	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	BEFORE THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
10	BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION
11	TRIBAL CONSULTATION ON
12	THE STANDARDS ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM
13	PROPOSED RULE (25 CF3 30)
14	July 11, 2019
	8:43 a.m 5:15 p.m.
15	SIPI
	9169 Coors Boulevard NW
16	Albuquerque, NM 87120
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	REPORTED BY: Sarah R. Padilla, CSR, RPR, NM CCR 520
24	
	Job No. PA3449856
25	

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 Travis Clark, Esq, Management Program Analyst Washington DC (MIB) 202-208-3612 Travis.Clark@BIE.EDU 3 4 Tony L. Dearman (Cherokee) Director Department of the Interior, Main Building 1849 C Street, NW MS-3609-MIB 5 Washington, DC 20240 6 (202) 208-6123 7 Deb Sigman, West Ed, Interim Program Director, Comprehensive School Assistance Program 8 Dr. Tamarah Pfeiffer, Associate Deputy Director - Navajo School P.O. Box 1449, Window Rock, AZ 86515 9 (928) 871-5932 10 11 Dr. Jeffery Hamley, Associate Deputy Director-Division of Performance and Accountability 12 Department of the Interior, Main Building 1849 C Street, NW MS-3609-MIB Washington, DC 20240 13 (202) 208-6123 14 15 Brian Quint, Esq., Solicitor SOL-IA 202-219-0356 Brian.Quint@sol.doi.gov 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 1 COMMENTARY 2 Alma Singuah, First Mesa Elementary 3 Vincent Rodriguez, Pueblo of Laguna Charles Suazo, Santa Clara Pueblo 4 5 David Talayumptewa, Hopi Council Representative Dr. Noreen Sakiestewa, Department of Education, Hopi 6 Jeremy Oyenque, Santa Clara Pueblo 7 Nina Smith, CSI Director, Santa Fe Indian School 8 9 Charles Cuny, Santa Clara Pueblo 10 Shawn Tootsie, First Mesa Elementary 11 Patricia Sandoval, Laguna, Department of Education Danielle Lansing, SIPI Instructor 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 2.1 22 2.3 24 25

		Page 4
1	ATTACHMENTS	
2		
3	Letter to Tribal Leaders	
4	Final Tribal Consultation ESSA PPT	
5	84 FR 2678S Part 30 Proposed Rule	
6	Draft 30 Consultation Presentation	
7	Letter from Pueblo of Laguna	
8	Letter from Santa Fe Indian School	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
2 2		
23		
2 4		
25		

Albuquerque, New Mexico, Thursday, July 11, 2019
8:43 a.m. - 5:15 p.m.

2.3

TRAVIS CLARK: Again, apologies for getting started a little late. We won't take away any of the time that we've committed to be here to receive comments from you-all. So we were scheduled to close at 5:00 p.m. We will make up the time. We will make sure that we don't cut off any time that is dedicated to receiving your comments and your feedback. So we're going to extend the closing time for us to make sure that we are not giving anybody short shrift. Again, apologies for the delay in getting started. But fortunately, we are all set up and ready to go.

First of all, thank you all so much for coming out and giving us your time and your attention today for this very important issue.

Obviously, this is going to shape a lot of what the Bureau does for many years to come. And it is critical that we have an open and free flowing dialogue between the Bureau and our constituents, our stakeholders, those people that we serve -- our students, our schools, our staff, and our tribes. So thank you very much for being here and thank you for the time that you have given us today to go over

Page 6

this important matter and to give us this critical and important feedback and input that we need to make sure we have a strong rule that serves our students and our schools well.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Before I really get going, first I want to do a real quick overview. We had a Rulemaking Committee. And there were people that committed a good deal of their time working on getting this proposed rule to be ready for you-all's review today. So I just want to take a moment to really highlight their work.

Ms. Lucinda Campbell is with us. She is part of the committee. And Mr. Charles Cuny is also with us today. And so I just really want to take a moment and say thank you to them. I don't see

Mr. Cuny at the moment. Oh, there we go, Mr. Cuny.

I just want to take a moment and acknowledge their presence and thank them for their time. Again, they did a lot of work to get us to where we are right now with the Proposed Rule that we have.

With that, just really quickly, I am going to throw it over to our panel here to Mr. Dearman. He will do a couple of opening statements and then we will do some introductions and get going.

TONY DEARMAN: Good morning. I'm Tony

July 11, 2019

1 Dearman, the director of the Bureau of Indian 2 Education. And I really want to thank all of our tribal leaders. We have council members, tribal 3 4 leaders and stakeholders in the room. It is really critical for the BIE to hear from our tribal 5 leaders. You are going to hear us say over and over 6 7 that, "We need a partnership and we really need to the voices of our tribes." We are so unique as an 8 9 education system As many of you know, we are spread 10 across 23 states, 64 reservations, we have 130 11 tribally controlled and 53 BIE operated. 12 We take 23 right now. We participate in

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We take 23 right now. We participate in 23 State Standard Assessments and Accountability systems. And it has made it very difficult for the BIE to be able to collect and analyze the data. We are really excited about where we are headed. The BIE is really making a lot of positive changes. And we need to continue to partner with and hear from our tribal leaders and stakeholders of how we can continue to improve for our students. It is an exciting time. And we really look forward to hearing all the comments. This is the first of, I believe, six consultations. And, again, we are going across Indian Country, because we need our tribal leaders to be at the table.

1 So I want to close by saying I 2 appreciate -- the BIE appreciates the input, the voices of our tribal leaders and stakeholders. We 3 4 look forward to all the commitments. And, please, make us better. We are in this together. They are 5 our kids, and we need continue to move forward and 6 7 make positive changes for our students across our 8 entire system. Thank you for coming today. 9 TRAVIS CLARK: With that, I'm just going to do some real brief introductions for those folks 10 11 you see here on the panel. Start there on the end, 12 other than Tony, because Tony already introduced 13 himself. 14 BRIAN QUINT: Good morning. My name is 15 Brian Quint, I am an attorney advisor with the 16 Office of the Solicitor with the Department of the 17 Interior in Washington DC. 18 TRAVIS CLARK: A little bit of what your 19 role has been. 2.0 Sure. So I provide legal BRIAN QUINT: 2.1 advice to the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee. 2.2 have already heard a little bit about that. And we are going to hear some more about that. So I am 2.3

here in a support role to clarify anything that the

BIE might say in the presentation or try to answer

24

25

1 | simple questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

TRAVIS CLARK: Perfect.

DR. JEFFREY HAMLEY: Good morning. Jeff Hamley. I am associate deputy director, Division of Performance and Accountability in the Bureau. My location is in Washington DC. In my role in the Committee, I was the lead negotiator for the government. And so depending how it goes, it was all my fault.

TAMARAH PFEIFFER: Tamarah Pfeifer, I'm coming in as the active chief academic officer under the director of the Bureau of Indian Education. And I will be supporting this work with Standards Assessment and Accountability. Thank you all for coming.

DEB SIGMAN: Good morning. I am Deb
Sigman and I serve as a senior adviser to the Center
on Standards and Assessment Implementation at West
Ed. That center is a federally-funded center which
provides technical assistance to states and other
entities including the BIE with regard to Standards
Assessment and Accountability issues. And we have
been assisting BIE with the Negotiated Rulemaking
process. And I am happy to be here. Thank you.

TRAVIS CLARK: And before we get started

with our two presentations, just a couple housekeeping matters. Just real briefly, the agenda that you will see in your packet, you have got, really, all the materials that are associated with this Negotiated Rulemaking. You got a copy of the Federal Notice, a copy of the presentations that will be given today, along with the agenda and some other items. So please refer to that really often and always, throughout these presentations.

Hopefully it will help generate some good feedback and questions from you-all.

2.0

2.2

Also, just really briefly going over the agenda for today. We have got two sessions. And we are intentionally not trying to make this lecture heavy on our end. We are here in a listening capacity to hear what you think about the proposed rule that we have. So we have got two presentations this morning. The first one is going to be provided by WestEd and their staff Deb here on the panel. We are going to ask that we hold questions and comments until the question-and-comment period. So hopefully we will be able to move through that pretty briskly.

Following that, we will have a short break following that first presentation, and then we will have a second presentation provided by BIE staff

1 regarding this Negotiated Rulemaking in particular 2 and the Proposed Rule in front of you. We are hoping to get through those, again, pretty briskly, 3 4 no longer than an hour a piece. We will have another break. And really, the meat of this 5 6 Consultation will start at that point, around 11:15. 7 At 11:15 we will open for tribal comments for your 8 feedback and input.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

A couple housekeeping items when it comes to the comments, we got the court reporter today. We intend to take every single comment that we receive seriously and do an intensive post-consultation analysis of all of the feedback that we receive. So in order to do that effectively, it is critical that when you come to present a comment, please give us your full name so that the court reporter can take it down so that we know where the comment came from, your position title, if any, and what organization, what tribe you are representing or what organization you are affiliated with. Again, that helps us when we go back and we are able to look at this transcript in a very deep way to know who is providing what comments so that we can factor that into our post-consultation analysis.

Page 12

So, again, really, that is the rest of our day. We are going to have some breaks interspersed in there so we are not sitting for hours on end.

But, really, that is the focus.

2.0

2.1

2.2

When you come to give your comment, if they are very simple, clearcut questions that we are able to answer on the fly or if they are clarifying questions or stuff like that, we are going to do our best to answer your questions as best we can here.

We expected some very, very complex issues to arise.

And for those, we really feel like we need to go back and be able to do a very intensive analysis of your comments, and we will provide a written response to those complex questions.

Again, as a Consultation, we are here in listening mode. We want to hear what your thoughts and opinions are in the direction you feel we should be going when it comes to this Proposed Rule. So those are kind of the parameters. Real briefly, we are going to have Mr. Quint come up and explain a couple items for us, specifically the 8204

Negotiated Rulemaking process. So I will kick it over to Brian real fast to provide some comments on that.

BRIAN QUINT: Great. So in order for this

to be a successful consultation, we think it is helpful to keep in mind what we are doing here. And so, broadly, back in December of 2015, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1964 was reauthorized and amended and included a requirement that the Department of Interior engage in what is called a Negotiated Rulemaking process to develop the rules around how the Department would implement a requirement to have a Standards Assessments and Accountability system for BIE-funded schools. Negotiated Rulemaking, just broadly, is a process whereby federal officials and representatives, in this case a representative group of stakeholders, get together to try to work out, broadly, rules that everyone can live with or at least not be opposed to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And we are going to hear a little bit more about this. But we had a very good committee that was formed with stakeholders from principals and parents and school groups. And we came together and we met four times officially. And there were various committee meetings where we talked about a broad, wide variety of issues.

So what we are doing here, the Department took a recommendation on a rule the committee had

sent to the Secretary of the Interior. And the Department developed a Proposed Rule which was published in the Federal Register. What we are doing now is seeking comments from the public, broadly, about this Proposed Rule that was based on the recommendation of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee. And we have highlighted a few areas especially where the Department would like more specific feedback. But you should feel free to comment on any part of the Proposed Rule. here to talk about this Proposed Rule. And that is, broadly, what we are here for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

TRAVIS CLARK: Okay. Good to go. Apologies, I neglected a couple items on the housekeeping. As you saw, I just want to thank our student government here at SIPI for providing the coffee, the doughnuts, all of that stuff that everybody is enjoying outside. Also, there are bathrooms in the back of this hallway. So if you come around outside, there are some restrooms out And at lunchtime the basketball club will be selling some Indian tacos in the gym foyer. you are so inclined at your lunchtime to support the students here, we would appreciate it.

With that, I would like introduce Ms. Deb

Sigman to talk about the Every Student Succeeds Act.

2.0

2.1

2.2

DEB SIGMAN: Good morning, and thank you very much. So my role here today is to provide you some basic information about the Elementary Secondary and Education Act, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act, also known as ESSA. And I will try to be very brief in my comments.

So as Brian indicated, the ESEA was passed in 1965. It was a huge federal education act.

Really, at its core, it is a Civil Rights act. And that ESEA set up the Title One program which was providing dollars for students -- disadvantaged students. And since that time there have been lots of changes to that law. Prior to ESSA, our current law, we had the No Child Left Behind Act, NCLB.

But, as of December 15, we are now under the Every Student Succeeds Act.

So it is really important, while there were obviously changes from the NCLB to ESSA, certain things remained. And those core pillars that really are about promoting educational excellence and equity remain. And those pillars are Standards Assessments Accountability Systems that really talk about disaggregation of data and transparency of data so that all of our communities,

our parents, our students, our educators are well informed of what the data say.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

And then, lastly, given the data and given the evidence about student performance, "How do we support our schools? How do we intervene to ensure that our students are going to perform and get the services that they need to perform?" So, again, Section 8204 requires the Secretary of the Interior go about this Negotiated Rulemaking process with regard to Standards Assessments and Accountability Systems. And these requirements have to be consistent with Section 1111 within the statute. And Brian will go a little bit more into that. As I said, these requirements have to be accomplished through this Negotiated Rulemaking process.

So I want to talk a little bit about this system that we have, the Standards Assessments and Accountability System. It starts with standards. So it starts with the academic standards, those things that we expect our students to know, that we want our students to know and be able to do in order to be successful. So that is the beginning piece.

And those standards feed into assessments; right? So those assessments must be aligned with those standards. So we want to have standards that

Page 17

actually measure what our students know and are able to do. And then finally, that builds into a system of accountability, holding ourselves accountable, making sure that we have data that is transparent, and then ultimately leads into a system of support or for our schools.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So why are standards so important? It really is a way to have these high expectations and consistent expectations that are very transparent so that all folks -- parents, educators, students -- know what is expected of our students. And it is also making sure that our students have the same standards across our systems as a safeguard to make sure that we don't provide some students with less than, if you will. We want to make sure that all of our standards are consistent across our systems.

So what is supposed to happen under ESSA with regard to standards? Well, first of all, first off, entities, states, in this case BIE, is required to adopt challenging standards; right, and at a minimum, math, reading, language arts, and science. Entities can establish standards in the other academic content areas. But, at a minimum, in these three areas there must be standards.

There must be at least three levels in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Page 18

order to measure those standards. For example, you can talk about students performing in an advanced level or proficient level or basic level. But the terminology is up to the entity to decide what that looks like. And then these standards must be aligned to the entrance requirements of credit bearing courses of colleges within that state or within that system. So the same standards -- and this is critical and I have touched on this. The same standards must apply to all students, regardless, except for alternate achievement standards which may be set for our students with severe cognitive disabilities -- that is the one exception -- or significant cognitive disabilities. And, again, you have to also adopt standards in English language proficiency. So we have got our academic content standards in math, reading, and science. And then we also have to adopt standards in language proficiency.

So why do we care about assessment? Well, assessment is kind of our check, if you will. It provides that objective measure for the standards that we deemed to be important. So we've agreed upon a set of standards that we all want all of our students to meet. And then we want to be able to

make sure we can measure so we can make sure that we intervene when we need to intervene for those students who may not be performing where we'd like them to perform. So those assessments help us to find gaps in performance across students groups, across schools. And it gives -- it is meant to give schools and systems good information about how they can improve, what they need to do in order to have the system be more responsive to the students that it serves. And hopefully, it can inform and improve teaching and learning across those systems.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So what is required in that assessment category under ESSA? Well, we have to administer assessments annually. So every year students must take these assessments in math and language arts, reading, in every grade three through eight and once in high school. And for science that is an annual assessment in a grade span. So a grade span would be an elementary grade span, a middle school grade span, and high school grade span.

Our students with disabilities have to be tested, either as part of the regular assessment that has been created for students, or that alternate assessment system that I spoke about earlier for our students who have significant

July 11, 2019

1 cognitive disabilities. Those assessments really 2 need to make sure that they provides appropriate accommodations for our students with disabilities as 3 4 Those assessments must be aligned to the academic standards. That is critical. Having 5 6 assessments where there is a mismatch with those 7 standards will really not provide the kind of 8 information that we want provided to our educators. 9 And then at least 95 percent of our students within 10 a system must be assessed.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

English learners. Those students who have been identified as English learners must assessed annually for language acquisition, how they are doing in terms of acquiring English language. must be included in annual academic assessments, although there are some rules that are slightly different for our recently arrived English learners. State entities have decisions to make about what they want their assessments to look like and how those assessments are delivered. And, in fact, part of those assessments may be delivered in a performance assessment or a portfolio or a project. Not all. It can only be part. Entities can decide to administer that assessment as a single assessment, summative assessment, or in an interim

fashion that leads to a single, summative score.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And then for our students with disabilities who assess with that alternate assessment, that is limited to 1 percent of the number of students who actually can take that test.

So next is accountability. Why is accountability so important? Well, well designed and effective and appropriate accountability systems really set clear expectations. They are a message to our communities, as I said, to our parents and our students and educators about expectations for all students, what is expected, what do we want students to be able to do, and what do we want our schools to be able to do in order to make sure that our students are performing. It is meant to focus attention on students who are not necessarily doing as well or groups of students that are not necessarily doing as well, particularly those students who may be considered traditionally underserved.

It really can be a signal. It is a signal of priority of what BIE cares about, of what the community cares about in order for the public to know what we hold our schools accountable for. And then, ultimately, you want the system to be able to

July 11, 2019

signal what those interventions might be. Where do we need to intervene most urgently for those students who may not be getting the services that they need?

2.0

2.2

So what does accountability look like under ESSA? Well, there are indicators. By that I mean measures. And the system has to contain multiple measures. But there are five things, five indicators, if you will, that states, entities need to acknowledge.

So the first off is that all schools have to have an academic achievement measure. And it is measured by the proficiency level. So whatever that level, whatever a state has determined on those annual assessments in ELA, reading, and math. That is the first indicator. And high schools may also include a growth measure.

And number two is another academic indicator for elementary and secondary schools that aren't high schools. That could be a measure of growth on those ELA and math assessments, or it could be another valid, reliable academic indicator. For example, if a state or an entity wanted to think about using science as another academic indicator, that would be an example of this. Graduation rates

July 11, 2019

for high schools, so that is a must. Language proficiency -- remember we talked about that all students need to be assessed for language proficiency, those students who are identified as English learners.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And finally, the fifth indicator is a factor of school quality and student success or school success. And that is really up to the entity to decide what that is. But I can tell you that many states have chosen, for example, a measure of chronic absenteeism. But there are lots of other kinds of quality indicators. You could look at educator engagement, school climate. You could actually look at another kind of content area, if that was what you wanted to do. So this is a very open kind of indicator that the entity or the system would need to choose. Those are the five.

And for those indicators, you have to think about how do we meaningfully differentiate across our schools with these indicators so that we can make decisions about interventions. So the statute calls for indicators one through four -- remember those are those academic indicators -- proficiency, another reliable indicator is graduation rates, language proficiency. They must

be afforded substantial weight. Now, it is clear that is not defined in the statute. But that is the statutory language. And those four together must account for much greater weight than the indicator in number five, which is that school quality or success indicators.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Entities have to set long-term goals, at least on the assessments and graduation rates. In other words, a goal about, "Where do we expect our students to be in three years," for example. Well, that would be an integral. But, say, for example, "In ten years what is our expectation? Where do we want our students to be?" And you have to set that goal for all of your students. And if you have any subgroups of students, you have to set a goal for them as well.

And then, finally, there is an identification part of accountability. Because what good is this if we don't make sure we can identify so that we can intervene where needed? So this is a little bit complicated. And I have to thank the Department of Education, the U.S. Department of Education, for providing this. But there are several categories that states and entities must derive. And that is you have to think about what

Page 25

are the lowest 5 percent of Title I schools, so those schools that receive dollars. Low graduation rate high schools -- so if the high school hits that low graduation rate, they must be identified.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And then there is something called additional targeted support for Title I schools that don't exit. So then we might need some additional intervention. And then there is targeted assistance, which is for schools that have consistently underperforming subgroups or additional support for improvement schools. And then there is a whole other additional optional category, should an entity decide they want to identify another group for intervention purposes.

So, again, this is called comprehensive school support. Those are the most urgent kinds of needs of support and intervention. That would be the lowest performing schools that receive Title I schools or those high schools that do not graduate more than 67 percent of their students. And entities have to identify these sites once every three years.

For additional targeted support, ATSI, entities have to use the same whatever terminology they use to determine what those comprehensive

Page 26

support improvement schools were. You have to also identify using that same methodology. It can be based on the performance of one or more of the subgroups, and you can identify across all schools or those identified as receiving targeted support. And it is not limited to Title I.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And then finally, TSI, Targeted Support and Improvement, this is really about the performance of our subgroups and making sure that we close those achievement gaps where we have them. Those schools must be identified annually, so on a determined timeline by the state or the entity. that is very complicated. And we will get a little bit into it. But I really want to bring your attention to really highlight this is about a system that is meant to promote educational excellence and equity. And as was indicated before, there are 23 schools -- 23 states where these schools reside, different kinds of schools. And BIE has to consider this system about standards, about assessments, about accountability. But ultimately, the goal is to make sure that we can intervene where appropriate.

I'm not going to go over this, but in your handout and for your reference, there is a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

This is ESSA.

Page 27

comparisons document. For those of you who lived during the time of NCLB and were part of that system, it might be nice for you to have a look at what is different between NCLB and ESSA. So in your packets you have some charts that look like this that really are about comparison. Many things have stayed the same, but there are some differences. And so that is for your reference. So with that, I will conclude. TRAVIS CLARK: Thank you, Deb. going to have a break between the two presentations. But we got through that pretty quick, less than a little over 30 minutes. So unless there are any objections about it, we can move straight into the next presentation by BIE folks. Does anybody have objections to that, other than Jeff? DR. JEFFREY HAMLEY: Good morning. So this is an overview summary of what the Committee put in the Draft Rule, the Proposed Rule. So we will go through this, and then we will have a break. And after the break is when you will have an opportunity to give testimony and also to ask questions. Brian had covered this this morning.

Education to have a Standards Assessments and

It requires the Bureau of Indian

Accountability System that apples to all Bureau schools. And the Standard Assessments and Accountability Systems have to be consistent with Section 1111 in ESSA.

2.0

2.1

2.2

The same is for states. That applies also to states. And and as a federal agency, we are required to go through Negotiated Rulemaking in order to determine that Standards Assessments and Accountability System. So that is different from states.

Here is an overview of the timeline for the developing of the Committee. We actually began the process in 2017. Actually, discussions were much sooner than that, but we got started in 2017. The new administration — that was under the Obama administration. But the new administration decided they wanted to do it a little different, which was their prerogative. So of course we respect that. But we did have to do a restart which delayed the whole process a bit.

In August 2018, we formed the Committee.

The Committee met four times. In April 2019, a

Committee report was submitted to the Bureau of

Indian Education to the director. And then we

published the Proposed Rule on June 10, 2019. So it

has been a long process.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Here is the membership. We had a 17-member Committee, 12 primary, and 2 alternate nonfederal members, basic representatives from tribes, we had two federal members and one alternate federal member. And the membership reflected a wide variety of stakeholders and geographic regions from Indian Country including administrators, teachers, parents, and school board representatives.

