136:2	week 3:14,15,19
V	34:20 39:24 40:4	wanted 20:19	26:6 43:14 44:10
v 76:8	40:23 41:24 53:19	28:19 71:2 75:20	59:25 87:21
valid 55:23 109:16	53:23 54:18,23	82:4 89:24 95:19	weeks 3:13
112:9 114:18,19	56:15,16 57:3,12	96:12 115:24	weight 16:22 17:1
validity 111:12	57:17,21 66:8	128:7 135:18	28:13 137:22
113:2,16	67:18,20 73:8,23	139:11	weighted 108:8
valuable 43:7	75:23 76:1 77:25	wants 32:4 57:10	weights 122:4
value 10:19 14:21	81:25 89:1 92:9	70:18 78:7 92:11	weird 38:10
values 14:21	92:13,20,22 93:5	96:24 116:6	
	93:8,17,19,24	70.2 r 110.0	

[welcome - zoom] Page 31

welcome 30:6	worked 50:10	80:17 84:22 86:13
went 44:6 45:5	58:6 122:15,17	87:21 94:13 102:2
	working 35:7 37:6	122:25 123:10,16
70:6,20 78:24		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
79:12 89:16	38:5 41:3 44:14	125:17,18,20
west 32:19	45:15 46:12 65:19	126:14,18
wested 4:25	77:1 128:9,16	yearly 123:7
westernized 72:5	131:7 135:17	years 18:18 33:9
white 33:21 35:4	136:12	35:14 37:7 40:3
39:11 45:7 52:15	worried 60:19,19	64:5 67:16 70:3
55:13 58:25 62:14	worries 66:15	79:17 81:17 85:22
65:5 68:4 71:11	worry 60:24 61:1	86:2 88:15 90:10
75:10 77:15 84:20	write 94:18 96:9	91:20,22 93:9
111:1 130:24	writing 10:13	96:14 99:25 117:7
widely 35:14	51:21 54:1 74:8	121:4 123:1,3,7,9
willing 41:9,12	92:2 97:4 98:23	124:5 125:9,13,21
119:9	138:18	126:15,17 127:12
window 3:14	written 6:3 28:24	128:8,10,17 130:4
94:12	29:7,13,15,16,22	yesterday 117:3
winds 126:22	29:25 44:19,19,22	Z
witness 141:16	55:6 78:13 79:25	zoom 75:16
wma 113:13	126:4,8 131:9	Zoom 75.10
woman 56:8	133:3 137:6	
wonderful 69:14	139:13	
wondering 45:11	wrote 138:2	
53:12 61:23 62:2	y	
63:3 81:5,10 86:2	yeah 5:8 33:6	
111:13 115:14	40:13 41:12 65:23	
wonnell 1:23	79:13 83:18 84:6	
141:24	84:7 93:17 99:10	
word 53:17 61:22	99:14 114:8 124:1	
61:23 88:13	124:19 126:19	
words 45:10 71:5	132:21 134:1	
work 4:6 20:16	132.21 134.1	
21:25 24:1 35:22	year 4:1,8 12:10	
36:22 39:14 50:16	13:6 31:18 35:23	
58:11 65:23 69:20	35:25 36:3,20,22	
75:3 76:24 77:6	, ,	
79:23 81:16 85:6	36:25 37:2,15,16	
85:11,13 92:5	37:25 38:3,9,13	
94:13 101:8,14,19	38:15,19,23 39:6	
106:14 116:4	42:19,20 46:15	
124:22 127:21	48:9,10,11 50:25	
128:16 136:15,21	51:4 58:11,16,16	
· ·	60:10,14 68:17	
139:16	69:22 70:1 74:7	