Here are the key recommendations. One, that the Committee honor Tribal Sovereignty and education; that the rules create a unified system of requirements for Standards Assessments

Accountability System. But also that tribal governing body or school boards can waive all, in whole or in part, the Standards Assessments and Accountability System. And that is also in ESSA. That is in the law, embedded in the law that the Standards Assessments and Accountability meet the requirements in Section 1111, the same as states.

That was congressional intent that the Bureau create a Standards Assessments and Accountability Plan which is called the "SAAP," that parallels what the states do for their state plan.

In ESSA, states are required to have a

July 11, 2019

state plan. But, technically, the BIE is not a state. So that provision in ESSA does not apply to us. We will talk a little bit more about that later. The Committee was also interested in having the Bureau conduct ongoing stakeholder engagement and periodic review of its so called state plan or its SAAP. The Committee was interested in introducing a tribal civics course for the entire Bureau. And they also wanted to evaluate science as an academic indicator. And we will talk a little bit more about that as we go.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So on the SAAP, the Standards Assessments and Accountability Plan, this is equivalent to the state plan. And the purpose was to ensure transparency and consistency to stakeholders. The stakeholders would have a document that is published on the BIE website so every school, every administrator, every parent, every student could pick up the document and see what the Bureau was doing on its accountability system that year. Which we think it is a great idea. We agree with that.

Now, the BIE had already decided back a couple years earlier that we would do a state plan, even though it wasn't required for us. And for that purpose, for transparency. The Committee, however,

Page 31

decided they want to put it into law, into a rule.

So some questions have come up around that. And should it be required in a rule?

2.0

2.1

2.2

Another avenue that the Bureau under ESSA is the Bureau has to enter into a memorandum of agreement with the Department of Education. In our past agreements, we have it in the state plan in there as a requirement. So it could go in there. So it just raises some questions if the rule is the appropriate way to go with the state plan since the Bureau was already going to do it and since we have an MOA. So that is really an open question at this point.

Stakeholder engagement is another issue that generated a lot of questions. Once we have a state plan, how periodically should the Bureau be required to do changes. So if a change is going to be made with states, state plans are made on an annual basis, not every year. They test out. They may change indicators or waive of indicators as they try things out. So the state has the flexibility to change its state accountability system.

So by putting it into a rule, we have stakeholder engagement in a specified way, it creates a burden on the dialogue between the

Page 32

stakeholders and the state and it doesn't allow for changes to be made if necessary. So usually it is left to the prerogative of the state and the state superintendent to make changes in the state plan and to notify the stakeholders. This goes a step beyond that, a little bit a step beyond that. It also raises questions about what would be the role of consultation in this if we have this provision.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And another question was there is the Federal Advisory Committee Act, FACA, which applies to federal agencies. So how would FACA play into this requirement? So this is one that kind of raised more questions than answers. But this was something that the Committee was interested in pursuing.

Tribal civics. The committee was interested and recommended a rule requiring implementation of Standard Assessments and Accountability in a subject to be called tribal civics that would include topics related to tribal sovereignty, self-determination, and treaty law. There was great interest in this topic. And it did, however, with many of the provision in the rule, raise questions.

One is how would such a requirement be

1	implemented across all BIE funded schools? And
2	another issue is that I think it was recognized
3	that a lot of tribes and schools already have
4	curricula that reflected their own tribal culture.
5	And they have done a lot of work in this over the
6	years. So if the Bureau implements this requirement
7	and makes it a requirement of all schools, how would
8	that fit with what the tribes are already doing to
9	teach their own culture, their treaty, tribal
10	obligations, and how would it affect the tribal
11	civics of their communities? So those are some of
12	the unresolved questions. I guess another point is
13	nothing precludes tribes from already doing this.
14	And many tribes are already doing it. So that
15	raises a question, "Is a rule required for this?"
16	Likewise, the committee was interested in
17	elevating science. The committee recommended to
18	require the incorporation of science in the
19	accountability system. So this was also a question,
20	"How will this be implemented nationally to all
21	schools? And what might be the effect of such a
22	requirement?" Now, Congress has already well,
23	the reason was the importance of science to tribal
24	communities and STEM education and the impact upon
25	the academic careers of individual students, and

also the workforce of the tribe to have a science background.

2.0

2.1

2.2

But Congress has already emphasized science in the Standards Assessments and Accountability System. It is required of all schools across the country. But what this does is it goes beyond and elevates it to an indicator. So that raises some issues. I guess, specifically, if science is a weighted indicator, how would that affect slow performing students and the overall status of the school at the end of the year when they are given their indicator status, their accountability status? It would tend to lower it if you are elevating a very challenging subject like science. So that was one of the things discussed.

It was also pointed out that if a tribe were particularly interested in this, for example, if they have a very rigorous curriculum in science, they could make this a waiver request. So a school could say, "We want to elevate science on a higher level than the general Bureau system or the system in the states." So they would be free to do that.

So, again, this raised some questions.

Now, another take on this is tribal civics and science and school quality student success. So ESSA

does require that states have a school quality student success indicator. So, for example, states have chosen -- most times it is left up to the state. In all cases, it is left up to the state.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So one question is how many indicators would a state have? You already have several other indicators -- English, language arts, math, English language proficiency. So the question is how many indicators do you want in your accountability system? So this adds two from the starting gate. You have two already, if the state wanted to add more.

So when you look at what the states have done is they have chosen the number one is chronic absenteeism. There is a strong correlation between student success and absenteeism. So states really have decided to look at that nationally. Other ones were college career readiness, advanced placement courses, school climate, school safety. These are some. There are probably about a dozen. But those are the primary ones.

So if the Bureau were to add chronic absenteeism or school safety, those would be -- that would be in addition to those two, so we would have four. So it raises questions about the complexity

July 11, 2019

of your overall accountability system when you are adding so many indicators. However, there was great interest in these two topics, tribal civics and science. So I guess the question is how are they going to fit into this, and what would be the role?

Would we require it of all schools or would we leave it as an option if a school wanted to select it or not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Of course the Committee, all of the Committee -- the Bureau is very interested in Native American languages and how they fit in. Committee recommended that the rule include an affirmation of the right to develop academic standards and academic assessments in Native American languages. So the question is, again, how does that fit into a rule for all Bureau-funded schools which would be a requirement of all schools? There was discussion in the committee. "There was already the Native American Language Act, Public Law 101477." So there is already a law that emphasizes Native American languages. And even without a rule, a proposed rule in this area, as we all know, tribes and schools are emphasizing this in their schools. So the question is, "Do they really need a rule to require anything on this topic?"

Another issue -- it wasn't really, I guess, fully flushed out about -- fully explained about how this would work. Would this be Standards and Assessments in the languages? How would those be implemented? How would those be developed? So, again, there were some questions left on this one.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Now, the Committee, as it started out, agreed on one thing. And this was what I recommended -- what we recommended as for the Bureau is that we move to what the states have and have a unified system. Because under No Child Left Behind, we had a 23-part accountability system of standards, assessments, and indicators. It was a very complicated system. No state in the country had that, no district. They all had unified systems. So we agreed on that early on. But at the end of the negotiations, some committee members felt that they maybe wanted to have an opt-in, in other words to keep their state system during a transition period.

So there was interest in that. I am not sure exactly how many states will want to do that. It goes against the initial agreed upon goal of having a unified system. Different schools are going to say, "We want to continue with the state

1 | system for a period."

2.0

2.1

2.2

The other question is that -- well, continuing with the state system is a waiver option. Because the tribes have the right waive in whole or in part the secretary system. So this is a waiver option that they could exercise at some point. So I think the major drawback from the No Child Left Behind system is that there was no accountability, clear accountability, for what schools are doing both to the schools and communities, but also from a Bureau-wide perspective. Because we had an incomparable system. So while this was an interesting idea, I think it raises some challenges.

Waiver and alternative proposals. Well, this is already in the law. It is in ESSA. It was in No Child Left Behind. It will be in the next authorization of ESEA because this is something Congress believes in. And the Bureau accepts that. We have had two waivers with Navajo Nation and Miccosukee Indian School under No Child Left Behind. So we are fully on board with this concept.

This discussion was the timelines around it. It is proposing that there be updates every 30 days on the process when a tribe requests a waiver. And then the tribe and a committee comprised of

Bureau of Indian Education and Department of
Education engage in a discussion. It is an ongoing
one. It is an iterative process. And so this is a
requirement that time every 30 days there be a stop
and there be an exchange of what is the status in a
formal reporting way. So I think the question there
is, "Is this overly burdensome, a 30-day reporting
period?" And it sort of takes -- it shifts it from
the actual work that is going on to the reporting
process.

2.0

2.1

2.2

There is already a provision in 25 CFR 30 113 which requires some timelines, a response from the Bureau within 60 days on the alternative proposal. So there are some timelines. I think the Committee and the Bureau recognize that some of these discussions become very protracted. So it is good to have some timeline framework. But the question is, "Would this be too burdensome?"

Now we are moving into this area where the Committee did not get into this, did not write rules on this, but it has been left to further discussion. So in ESSA, there are requirements for states under Support and Intervention system. So it is already in law. It is defined in law. Then the role of the SEA, meaning the Bureau, the LEA and schools,

Page 40

meaning our bureau schools, are all defined. So I guess this is -- it is a question, "Does this need to be included in the rule since it is already included in the law?"

2.0

2.1

2.2

It could be. But another avenue for it would be in the BIE Department of Education MOA.

That might be a place. The Bureau is required to develop a plan on Support and Intervention and Identification of Schools, which Deb went into a good presentation on that. The Bureau is required to develop these plans. So it is already in law.

So the question is, "Should it be embedded in rule?" And in any case, the Committee did not get to this topic. It was discussed, but we didn't really do anything on it.

I should probably move this slide up front. Here is our consultation schedule. So we are going to sixth place. One of them is a webinar. And I think the most important thing is in this next slide is that everybody has that opportunity, whether it is here today or in a timeline -- I think the timeline is here -- August 9, 2019, to provide testimony. You can submit it via e-mail or there is an online form at Regulations.gov.

So that is the most important thing I

Page 41

think that everybody here needs to know and all the communities need to know. You can take the proposed rule, you can discuss it, and then you can write a response. And it will all be considered and analyzed in the development of the Final Rule. That's it.

2.0

2.1

2.2

TRAVIS CLARK: Okay. We are moving along pretty good. We will go ahead and take a 15-minute break, and then we will reconvene and start opening the floor to comment, questions, and sitting here listening to the input and feedback you-all have.

(Recess 9:46 - 9:56 a.m.)

TRAVIS CLARK: Let's get going. I will quickly go over procedure for the rest of the day. Again, this is consultation. This is our opportunity and your opportunity to have a dialogue on how these rules are going to be shaped, ultimately. So, again, we have got a court reporter here. And our intention is to get every comment, every piece of feedback that we receive, and be able to do a real thorough and intensive post-consultation analysis of all of the feedback that we received from Indian Country. So it is very important that, when you come to make your comment, if you have a comment to make, question, before you

come down to make a statement -- you will see we have two microphones here. So if you don't mind, walk on down, grab one of the mics. Before you provide your comment, please give us your full name, your first and last name, your title, and the tribe that you represent, the school that you represent, or what your affiliation is so that we can have that recorded within the transcript. And that will be able to greatly assist us, when we are doing that post-consultation analysis, to know where each comment came from. So that is very important.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Again, we are going to do the best that we can to provide any clarifying answers to questions that you may have. Things that are very, very complex or would require a pretty complicated answer to, we are going to listen today, and then we will provide you a written response to those complex questions as part of our analysis process. So some of you that participated in the strategic direction consultation that we have, we envision a very similar process where we have a post-consultation report and we are able to provide you that response to each of those questions. But we will do the best that we can today to get you the answers.

Echoing what Dr. Hamley said when we

wrapped us his presentation earlier, please take advantage of this. As you saw, there are some outstanding questions that we want feedback for to help shape what the final rule will be. So please prepare written comments, written input that you may have, suggestions, whatever your opinion is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

It is available in either one of two routes. You can either e-mail us at Consultation@BIA.gov or Regulations.gov. And use the docket number that we have here. I am going to have this up all day so that it is readily available to everybody. The deadline to have those written comments back is August 9 at midnight Eastern time. So a good long time. But it's important that we get that feedback to help shape that Final Rule.

So with that, really, I'm just going to open it up for the rest of the afternoon. We will go from now until lunch, and we will be back after lunch until the end of the day. We are here available to provide comments. For those who have comments, please come to the down to the floor and talk to us. We got a volunteer to carry the mic.

TONY DEARMAN: Everybody is being shy.

TRAVIS CLARK: If you have a question or comment, come and take the mic and Tony will pass

July 11, 2019

the mic around.

2.0

2.1

2.2

ALMA SINQUAH: My name Alma Sinquah. I am the chief school administrator at First Mesa Elementary School. I'm from the Hopi tribe. My comments are that the assessment focused on the national standards, that way it is all the way across, rather than individual state standards. Accountability, also that we not only focus on successful schools and fund them forever like we are doing right now, but that we also focus on successful schools who also need funding to continue to be successful and use them as models for other schools that are out there and are failing.

Special education students, their assessment should be provided at the performance levels to demonstrate individual growth.

And my other question is the 1 percent cap alternative assessment, did you base this on the current enrollment of all the schools funded under BIE? Because, currently, I have been in the system for years. The special education enrollment at individual schools has increased due to the drugs, the meth, and other things. And we have higher enrollments in special education now. So that is why I am questioning the 1 percent cap.

July 11, 2019 Meeting

Page 45

DEB SIGMAN: Do you want me to answer that, the 1 percent? So the 1 percent is 1 percent of the total school population. And that is in the federal statute. And that 1 percent doesn't apply to all special education students or students with an IEP, but rather it applies to those students who are taking an alternate which is designed specifically for those students with serious cognitive delays. Your students who have IEPs with other kinds of disabilities would take the regular assessment with some kind of accommodation, potentially. But that 1 percent applies strictly to those serious cognitive delays that are designated in a student's IEP. ALMA SINQUAH: And that's the reason why indicated that special education students, not the 1 percent that you are talking about, be given the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

assessments at their performance level to indicate the growth.

Also, I'd like to know why you-all stopped funding the NWEA without any other alternative Drug funding was also stopped. And I assessments? am wondering why. Is there a possibility that they may continue?

> BRIAN QUINT: I can address that. We have

July 11, 2019

1 | had a very long history with NWEA over ten years.

It has changed over the years. Initially it was

3 schools that initiated their contracts with NWEA.

4 And then the Bureau decided to institute a

5 | system-wide contract. And that contract is coming

6 to an end now this September.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So I think the decision to not renew that contract at this point is based on a couple of things. One, our system-wide contract for which we are paying for all our schools, not all schools participated to at level where they were showing results. So, basically, we are using taxpayer funds, but we are not getting a return on it. So I think, going forward, we talked about we need a better approach where it is focused on schools that are actually going to use it and show growth.

But another reason is that we are transitioning now to a new accountability system. So there is a question -- we do like growth model, and the schools have told us they like a growth model. But NWEA is not an approved assessment for that purpose at this point. So it doesn't really fit into our transition and what we are planning forward. That doesn't mean we can't come back later on and see how they might fit in. But we are

July 11, 2019

interested in having a growth model on the assessment that we use. But it can't be NWEA, because they have not gone through the peer-review process.

2.0

2.1

2.2

What we are going to propose -- and I think Tamarah, the chief academic officer, is writing a letter to schools stating that, "We can go back to the system that we had before," which is was where the schools initiate their own agreement with NWEA and continue that. So that will be the option for schools.

Another thing, though, that happened that differs between No Child Left Behind and ESSA is Congress put a provision in the statement in there that they wanted all states to conduct a survey of the assessments that they're implementing in schools. And the issue there is the more assessments you have, it takes away from teaching time. And so that was whether it is NWEA or other assessments that states have been using under No Child Left Behind. That was a criticism by teachers, especially in the teachers' union where --not the teacher's union so much -- that is a different issue. But that for every assessment you are adding, you are subtracting instruction time.

Especially in the spring when you are doing the state assessment and NWEA assessment and maybe you are doing another assessments, it really limits the amount of teacher-student face time to promote learning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

So I think, given that Congress had raised this issue, it does raise questions within the Bureau of how much time are our schools basing on assessing versus teaching and what is more important, especially when a school does not utilize a tool like NWEA in a way that is showing results? And we do have schools that have used the NWEA system or other similar systems in ways that show very productive growth. But the school has to engage around it, and it sounds like you have. you will still have the opportunity to do that. Ιt will have to come out of your existing school budget.

ALMA SINQUAH: That was my question. Because now I have to find money to pay for the NWEA And it would have been nice if we were prewarned that we may be considering stopping the NWEA. NWEA does show individual growth. You have benchmarks there. It does show individual growth. And we use it as a -- we monitor with that also,

weekly, with our students.

2.0

2.1

2.2

As far as assessments go, you don't stop teaching when you are assessing. You still continue teaching, even if you are assessing. So I am not sure what school or where the information came from. But I have been a teacher for years, and I never stop teaching when assessment was going on. Civics and science, I believe that we need to focus in general on what is required and the tribal -- individual tribes can develop their own civics and science. Because as a teacher, you integrate background information to teach the subject area.

I also have a concern about the waiver. I think that maybe there should be a limit on it.

Because, time and time again, you will have schools applying for the waiver. But we are looking at students and their success. And to me the waiver can be used as an excuse year after year. And we are all there to create the best opportunities for our students, rather than coming out with excuses.

We do need the waiver for schools that have a tremendous turnover because their teachers need to learn the curriculum. They need to know what their schools are using. And also, if they have introduced new things within their schools,

Page 50

purchasing new textbooks or whatnot, I think that the waiver would be applicable there, but not if the school continuously applies for a waiver. Because we are focusing on student success.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

Absences. I believe a number of our schools for were penalized due to the absences. And some of us weren't able to get A1P due to the absences. And I think that should have -- that we should pay close attention to the absences, because a lot of times our children come from unpaved roads; and when we have a storm, we still conduct school, but our buses don't go into the unpaved road areas. Thank you.

TRAVIS CLARK: Thank you so much. Any other questions? Comments? Some input?

VINCE RODRIGUEZ: My name is Vince

Rodriguez. I am council representative from the

Pueblo of Laguna. I have a letter from our governor

that addresses some thoughts and concerns, if I may.

This is Statement of Councilman Vincent Rodriguez at

Pueblo of Laguna, designated by Government Wilfred

Herrera to present on behalf of the Pueblo.

"RE: Bureau of Indian Education Proposed
Rule for the definition of Standards Assessments and
Accountability Plan for BIE-funded schools. Thank

Page 51

you for this opportunity to provide comment on the work of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee. The Pueblo of Laguna serves students at the Laguna Elementary School and the Laguna Middle School, both PL 100-297 Tribally Controlled Schools. The final results of the Proposed Rule and adoption of subsequent regulations will have a direct impact on our students and our school. Upon review of the Federal Register dated June 10, 2019, the Tribal Leadership is concerned with the amount of time it took to establish a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee, particularly since it was recognized that there was a significant expansion to the scope of work.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

The fact that the Committee was required to address the expanded scope of work in four sessions and was unable to reach a consensus on the entire academic assessment section due to insufficient time is of grave concern. Upon continued review of the document, the Pueblo of Laguna supports the Committee's recommendation to establish another Negotiated Rulemaking to address the regulations that would develop as a response to the No Child Left Behind Act and are still in use even after the reauthorization of ESEA.

The Pueblo leadership is well aware that

the ISEP funding which is the main source of funding for our schools continues to be used for addressing the primary needs of the school. The Negotiated Rulemaking Committee is a way to the address ISEP funding through an increase of weighted student units, WSUs, in language, a priority of the tribe, and an increase in transportation funding. Most schools continue to absorb the deficiency in transportation funding.

2.0

2.1

2.2

The ISEP funding must also address additional BIE mandates, particularly those to support safe and secure schools. The Pueblo is aware of the title funding that is made available, ie Title 4-A. However, I believe the funds are only made available through a competitive process.

The Pueblo is also aware of the letter dated November 28, 2018, requiring that the outcome of the Proposed Rule of Standards Assessments and Accountability Plan be ready for implementation in school year 2019/2020. Our concern is that the timeline will not provide the BIE with sufficient time to adequately develop their Standards Assessments and Accountability Plan or Proposed Ruled 30.103, 'Engage in active, meaningful, ongoing consultation with a diverse group of stakeholders,

inclusive of parent educators, such as
administrators and educators from BIE-operated
schools and tribally-controlled grant schools,
students and community members, and
government-to-government consultation with tribal
governments when creating, implementing, reviewing,

and revising this plan.'

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We support the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee recommendation that such engagement in consultation occur prior to implementation of the SAAP. We also support the NRC's recommendation that the BIE conduct a thorough review of various approaches to accountability prior to developing their SAAP, and that equal time for the development of the Accountability Plan be provided for the BIE that the Department of Education granted to the Developing and implementing a SAAP without states. sufficient time will inhibit the BIE's ability to develop a SAAP that takes into account the unique circumstances and needs of the schools and the students served by the schools and as a result will have a negative impact on our students and our schools. We hope that this is not the case and the BIE will take this into consideration.

Finally, the Pueblo will continue to

1 review the Federal Register document and provide 2 more detailed, specific commitments and questions about the Proposed Rules and respond to the BIE's 3 4 solicitation for the comments regarding the subsections of Section 4, Other Proposed Changes Under Consideration.

7 Thank you for your attention.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Respectfully submitted, Pueblo of Laguna Wilfred Herrera Jr., Governor." I do have a hard copy for file and record.

TRAVIS CLARK: Absolutely, sir. actually, the court reporter can make it is made part of the record. Thank you.

> MAN SPEAKING: Thank you.

CHARLES SUAZO: Good morning. My name Charles Suazo, Lieutenant Governor for Santa Clara Pueblo and for the Kha'p'o Community School at Santa Clara Pueblo. We have a couple of talking points. But at least I can give you five that we are really looking at.

The first one is the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee was not given enough time to do this important work. This creates real stress on both the Agency and the Tribal Committee Representative to collect and analyze data to make the best

decisions for students and native communities. The government shutdown in January was outside the control of the BIE and tribes, but delayed and stalled any movement the Committee had in December.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

Second one is the Committee was vastly understaffed by both BIE and Department of Education throughout this process. The BIE did not have the appropriate type of staff to assist in preparation before and during meetings. The small amount of staff the BIE expected to do this work is evidence that they were not invested in the conversation the PED provided and had an inefficient amount of legal support during the process which led to consistent confusion and lack of support for all community members including the BIE.

Third note was, since the Negotiated
Rulemaking Committee last meeting in March, there
has been little to no communication to schools and
tribes about the status on work being done on these
regulations. This continues a theme of
noncommunication and executive decisions that have
been the BIE since such a tarnished reputation in
the education community.

Four, the BIE needs to plan opportunity to review the Draft Regulations with schools so school

leaders truly understand the implementations rolling out to their schools. The Agency has been a passive approach to communication. And this limitation of information is leading to a lack of transparency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And the final one that we have is the BIE is not prepared to implement the regulations, document and Federal Registry. This is particularly true in tribally-controlled grant schools which make up the majority of the BIE schools. The BIE's plan is to support the large number of waivers that will no doubt come through upon allocation of the ESSA. What Department will this be under? Staff will be tasked to evaluate waivers. And that is all we have for now.

TRAVIS CLARK: Excellent. Thank you, sir.

If you want that to -- that is a letter. If you
want it to be part of the record, you may hand that
to the court reporter.

CHARLES SUAZO: All right. Thank you.

TRAVIS CLARK: No worries. Thanks.

DAVID TALAYUMPTEWA: Good morning. My name is David Talayumptewa. And I am the chairperson for the Health and Education Committee for the Hopi Tribal Counsel. And I just got a few comments I'd like to make, but also to let you know

that we will be submitting a formal letter regarding the subject at hand to which will be coming a little bit later. One of the things I did not hear, and maybe I just missed it, is the establishment of the Standards, the Assessments, the Accountability System being worked on by the Committee, when will that be done? I don't know if I heard that or not. Maybe someone can give us an idea of when that will be done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you for your TAMARAH PFEIFFER: Since I have been placed in acting question. position as chief academic officer since April of this year, this has been the primary work that I have been working on in conjunction with the Department of Education and WestEd on a timeline that is most feasible for the Bureau and most importantly for our schools. As we heard, standards is the first part of this work, then assessment with that alignment. And in conjunction with that, the accountability. It is early enough right now to be talking about this. But the time frame is to really look at engagement of stakeholders this school year in standards alignment to roll out a unified assessment for school year 2021.

That standards work would have to begin as

the negotiated rulemaking. This tribal consultation is closed off and we are starting to move ahead with recommendations from our tribal leaders like yourself so that we can move out to school leaders and the teachers on the work of standards. We know that we want to work with academic standards first -- math, English language, science. But we would want to engage everyone because it is 23 states. And we want to have full engagement of what are the current standards of those states and what would be college- and career-ready standards and what that alignment would look like. Does that help answer that question?

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

DAVID TALAYUMPTEWA: Not really.

DR. JEFFREY HAMLEY: This was a question raised by Rulemaking Committee, too, last fall. And we took that back to the director in December or January of this year. And in January of this year he officially created an ad hoc committee to work on the Standards Assessments and Accountability System. And you probably know all those people. I won't mention them by name. And as Tamarah, said, she is leading that effort. We have had a committee since January that has been working on exploring all those topics.

DAVID TALAYUMPTEWA: Okay. Here is my And I think it probably should be a concern. concern for all of the bill-funded schools. You indicated in your statements a few minutes ago that, in the meantime, a school could opt to use the state Standards Assessments and Accountability System in the state that they are located in according to ESSA and No Child Left Behind. The problem is, and I am sure you folks are aware, that even states are having issues with Standards Assessments and Accountability Systems. So I think my concern is that, in the meantime, you are giving us a fix that really is not a fix and we are going to continue to have confusion and difficulty in dealing with states on those systems. And that's a concern that I think we all need to really think about and see where we need to go with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The other thing is on the civics portion, tribal civics, I think -- I would recommend that that be left up to each of the tribes. Because those very are different as well as the language. You have treaty tribes, you have non-treaty tribes, reorganization tribes, et cetera, which are different. And I think, like, for example, Hopi is a reorganization tribe. We are not a treaty tribe.

Page 60

And we wouldn't have much information about treaties with the government. So it is just different. So that would be my recommendation.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Now, you know, education really is becoming a very complicated system. Right now we can come up with the best standards, the best assessments, the best accountability system. But if we don't go back and look at our students and start teaching them socially acceptable behaviors, respect, out of control in our classrooms, how can we fix that, along with developing all these Standards, Assessments, Accountability systems?

I saw an article the other day where the reason the teacher quit was because of that.

Parents in today's world allow technology to babysit their children -- no communication about what is socially acceptable behavior. And somehow -- I am not putting this all on the BIE. But what I am saying is we need to bring our heads together to see what we can do to potentially address this problem.

Because it is a problem. It impacts learning. It impacts academic achievement. So we should be talking about that as well.

And I do want to give you some constructive criticism on following up what

July 11, 2019

Ms. Sinquah indicated about this. And I think when BIE is anticipating changes, it really should be BIE's responsibility to consult with the people that it is going to impact. And sometimes we think we know what is best. Remember, I have been on the BIE side as well, and now I am on the tribal side. So looking at it from a tribal side, I can understand what Ms. Sinquah is saying. If you are going to make changes in our programs, please let us know in advance or talk to us so that we know what the changes are coming down the road.

2.0

2.1

2.2

And as the gentleman from Laguna indicated and I think Dr. Hamley indicated, that the Committee's work is not finished and you are out of time. I would support the Committee finishing its tasks, whatever was given to the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee, that they hopefully get additional funding to finish out what they were tasked to do. It is imperative.

One last thing. And this has been a subject that is not new. It has been talked about for a long time. And that is the whole issue of facilities. We know, again, questions come up with the best Standards Assessments and Accountability System. But if they are going to school in

Page 62

classrooms that are delapidated, it is cold, it is too hot, it impacts learning. Right now we have three schools on Hopi that really -- it is hard for the students to learn.

2.0

2.1

2.2

One of our schools is a historic building. We get an annual safety inspection done every year. But we can't fix it because it is a historic building. And so that particular school is caught in a catch 22. We know from our annual safety reports that we have to do something to fix it. But on the other hand, because it is a historic building, we really can't fix it.

Please, we really need help in terms of facilities. One day school was built for 75 students back 30 years ago. We have close to 200 students out there. They are all out in modulars sitting outside of that school building. Hotevilla has outgrown itself as well. It is an old building that really -- and learning is really impacted. And we have got to get changes made, improvements made for those schools. And I am asking you for your help in getting those things done.

Right now the Hopi Tribe is working on the Unified School System. And if we don't have the infrastructure that is going to help us move in that

Page 63

direction, it is going to make it a lot more challenging to get something like that implemented. So if you could at least take the message to the powers that be, if we can get that done. I do appreciate your hard work. I do. Yet, there is so much more to do. Thank you for your help.

2.0

2.1

2.2

TONY DEARMAN: I will comment real quick.

We appreciate the comments, we do. We are

addressing some of the issues that Mr. Talayumptewa

brought up. And the fact that -- with our assistant

secretary, when she came in, she spent 15 hours with

us sitting there going over how BIE is structured.

Mr. Talayumtewa, I can tell you this and all of the people that have been in BIE for a while, we are an education system that didn't have control of anything except our environment, our classrooms. Anything over 2,500 went outside of our ability to control. We are pulling that over. This year will be the first year we are actually going to pull contracts over. We are handling our own contracts with facilities. We have prioritized facilities because we have to get better at taking care of our kids. We have to prioritize that, and then safety.

This year was our first year taking over all safety inspections, as is BIE. This is what is

Meeting

Page 64

July 11, 2019

funny is that we had questions on, "Why did we have so many findings?" Because we are doing them consistent and we are doing them right to take care of our kids. We appreciate that because we do take these comments. We will come back and get reinforcement and get working with our administration.

2.0

2.1

2.2

DR. NOREEN SAKIESTEWA: Good morning. I am Dr. Noreen Sakiestewa. I am the director for the Department of Education for the Hopi Tribe. And I am very pleased to be here today to provide comments from my perspective.

First, I believe that -- I want to piggyback off of some of the comments that our Hopi delegation has made already just to make sure that, again, that we are all coming from the same place and we have these concerns. In regard to our schools, because they are historic, three of the buildings are probably over 50 years old. And so one of the things that we have concerns about is the technology. We do not have broadband on Hopi. And so when it comes to assessment time, our children have difficulty in just bringing up the internet. So broadband is a real necessity on Hopi, and I am sure across Indian Country.

In addition to that, up-to-date equipment -- for example, at our high school we do not have vocational programs because of the lack of up-to-date equipment as well as instructors or teachers in that field. So as you all know now, everything is computerized. So everything needs to be at that topnotch level in order for our students to compete with others from across not only Indian Country, but outside, with the rest of the world. So that is very important to us.

2.0

2.1

2.2

In addition, I would like to add that with the assessment, I know that a selection has not been made on the assessment. However, I think generally we agree that growth models are really necessary and we need a thorough review of all growth models.

And, again, you know, probably Indian Country has never -- these assessments have never been validated. So in a sense, they are unreliable. So to look at these growth models that are applicable to natives and to second-language learners is so important. So doing a thorough review of that is important.

In addition to the consideration for size, many of our schools on Hopi are small. So one school, for example, like Moencopi that

Mr. Talayumtewa just alluded to, only has about 200 students. So how would you, then, based on that small population, then, determine whether or not they are going to be performing at the highest levels? So the growth model concept really needs to be studied further.

2.0

2.1

2.2

I alluded to English-language learners.

Like Hopi, I know that many of our parents would say that they are English speakers. But they really are not. They are not proficient in the English language because they're hearing their own language in our homes, in our ceremonies, within their communities, from grandparents. So those language teachers know that if they are not proficient in either the English language or their own language, in our case Hopi, they will not be proficient in either language, therefore not doing well on any assessment. So that is also another area to consider as we're looking at these standards and assessments.

In the report from the Committee, it was recommended that no special consideration or provision be given to off-reservation boarding schools to address their unique needs, lack of feeder programs, and low school retention rates. I

believe that every tribe is unique. And if BIE is to be uniform, then all schools should be treated the same and no one given a special provision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

Ms. Sinquah also alluded to this. In regard to standards, we know that it is going to take, probably, years to develop standards. So in the interim, she recommended that the Bureau implement the national standards where, again, all of the schools would administer these national standards so, again, it would be uniform and consistent across Indian Country. So if not, then it would be a disservice to the tribes.

A couple of the pueblos alluded to the short time frame. We are also concerned about that. To develop this unified system or plan will take years. For Hopi, because we got the TED grant, it has taken us several years to get to where we are at. And we still don't have our standards and assessments aligned. We haven't even started. But again, you know, I recommend that BIE be given the additional time to work to ensure that the work is done. Otherwise, as I stated, it would be a disservice to tribes.

The last thing I want to mention is I think a significant part of the work that we all

Page 68

need to do in Indian Country is to be more trauma 1 2 informed. As a couple of individuals stated here, our children are dealing with drugs, and heavy drugs 3 4 at that, alcohol, domestic violence, just to name a So every single family, I am sure, is affected 5 by this. We need to start working with our 6 7 children, our parents, our communities to be more trauma informed. Otherwise, all the work that we do 8 9 in this, our work as educators, will not be fruitful or successful because we need to address that 10 11 concept of being more trauma informed first. 12 I appreciate this time and I want to thank 13 you all for being here today and I appreciate your 14 work as well. "Askawli." 15 JEREMY OYENQUE: Good morning, everybody. 16 My name is Jeremy Oyenque, I am the Director of 17 Youth and Learning for Santa Clara Pueblo. First I 18 wanted to preface my comments with two questions. 19 First, for Director Dearman I wanted to ask what is 2.0 the current staffing level of the BIE currently? 2.1 TONY DEARMAN: 51 percent, so we are 2.2 looking at half. 2.3 JEREMY OYENQUE: Half of the people we 24 need for this project. 25 Second one is actually for Dr. Pfeiffer.

July 11, 2019

I wanted to clarify your comments that that these recommendations are supposed to role out in 2021; correct, school year 2021?

2.0

2.1

2.2

TAMARAH PFEIFFER: Jeremy, thank you again for your comment. With the initiation of this work, we realize that our timeline, in order to be more effective for a unified system, we would have to wait for the Rule to be published, which would probably be around December/January, if all things go correctly. That means that we are then looking for a common assessment or unified assessment, if that is the premise, for school year 2021.

JEREMY OYENQUE: Thank you. First, I just wanted to say those verbal comments actually contradict the final report that was put out for this Rulemaking Committee. It actually says in here on page 3 of the report that, "These definitions will be implemented in the 2019/2020 school year." I think that is what is creating a lot of confusion for the educators here in the communities is that we are being told one thing, but they say in the written documents that they send to the educators a completely different thing. So it is even a contradiction of what is happening. But then asking the timeline that we are asking to be pushed, when

Page 70

half of the people that we need to get this done are not in those seats. So I just wanted to let that be known.

2.0

2.2

And also reading from your own report, it says, "ESEA Section 8204 also provides that if a tribal governing body or school board or a BIE-funded school or determined requirements established by the Secretary of the Interior to be inappropriate, they may waive in part or in whole such requirements." And I think a lot of tribes are looking at that, that this whole process has been inappropriate due to the timeline and also the staffing that has been needed to push this forward by the BIE and also by the Department of Interior.

In seeking the waivers, it also puts the requirements of that back on the tribe. It says that the tribe must propose a policy or propose an alternate Standards Assessments and Accountability System. This basically puts the weight back on the tribes for something that the BIE has been unable to accomplish on its own. "Additionally, the tribal governing body or school board from a BIE funded school seeking a waiver may request and the Secretary of Education will" -- and I repeat -- will provide -- doesn't say "may," doesn't say anything

else, says "will provide technical assistance." So on behalf of Santa Clara Pueblo, I would formally like to request from Tony Dearman technical assistance and for you to be our voice with the Department of the Interior and Department of Education to help us create these alternative assessments for Santa Clara Pueblo. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

2.2

TRAVIS CLARK: Thank you, Jeremy.

Anybody else have comments? Feedback? An opportunity to speak?

TONY DEARMAN: If you have a question or you want some of your comments responded to, please let us know. Like Travis had said at the beginning, if we don't have an answer here, we will get something back to you. One of the things we have heard is we are constantly talking to you and not listening to you. That is why if you want us to respond, please let us know.

NINA SMITH: Thank you. My name is Nina
Smith and I am the Counting School Improvement
Director at the Santa Fe Indian School. I am
presenting on behalf of the Santa Fe Indian Schools
Board of Trustees President and Former Lieutenant
Governor Raymond Aguilar. We do have a letter that
we will leave behind for the record. And I will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Page 72

summarize the talking points in them. "Thank you for this opportunity to provide comment on the proposed rules and the work of the NRC. Santa Fe Indian School is a 100-297 tribally-controlled, Bureau of Indian Education funded school. owned and operated by the 19 Pueblo tribes and is located on 115 acres on sovereign trust land in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Our four-year cohort graduation rate is 98%" -- and that is according to both the National Governor's Association and U.S. Department of Education's rate formula. applies to our whole population as well as to the subgroups that we report on. Our average daily attendance is 94 percent. One-third of our students are enrolled in Native American language classes. And our map data has consistently shown that we close achievement gaps for our students over the course of time while they are attending Santa Fe Indian For example, recently, our tenth grade School. cohort came in at a national percentile of 17% --I'm sorry -- 24%. At the end of their tenth grade year they are now at the 71 percentile for reading. Our 11th grade cohort came in with the seventh

graders at a 17 national percentile and are now at

the 64 national percentile.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

"The final result of the Proposed Rule, and adoption of subsequent regulations will have a direct impact on our students and our schools." And we want to respond to a few points. First of all, as several other people have brought up, we are concerned that the scope of work for the NRC was documented as having been significantly expanded compared to the 2005 NCLB NRC's work, and yet at the same time this NRC was given substantially less time and only four meetings to complete the work. fact that they had insufficient time to come to consensus about the entire academic section is evidence of this concern.

Secondly, we want to support the Negotiated Rulemaking recommendation in their final report that there should be casual Negotiated Rulemaking established to address various regulations that are related to the Proposed Rule in 25 CFR part 30. Among those is part 39, the ISEP Program. As you know, it is a primary source of funding for our schools and Negotiated Rulemaking for the weighted student union is a way for us to increase the WSU for language, a priority of the tribes we serve, as well as to re-evaluate WSUs in

July 11, 2019

the ISEP program.

2.0

2.1

2.2

The next point we want to make is we were operating from the documentation we received with the implementation timeline for these proposed rules for school year 19/20. Dr. Pfeiffer presented you information on that timeline. But I think the points that we had wanted to share regarding what we initially thought was the school year 19/20 implementation timeline still remain. So I want to share what we prepared on that.

We are concerned about implementation timeline for BIE's Standards Assessments and Accountability Plan. We are concerned because we feel that this tight timeline will inhibit the BIE's ability to develop a SAAP that takes into account the unique circumstances and needs of the schools and the students served by the schools which is the purpose of these rules. Failure to adequately develop a SAAP that meets these conditions will negatively impact our students and our schools.

We support the NRC's recommendation that the BIE have equal time for the development of an accountability plan as is provided for the states.

We believe that this will provide, then, sufficient time for conducting the recommended thorough reviews

July 11, 2019

of various approaches to accountability. And we

2 also believe this will ensure that the BIE's staff

3 is developed with active, meaningful, ongoing

4 consultation with a diverse group of stakeholders,

5 as is detailed and recommended in the Proposed Rule

30.103, emphasized again in 30.111, and is brought

7 | up in the NRC's Final Report.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, as I mentioned, we do have a leave-behind letter for the record that summarizes these points. And we are working and will follow up on this letter with more detailed, specific comments and questions about the proposed rules in the various sections. And we will also be sure to respond to the BIE's solicitation for comments regarding the Subsection 4, other proposed changes under consideration that Dr. Hamley presented this morning. So we will be submitting that forthcoming to the public record.

TRAVIS CLARK: Thank you, very much.

BRIAN QUINT: Can I just comment? I think there was a little confusion over what this

Committee was tasked with and what the No Child Left Behind committee was tasked with. No Child Left Behind required a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee that had to deal with a great number of issues

including ISEP and facilities. And before ESEA was amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act, BIE actually started a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee to discuss changes to what are now the existing regulations to fix this problem with the 23-part Accountability System.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Now, the change in scope of what the Committee was going to be working on came about because of the new law required that the Committee do all of the recommendations on regulations for how the secretary will implement requirements or Standard Assessments and Accountability System. So that necessitated the change. So it is not more than what No Child Left Behind was tasked with. And the reason why ISEP funding wasn't addressed this time was because the Statute did not require that. So I just a wanted to add that.

CHARLES CUNY: Good morning. My name is Charles Cuny. I am the superintendent at Little Wound School on the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation. I served on the Committee this past year, so I gave up about four weeks of my school year. So I am still trying to catch up.

But I will just speak to you just from my perspective of reflecting on finishing the meeting

Page 77

in March and really not looking at it, and then here in the last couple of weeks seeing the notices come out, and reflecting on where it is coming from. I think just from a school operation standpoint, title funding -- this really determines our eligibility for title funding. So as an individual tribe, if you want to come up with your own, unique accountability system, the assessment testing mechanism has to meet the requirements within Section 1111.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So I think that is kind of the critical thing of this whole process is sometimes I think of it as an element of the tail wagging the dog.

Because, for me, when I came into this Committee, I had requested the documentation from the No Child Left Behind Negotiated Rulemaking. And I feel like those issues defined in that process of Negotiated Rulemaking are more critical and weigh heavily on the hearts in the operations of Tribal schools.

When you take into consideration transportation funding, O and M facility funding, dilapidation of facilities, changing the rules of how we get facility funding -- all those things are just as important as ESSA.

So for me, moving forward in communication

July 11, 2019

with my school board, Tribal Council, and Tribal President is to call for Negotiated Rulemaking on transportation on ISEP on facilities, O and M funding, call for Tribal consultation on school safety, call for consultation on new school selection process.

2.0

2.1

2.2

The other element is this MOU/MOA between the Department of Education and Indian Affairs, I think that is a critical MOA/MOU, whatever it is that I think tribes need to be consulted and being at the table in terms of how BIE utilizes those admin costs to facilitate this process. So I hope that comes about through this process.

The other things, I would concur with the report that, as a committee member I don't think four meetings was adequate enough time to really resolve and come to consensus. So that was a shared frustration. But what I see happening and I think for us, where we are at in South Dakota is we get caught in a dynamic that we don't get technical assistance from the State of South Dakota. The restructuring of BIE for the last five or six years has left our school with -- we don't have that line office anymore.

I know the Bureau is working those things

Page 79

out and they are coming into play here in the next 12 months. So we are kind of caught in the middle. And we thought about creating our own assessment for the Oglala Souix Tribe, but we really can't get the commitment of technical assistance in the form of actual dollars and what the cost would be from the Department of Education or BIE. So those are unanswered questions moving forward.

2.0

2.1

2.2

What I do anticipate for us as a school, NWEA has been a growth model that we follow. We put years of professional development into that with our teachers, and we will probably pay it out of pocket from our ISEP funding to continue using that level of assessment. So I would encourage the Bureau to be cognitive of that process, that a number of schools have put a lot of time and effort into using that system. So those are the elements that I have taken from serving on the Committee. I would encourage tribal leaders to call for consultation on all those other elements related education, not just title finding. And I thank you guys for your input here today. And that's all I got. Thanks.

DR. JEFFREY HAMLEY: Just one note on that. That is subject to consultation. So we will be taking that out for consultation.

Page 80

TRAVIS CLARK: Just real quick, I want to check, do you need a break at all? We will go ahead and take a ten-minute break real fast. We have been making good time. We will return here at 12 after and go until lunch.

(Recess 11:01 - 11:15 a.m.)

2.0

2.1

2.2

TRAVIS CLARK: According to the agenda, we are going to break at 12:15 for lunch. So we have got exactly one hour. So we will go for another hour, fielding commitments, questions, input, all of that good stuff, and then break at 12:15. So that will be the plan. And obviously we will reconvene after lunch and be here the remainder of the day. With that said, I will open it back up for additional comments, questions, feedback, and input.

SHAWN TOOTSIE: My name is Shawn Tootsie.

And I am manager for First Mesa Elementary School on the Hopi Reservation. In our school we do not have a facility technician or person that will oversee the school structure maintenance. So I kind of have to do part of the maintenance. And I also have challenges with our Western Region Office on which they handle all the funding and take care of our facility.

And we also have the BIE come and do

health and safety inspections. But there is no one 1 2 representing the school, so we have challenges And to correct these findings and abatement 3 there. 4 plans, we currently don't have anyone to do that. 5 So that is a challenge for us there. And also, 6 getting equipment to maintain property, as the 7 school is about ten acres. And I was told that, "Whatever is there is what you have." So other 8 9 schools also have equipment that is upgraded. So I 10 feel we should have equipment for facilities to be 11 maintained as well, upgraded. 12 And we had a BIE maintenance guy and he 13 had retired. And Hopi Agency Office requested that 14 he return all of the equipment back to the agency. 15 So all the equipment he was using he took it back. 16 So we didn't have any maintenance. So BIE 17 maintenance was the person on site. I feel that 18 maybe we need funding for that and salaries for our 19 employees. And currently we only have two, myself and my technician. Thank you. 2.0 2.1 TRAVIS CLARK: Okay. Any other comments? 2.2 Yes, ma'am. 23 ALMA SINQUAH: Just a quick concern. 24 know I spoke earlier. My name is Alma Singuah. 25 from First Mesa Elementary School. I'm from the

Hopi Tribe. We had received a message regarding computers, what volume to install Windows 10. We currently have computers that have Windows 7. And I responded. I am trying to recall who the message came from. And I asked them if they were going to give us funding to replace all of our computers because all of our computers are very old and will not take the Windows 10. Does anybody have any answers to that, my question?

2.0

2.1

2.2

TONY DEARMAN: That would be me. We are.

And that is a good point. Again, for the
infrastructure with IT, we have to reach across the
aisle and work with people who control that. We are
working with them to get an accurate account of how
many computers are on the system, the network, that
need to be transferred over or replaced. We call it
an IT refresh. We are trying to find funding on our
end to start replacing at schools.

The difficult thing that we are up against is we don't want to refresh 100 percent now because the network requires us to do an IT refresh every -- 100 percent every three to five years. So we are really looking at -- normally we do an IT refresh within a third or quarter, somewhere in there, all your equipment, and you keep running a rotating

July 11, 2019

Page 83

1 schedule.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

But we are addressing that. We are looking at funds to make to be able to go out and do that. We are only looking at the computers that are on the system that will not be able to handle Windows 10. We are addressing that. If you have 15 -- right now I think we are over 3600 computers that we are going to have go in. We are working on it.

ALMA SINQUAH: The reason why I'm concerned is those are the computers in the computer lab which the children take the assessments on.

TONY DEARMAN: Make sure that the education program administrator you are working with has that count of how many are on the system.

ALMA SINQUAH: Right now that is what we are doing, but they are passing us around in BIE. No one is really taking their responsibility.

> TONY DEARMAN: Okay.

TAMARAH PFEIFFER: Can I also just recommend that if you need paper-based assessments, that is an option, always, so that you are not having to just rely on your computers.

ALMA SINQUAH: Not all assessments are paper based. That is the state assessments, but not

NWEA and the other assessments we take on the computer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

PATRICIA SANDOVAL: Good morning. Patricia Sandoval, superintendent, Laguna Department of Education. I also served on the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee. And a lot of the discussion this morning has been about transparency and about sharing information. So I actually have a recommendation. And it really is to use the networks that are already in place. And I am making this specifically regarding a monthly call. tribal-controlled school, we have monthly calls with our education program specialist or administration, EPA, whatever they are called.

And during this process -- and actually at the very beginning of the Negotiated Rulemaking process, many of us as Committee members were required to address who is your constituency, who are you going to be reporting back to as part of this process, and what is your process going to be for reporting back? That has been a big -- that was a big item is my reporting back. So when we were asked to go ahead and do a presentation on the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee's process and our work, when we were asked to present on our

"Mike Dabrio" who was also on the committee with me reported out. And there were a lot of the tribal administrators that get on this call who had no idea that the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee was in place and that it was going to impact them so quickly.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Because we were all under the impression that this was going to into effects 19/20. There was a real concern. And instead of us being kind of recognized for actually putting out there, we were actually called on the carpet, "Do you have permission to speak?" Well, they are our constituents. We are supposed to be speaking. We are supposed to be reporting out.

And so that stopped the process of our reporting out. And we were told, "We are going to put you on another call. We are going to put you on the agenda so that you can continue to report out on the work and the process."

Well, that never happened. So, basically, I am speaking to you, Mr. Dearman, because these are your people, education management, I believe. That line item in your budgets, I think it is important that you use all the networks that you have in place

to share this information, particularly something that is as important as this. It can't be -- we are talking about transparency and about input. And who is going to give you the better input than the tribal administrators that are serving your schools, particularly the tribally-controlled schools?

2.0

2.1

2.2

Because one of the big recommendations that was recognized very early on was sovereignty is very important, obviously, to those of us that are in tribally-controlled schools. We hold to the sovereignty. We expect that it be respected. And so the best way is to really make sure that everyone is involved in this conversation. And from what I can hear just being in this session for the short time, there really is not an understanding of all the pieces, particularly around the waivers and what the waiver allows for tribes and governing school boards.

It has to be understood. It took us with Negotiated Rulemaking Committee a time for us to understand the implementations of that. And Mr. Oyenque has already made that request for technical guidance and technical assistance so that they can move on it. And so I believe that the best thing to do in the way of transparency is use all

July 11, 2019

the networks that you already have in place to get 1 2 this information out there. We can't be limited on the waiver of these people to the conversation. 3 Because whatever they have to say in the part of the 4 5 discussion is extremely important. Thank you. 6 TRAVIS CLARK: Any commenters? 7 Opportunity to speak? 8 TONY DEARMAN: I feel like I'm in front of 9 a classroom and no one wants to make eye contact 10 with me, afraid I will bring the mic. Who is next? 11 TRAVIS CLARK: This is open until the end 12 of the day. 13 TONY DEARMAN: It is important to know 14 that we will be here until 5:15. Whatever time we 15 started, we will make up the time at the end of the 16 day. So comments are going to be accepted, either 17 if you think of something even at lunch or whatever, 18 you want to come back and make a comment for the 19 record, you are welcome to. Do you want to go over

TRAVIS CLARK: Yeah. Again, we are here until 5:15. We are going to extend it that extra 15 minutes because we got started late. We are going to be here all day with the court reporter. So if you want the opportunity to speak and to give input

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

that process?

July 11, 2019

or feedback or have clarifying questions, we are here until the end of the day to hear you out, record it for the transcript, and then use that for post-consultation analysis.

2.0

2.1

2.2

Also, it is almost equally important, and I am very encouraged to hear that so many of you are planning on taking a deep dive into the proposed regs and providing some very specific and targeted feedback through the written means. So, again, that also is going to be pretty critically important that that August 9th deadline to submit those written comments and where you can kind of dig into the minutia, if you want, and provide that feedback and input for the Final Rule.

Really, this is the format for the rest of the day. We don't mean to hold you if you got nothing more to say. But we are here for the rest of the day to provide you the form and the opportunity to provide comment for the record.

DANIELLE LANSING: I am a facility member here in early childhood education with SIPI and I was just -- with regard to technical assistance for tribes to develop their own state systems of accountability and definitions, I am curious as to, maybe, how many requests have been made, and then

Page 89

exactly what is the plan in terms of addressing that, and then specifically with regard to schools that want to make that more culturally relevant? And I am asking that just because I have an assumption that possibly, you know, if states and school boards and tribes are wanting to request that, that technical assistance and expertise probably should be provided in terms of resources and support. So I would kind of like to hear a little bit about what is available to them if they do request it.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

TRAVIS CLARK: Dr. Hamley will probably give the best answer to that question.

TAMARAH PFEIFFER: I will take a first attempt. So currently, there are two tribes that have formally made requests for technical assistance. By formal, I mean they have written documentation into the record. And today we heard from Santa Clara verbally they are looking, also, for technical assistance. But we are working in conjunction with the Department of Ed. And it is the language that I would acknowledge with each tribe is they are very unique in the type of technical assistance they need.

It is about what is the most effective

pathway forward to meet their needs, whether it is
Standards Assessments or Accountability, and what
are they looking at that for a potential waiver.
Because each one is very a deep process. So we want
to make sure that we are giving the appropriate
field work and expertise to the individuals as they
work on this. Does that help answer the question?

DANIELLE LANSING: Yeah. I was thinking
or wondering more about the culturally relevant,

2.0

2.1

2.2

or wondering more about the culturally relevant, linguistically responsive assistance and sort of that expertise. I am not sure if the Department of Ed. has that specific expertise in terms of tribes that do want to maintain use of their language or delve into possibly including that in their assessment systems.

BRIAN QUINT: Jeff Hamley -- there is something that the tribes -- we sort of explored this several years ago. But tribes don't have to move their language within the accountability box, as we talked about at that time. It actually becomes much more restrictive. For example, if a particular school assessed all students on their proficiency and that reflected how well your school was succeeding, many tribes would be assessed as not evaluated, as not being a high performing school,

Page 91

because there are so many students who know some of the language but they are not fluent.

2.0

2.1

2.2

So I think one of the things we discovered is that maybe we have to exercise caution on the language part, whether there is really a need to put it in the assessment in the accountability box.

Because we have the Native American Language Act, there is nothing that precludes a tribe from moving forward with standards and assessments and curriculum and instruction with language right now. They can do it. It doesn't have to meet Section 1111. So that is one of the things that we learned.

Now, there are some tribes that are still interested in that. But we have gone through and approved two waivers with the Miccosukee Tribe and Navajo. And for both of them that was an interest. But in order to get their first waiver approved, they moved that to a back burner. Now, when we go back to them, if they are interested in a new waiver, we may bring that topic up again. Like I said, I think that one of the things we learned is does it really need to be in the accountability framework, or can it operate more freely and more effectively by itself?

So we had a team that worked with Navajo

and Miccosukee. We are re-forming a new team that will work with these other tribes. But one issue right now, even though we have had outreach from a number of tribes about interest is, at this point today, what are they waiving?

2.0

2.1

2.2

Because the Interior doesn't have its accountability system. We have to go through the rulemaking. And then they have to form it. They have put it in the SAAP. They have to get stakeholder input into that. And then we will have a system. And that is what a tribe will waive at that point.

So even though we have been in discussions with a number of representatives from tribes and tribes about interest, it is a little premature at this point. But when we get an Interior system, we will hit the ground running and we will work with them on providing technical assistance about what they may want to waive.

TRAVIS CLARK: Brian.

BRIAN QUINT: Just to add onto that, there is nothing stopping tribal governing bodies or school boards from requesting a waiver or an alternate proposal at this time. One of the goals of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee was to create

July 11, 2019

1 a system that tribes would not want to waive out of. 2 So it may be a good idea to wait until the end of this process. But there is nothing stopping a 3 4 tribal governing body or school board from working towards this right now. So Jeff is correct at this 5 6 point, we are sort of in a strange place because we 7 are still under the existing CFR 25 part 30 regime, but Section 1111 K describes what happens at BIE 8 9 schools until this Final Rule is final. And there 10 are three categories of requirements for standards 11 assessments that schools must follow right now. 12 technically, if nothing else, a tribe could waive 13 that requirement. 14 DR. JEFFREY HAMLEY: It gets complicated. 15 TRAVIS CLARK: Further comments? 16 Feedback? Anything? What do you want for lunch? 17 don't know. 18 NINA SMITH: Nina Smith, I am the 19 continuous school improvement director at the 2.0 Santa Fe Indian School. I just wanted to follow up 2.1 on the conversation regarding technical assistance.

reported within the BIE and also in terms of regardless of, Brian, what you are saying, this sort

One is the shortage of staffing that has been

And I am thinking about that in terms of two things.

2.2

23

24

25

Page 94

of thinking that, at this point, there seems to be an increased interest among tribes and schools for pursuing the waiver as an option, once the final rules are put out. So along those lines, I am wondering what type of support in terms of capacity personnel to provide that technical assistance might exist. And I am wondering if, you know, we have been looking at the FY committee budget and notice -- there is a request for an increase of ADA/FTE within education management -- if maybe some of part of the thinking behind that is about providing technical assistance regarding this or other things?

2.0

2.1

2.2

TONY DEARMAN: Well, I have been at the school level. And the technical assistance the BIE has given schools hasn't always been there. The request that you are seeing in the '20 is whatever you referred to is we have to build our capacity. Because right now we can't wait until we get to 80 to 90 to 100 percent to take on these tasks. No one is going to buy that. Jeffrey alluded to that we are doing this based off 51 percent of the staff. I can't say enough about the staff we have because they are wearing many hats.

In order to get to where we really need to

Page 95

be and a principal calls our system -- and because I have been a principal and called our system and not got a returned, there is nothing more frustrating. There is nothing more frustrating than not having someone you can reach out to get help, whatever assistance that may be. We have to get staffed up to where we can really support our schools. testified that -- I have actually been in front of some of our congressional staff. And I told them, "Our successful schools are successful are because of the local leaders or the tribes." Because the BIE, in my experience and my time, we haven't really -- we are shifting from a demand-command organization to a, "How can we assist you? What do you need? What can we do?"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And we have implemented things as far as like our collaborative monitoring. So we are implementing things. But we have implemented a lot of things based on assisting the schools. We need staff. That is just -- we need staff. And we have started increasing how we advertise and what we advertise.

TRAVIS CLARK: If you don't mind, I will just add, so go back to a couple of old GAO reports, GAO report from 2013 and GAO report from 2015. They

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Page 96

went in there and specifically said, "You will benefit from workforce planning." And that is an activity that we have been undertaking now for a little while. And we are getting to the point where we are pretty close to identifying a couple functions. So those reports were specifically about school safety inspections and fiscal monitoring. But it has really been eye opening. That was really the first time as a system we -- pretty simple data point is vacancies. I think two years ago when we first came to the BIE, we were 43 percent staffed. It was markedly low than it was today. So it has taken two years of heavy recruitment and doing a lot of efforts, a lot of recruiting strategies to bump it up to 51 percent. So we are working on it, but it's a long road to go. But even more than that, looking at some of the data, we have got a lot of challenges. But we are starting to look at these things in a strategic sense.

So going through that workforce planning has been critically beneficial for the Bureau to be able to really kind of take a look and think about it strategically as to how are we going to fulfill these critical functions such as doing technical

Page 97

assistance for an accountability system, and starting to think about how many work hours is that, start tracking of some of that data, start thinking about it in that way so that we are maximizing the workforce that we have now as well as trying to have a firmer plan on what is the workforce that we need in the future to be able to conduct these activities that we know are so critical such as the technical assistance. It has been a long process. And we are going continue going down that path, building out more and more functions into strategic workforce planning going forward.

2.0

2.1

2.2

question, who would help a school or a tribe? We have multiple offices. DPA would definitely be involved, CAO would be, your EPA through ADD. There are going to be multiple people. And sometimes we actually have to involve school operations as well. But there are multiple people that would be involved. In the past it has fallen on one area within the BIE. And then we have -- we are not really staffed up to where we need to be at. But we have a sovereignty and Indian education position that would also be involved.

So, really, again, the future of BIE is

Page 98

bright. We just really have to get some things in place to no matter who is where within the Bureau, our direction and focus continues to stay on our students.

2.0

2.1

2.2

More comments, hopefully? I think now would be a good time if you have something to do, you are welcome to leave, you are welcome stay. We can have sidebar conversations. Whatever you want to do, if you have questions that don't pertain to the consultation -- if it pertains to the consultation, we ask that you definitely go on record. But if there are no other comments, you are welcome to leave or come back. It is your day. Thank you, very much.

TRAVIS CLARK: Again, we will be here until -- we will not leave any sooner than 5:15. So we will be open all day with the record open. So if you think of something here in a little while and you say "Shoot, I should have made that comment," feel free to come back and we will be here all day to hear that comment.

So we are going to break for lunch at 12:15 and then we will be back from lunch at 1:30. So if you want to come back and make an additional comment in the afternoon, we will be back. We will

1 reconvene here at 1:30.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

(Lunch recess 12:15 - 1:30 p.m.)

TRAVIS CLARK: So we will open the record for additional comment. Go ahead.

DANIELLE LANSING: I am Danielle Lansing and I am an instructor here at SIPI. And I wanted to comment with regard to the recommendations from the Committee in terms of development of teacher education programs. And so I am thinking about capacity building of BIE with regard to teacher education, highly qualified teachers in not only STEM areas, but overall, to implement these standards and to support these accountability systems that we're trying to build, and also to include specifically the Grow Your Own Teacher Initiative programs.

And so, as you know, there are two post-secondary components of BIE that is Haskell Indian Nations University and SIPI as well. We both have our respective teacher education programs. program specifically is an early childhood associate degree program. However, it leads into K-3 licensure programs at the state level. And so, potentially, our students start the first two years of a four-year degree program that can lead to

becoming a paraprofessional and getting a paraprofessional license at the state level, and then also moving on to be a K through 3 teacher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

In our program, you know, there is two of We serve a lot of the local us faculty. communities, but there could be potential to increase our reach with technology, especially with regard to distance learning. We do videoconferencing through the Bright Space platform right now and work with some local tribal units Alamo Navajo, Santo Domingo right now that we are working with. So we really take to heart this whole idea of growing our own and creating self-sustaining systems within the BIE. So I think there is real concern with bringing teachers from the outside in who have been trained in mainstream institutes that don't know the missions, the goals, the unique contexts that BIE serves.

So what we are thinking about is really flushing out a "grow your own program." And so it is a good investment. Because for us, again, right now, there are two of us faculty. We probably graduate about 10 students per year. So there is potential to increase that if the BIE invests in technological infrastructure for distance learning

and even the addition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

We have seen programs at other tribal colleges where they can operate a bachelor's degree Navajo Technical University is doing that right now. So we have that already here, too. have the potential to create a pipeline. With transparency and understanding of what BIE needs, we can incorporate that into our curriculum.

So one area that we can readily work on right away is continuing information sessions and including post-secondary institutions with regard to the education systems that BIE has so that we can already include that information in our coursework so our graduates can already be part of the system. We do have students that have gone on to be paraprofessionals and then go on to get their teacher license while being paraprofessionals.

As you know, most of the paraprofessionals are from the tribal communities that they are working within. So we need to articulate that and development in order to create that system. was pleased to see this recommendation. And I think that there is a great potential that exists here at the two post-secondary institutions that we have.

Page 102

TRAVIS CLARK: Fantastic. Do you have any questions?

DANIELLE LANSING: With regard to this Grow Your Own Teacher Initiative, what exists in terms of human resources and any type of Grow-Your-Own Initiatives right now?

TRAVIS CLARK: Within BIE?

DANIELLE LANSING: Yes.

2.0

2.1

2.2

TRAVIS CLARK: So I thinking it is not really related to the Rulemaking here. But just separately from that, I know that there are -- that there is an initiative within the BIE strategic direction for creating a Grow-Our-Own pipeline. For the life of me, I'm forgetting what title that is under. I know there is a team working on that, figuring out what that looks like for BIE, how we implement a program like that.

DANIELLE LANSING: So here, the way it is cited as a recommendation is that it contributes to teacher quality in terms of implementing accountability systems and also just the quality of instruction as well. Because it seems like -- and I speak for myself as a teacher because I have spent 15 years in tribally-controlled and contract schools. And what I have seen is oftentimes there

Page 103

1 is a disconnect between what is happening in teacher 2 education programs and oftentimes the BIE system. 3 So when teachers come into our system, it looks 4 totally different from what they are being taught in 5 their teacher education programs. So I think 6 congruency with those two pieces is helpful. 7 TRAVIS CLARK: Okay. Great. Thank you. DANIELLE LANSING: Thank you for waiting. 8 9 TRAVIS CLARK: Yes, ma'am. 10 DANIELLE LANSING: Do did you have any 11 questions for us? 12 I don't think so. TRAVIS CLARK: 13 DANIELLE LANSING: Okay. 14 TRAVIS CLARK: Thanks. 15 (Consultation adjourned at 5:15 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 2.0 2.1 2.2 2.3 24 25

	Page 104
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	COUNTI OF BERNALIEDO
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	I, Sarah R. Padilla, New Mexico Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I did report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing is a true and
7	correct transcription of the proceedings had upon the taking of this hearing.
8	
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
10	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this proceeding, and that I have no interesting whatsoever in the final disposition of the
11	consultation for any purpose.
12	Sandh Packella
13	Sarah R. Padilla
14	NM Certified Court Reporter #520
15	License expires: 12/31/19
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## [1 - accountability]

1	<b>2017</b> 28:13,14	<b>520</b> 1:23 104:13	<b>able</b> 7:15 10:22
<b>1</b> 21:4 44:17,25	<b>2018</b> 28:21 52:17	<b>53</b> 7:11	11:22 12:7,12
45:2,2,2,4,12,16	<b>2019</b> 1:14 5:1	<b>5:00</b> 5:7	16:21 17:1 18:25
<b>10</b> 28:25 51:9 82:2	28:22,25 40:22	<b>5:15</b> 1:14 5:2	21:13,14,25 41:20
82:8 83:6 100:23	51:9	87:14,22 98:16	42:9,22 50:7 83:3
	<b>2019/2020</b> 52:20	103:15	83:5 96:23 97:7
<b>100</b> 82:20,22 94:20	69:18	6	absences 50:5,6,8
<b>100-297</b> 51:5 72:4	<b>202</b> 2:6,13	<b>60</b> 39:13	50:9
<b>100-297</b> 31.3 72.4 <b>101477</b> 36:20	<b>202-208-3612</b> 2:3	<b>64</b> 7:10 73:1	absenteeism 23:11
<b>1014</b> 77 30.20 <b>11</b> 1:14 5:1	<b>202-219-0356</b> 2:15		35:15,16,23
<b>11</b> 1.14 3.1 <b>1111</b> 16:12 28:4	<b>2021</b> 57:24 69:2,3		absolutely 54:11
29:20 77:10 91:12	69:12	7	absorb 52:8
93:8	<b>20240</b> 2:5,13	<b>7</b> 82:3	academic 9:11
<b>113</b> 39:12	<b>208-6123</b> 2:6,13	<b>71</b> 72:23	16:19 17:23 18:17
115 39:12 115 72:7	<b>22</b> 62:9	<b>75</b> 62:14	20:5,15 22:12,18
115 /2:/ 11:01 80:6	<b>23</b> 7:10,12,13	8	22:22,24 23:23
<b>11:01</b> 80:6 <b>11:15</b> 11:6,7 80:6	26:17,18 37:12	<b>80</b> 94:19	30:10 33:25 36:13
11:15 11:0,7 80:0 11th 72:24	58:8 76:5	<b>8204</b> 12:21 16:8	36:14 47:6 51:17
<b>11th</b> 72:24 <b>12</b> 29:3 79:2 80:4	<b>24</b> 72:22	70:5	57:12 58:6 60:22
	<b>25</b> 1:13 39:11	<b>84</b> 4:5	73:13
<b>12/31/19</b> 104:14	73:20 93:7	<b>86515</b> 2:9	acceptable 60:9
<b>12:15</b> 80:8,11 98:23 99:2	<b>2678s</b> 4:5	<b>871-5932</b> 2:9	60:17
	<b>28</b> 52:17	<b>871-3932</b> 2.9 <b>87120</b> 1:16	accepted 87:16
<b>130</b> 7:10 <b>1449</b> 2:9	3	<b>8:43</b> 1:14 5:2	accepts 38:18
			accommodation
15 15·16 /11·8	3 60.17 00.22		
<b>15</b> 15:16 41:8	<b>3</b> 69:17 99:22	9	45:11
63:11 83:7 87:22	100:3	9 40:22 43:13	45:11 accommodations
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24	100:3 <b>30</b> 1:13 4:5,6	<b>9</b> 40:22 43:13 <b>90</b> 94:20	- '
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 <b>17</b> 29:3 72:21,25	100:3 <b>30</b> 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15	accommodations
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12	100:3 <b>30</b> 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9	accommodations 20:3
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6	100:3 <b>30</b> 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9	100:3 <b>30</b> 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 <b>30.103</b> 52:24 75:6	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17 200 62:15 66:1	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11 a a.m. 1:14 5:2	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18 17:3 21:6,7,8 22:5
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17 200 62:15 66:1 2005 73:9	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15 43 96:11 5	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11 a a.m. 1:14 5:2 41:12 80:6 a1p 50:7	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18 17:3 21:6,7,8 22:5 24:18 26:21 28:1
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17 200 62:15 66:1 2005 73:9 2013 95:25	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15 43 96:11 5 5 25:1	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11 a a.m. 1:14 5:2 41:12 80:6 a1p 50:7 abatement 81:3	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18 17:3 21:6,7,8 22:5 24:18 26:21 28:1 28:3,9 29:14,17
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17 200 62:15 66:1 2005 73:9	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15 43 96:11 5 25:1 50 64:19	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11 a a.m. 1:14 5:2 41:12 80:6 a1p 50:7 abatement 81:3 ability 53:18	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18 17:3 21:6,7,8 22:5 24:18 26:21 28:1 28:3,9 29:14,17 29:19,22 30:13,20
63:11 83:7 87:22 102:24 17 29:3 72:21,25 1849 2:5,12 19 72:6 19/20 74:5,8 85:9 1964 13:4 1965 15:9 1:30 98:23 99:1,2 2 2 29:3 2,500 63:17 20 94:17 200 62:15 66:1 2005 73:9 2013 95:25	100:3 30 1:13 4:5,6 27:13 38:23 39:4 39:7,11 62:15 73:20 93:7 30.103 52:24 75:6 30.111 75:6 3600 83:7 3609 2:5,12 39 73:20 4 4 52:14 54:5 75:15 43 96:11 5 5 25:1	9 40:22 43:13 90 94:20 9169 1:15 928 2:9 94 72:15 95 20:9 98 72:9 9:46 41:12 9:56 41:12 9th 88:11 a a.m. 1:14 5:2 41:12 80:6 a1p 50:7 abatement 81:3	accommodations 20:3 accomplish 70:21 accomplished 16:14 account 24:4 53:19 74:15 82:14 accountability 1:12 2:11 7:13 9:5 9:14,22 13:10 15:23 16:10,18 17:3 21:6,7,8 22:5 24:18 26:21 28:1 28:3,9 29:14,17 29:19,22 30:13,20 31:22 32:19 33:19

		T	T
46:18 50:25 52:19	additionally 70:21	afforded 24:1	amended 13:5
52:23 53:13,15	address 45:25	afraid 87:10	15:5 76:2
57:5,20 58:20	51:15,21 52:4,10	afternoon 43:17	american 36:11
59:6,11 60:7,12	60:20 66:24 68:10	98:25	36:15,19,21 72:16
61:24 70:18 74:13	73:18 84:18 97:13	agencies 32:11	91:7
74:23 75:1 76:6	addressed 76:15	<b>agency</b> 28:6 54:24	amount 48:4
76:12 77:8 88:24	addresses 50:19	56:2 81:13,14	51:10 55:9,12
90:2,19 91:6,22	addressing 52:2	<b>agenda</b> 10:2,7,13	<b>analysis</b> 11:13,25
92:7 97:1 99:13	63:9 83:2,6 89:1	80:7 85:19	12:12 41:22 42:10
102:21	<b>adds</b> 35:10	<b>ago</b> 59:4 62:15	42:18 88:4
accountable 17:3	adequate 78:16	90:18 96:10	analyst 2:2
21:24	adequately 52:22	<b>agree</b> 30:21 65:14	analyze 7:15
accurate 82:14	74:18	<b>agreed</b> 18:23 37:8	54:25
achievement	adjourned 103:15	37:16,23	analyzed 41:5
18:11 22:12 26:10	<b>admin</b> 78:12	agreement 31:6	<b>annual</b> 19:17
60:22 72:18	administer 19:13	47:9	20:15 22:15 31:19
acknowledge 6:17	20:24 67:9	agreements 31:7	62:6,9
22:10 89:22	administration	aguilar 71:24	annually 19:14
acquiring 20:14	28:15,16,16 64:7	<b>ahead</b> 41:8 58:2	20:13 26:11
acquisition 20:13	84:13	80:2 84:23 99:4	answer 8:25 12:7
acres 72:7 81:7	administrator	<b>aisle</b> 82:13	12:9 42:15 45:1
act 13:4 15:1,5,6,9	30:18 44:3 83:14	<b>alamo</b> 100:11	58:13 71:14 89:13
15:10,15,17 32:10	administrators	albuquerque 1:16	90:7
36:19 51:23 76:2	29:8 53:2 85:4	5:1	answers 32:13
91:7	86:5	alcohol 68:4	42:13,24 82:9
<b>acting</b> 57:11	<b>adopt</b> 17:20 18:15	<b>aligned</b> 16:24 18:6	anticipate 79:9
active 9:11 52:24	18:18	20:4 67:19	anticipating 61:2
75:3	adoption 51:6	alignment 57:19	anybody 5:11
activities 97:7	73:3	57:23 58:12	27:15 71:9 82:8
activity 96:3	advance 61:10	<b>all's</b> 6:9	anymore 78:24
actual 39:9 79:6	advanced 18:2	allocation 56:11	apologies 5:3,12
<b>ad</b> 58:19	35:18	allow 32:1 60:15	14:14
<b>ada</b> 94:10	advantage 43:2	allows 86:17	appearances 2:1
add 35:11,22	advertise 95:21,22	alluded 66:1,7	apples 28:1
65:11 76:17 92:21	advice 8:21	67:4,13 94:21	applicable 50:2
95:24 97:16	adviser 9:17	alma 3:2 44:2,2	65:19
adding 36:2 47:25	advisor 8:15	45:15 48:19 81:23	applies 28:5 32:10
addition 35:24	advisory 32:10	81:24 83:10,16,24	45:6,12 50:3
65:1,11,23 101:1	<b>affairs</b> 78:8	alternate 18:11	72:12
<b>additional</b> 25:6,7	affect 33:10 34:10	19:24 21:3 29:3,5	apply 18:10 30:2
25:10,12,23 52:11	affiliated 11:21	45:7 70:18 92:24	45:4
61:18 67:21 80:15	affiliation 42:7	alternative 38:14	applying 49:16
98:24 99:4	affirmation 36:13	39:13 44:18 45:21	appreciate 8:2
		71:6	14:24 63:5,8 64:4

[appreciate - bie] Page 3

68:12,13	assessments 1:12	54:7	becoming 60:5
appreciates 8:2	7:13 13:9 15:23	attorney 8:15	100:1
approach 46:15	16:10,17,23,24	attorneys 104:9	<b>began</b> 28:12
56:3	19:4,14,15 20:1,4	august 28:21	beginning 16:22
approaches 53:13	20:6,15,19,20,21	40:22 43:13 88:11	71:13 84:16
75:1	22:15,21 24:8	authorization	<b>behalf</b> 50:22 71:2
appropriate 20:2	26:20 27:25 28:2	38:17	71:22
21:8 26:23 31:10	28:8 29:13,16,19	available 43:7,11	behavior 60:17
55:8 90:5	29:22 30:12 32:18	43:20 52:13,15	<b>behaviors</b> 60:9
approved 46:21	34:4 36:14 37:4	89:10	<b>believe</b> 7:23 49:8
91:15,17	37:13 45:18,22	<b>avenue</b> 31:4 40:5	50:5 52:14 64:13
april 28:22 57:12	47:16,18,20 48:3	average 72:14	67:1 74:24 75:2
area 23:14 36:22	49:2 50:24 52:18	aware 51:25 52:13	85:23 86:24
39:19 49:12 66:18	52:23 57:5 58:20	52:16 59:9	believes 38:18
97:20 101:9	59:6,10 60:7,12	<b>az</b> 2:9	benchmarks
areas 14:7 17:23	61:24 65:17 66:20	b	48:24
17:24 50:12 99:12	67:19 70:18 71:7	babysit 60:15	beneficial 96:22
arrived 20:17	74:12 76:12 83:12	bachelor's 101:3	benefit 96:2
article 60:13	83:21,24,25 84:1	back 11:22 12:12	bernalillo 104:2
articulate 101:20	90:2 91:9 93:11	13:3 14:19,21	<b>best</b> 12:9,9 42:12
arts 17:21 19:15	assist 42:9 55:8	30:22 43:13,18	42:23 49:19 54:25
35:7	95:14	46:24 47:8 58:17	60:6,6,7 61:5,24
askawli 68:14	assistance 2:7	60:8 62:15 64:5	86:12,24 89:13
<b>asked</b> 82:5 84:23	9:20 25:9 71:1,4	70:16,19 71:15	<b>better</b> 8:5 46:15
84:25	78:21 79:5 86:23	80:14 81:14,15	63:22 86:4
asking 62:21	88:22 89:7,17,20	84:19,21,22 87:18	<b>beyond</b> 32:5,6
69:24,25 89:4	89:24 90:10 92:18	91:18,19 95:24	34:7
assess 21:3	93:21 94:6,12,15	98:13,20,23,24,25	<b>bia.gov</b> 43:9
assessed 20:10,12	95:6 97:1,9	background 34:2	<b>bie</b> 7:5,11,15,17
23:3 90:22,24	assistant 63:10	49:12	8:2,25 9:21,23
assessing 48:9	assisting 9:23	base 44:18	10:25 13:10 17:19
49:3,4	95:19	<b>based</b> 14:5 26:3	21:22 26:19 27:15
assessment 9:14	associate 2:8,11	46:8 66:2 83:21	30:1,17,22 33:1
9:18,22 18:20,21	9:4 99:21	83:25 94:22 95:19	40:6 44:20 50:25
19:12,18,22,24	associated 10:4	<b>basic</b> 15:4 18:3	52:11,21 53:2,12
20:22,24,25,25	association 72:10	29:4	53:15,24 55:3,6,7
21:4 44:5,15,18	assumption 89:5	basically 46:12	55:10,15,22,24
45:11 46:21 47:2	atsi 25:23	70:19 85:21	56:5,9 60:18 61:2
47:24 48:2,2 49:7	attachments 4:1	basing 48:8	61:5 63:12,14,25
51:17 57:18,24	attempt 89:15	<b>basis</b> 31:19	67:1,20 68:20
64:22 65:12,13	attendance 72:14	basketball 14:21	70:7,14,20,22
66:18 69:11,11	attending 72:19	bathrooms 14:19	74:22 76:2 78:11
77:8 79:3,14	attention 5:17	bearing 18:7	78:22 79:7 80:25
90:15 91:6	21:16 26:15 50:9	0	81:12,16 83:17

[bie - civics] Page 4

93:8,24 94:15	bringing 64:23	<b>called</b> 13:7 25:5	challenge 81:5
95:12 96:11 97:21	100:15	25:15 29:23 30:6	challenges 38:13
97:25 99:10,18	<b>briskly</b> 10:22 11:3	32:19 84:14 85:12	80:22 81:2 96:18
100:14,18,24	<b>broad</b> 13:23	95:2	challenging 17:20
101:7,12 102:7,12	<b>broadband</b> 64:21	calls 23:22 84:12	34:14 63:2
102:16 103:2	64:24	95:1	<b>change</b> 31:17,20
<b>bie's</b> 53:18 54:3	<b>broadly</b> 13:3,11	campbell 6:12	31:22 76:7,13
56:9 61:3 74:12	13:14 14:5,12	cao 97:16	changed 46:2
74:14 75:2,14	brought 63:10	cap 44:17,25	<b>changes</b> 7:17 8:7
bie.edu 2:3	73:6 75:6	capacity 10:16	15:14,19 31:17
<b>big</b> 84:21,22 86:7	<b>budget</b> 48:18 94:8	94:5,18 99:10	32:2,4 54:5 61:2,9
<b>bill</b> 59:3	budgets 85:24	care 18:20 63:22	61:11 62:20 75:15
<b>bit</b> 8:18,22 13:17	<b>build</b> 94:18 99:14	64:3 80:23	76:4
16:13,16 24:21	building 2:4,12	career 35:18	changing 77:22
26:14 28:20 30:3	62:5,8,12,17,18	58:11	<b>charles</b> 3:4,9 6:13
30:11 32:6 57:3	97:10 99:10	careers 33:25	54:15,16 56:19
89:10	<b>buildings</b> 64:19	cares 21:22,23	76:18,19
<b>board</b> 29:9 38:21	builds 17:2	carpet 85:12	charts 27:5
70:6,22 71:23	<b>built</b> 62:14	carry 43:22	<b>check</b> 18:21 80:2
78:1 93:4	<b>bump</b> 96:14	case 13:13 17:19	cherokee 2:4
<b>boarding</b> 66:23	<b>burden</b> 31:25	40:13 53:23 66:16	<b>chief</b> 9:11 44:3
<b>boards</b> 29:15	<b>burdensome</b> 39:7	cases 35:4	47:6 57:12
86:18 89:6 92:23	39:18	casual 73:17	<b>child</b> 15:15 37:11
<b>bodies</b> 92:22	<b>bureau</b> 1:10 5:19	<b>catch</b> 62:9 76:23	38:7,16,20 47:13
<b>body</b> 29:15 70:6	5:21 7:1 9:5,12	categories 24:24	47:21 51:23 59:8
70:22 93:4	27:24 28:1,23	93:10	75:22,23 76:14
boulevard 1:15	29:21 30:5,9,19	category 19:13	77:15
<b>box</b> 2:9 90:19 91:6	31:4,5,11,16 33:6	25:12	childhood 88:21
break 10:23 11:5	34:21 35:22 36:10	caught 62:8 78:20	99:21
27:11,20,21 41:9	36:16 37:9 38:11	79:2	children 50:10
80:2,3,8,11 98:22	38:18 39:1,13,15	caution 91:4	60:16 64:22 68:3
<b>breaks</b> 12:2	39:25 40:1,7,10	ccr 1:23	68:7 83:12
<b>brian</b> 2:15 8:14,15	46:4 48:8 50:23	center 9:17,19,19	<b>choose</b> 23:17
8:20 12:23,25	57:16 67:7 72:5	ceremonies 66:12	<b>chosen</b> 23:10 35:3
15:8 16:13 27:23	78:25 79:14 96:22	certain 15:20	35:14
45:25 75:20 90:16	98:2	certificate 104:4	<b>chronic</b> 23:11
92:20,21 93:25	<b>burner</b> 91:18	<b>certified</b> 104:5,13	35:14,22
brian.quint 2:15	buses 50:12	certify 104:5,9 cetera 59:23	circumstances 53:20 74:16
<b>brief</b> 8:10 15:7	<b>buy</b> 94:21	cetera 59:25 cf3 1:13	cited 102:19
<b>briefly</b> 10:2,12 12:19	c	cfr 39:11 73:20	civics 30:8 32:16
<b>bright</b> 98:1 100:9	<b>c</b> 2:5,12	93:7	32:20 33:11 34:24
bring 26:14 60:19	<b>call</b> 78:2,4,5 79:19	chairperson 56:23	36:3 49:7,10
87:10 91:20	82:16 84:11 85:4	chan person 50.25	59:18,19
07.10 71.20	85:18		37.10,17

<b>civil</b> 15:10	colleges 18:7	committed 5:5 6:7	<b>complex</b> 12:10,14
<b>clara</b> 3:4,7,9 54:16	101:3	committee 6:7,13	42:15,17
54:18 68:17 71:2	<b>come</b> 5:19 11:15	8:21 9:7 13:18,22	complexity 35:25
71:7 89:19	12:5,20 14:20	13:25 14:7 27:18	complicated 24:21
<b>clarify</b> 8:24 69:1	31:2 41:24 42:1	28:12,21,22,23	26:13 37:14 42:15
clarifying 12:7	43:21,25 46:24	29:3,11 30:4,7,25	60:5 93:14
42:13 88:1	48:17 50:10 56:11	32:10,14,16 33:16	components 99:18
<b>clark</b> 2:2 5:3 8:9	60:6 61:23 64:5	33:17 36:9,10,12	comprehensive
8:18 9:2,25 14:13	73:12 77:2,7	36:18 37:7,17	2:7 25:15,25
27:10 41:7,13	78:17 80:25 87:18	38:25 39:15,20	comprised 38:25
43:24 50:14 54:11	98:13,20,24 103:3	40:13 51:2,11,14	computer 83:11
56:15,20 71:8	<b>comes</b> 11:9 12:18	52:4 53:9 54:22	84:2
75:19 80:1,7	64:22 78:13	54:24 55:4,5,17	computerized
81:21 87:6,11,21	<b>coming</b> 5:16 8:8	56:23 57:6 58:16	65:6
89:12 92:20 93:15	9:11,15 46:5	58:19,23 61:15,17	computers 82:2,3
95:23 98:15 99:3	49:20 57:2 61:11	66:21 69:16 75:22	82:6,7,15 83:4,7
102:1,7,9 103:7,9	64:16 77:3 79:1	75:23,24 76:3,8,9	83:11,23
103:12,14	command 95:13	76:21 77:14 78:15	concept 38:21
classes 72:16	comment 10:21	79:18 84:6,17	66:5 68:11
classroom 87:9	11:11,16,18 12:5	85:2,5 86:20	concern 49:13
classrooms 60:10	14:10 41:10,19,24	92:25 94:8 99:8	51:18 52:20 59:2
62:1 63:16	41:25 42:4,11	committee's 51:20	59:3,11,15 73:14
<b>clear</b> 21:9 24:1	43:25 51:1 63:7	61:14 84:24	81:23 85:10
38:9	69:5 72:2 75:20	<b>common</b> 69:11	100:15
clearcut 12:6	87:18 88:19 98:19	communication	concerned 51:10
climate 23:13	98:21,25 99:4,7	55:18 56:3 60:16	67:14 73:7 74:11
35:19	commentary 3:1	77:25	74:13 83:11
<b>close</b> 5:7 8:1 26:10	commenters 87:6	communities	concerns 50:19
50:9 62:15 72:17	comments 5:6,9	15:25 21:10 33:11	64:17,20
96:5	7:22 10:20 11:7	33:24 38:10 41:2	conclude 27:9
closed 58:2	11:10,23 12:13,23	55:1 66:13 68:7	concur 78:14
closing 5:10	14:4 15:7 43:5,13	69:20 100:6	conditions 74:19
club 14:21	43:20,21 44:5	101:19	conduct 30:5
<b>coffee</b> 14:17	50:15 54:4 56:25	community 21:23	47:15 50:11 53:12
<b>cognitive</b> 18:13,14	63:8 64:5,11,14	53:4 54:17 55:14	97:7
20:1 45:9,13	68:18 69:1,14	55:23	conducting 74:25
79:15	71:9,12 75:11,14	compared 73:9	<b>confusion</b> 55:14
<b>cohort</b> 72:8,21,24	80:15 81:21 87:16	comparison 27:6	59:14 69:19 75:21
cold 62:1	88:12 93:15 98:5	comparisons 27:1	congress 33:22
collaborative	98:12 101:25	compete 65:8	34:3 38:18 47:14
95:17	commitment 79:5	competitive 52:15	48:6
collect 7:15 54:25	commitments 8:4	complete 73:11	congressional
<b>college</b> 35:18	54:2 80:10	completely 69:23	29:21 95:9
58:11			

20mgmromov 102.6	contexts 100:18	councilman 50:20	<b>csr</b> 1:23
congruency 103:6		counsel 56:24	
<b>conjunction</b> 57:14	continue 7:18,20		culturally 89:3
57:19 89:21	8:6 37:25 44:11	count 83:15	90:9
<b>consensus</b> 51:16	45:24 47:10 49:3	<b>counting</b> 71:20	<b>culture</b> 33:4,9
73:13 78:17	52:8 53:25 59:13	country 7:24 29:8	<b>cuny</b> 3:9 6:13,16
consider 26:19	79:13 85:19 97:10	34:6 37:14 41:23	6:16 76:18,19
66:19	continued 51:19	64:25 65:9,16	curious 88:24
consideration	continues 52:2	67:11 68:1	current 15:14
53:24 54:6 65:23	55:20 98:3	<b>county</b> 104:2	44:19 58:10 68:20
66:22 75:16 77:20	continuing 38:3	<b>couple</b> 6:23 10:1	currently 44:20
considered 21:19	101:10	11:9 12:21 14:14	68:20 81:4,19
41:4	continuous 93:19	30:23 46:8 54:18	82:3 89:15
considering 48:22	continuously 50:3	67:13 68:2 77:2	curricula 33:4
consistency 30:15	<b>contract</b> 46:5,5,8	95:24 96:5	curriculum 34:18
consistent 16:12	46:9 102:24	<b>course</b> 28:18 30:8	49:23 91:10 101:8
17:9,16 28:3	contracts 46:3	36:9 72:18	<b>cut</b> 5:8
55:13 64:3 67:11	63:20,20	<b>courses</b> 18:7 35:19	d
consistently 25:10	contradict 69:15	coursework	dabrio 85:2
72:17	contradiction	101:13	daily 72:14
constantly 71:16	69:24	<b>court</b> 11:10,17	dakota 78:19,21
constituency	contributes	41:18 54:12 56:18	danielle 3:12
84:18	102:19	87:24 104:13	88:20 90:8 99:5,5
constituents 5:21	<b>control</b> 55:3 60:10	covered 27:23	102:3,8,18 103:8
85:14	63:15,18 82:13	create 29:12,21	102.3,6,16 103.8
constructive	controlled 7:11	49:19 71:6 92:25	data 7:15 15:24,25
60:25	51:5 53:3 56:8	101:6,21	16:2,3 17:4 54:25
consult 61:3	72:4 84:12 85:1	created 19:23	72:17 96:9,18
consultation 1:11	86:6,10 102:24	58:19	97:3
4:4,6 11:6,13,25	conversation	creates 31:25	date 65:1,4
12:15 13:1 32:8	55:11 86:13 87:3	54:23	dated 51:9 52:17
40:17 41:15,22	93:21	creating 53:6	david 3:5 56:21,22
42:10,20,21 43:9	conversations	69:19 79:3 100:13	58:14 59:1
52:25 53:5,10	98:8	102:13	day 12:2 39:7
58:1 75:4 78:4,5	<b>coors</b> 1:15	credit 18:6	41:14 43:11,19
79:19,24,25 88:4	<b>copy</b> 10:5,6 54:9	<b>critical</b> 5:20 6:1	60:13 62:14 80:13
98:10,11 103:15	core 15:10,20	7:5 11:15 18:9	87:12,16,24 88:2
104:11	<b>correct</b> 69:3 81:3	20:5 77:11,18	88:16,18 98:13,17
consultations 7:23	93:5 104:7	78:9 96:25 97:8	98:20
consulted 78:10	correctly 69:10	critically 88:10	days 38:24 39:4
contact 87:9	correlation 35:15	96:22	39:13
contain 22:7	<b>cost</b> 79:6	criticism 47:21	dc 2:2,5,13 8:17
content 17:23	<b>costs</b> 78:12	60:25	9:6
18:17 23:14	<b>council</b> 3:5 7:3	<b>csi</b> 3:8	deadline 43:12
	50:17 78:1		88:11
			00.11

[deal - drugs] Page 7

<b>deal</b> 6:8 75:25	delivered 20:20,21	dialogue 5:21	disposition 104:10
<b>dealing</b> 59:14 68:3	<b>delve</b> 90:14	31:25 41:16	disservice 67:12
<b>dearman</b> 2:4 6:22	demand 95:13	differences 27:7	67:23
6:25 7:1 43:23	demonstrate	different 20:17	<b>distance</b> 100:8,25
63:7 68:19,21	44:16	26:19 27:4 28:9	district 37:15
71:3,11 82:10	department 1:9	28:17 37:24 47:24	dive 88:7
83:13,19 85:22	2:4,12 3:6,11 8:16	59:21,24 60:2	diverse 52:25 75:4
87:8,13 94:14	13:6,8,24 14:2,8	69:23 103:4	<b>division</b> 2:11 9:4
97:13	24:22,22 31:6	differentiate	<b>docket</b> 43:10
<b>deb</b> 2:7 9:16,16	39:1 40:6 53:16	23:19	document 27:1
10:19 14:25 15:2	55:6 56:12 57:15	differs 47:13	30:16,19 51:19
27:10 40:9 45:1	64:10 70:14 71:5	difficult 7:14	54:1 56:7
december 13:3	71:5 72:11 78:8	82:19	documentation
15:16 55:4 58:17	79:7 84:4 89:21	difficulty 59:14	74:3 77:15 89:18
69:9	90:11	64:23	documented 73:8
<b>decide</b> 18:4 20:23	depending 9:8	<b>dig</b> 88:12	documents 69:22
23:9 25:13	<b>deputy</b> 2:8,11 9:4	dilapidation 77:21	<b>dog</b> 77:13
decided 28:16	derive 24:25	<b>direct</b> 51:7 73:4	<b>doing</b> 13:2,24 14:4
30:22 31:1 35:17	describes 93:8	direction 12:17	20:14 21:16,18
46:4	designated 45:13	42:19 63:1 98:3	30:20 33:8,13,14
decision 46:7	50:21	102:13	38:9 42:9 44:10
decisions 20:18	designed 21:7	<b>director</b> 2:4,7,8,11	48:1,3 64:2,3
23:21 55:1,21	45:7	3:8 7:1 9:4,12	65:21 66:17 83:17
dedicated 5:9	<b>detailed</b> 54:2 75:5	28:24 58:17 64:9	94:22 96:13,25
deemed 18:23	75:11	68:16,19 71:21	101:4
<b>deep</b> 11:23 88:7	determination	93:19	<b>dollars</b> 15:12 25:2
90:4	32:21	disabilities 18:13	79:6
deficiency 52:8	determine 25:25	18:14 19:21 20:1	domestic 68:4
<b>defined</b> 24:2 39:24	28:8 66:3	20:3 21:3 45:10	<b>domingo</b> 100:11
40:1 77:17	determined 22:14	disadvantaged	<b>doubt</b> 56:11
definitely 97:15	26:12 70:7	15:12	doughnuts 14:17
98:11	determines 77:5	disaggregation	<b>dozen</b> 35:20
definition 50:24	develop 13:7	15:24	<b>dpa</b> 97:15
definitions 69:17	36:13 40:8,11	disconnect 103:1	<b>dr</b> 2:8,11 3:6 9:3
88:24	49:10 51:22 52:22	discovered 91:3	27:17 42:25 58:15
<b>degree</b> 99:22,25	53:19 67:6,15	<b>discuss</b> 41:3 76:4	61:13 64:8,9
101:3	74:15,19 88:23	discussed 34:15	68:25 74:5 75:16
delapidated 62:1	developed 14:2	40:14	79:23 89:12 93:14
<b>delay</b> 5:12	37:5 75:3	discussion 36:18	<b>draft</b> 4:6 27:19
delayed 28:19	developing 28:12	38:22 39:2,21	55:25
55:3	53:13,17 60:11	84:6 87:5	drawback 38:7
delays 45:9,13	development 41:5	discussions 28:13	drug 45:22
<b>delegation</b> 64:15	53:14 74:22 79:11	39:16 92:13	<b>drugs</b> 44:22 68:3
	99:8 101:21		68:3

[due - expected] Page 8

<b>due</b> 44:22 50:6,7	<b>effort</b> 58:23 79:16	enrollments 44:24	et 59:23
51:17 70:12	efforts 96:14	<b>ensure</b> 16:5 30:14	evaluate 30:9
dynamic 78:20	<b>eight</b> 19:16	67:21 75:2	56:13 73:25
e	<b>either</b> 19:22 43:7	enter 31:5	evaluated 90:25
e 40:23 43:8	43:8 66:15,17	<b>entire</b> 8:8 30:8	everybody 14:18
e 40:25 45:8 earlier 19:25	87:16	51:17 73:13	40:20 41:1 43:12
30:23 43:1 81:24	ela 22:15,21	<b>entities</b> 9:21 17:19	43:23 68:15
early 37:16 57:20	element 77:13	17:22 20:18,23	evidence 16:4
86:8 88:21 99:21	78:7	22:9 24:7,24	55:10 73:14
eastern 43:13	elementary 3:2,10	25:21,24	<b>exactly</b> 37:22 80:9
echoing 42:25	13:4 15:4 19:19	<b>entity</b> 18:4 22:23	89:1
echolig 42.23 ed 2:7 9:19 89:21	22:19 44:4 51:4	23:8,16 25:13	example 18:1
90:12	80:17 81:25	26:12	22:23,25 23:10
<b>education</b> 1:10 3:6	<b>elements</b> 79:17,20	entrance 18:6	24:10,11 34:17
3:11 7:2,9 9:12	elevate 34:20	environment	35:2 59:24 65:2
13:4 15:5,9 24:22	elevates 34:7	63:16	65:25 72:20 90:21
24:23 27:25 28:24	elevating 33:17	envision 42:20	excellence 15:22
29:12 31:6 33:24	34:14	<b>epa</b> 84:14 97:16	26:16
39:1,2 40:6 44:14	eligibility 77:5	<b>equal</b> 53:14 74:22	excellent 56:15
44:21,24 45:5,16	embedded 29:18	equally 88:5	exception 18:14
50:23 53:16 55:6	40:12	equipment 65:2,4	exchange 39:5
55:23 56:23 57:15	emphasized 34:3	81:6,9,10,14,15	excited 7:16
60:4 63:15 64:10	75:6	82:25	exciting 7:21
70:24 71:6 72:5	emphasizes 36:20	<b>equity</b> 15:22 26:17	excuse 49:18
78:8 79:7,20	emphasizing	equivalent 30:13	excuses 49:20
83:14 84:5,13	36:23	esea 15:8,11 38:17	executive 55:21
85:23 88:21 94:10	employed 104:9	51:24 70:5 76:1	<b>exercise</b> 38:6 91:4
97:23 99:9,11,20	employees 81:19	especially 14:8	exist 94:7
101:12 103:2,5	encourage 79:14	47:22 48:1,10	existing 48:17
education's 72:11	79:19	100:7	76:4 93:7
educational 15:21	encouraged 88:6	esq 2:2,15	<b>exists</b> 101:23
26:16	<b>engage</b> 13:6 39:2	essa 4:4 15:6,14	102:4
educator 23:13	48:15 52:24 58:8	15:19 17:17 19:13	exit 25:7
educators 16:1	engagement 23:13	22:6 27:4,24 28:4	expanded 51:15
17:10 20:8 21:11	30:5 31:14,24	29:17,25 30:2	73:8
53:1,2 68:9 69:20	53:9 57:22 58:9	31:4 34:25 38:15	expansion 51:13
69:22	english 18:16	39:22 47:13 56:11	<b>expect</b> 16:20 24:9
effect 33:21	20:11,12,14,17	59:7 77:24	86:11
<b>effective</b> 21:8 69:7	23:5 35:7,7 58:7	establish 17:22	expectation 24:12
89:25	66:7,9,10,15	51:11,21	expectations 17:8
effectively 11:15	enjoying 14:18	established 70:8	17:9 21:9,11
91:24	enrolled 72:15	73:18	<b>expected</b> 12:10
effects 85:9	enrollment 44:19	establishment	17:11 21:12 55:10
	44:21	57:4	

experience 95:12	federally 9:19	96:11 99:24	forthcoming
expertise 89:7	<b>feed</b> 16:23	fiscal 96:7	75:17
90:6,11,12	<b>feedback</b> 5:10 6:2	<b>fit</b> 33:8 36:5,11,16	fortunately 5:13
<b>expires</b> 104:14	10:10 11:8,13	46:23,25	<b>forward</b> 7:21 8:4
explain 12:20	14:9 41:11,20,22	<b>five</b> 22:8,8 23:17	8:6 46:14,24
explained 37:2	43:3,15 71:9	24:5 54:19 78:22	70:13 77:25 79:8
explored 90:17	80:15 88:1,9,13	82:22	90:1 91:9 97:12
exploring 58:24	93:16	<b>fix</b> 59:12,13 60:11	four 13:21 23:22
<b>extend</b> 5:10 87:22	feeder 66:25	62:7,10,12 76:5	24:3 28:22 35:25
extra 87:22	<b>feel</b> 12:11,17 14:9	flexibility 31:21	51:15 55:24 72:8
extremely 87:5	74:14 77:16 81:10	<b>floor</b> 41:10 43:21	73:11 76:22 78:16
<b>eye</b> 87:9 96:8	81:17 87:8 98:20	flowing 5:20	99:25
f	<b>felt</b> 37:17	fluent 91:2	<b>foyer</b> 14:22
	<b>field</b> 65:5 90:6	flushed 37:2	<b>fr</b> 4:5
<b>faca</b> 32:10,11 <b>face</b> 48:4	fielding 80:10	flushing 100:20	<b>frame</b> 57:21 67:14
facilitate 78:12	<b>fifth</b> 23:6	<b>fly</b> 12:7	framework 39:17
facilities 61:23	figuring 102:16	<b>focus</b> 12:4 21:15	91:23
62:14 63:21,21	<b>file</b> 54:10	44:8,10 49:8 98:3	<b>free</b> 5:20 14:9
76:1 77:22 78:3	<b>final</b> 4:4 41:5 43:4	focused 44:5	34:22 98:20
81:10	43:15 51:5 56:5	46:15	<b>freely</b> 91:23
facility 77:21,23	69:15 73:2,16	focusing 50:4	<b>front</b> 11:2 40:17
80:19,24 88:20	75:7 88:14 93:9,9	<b>folks</b> 8:10 17:10	87:8 95:8
fact 20:20 51:14	94:3 104:10	27:15 59:9	fruitful 68:9
63:10 73:12	<b>finally</b> 17:2 23:6	<b>follow</b> 75:10 79:10	frustrating 95:3,4
factor 11:24 23:7	24:17 26:7 53:25	93:11,20	frustration 78:18
faculty 100:5,22	75:8	<b>following</b> 10:23,24	<b>fte</b> 94:10
failing 44:13	<b>find</b> 19:5 48:20	60:25	<b>fulfill</b> 96:24
failure 74:18	82:17	foregoing 104:6	<b>full</b> 11:16 42:4
fall 58:16	<b>finding</b> 79:21	forever 44:9	58:9
<b>fallen</b> 97:20	<b>findings</b> 64:2 81:3	forgetting 102:14	<b>fully</b> 37:2,2 38:21
family 68:5	<b>finish</b> 61:18	<b>form</b> 40:24 79:5	<b>functions</b> 96:6,25
fantastic 102:1	finished 61:14	88:18 92:8	97:11
far 49:2 95:16	finishing 61:15	<b>formal</b> 39:6 57:1	<b>fund</b> 44:9
<b>fashion</b> 21:1	76:25	89:17	<b>funded</b> 9:19 13:10
fast 12:23 80:3	firmer 97:6	formally 71:2	33:1 36:16 44:19
fault 9:9	<b>first</b> 3:2,10 5:15	89:16	50:25 59:3 70:7
<b>fe</b> 3:8 4:8 71:21,22	6:5 7:22 10:18,24	format 88:15	70:22 72:5
72:3,8,19 93:20	17:18,18 22:11,16	<b>formed</b> 13:19	funding 44:11
<b>feasible</b> 57:16	42:5 44:3 54:21	28:21	45:21,22 52:1,1,5
<b>federal</b> 10:6 13:12	57:18 58:7 63:19	<b>former</b> 71:23	52:7,9,10,13
14:3 15:9 28:6	63:24 64:13 68:11	forming 92:1	61:18 73:22 76:15
29:5,6 32:10,11	68:17,19 69:13	formula 72:11	77:5,6,21,21,23
45:4 51:9 54:1	73:5 80:17 81:25	<b>forth</b> 104:6	78:4 79:13 80:23
56:7	89:14 91:17 96:9		81:18 82:6,17

[funds - heavy] Page 10

83:3	00 4 101 16		guidance 86:23
00.0	99:4 101:16	<b>governments</b> 53:6	<b>guy</b> 81:12
<b>funny</b> 64:1	<b>goal</b> 24:9,14,15	governor 50:18	<b>guys</b> 79:21
<b>further</b> 39:21 66:6	26:21 37:23	54:9,16 71:24	<b>gym</b> 14:22
93:15 104:9	<b>goals</b> 24:7 92:24	governor's 72:10	h
<b>future</b> 97:7,25	100:17	<b>grab</b> 42:3	half 68:22,23 70:1
<b>fy</b> 94:8	goes 9:8 32:5 34:7	<b>grade</b> 19:16,18,18	hallway 14:19
g	37:23	19:19,19,20 72:20	hamley 2:11 9:3,4
gao 95:24,25,25	going 5:10,18 6:5	72:22,24	27:17 42:25 58:15
gaps 19:5 26:10	6:21,24 7:6,24 8:9	graders 72:25	61:13 75:16 79:23
72:18	8:23 10:12,18,20	graduate 25:19	89:12 90:16 93:14
gate 35:10	12:2,8,18,20	100:23	hand 56:17 57:2
general 34:21 49:9	13:17 16:6 26:24	graduates 101:14	62:11
generally 65:13	27:11 31:11,17	graduation 22:25	handle 80:23 83:5
generaty 03.13 generate 10:10	36:5 37:25 39:9	23:25 24:8 25:2,4	handling 63:20
generated 31:15	40:18 41:13,17	72:9	handout 26:25
gentleman 61:12	42:12,16 43:10,16	grandparents	happen 17:17
geographic 29:7	46:14,16 47:5	66:13	happened 47:12
getting 5:4,13 6:8	49:7 59:13 61:4,8	<b>grant</b> 53:3 56:8	85:21
22:3 46:13 62:22	61:25 62:25 63:1	67:16	happening 69:24
81:6 96:4 100:1	63:12,19 66:4	granted 53:16	78:18 103:1
give 6:1 11:16	67:5 76:8 80:8	<b>grave</b> 51:18	happens 93:8
12:5 19:6 27:22	82:5 83:8 84:19	<b>great</b> 12:25 30:21	happy 9:24
42:4 54:19 57:8	84:20 85:6,9,17	32:22 36:2 75:25	hard 54:9 62:3
60:24 82:6 86:4	85:18 86:4 87:16	101:23 103:7	63:5
87:25 89:13	87:22,23 88:10	greater 24:4	haskell 99:18
given 5:25 10:7	94:21 96:21,24	greatly 42:9	hats 94:24
16:3,3 34:12	97:10,10,12,17	<b>ground</b> 92:17	headed 7:16
45:17 48:6 54:22	98:22	<b>group</b> 13:13 25:13	heads 60:19
61:16 66:23 67:3	<b>good</b> 6:8,25 8:14	52:25 75:4	health 56:23 81:1
67:20 73:10 94:16	9:3,16 10:10	<b>groups</b> 13:20 19:5	hear 7:5,6,18 8:23
gives 19:6	13:18 14:13 15:2	21:17	10:16 12:16 13:17
giving 5:11,16	19:7 24:19 27:17	<b>grow</b> 99:15 100:20	57:3 86:14 88:2,6
59:12 90:5	39:17 40:10 41:8	102:4,6,13	89:9 98:21
<b>go</b> 5:14,25 6:16	43:14 54:15 56:21	<b>growing</b> 100:13	<b>heard</b> 8:22 57:7
11:21 12:11 14:13	64:8 68:15 76:18	growth 22:17,21	57:17 71:16 89:18
16:9,13 26:24	80:4,11 82:11	44:16 45:19 46:16	hearing 7:22
27:20 28:7 30:11	84:3 93:2 98:6	46:19,20 47:1	66:11 104:7
31:8,10 41:8,14	100:21	48:14,23,24 65:14	heart 100:12
43:18 47:7 49:2	<b>governing</b> 29:15	65:15,19 66:5	<b>hearts</b> 77:19
50:12 59:17 60:8	70:6,22 86:17	79:10	heavily 77:18
69:10 80:2,5,9	92:22 93:4	guess 33:12 34:8	heavy 10:15 68:3
83:3,8 84:23	government 9:8	36:4 37:2 40:2	96:13
87:19 91:18 92:7	14:16 50:21 53:5		

[help - initiate] Page 11

<b>help</b> 10:10 19:4	hotevilla 62:17	implements 33:6	14:22 27:24 28:24
43:4,15 58:12	hour 11:4 80:9,10	importance 33:23	29:8 38:20 39:1
62:13,22,25 63:6	hours 12:3 63:11	important 5:17	41:23 50:23 64:25
71:6 90:7 95:5	97:2	6:1,2 15:18 17:7	65:8,16 67:11
97:14	housekeeping	18:23 21:7 40:19	68:1 71:21,22
helpful 13:2 103:6	10:2 11:9 14:15	40:25 41:24 42:11	72:4,5,19 76:20
helps 11:21	huge 15:9	43:14 48:10 54:23	78:8 93:20 97:23
herrera 50:22	human 102:5	65:10,21,22 77:24	99:19
54:9	i	85:24 86:2,9 87:5	indicate 45:18
<b>high</b> 17:8 19:17,20		87:13 88:5,10	indicated 15:8
22:16,20 23:1	ia 2:15	importantly 57:17	26:17 45:16 59:4
25:3,3,19 65:2	idea 30:21 38:13	impression 85:8	61:1,12,13
90:25	57:8 85:4 93:2	improve 7:20 19:8	indicator 22:16,19
<b>higher</b> 34:20	100:13	19:10	22:22,24 23:6,16
44:23	identification	improvement	23:24 24:4 30:10
highest 66:4	24:18 40:9	25:11 26:1,8	34:7,9,12 35:2
highlight 6:11	identified 20:12	71:20 93:19	indicators 22:6,9
26:15	23:4 25:4 26:5,11	improvements	23:12,18,20,22,23
highlighted 14:7	identify 24:19	62:20	24:6 31:20,20
<b>highly</b> 99:11	25:13,21 26:2,4	inappropriate	35:5,7,9 36:2
<b>historic</b> 62:5,7,11	identifying 96:5	70:9,12	37:13
64:18	iep 45:6,14	inclined 14:23	individual 33:25
history 46:1	ieps 45:9	include 22:17	44:7,16,22 48:23
<b>hit</b> 92:17	<b>impact</b> 33:24 51:7 53:22 61:4 73:4	32:20 36:12 99:15	48:24 49:10 77:6
hits 25:3	74:20 85:6	101:13	individuals 68:2
<b>hoc</b> 58:19	impacted 62:19	included 13:5	90:6
<b>hold</b> 10:20 21:24	impacted 62.19 impacts 60:21,22	20:15 40:3,4	inefficient 55:12
86:10 88:16	62:2	including 9:21	<b>inform</b> 19:10
holding 17:3	imperative 61:19	29:8 55:15 76:1	information 15:4
homes 66:12	implement 13:8	90:14 101:11	19:7 20:8 49:5,12
honor 29:11	56:6 67:8 76:11	inclusive 53:1	56:4 60:1 74:6
hope 53:23 78:12	99:12 102:17	incomparable	84:8 86:1 87:2
hopefully 10:10	implementation	38:12	101:10,13
10:21 19:10 61:17	9:18 32:18 52:19	incorporate 101:8	informed 16:2
98:5	53:10 74:4,9,11	incorporation	68:2,8,11
<b>hopi</b> 3:5,6 44:4	implementations	33:18	infrastructure
56:24 59:24 62:3	56:1 86:21	increase 52:5,7	62:25 82:12
62:23 64:10,14,21	implemented 33:1	73:24 94:9 100:7	100:25
64:24 65:24 66:8	33:20 37:5 63:2	100:24	inhibit 53:18
66:16 67:16 80:18	69:18 95:16,18	increased 44:22	74:14
81:13 82:1	implementing	94:2	initial 37:23
hoping 11:3	47:16 53:6,17	increasing 95:21	<b>initially</b> 46:2 74:8
<b>hot</b> 62:2	95:18 102:20	<b>indian</b> 1:10 3:8	initiate 47:9
	70.10 102.20	4:8 7:1,24 9:12	
	<u> </u>	1	

initiated 46:3	interim 2:7 20:25	j	89:5 91:1 93:17
initiation 69:5	67:7	-	94:7 97:8 99:17
initiative 99:16	<b>interior</b> 1:9 2:4,12	<b>january</b> 55:2	100:4,17 101:18
102:4,12	8:17 13:6 14:1	58:18,18,24 69:9	102:11,15
initiatives 102:6	16:8 70:8,14 71:5	<b>jeff</b> 9:3 27:16	<b>known</b> 15:6 70:3
<b>input</b> 6:2 8:2 11:8	92:6,16	90:16 93:5	1
41:11 43:5 50:15	internet 64:23	jeffery 2:11 jeffrey 9:3 27:17	
79:21 80:10,15	interspersed 12:2	58:15 79:23 93:14	1 2:4
86:3,4 87:25	intervene 16:5	94:21	lab 83:12
88:14 92:10	19:2,2 22:2 24:20		lack 55:14 56:4
inspection 62:6	26:22	<b>jeremy</b> 3:7 68:15 68:16,23 69:4,13	65:3 66:24
inspections 63:25	intervention 25:8	71:8	laguna 3:3,11 4:7
81:1 96:7	25:14,17 39:23		50:18,21 51:3,3,4
install 82:2	40:8	<b>job</b> 1:24 <b>jr</b> 54:9	51:20 54:8 61:12 84:4
institute 46:4	interventions 22:1	july 1:14 5:1	land 72:7
institutes 100:16	23:21	june 28:25 51:9	
institutions	introduce 14:25		language 17:21 18:16,19 19:15
101:11,24	introduced 8:12	k	20:13,14 23:1,3
instruction 47:25	49:25	<b>k</b> 93:8 99:22 100:3	23:25 24:3 35:7,8
91:10 102:22	introducing 30:8	<b>keep</b> 13:2 37:19	36:19 52:6 58:7
instructor 3:12	introductions	82:25	59:21 65:20 66:7
99:6	6:24 8:10	<b>key</b> 29:10	66:11,11,13,15,15
instructors 65:4	invested 55:11	<b>kha'p'o</b> 54:17	66:17 72:16 73:24
insufficient 51:18	investment 100:21	kick 12:22	89:22 90:13,19
73:12	invests 100:24	<b>kids</b> 8:6 63:23	91:2,5,7,10
integral 24:11	involve 97:18	64:4	languages 36:11
integrate 49:11	involved 86:13	<b>kind</b> 12:19 18:21	36:15,21 37:4
<b>intend</b> 11:11	97:16,20,24	20:7 23:14,16	lansing 3:12 88:20
intensive 11:12	isep 52:1,4,10	32:12 45:11 77:11	90:8 99:5,5 102:3
12:12 41:21	73:20 74:1 76:1	79:2 80:20 85:10	102:8,18 103:8,10
<b>intent</b> 29:21	76:15 78:3 79:13	88:12 89:9 96:23	103:13
intention 41:19	issue 5:17 31:14	kinds 23:12 25:16	large 56:10
intentionally	33:2 37:1 47:17	26:19 45:10	lastly 16:3
10:14	47:24 48:7 61:22	know 7:9 11:18,23	late 5:4 87:23
interest 32:22	92:2	16:20,21 17:1,11	law 15:14,15
36:3 37:21 91:16	issues 9:22 12:10	21:24 36:22 41:1	29:18,18 31:1
92:4,15 94:2	13:23 34:8 59:10	41:2 42:10 45:20	32:21 36:19,20
104:10	63:9 75:25 77:17	49:23 56:25 57:7	38:15 39:24,24
interested 30:4,7	item 84:22 85:24	58:5,21 60:4 61:5	40:4,11 76:9
32:14,17 33:16	items 10:8 11:9	61:9,10,23 62:9	lea 39:25
34:17 36:10 47:1	12:21 14:14	65:5,12,16 66:8	lead 9:7 99:25
91:14,19	iterative 39:3	66:14 67:5,20	leaders 4:3 7:3,4,6
interesting 38:13		71:13,18 73:21	7:19,25 8:3 56:1
		78:25 81:24 87:13	58:3,4 79:19
			50.5,177.17

95:11	likewise 33:16	<b>lot</b> 5:18 6:19 7:17	<b>map</b> 72:16
leadership 51:10	<b>limit</b> 49:14	31:15 33:3,5	march 55:17 77:1
51:25	limitation 56:3	50:10 63:1 69:19	markedly 96:12
<b>leading</b> 56:4 58:23	<b>limited</b> 21:4 26:6	70:10 79:16 84:6	materials 10:4
leads 17:5 21:1	87:2	85:3 95:18 96:13	<b>math</b> 17:21 18:17
99:22	limits 48:3	96:14,18 100:5	19:15 22:15,21
learn 49:23 62:4	line 78:23 85:24	lots 15:13 23:11	35:7 58:7
learned 91:12,21	lines 94:4	low 25:2,4 66:25	matter 6:1 98:2
learners 20:11,12	linguistically	96:12	matters 10:2
20:17 23:5 65:20	90:10	<b>lower</b> 34:13	maximizing 97:4
66:7	<b>listen</b> 42:16	lowest 25:1,18	mean 22:7 46:24
learning 19:11	listening 10:15	lucinda 6:12	88:16 89:17
48:5 60:21 62:2	12:16 41:11 71:17	<b>lunch</b> 43:18,19	meaning 39:25
62:19 68:17 100:8	little 5:4 8:18,22	80:5,8,13 87:17	40:1
100:25	13:17 16:13,16	93:16 98:22,23	meaningful 52:24
leave 36:6 71:25	24:21 26:13 27:13	99:2	75:3
75:9 98:7,13,16	28:17 30:3,10	lunchtime 14:21	meaningfully
lecture 10:14	32:6 55:18 57:2	14:23	23:19
<b>led</b> 55:13	75:21 76:19 89:10	m	means 69:10 88:9
<b>left</b> 15:15 32:3	92:15 96:4 98:18	<b>m</b> 77:21 78:3	meant 19:6 21:15
35:3,4 37:6,11	live 13:15	ma'am 81:22	26:16
38:7,16,20 39:21	<b>lived</b> 27:1	103:9	<b>measure</b> 17:1 18:1
47:13,21 51:23	<b>local</b> 95:11 100:5	mail 40:23 43:8	18:22 19:1 22:12
59:8,20 75:22,23	100:10	main 2:4,12 52:1	22:17,20 23:10
76:14 77:16 78:23	<b>located</b> 59:7 72:7	101:25	measured 22:13
<b>legal</b> 8:20 55:12	location 9:6	mainstream	measures 22:7,8
<b>letter</b> 4:3,7,8 47:7	long 24:7 29:1	100:16	<b>meat</b> 11:5
50:18 52:16 56:16	43:14 46:1 61:22	maintain 81:6	mechanism 77:9
57:1 71:24 75:9	96:16 97:9	90:13	meet 18:25 29:19
75:11	longer 11:4	maintained 81:11	77:9 90:1 91:11
level 18:3,3,3	look 7:21 8:4	maintenance	meeting 55:17
22:13,14 34:21	11:22 20:19 22:5	80:20,21 81:12,16	76:25 85:1
45:18 46:11 65:7	23:12,14 27:3,5	81:17	meetings 13:22
68:20 79:13 94:15	35:13,17 57:22	major 38:7	55:9 73:11 78:16
99:23 100:2	58:12 60:8 65:19	majority 56:9	meets 74:19
levels 17:25 44:16	96:19,23	making 7:17 17:4	member 29:3,6
66:5	looking 49:16	17:12 26:9 80:4	78:15 88:20
license 100:2	54:20 61:7 66:19	84:10	members 7:3 29:4
101:17 104:14	68:22 69:10 70:11	man 54:14	29:5 37:17 53:4
licensure 99:23	77:1 82:23 83:3,4	management 2:2	55:15 84:17
<b>lieutenant</b> 54:16	89:19 90:3 94:8	85:23 94:10	membership 29:2
71:23	96:17	manager 80:17	29:6
<b>life</b> 102:14	looks 18:5 102:16	mandates 52:11	memorandum
	103:3		31:5

[mention - nwea] Page 14

mention 58:22	moment 6:10,15	navajo 2:8 38:19	78:2 84:5,16,24
67:24	6:16,17	91:16,25 100:11	85:5 86:20 92:25
mentioned 75:8	money 48:20	101:4	negotiations 37:17
mesa 3:2,10 44:3	monitor 48:25	<b>nclb</b> 15:15,19 27:2	negotiator 9:7
80:17 81:25	monitoring 95:17	27:4 73:9	neither 104:9
message 21:9 63:3	96:7	necessarily 21:16	network 82:15,21
82:1,4	<b>monthly</b> 84:11,12	21:18	networks 84:10
met 13:21 28:22	months 79:2	necessary 32:2	85:25 87:1
meth 44:23	<b>morning</b> 6:25 8:14	65:14	never 49:6 65:17
methodology 26:2	9:3,16 10:18 15:2	necessitated 76:13	65:17 85:21
mexico 5:1 72:8	27:17,23 54:15	necessity 64:24	new 5:1 28:15,16
104:1,5	56:21 64:8 68:15	<b>need</b> 6:2 7:7,7,18	46:18 49:25 50:1
<b>mib</b> 2:2,5,12	75:17 76:18 84:3	7:24 8:6 12:11	61:21 72:8 76:9
mic 43:22,25 44:1	84:7	16:7 19:2,8 20:2	78:5 91:19 92:1
87:10	<b>mou</b> 78:7,9	22:2,4,9 23:3,17	104:1,5
miccosukee 38:20	move 8:6 10:22	25:7 36:24 40:2	nice 27:3 48:21
91:15 92:1	27:14 37:10 40:16	41:2 44:11 46:14	nina 3:8 71:19,19
microphones 42:2	58:2,4 62:25	49:8,21,23,23	93:18,18
mics 42:3	86:24 90:19	59:16,17 60:19	<b>nm</b> 1:16,23 104:13
<b>middle</b> 19:19 51:4	<b>moved</b> 91:18	62:13 65:15 68:1	non 59:22
79:2	movement 55:4	68:6,10,24 70:1	noncommunicat
midnight 43:13	<b>moving</b> 39:19 41:7	78:10 80:2 81:18	55:21
<b>mike</b> 85:2	77:25 79:8 91:8	82:16 83:21 89:24	nonfederal 29:4
<b>mind</b> 13:2 42:2	100:3	91:5,22 94:25	<b>noreen</b> 3:6 64:8,9
95:23	multiple 22:8	95:15,19,20 97:6	normally 82:23
<b>minimum</b> 17:21	97:15,17,19	97:22 101:20	<b>note</b> 55:16 79:23
17:23	n	needed 24:20	<b>notice</b> 10:6 94:9
<b>minute</b> 41:8 80:3	name 8:14 11:16	70:13	notices 77:2
minutes 27:13	42:4,5 44:2 50:16	needs 25:17 41:1	notify 32:5
59:4 87:23	54:15 56:22 58:22	52:3 53:20 55:24	november 52:17
minutia 88:13	68:4,16 71:19	65:6 66:5,24	<b>nrc</b> 72:3 73:7,10
mismatch 20:6	76:18 80:16 81:24	74:16 90:1 101:7	nrc's 53:11 73:9
missed 57:4	<b>nation</b> 38:19	negative 53:22	74:21 75:7
missions 100:17	<b>national</b> 44:6 67:8	negatively 74:20	number 21:5
moa 31:12 40:6	67:9 72:10,21,25	neglected 14:14	22:18 24:5 35:14
78:7,9	73:1	negotiated 8:21	43:10 50:5 56:10
mode 12:16	nationally 33:20	9:23 10:5 11:1	75:25 79:15 92:4
model 46:19,21	35:17	12:22 13:7,11	92:14
47:1 66:5 79:10	nations 99:19	14:6 16:9,15 28:7	nw 1:15 2:5,12
models 44:12	<b>native</b> 36:10,14,19	51:2,11,21 52:3	nwea 45:21 46:1,3
65:14,15,19	36:21 55:1 72:16	53:8 54:21 55:16	46:21 47:2,10,19
modulars 62:16	91:7	58:1 61:16 73:16	48:2,11,12,20,23
moencopi 65:25	natives 65:20	73:17,22 75:24	48:23 79:10 84:1
		76:3 77:16,17	

[o - periodically] Page 15

0	operating 74:3	p	partner 7:18
o 77:21 78:3	operation 77:4	<b>p.m.</b> 1:14 5:2,7	partnership 7:7
<b>obama</b> 28:15	operations 77:19	99:2 103:15	pass 43:25
objections 27:14	97:18	<b>p.o.</b> 2:9	passed 15:8
27:16	opinion 43:6	pa3449856 1:24	passing 83:17
objective 18:22	opinions 12:17	packet 10:3	passive 56:2
obligations 33:10	opportunities	packets 27:5	<b>path</b> 97:10
obviously 5:18	49:19	packets 27.3 padilla 1:23 104:5	pathway 90:1
15:19 80:12 86:9	opportunity 27:22	104:13	<b>patricia</b> 3:11 84:3
occur 53:10	40:20 41:16,16		84:4
office 8:16 78:24	48:16 51:1 55:24	<b>page</b> 69:17 <b>panel</b> 6:22 8:11	<b>pay</b> 48:20 50:9
80:22 81:13	71:10 72:2 87:7	10:19	79:12
	87:25 88:19		paying 46:10
<b>officer</b> 9:11 47:6	opposed 13:15	paper 83:21,25	ped 55:12
57:12 <b>offices</b> 97:15	opt 37:18 59:5	parallels 29:23	peer 47:3
	<b>option</b> 36:7 38:3,6	parameters 12:19	penalized 50:6
officially 13:21	47:10 83:22 94:3	paraprofessional	<b>people</b> 5:22 6:7
58:19	optional 25:12	100:1,2	58:21 61:3 63:14
officials 13:12	order 11:14 12:25	paraprofessionals	68:23 70:1 73:6
<b>oftentimes</b> 102:25	16:21 18:1 19:8	101:16,17,18	82:13 85:23 87:3
103:2	21:14,23 28:8	parent 30:18 53:1	97:17,19
oglala 79:4	65:7 69:6 91:17	parents 13:20	percent 20:9 21:4
<b>oh</b> 6:16	94:25 101:21	16:1 17:10 21:10	25:1,20 44:17,25
okay 14:13 41:7	organization	29:9 60:15 66:8	45:2,2,2,4,12,17
59:1 81:21 83:19	11:19,20 95:14	68:7	68:21 72:15 82:20
103:7,13	outcome 52:17	part 4:5 6:13	82:22 94:20,22
<b>old</b> 62:18 64:19	outgrown 62:18	14:10 19:22 20:20	96:11,15
82:7 95:24	outreach 92:3	20:23 24:18 27:2	percentile 72:21
once 19:16 25:21	outside 14:18,20	29:16 37:12 38:5	72:23,25 73:1
31:15 94:3	55:2 62:17 63:17	42:18 54:13 56:17	perfect 9:2
ones 35:17,21	65:9 100:15	57:18 67:25 70:9	<b>perform</b> 16:6,7
ongoing 30:5 39:2	outstanding 43:3	73:20,20 76:5	19:4
52:24 75:3	overall 34:10 36:1	80:21 84:19 87:4	performance 2:11
online 40:24	99:12	91:5 93:7 94:11	9:5 16:4 19:5
open 5:20 11:7	overly 39:7	101:14	20:22 26:3,9
23:16 31:12 43:17	oversee 80:19	participate 7:12	44:15 45:18
80:14 87:11 98:17	overview 6:6	participated	performing 18:2
98:17 99:3	27:18 28:11	42:19 46:11	19:3 21:15 25:18
<b>opening</b> 6:23 41:9	owned 72:6	particular 11:1	34:10 66:4 90:25
96:8	oyenque 3:7 68:15	62:8 90:22	period 10:21
operate 91:23	68:16,23 69:13	particularly 21:18	37:20 38:1 39:8
101:3	86:22	34:17 51:12 52:11	periodic 30:6
operated 7:11	00.22	56:7 86:1,6,16	periodically 31:16
53:2 72:6		parties 104:9	periodically 31.10

permission 85:13	<b>please</b> 8:4 10:8	prepared 56:6	proceedings 104:6
person 80:19	11:16 42:4 43:1,4	74:10	104:7
81:17	43:21 61:9 62:13	prerogative 28:18	<b>process</b> 9:24 12:22
personnel 94:6	71:12,18	32:3	13:7,11 16:9,15
perspective 38:11	pleased 64:11	presence 6:18	28:13,20 29:1
64:12 76:25	101:22	present 11:16	38:24 39:3,10
pertain 98:9	<b>pocket</b> 79:12	50:22 84:25	42:18,21 47:4
pertains 98:10	<b>point</b> 11:6 31:13	presentation 4:6	52:15 55:7,13
pfeifer 9:10	33:12 38:6 46:8	8:25 10:24,25	70:11 77:12,17
<b>pfeiffer</b> 2:8 9:10	46:22 74:2 82:11	27:15 40:10 43:1	78:6,12,13 79:15
57:10 68:25 69:4	92:4,12,16 93:6	84:23	84:15,17,20,20,24
74:5 83:20 89:14	94:1 96:4,10	presentations	85:16,20 87:20
<b>pick</b> 30:19	pointed 34:16	10:1,6,9,17 27:11	90:4 93:3 97:9
<b>piece</b> 11:4 16:22	points 54:18 72:1	presented 74:5	productive 48:14
41:20	73:5 74:7 75:10	75:16	professional 79:11
pieces 86:16 103:6	<b>policy</b> 70:17	presenting 71:22	proficiency 18:16
piggyback 64:14	population 45:3	president 71:23	18:19 22:13 23:2
pillars 15:20,22	66:3 72:12	78:2	23:4,24,25 35:8
<b>pine</b> 76:20	portfolio 20:22	<b>pretty</b> 10:22 11:3	90:23
pipeline 101:6	portion 59:18	27:12 41:8 42:15	proficient 18:3
102:13	position 11:18	88:10 96:5,9	66:10,14,16
<b>pl</b> 51:5	57:12 97:23	prewarned 48:22	<b>program</b> 2:2,7,7
<b>place</b> 40:7,18	<b>positive</b> 7:17 8:7	primary 29:3	15:11 73:21 74:1
64:16 84:10 85:6	possibility 45:23	35:21 52:3 57:13	83:14 84:13 99:21
85:25 87:1 93:6	possibly 89:5	73:21	99:22,25 100:4,20
98:2	90:14	principal 95:1,2	101:4 102:17
placed 57:11	post 11:13,25	principals 13:19	programs 61:9
placement 35:18	41:22 42:10,21	<b>prior</b> 15:14 53:10	65:3 66:25 99:9
<b>plan</b> 29:22,24 30:1	88:4 99:18 101:11	53:13	99:16,20,23 101:2
30:6,13,14,23	101:24	prioritize 63:23	103:2,5
31:7,10,16 32:4	potential 90:3	prioritized 63:21	project 20:22
40:8 50:25 52:19	100:6,24 101:6,23	priority 21:22	68:24
52:23 53:7,15	potentially 45:12	52:6 73:24	promote 26:16
55:24 56:9 67:15	60:20 99:24	probably 35:20	48:4
74:13,23 80:12	powers 63:4	40:16 58:21 59:2	promoting 15:21
89:1 97:6	<b>ppt</b> 4:4	64:19 65:16 67:6	property 81:6
planning 46:23	precludes 33:13	69:9 79:12 89:8	proposal 39:14
88:7 96:2,21	91:8	89:12 100:22	92:24
97:12	preface 68:18	problem 59:8	proposals 38:14
<b>plans</b> 31:18 40:11	premature 92:15	60:20,21 76:5	propose 47:5
81:4	premise 69:12	procedure 41:14	70:17,17
platform 100:9	preparation 55:8	proceeding	<b>proposed</b> 1:13 4:5
<b>play</b> 32:11 79:1	prepare 43:5	104:10	6:9,20 10:16 11:2
			12:18 14:2,5,10

14:11 27:19 28:25	purposes 25:14	<b>quickly</b> 6:21 41:14	36:24 37:1 40:15
36:22 41:2 50:23	pursuing 32:15	85:7	43:16 46:22 48:3
51:6 52:18,23	94:3	<b>quint</b> 2:15 8:14,15	54:19 57:21 58:14
54:3,5 72:3 73:2	<b>push</b> 70:13	8:20 12:20,25	59:13,16 60:4
73:19 74:4 75:5	pushed 69:25	45:25 75:20 90:16	61:2 62:3,12,13
75:12,15 88:7	<b>put</b> 27:19 31:1	92:21	62:19,19 65:14
proposing 38:23	47:14 69:15 79:10	<b>quit</b> 60:14	66:5,9 77:1,5
protracted 39:16	79:16 85:18,18	r	78:16 79:4 82:23
provide 8:20	91:5 92:9 94:4	r 1:23 104:5,13	83:18 84:9 86:12
12:13,23 15:3	<b>puts</b> 70:15,19	raise 32:24 48:7	86:15 88:15 91:5
17:14 20:7 40:22	putting 31:23	raised 32:13 34:23	91:22 94:25 95:7
42:4,13,17,22	60:18 85:11	48:6 58:16	95:13 96:8,8,23
43:20 51:1 52:21	q	raises 31:9 32:7	97:22,25 98:1
54:1 64:11 70:25	qualified 99:11	33:15 34:8 35:25	100:12,19 102:10
71:1 72:2 74:24	quality 23:7,12	38:13	reason 33:23
88:13,18,19 94:6	24:5 34:25 35:1	rate 25:3,4 72:9	45:15 46:17 60:14
<b>provided</b> 10:18,25	102:20,21	72:11	76:15 83:10
20:8 44:15 53:15	<b>quarter</b> 82:24	rates 22:25 23:25	reauthorization
55:12 74:23 89:8	question 10:21	24:8 66:25	51:24
provides 9:20	31:12 32:9 33:15	raymond 71:24	reauthorized 13:5
18:22 20:2 70:5	33:19 35:5,8 36:4	reach 51:16 82:12	recall 82:4
providing 11:23	36:15,24 38:2	95:5 100:7	receive 5:6 11:12
14:16 15:12 24:23	39:6,18 40:2,12	readily 43:11	11:14 25:2,18
88:8 92:18 94:12	41:25 43:24 44:17	101:9	41:20
provision 30:2	46:19 48:19 57:11	readiness 35:18	received 41:23
32:8,23 39:11	58:13,15 71:11	reading 17:21	74:3 82:1
47:14 66:23 67:3	82:9 89:13 90:7	18:17 19:16 22:15	receiving 5:9 26:5
<b>public</b> 14:4 21:23	97:14	70:4 72:23	recess 41:12 80:6
36:19 75:18	questioning 44:25	<b>ready</b> 5:14 6:9	99:2
published 14:3	questions 9:1	52:19 58:11	recognize 39:15
28:25 30:16 69:8	10:11,20 12:6,8,9	real 6:6 8:10 10:2	recognized 33:2
<b>pueblo</b> 3:3,4,7,9	12:14 27:23 31:2	12:19,23 41:21	51:12 85:11 86:8
4:7 50:18,21,22	31:9,15 32:7,13	54:23 63:7 64:24	recommend 59:19
51:3,19,25 52:12	32:24 33:12 34:23	80:1,3 85:10	67:20 83:21
52:16 53:25 54:8	35:25 37:6 41:10	100:14	recommendation
54:17,18 68:17	42:13,18,23 43:3	realize 69:6	13:25 14:6 51:20
71:2,7 72:6	48:7 50:15 54:2	really 6:5,10,14,21	53:9,11 60:3
<b>pueblos</b> 67:13	61:23 64:1 68:18	7:2,4,7,16,17,21	73:16 74:21 84:9
<b>pull</b> 63:19	75:12 79:8 80:10	10:4,8,12 11:5	101:22 102:19
pulling 63:18	80:15 88:1 98:9	12:1,4,11 15:10	recommendations
purchasing 50:1	102:2 103:11	15:18,21,24 17:8	29:10 58:3 69:2
purpose 30:14,25	<b>quick</b> 6:6 27:12	20:1,7 21:9,21	76:10 86:7 99:7
46:22 74:18	63:7 80:1 81:23	23:8 26:8,14,15	recommended
104:11		27:6 31:12 35:16	32:17 33:17 36:12

Meeting

27.0066.2267.7	56 6 72 2 10 76 5	2.5	
37:9,9 66:22 67:7	56:6 73:3,19 76:5	representative 3:5	resources 89:8
74:25 75:5	76:10	13:13 50:17 54:24	102:5
reconvene 41:9	regulations.gov.	representatives	respect 28:18
80:12 99:1	40:24 43:9	13:12 29:4,9	60:10
record 54:10,13	reinforcement	92:14	respected 86:11
56:17 71:25 75:9	64:6	representing	respectfully 54:8
75:18 87:19 88:3	related 32:20	11:20 81:2	respective 99:20
88:19 89:18 98:12	73:19 79:20	reputation 55:22	respond 54:3
98:17 99:3	102:10 104:9	request 34:19	71:18 73:5 75:14
recorded 42:8	relevant 89:3 90:9	70:23 71:3 86:22	responded 71:12
recruiting 96:14	reliable 22:22	89:6,11 94:9,17	82:4
recruitment 96:13	23:24	requested 77:15	response 12:14
refer 10:8	<b>rely</b> 83:23	81:13	39:12 41:4 42:17
reference 26:25	remain 15:22 74:9	requesting 92:23	42:22 51:22
27:8	remainder 80:13	requests 38:24	responsibility
referred 94:18	remained 15:20	88:25 89:16	61:3 83:18
reflected 29:6	<b>remember</b> 23:2,23	require 33:18 35:1	responsive 19:9
33:4 90:23	61:5	36:6,25 42:15	90:10
reflecting 76:25	renew 46:7	76:16	rest 12:1 41:14
77:3	reorganization	required 17:19	43:17 65:9 88:15
refresh 82:17,20	59:23,25	19:12 28:7 29:25	88:17
82:21,23	repeat 70:24	30:24 31:3,17	restart 28:19
<b>regard</b> 9:21 16:10	replace 82:6	33:15 34:5 40:7	restrictive 90:21
17:18 64:17 67:5	replaced 82:16	40:10 49:9 51:14	restrooms 14:20
88:22 89:2 99:7	replacing 82:18	75:24 76:9 84:18	restructuring
99:10 100:8	report 28:23	requirement 13:5	78:22
101:11 102:3	42:22 66:21 69:15	13:9 31:8 32:12	result 53:21 73:2
regarding 11:1	69:17 70:4 72:13	32:25 33:6,7,22	results 46:12
54:4 57:1 74:7	73:17 75:7 78:15	36:17 39:4 93:13	48:11 51:6
75:15 82:1 84:11	85:19 95:25,25	requirements	retention 66:25
93:21 94:12	104:6	16:11,14 18:6	retired 81:13
regardless 18:11	reported 1:23	29:13,20 39:22	<b>return</b> 46:13 80:4
93:25	85:3 93:24	70:7,10,16 76:11	81:14
regime 93:7	reporter 11:10,17	77:9 93:10	returned 95:3
region 80:22	41:18 54:12 56:18	requires 16:8	<b>review</b> 6:9 30:6
regions 29:7	87:24 104:5,13	27:24 39:12 82:21	47:3 51:8,19
register 14:3 51:9	reporter's 104:4	requiring 32:17	53:12 54:1 55:25
54:1	<b>reporting</b> 39:6,7,9	52:17	65:15,21
registry 56:7	84:19,21,22 85:15	reservation 66:23	reviewing 53:6
regs 88:8	85:17	76:20 80:18	reviews 74:25
regular 19:22	reports 62:10	reservations 7:10	revising 53:7
45:10	95:24 96:6	reside 26:18	<b>ridge</b> 76:20
regulations 51:7	represent 42:6,6	resolve 78:17	<b>right</b> 6:19 7:12
51:22 55:20,25			16:24 17:20 36:13

[right - see] Page 19

29.4 44.10 56.10	53:8 54:21 55:17	cohodulo 40:17	17.7 0 11 17 10.0
38:4 44:10 56:19		schedule 40:17	47:7,9,11,17 48:8
57:20 60:5 62:2	58:1,16 61:17	83:1	48:12 49:15,21,24
62:23 64:3 83:7	69:16 73:16,18,22	scheduled 5:6	49:25 50:6,25
83:16 91:10 92:3	75:24 76:3 77:16	school 2:7,8 3:8	51:5 52:2,8,12
93:5,11 94:19	77:18 78:2 84:6	4:8 13:20 19:17	53:3,3,20,21,23
100:10,11,21	84:16,24 85:5	19:19,20 23:7,8	55:18,25 56:2,8,9
101:5,10 102:6	86:20 92:8,25	23:13 24:5 25:3	57:17 59:3 62:3,5
<b>rights</b> 15:10	102:10	25:16 29:9,15	62:21 64:18 65:24
rigorous 34:18	<b>rules</b> 13:8,14	30:17 34:11,19,25	66:24 67:2,9
<b>road</b> 50:12 61:11	20:16 29:12 39:20	35:1,19,19,23	71:22 73:4,22
96:17	41:17 54:3 72:3	36:7 38:20 42:6	74:16,17,20 77:19
<b>roads</b> 50:10	74:4,18 75:12	44:3,4 45:3 48:10	79:16 81:9 82:18
<b>rock</b> 2:9	77:22 94:4	48:14,17 49:5	85:1 86:5,6,10
rodriguez 3:3	running 82:25	50:3,11 51:4,4,8	89:2 93:9,11 94:2
50:16,17,20	92:17	52:3,20 54:17	94:16 95:7,10,19
<b>role</b> 8:19,24 9:6	S	55:25 57:22,24	102:25
15:3 32:7 36:5	saap 29:23 30:7	58:4 59:5 61:25	science 17:21
39:24 69:2	30:12 53:11,14,17	62:8,14,17,24	18:18 19:17 22:24
<b>roll</b> 57:23	53:19 74:15,19	65:2,25 66:25	30:9 33:17,18,23
rolling 56:1	92:9	69:3,12,18 70:6,7	34:1,4,9,15,18,20
<b>room</b> 7:4	safe 52:12	70:22,23 71:20,21	34:25 36:4 49:8
rotating 82:25	safeguard 17:13	72:4,5,20 74:5,8	49:11 58:7
routes 43:8	safety 35:19,23	76:20,22 77:4	scope 51:13,15
<b>rpr</b> 1:23	62:6,9 63:23,25	78:1,4,5,23 79:9	73:7 76:7
<b>rule</b> 1:13 4:5 6:3,9	78:5 81:1 96:7	80:17,18,20 81:2	<b>score</b> 21:1
6:20 10:17 11:2	sakiestewa 3:6	81:7,25 84:12	sea 39:25
12:18 13:25 14:2	64:8,9	86:17 89:6 90:22	seats 70:2
14:5,10,11 27:19	salaries 81:18	90:23,25 92:23	<b>second</b> 10:25 55:5
27:19 28:25 31:1	sandoval 3:11	93:4,19,20 94:15	65:20 68:25
31:3,9,23 32:17	84:3,4	96:7 97:14,18	secondary 13:4
32:23 33:15 36:12	santa 3:4,7,8,9 4:8	schools 5:23 6:4	15:5 22:19 99:18
36:16,21,22,24	54:16,17 68:17	13:10 16:5 17:6	101:11,24
40:3,13 41:3,5	71:2,7,21,22 72:3	19:6,7 21:14,24	secondly 73:15
43:4,15 50:24	72:8,19 89:19	22:11,16,19,20	secretary 14:1
51:6 52:18 69:8	93:20	23:1,20 25:1,2,3,6	16:8 38:5 63:11
73:2,19 75:5	santo 100:11	25:9,11,18,19,19	70:8,24 76:11
88:14 93:9	sarah 1:23 104:5	26:1,4,11,18,18	<b>section</b> 16:8,12
<b>ruled</b> 52:24	104:13	26:19 28:2 33:1,3	28:4 29:20 51:17
rulemaking 6:6	saw 14:15 43:2	33:7,21 34:6 36:6	54:5 70:5 73:13
8:21 9:23 10:5	60:13	36:17,17,23,23	77:10 91:11 93:8
11:1 12:22 13:7	saying 8:1 60:19	37:24 38:9,10	sections 75:13
13:11 14:6 16:9	61:8 93:25	39:25 40:1,9 44:9	<b>secure</b> 52:12
16:15 28:7 51:2	says 69:16 70:5,16	44:11,13,19,22	see 6:15 8:11 10:3
51:11,21 52:4	71:1	46:3,10,10,15,20	30:19 42:1 46:25

[see - standards] Page 20

59:16 60:19 78:18	shifting 95:13	sixth 40:18	specifically 12:21
101:22	shifts 39:8	size 65:23	34:8 45:8 84:11
seeing 77:2 94:17	<b>shoot</b> 98:19	<b>slide</b> 40:16,20	89:2 96:1,6 99:15
seeking 14:4 70:15	<b>short</b> 5:12 10:23	slightly 20:16	99:21
70:23	67:14 86:14	slow 34:10	specified 31:24
seen 101:2 102:25	shortage 93:23	small 55:9 65:24	<b>spent</b> 63:11
select 36:7	shorthand 104:5,6	66:3	102:23
selection 65:12	<b>show</b> 46:16 48:13	<b>smith</b> 3:8 71:19,20	<b>spoke</b> 19:24 81:24
78:6	48:23,24	93:18,18	spread 7:9
self 32:21 100:13	showing 46:11	<b>socially</b> 60:9,17	spring 48:1
selling 14:22	48:11	sol 2:15	ss 104:1
send 69:22	<b>shown</b> 72:17	sol.doi.gov 2:15	<b>staff</b> 5:23 10:19,25
senior 9:17	shrift 5:12	solicitation 54:4	55:8,10 56:12
sense 65:18 96:20	shutdown 55:2	75:14	75:2 94:22,23
<b>sent</b> 14:1	<b>shy</b> 43:23	<b>solicitor</b> 2:15 8:16	95:9,20,20
separately 102:11	<b>side</b> 61:6,6,7	<b>sooner</b> 28:14	<b>staffed</b> 95:6 96:11
september 46:6	sidebar 98:8	98:16	97:22
serious 45:8,13	<b>sigman</b> 2:7 9:16	sorry 72:22	staffing 68:20
seriously 11:12	9:17 15:1,2 45:1	<b>sort</b> 39:8 90:10,17	70:13 93:23
serve 5:22 9:17	<b>signal</b> 21:21,21	93:6,25	stakeholder 30:5
73:25 100:5	22:1	<b>souix</b> 79:4	31:14,24 92:10
<b>served</b> 53:21	significant 18:14	<b>sounds</b> 48:15	stakeholders 5:22
74:17 76:21 84:5	19:25 51:13 67:25	<b>source</b> 52:1 73:21	7:4,19 8:3 13:13
serves 6:3 19:10	significantly 73:8	<b>south</b> 78:19,21	13:19 29:7 30:15
51:3 100:18	similar 42:21	sovereign 72:7	30:16 32:1,5
<b>services</b> 16:7 22:3	48:13	sovereignty 29:11	52:25 57:22 75:4
<b>serving</b> 79:18 86:5	<b>simple</b> 9:1 12:6	32:21 86:8,11	stalled 55:4
session 86:14	96:9	97:23	standard 7:13
sessions 10:13	<b>single</b> 11:11 20:24	<b>space</b> 100:9	28:2 32:18 76:12
51:16 101:10	21:1 68:5	<b>span</b> 19:18,18,19	standards 1:12
set 5:13 15:11	<b>sinquah</b> 3:2 44:2,2	19:20,20	9:13,18,21 13:9
18:12,24 21:9	45:15 48:19 61:1	<b>speak</b> 71:10 76:24	15:23 16:10,17,18
24:7,13,15 104:6	61:8 67:4 81:23	85:13 87:7,25	16:19,23,25,25
seventh 72:24	81:24 83:10,16,24	102:23	17:7,13,16,18,20
severe 18:13	<b>sipi</b> 1:15 3:12	speakers 66:9	17:22,24 18:1,5,8
<b>shape</b> 5:18 43:4	14:16 88:21 99:6	speaking 54:14	18:10,12,15,17,18
43:15	99:19	85:14,22	18:22,24 20:5,7
shaped 41:17	sir 54:11 56:15	special 44:14,21	26:20 27:25 28:8
<b>share</b> 74:7,10 86:1	<b>site</b> 81:17	44:24 45:5,16	29:13,16,19,22
shared 78:17	sites 25:21	66:22 67:3	30:12 34:4 36:14
sharing 84:8	sitting 12:3 41:10	specialist 84:13	37:3,12 44:6,7
<b>shawn</b> 3:10 80:16	62:17 63:12	<b>specific</b> 14:9 54:2	50:24 52:18,22
80:16	six 7:23 78:22	75:11 88:8 90:12	57:5,17,23,25
			58:5,6,10,11,20

50 6 10 60 6 10	-4-4 47.7	10.10 12 25 10.2	arraga da 15.1 6 17
59:6,10 60:6,12	stating 47:7	18:10,12,25 19:3	succeeds 15:1,6,17
61:24 66:19 67:5	status 34:11,12,13	19:5,9,14,21,23	76:2
67:6,8,10,18	39:5 55:19	19:25 20:3,9,11	success 23:7,8
70:18 74:12 90:2	statute 16:12	21:2,5,11,12,13	24:6 34:25 35:2
91:9 93:10 99:13	23:22 24:2 45:4	21:15,16,17,19	35:16 49:17 50:4
standpoint 77:4	76:16	22:3 23:3,4 24:10	successful 13:1
<b>start</b> 8:11 11:6	statutory 24:3	24:13,14,15 25:20	16:22 44:9,11,12
41:9 60:8 68:6	stay 98:3,7	33:25 34:10 44:14	68:10 95:10,10
82:18 97:3,3	stayed 27:7	45:5,5,6,8,9,16	sufficient 52:21
99:24	stem 33:24 99:12	49:1,17,20 51:3,8	53:18 74:24
<b>started</b> 5:4,13	stenographic	53:4,21,22 55:1	suggestions 43:6
9:25 28:14 37:7	104:6	60:8 62:4,15,16	summarize 72:1
67:19 76:3 87:15	<b>step</b> 32:5,6	65:7 66:2 72:15	summarizes 75:9
87:23 95:21	<b>stop</b> 39:4 49:2,7	72:18 73:4 74:17	summary 27:18
starting 35:10	<b>stopped</b> 45:20,22	74:20 90:22 91:1	summative 20:25
58:2 96:19 97:2	85:16	98:4 99:24 100:23	21:1
<b>starts</b> 16:18,19	stopping 48:22	101:15	superintendent
state 7:13 18:7	92:22 93:3	studied 66:6	32:4 76:19 84:4
20:18 22:14,23	<b>storm</b> 50:11	<b>stuff</b> 12:8 14:17	support 8:24
26:12 29:24 30:1	straight 27:14	80:11	14:23 16:5 17:5
30:2,6,14,23 31:7	strange 93:6	<b>suazo</b> 3:4 54:15,16	25:6,11,16,17,23
31:10,16,18,21,22	strategic 42:19	56:19	26:1,5,7 39:23
32:1,3,3,4 35:4,4	96:20 97:11	subgroups 24:15	40:8 52:12 53:8
35:6,11 37:14,19	102:12	25:10 26:4,9	53:11 55:13,14
37:25 38:3 44:7	strategically	72:13	56:10 61:15 73:15
48:2 59:5,7 78:21	96:24	subject 32:19	74:21 89:9 94:5
83:25 88:23 99:23	strategies 96:14	34:14 49:12 57:2	95:7 99:13
100:2 104:1	street 2:5,12	61:21 79:24	supporting 9:13
<b>stated</b> 67:22 68:2	stress 54:23	<b>submit</b> 40:23	supports 51:20
statement 42:1	strictly 45:12	88:11	supposed 17:17
47:14 50:20	<b>strong</b> 6:3 35:15	submitted 28:23	69:2 85:14,15
statements 6:23	structure 80:20	54:8	<b>sure</b> 5:8,11 6:3
59:4	structured 63:12	submitting 57:1	8:20 17:4,12,14
states 7:10 9:20	<b>student</b> 14:16 15:1	75:17	17:15 19:1,1 20:2
17:19 22:9 23:10	15:6,17 16:4 23:7	subsection 75:15	21:14 24:19 26:9
24:24 26:18 28:5	30:18 34:25 35:2	subsections 54:5	26:22 37:22 49:5
28:6,10 29:20,24	35:16 48:4 50:4	subsequent 51:7	59:9 64:15,25
29:25 31:18 34:22	52:5 73:23 76:2	73:3	68:5 75:13 83:13
35:1,2,13,16	student's 45:14	substantial 24:1	86:12 90:5,11
37:10,22 39:22	<b>students</b> 5:23 6:4	substantially	<b>survey</b> 47:15
47:15,20 53:17	7:20 8:7 14:24	73:10	sustaining 100:13
58:9,10 59:9,14	15:12,13 16:1,6	subtracting 47:25	<b>system</b> 1:12 7:9
74:23 89:5	16:20,21 17:1,10	succeeding 90:24	8:8 13:10 16:17
	17:11,12,14 18:2		16:18 17:2,5 18:8
			·

	T	T	
19:9,24 20:10	takes 39:8 47:18	team 91:25 92:1	57:10 63:6 68:12
21:25 22:7 23:16	53:19 74:15	102:15	69:4,13 71:7,8,19
26:15,20 27:3	talayumptewa 3:5	technical 9:20	72:1 75:19 79:21
28:1,9 29:12,14	56:21,22 58:14	71:1,3 78:20 79:5	81:20 87:5 98:14
29:17 30:20 31:22	59:1 63:9	86:23,23 88:22	103:7,8
33:19 34:5,21,21	talayumtewa	89:7,16,20,24	<b>thanks</b> 56:20
35:10 36:1 37:11	63:13 66:1	92:18 93:21 94:6	79:22 103:14
37:12,14,19,24	talk 14:11 15:1,24	94:12,15 96:25	theme 55:20
38:1,3,5,8,12	16:16 18:2 30:3	97:8 101:4	thing 37:8 40:19
39:23 44:20 46:5	30:10 43:22 61:10	technically 30:1	40:25 47:12 59:18
46:9,18 47:8	talked 13:22 23:2	93:12	61:20 67:24 69:21
48:13 57:6 58:20	46:14 61:21 90:20	technician 80:19	69:23 77:12 82:19
59:6 60:5,7 61:25	talking 45:17	81:20	86:25
62:24 63:15 67:15	54:18 57:21 60:23	technological	things 15:20 16:20
69:7 70:19 76:6	71:16 72:1 86:3	100:25	22:8 27:6 31:21
76:12 77:8 79:17	tamarah 2:8 9:10	technology 60:15	34:15 42:14 44:23
82:15 83:5,15	9:10 47:6 57:10	64:21 100:7	46:9 49:25 57:3
92:7,11,16 93:1	58:22 69:4 83:20	<b>ted</b> 67:16	62:22 64:20 69:9
95:1,2 96:9 97:1	89:14	tell 23:9 63:13	71:15 77:23 78:14
101:14,21 103:2,3	targeted 25:6,8,23	ten 24:12 46:1	78:25 91:3,12,21
systems 7:14	26:5,7 88:8	80:3 81:7	93:22 94:13 95:16
15:23 16:11 17:13	tarnished 55:22	<b>tend</b> 34:13	95:18,19 96:19
17:16 19:7,11	<b>tasked</b> 56:13	tenth 72:20,22	98:1
21:8 28:3 37:15	61:19 75:22,23	term 24:7	<b>think</b> 10:16 13:1
48:13 59:11,15	76:14	terminology 18:4	22:23 23:19 24:25
60:12 88:23 90:15	tasks 61:16 94:20	25:24	30:21 33:2 38:7
99:14 100:14	taught 103:4	terms 20:14 62:13	38:13 39:6,14
101:12 102:21	taxpayer 46:12	78:11 89:1,8	40:19,21 41:1
t	<b>teach</b> 33:9 49:12	90:12 93:22,24	46:7,14 47:6 48:6
table 7:25 78:11	teacher 48:4 49:6	94:5 99:8 102:5	49:14 50:1,8 59:2
tacos 14:22	49:11 60:14 99:8	102:20	59:11,15,16,19,24
tail 77:13	99:10,15,20 100:3	test 21:5 31:19	61:1,4,13 65:13
take 5:4 6:10,14	101:17 102:4,20	<b>tested</b> 19:22	67:25 69:19 70:10
6:17 7:12 11:11	102:23 103:1,5	testified 95:8	74:6 75:20 77:4
11:17 19:15 21:5	teacher's 47:23	testimony 27:22	77:11,12 78:9,10
34:24 41:2,8 43:1	teachers 29:8	40:23	78:15,18 83:7
43:25 45:10 53:24	47:22,22 49:22	testing 77:8	85:24 87:17 91:3
63:3 64:3,4 67:6	58:5 65:5 66:14	textbooks 50:1	91:21 96:10,23
67:15 77:20 80:3	79:12 99:11	thank 5:15,24,24	97:2 98:5,18
80:23 82:8 83:12	100:15 103:3	6:15,18 7:2 8:8	100:14 101:22
84:1 89:14 94:20	teaching 19:11	9:14,24 14:15	103:5,12
96:23 100:12	47:18 48:9 49:3,4	15:2 24:21 27:10	thinking 90:8
taken 67:17 79:18	49:7 60:9	50:13,14,25 54:7	93:22 94:1,11
96:13		54:13,14 56:15,19	97:3 99:9 100:19
70.13			

[thinking - u.s.] Page 23

102:9	77:4,6 79:21	101:7	59:25,25 62:23
<b>third</b> 55:16 72:15	102:14	transparent 17:4	64:10 67:1 70:16
82:24	today 5:17,25 6:10	17:9	70:17 77:6 79:4
thorough 41:21	6:14 8:8 10:7,13	transportation	82:1 89:23 91:8
53:12 65:15,21	11:10 15:3 40:21	52:7,9 77:20 78:3	91:15 92:11 93:12
74:25	42:16,24 64:11	trauma 68:1,8,11	97:14
thought 74:8 79:3	68:13 79:22 89:18	travis 2:2 5:3 8:9	<b>tribes</b> 5:23 7:8
thoughts 12:16	92:5 96:12	8:18 9:2,25 14:13	29:5 33:3,8,13,14
50:19	today's 60:15	27:10 41:7,13	36:22 38:4 49:10
three 17:24,25	<b>told</b> 46:20 69:21	43:24 50:14 54:11	55:3,19 59:20,22
19:16 24:10 25:22	81:7 85:17 95:9	56:15,20 71:8,13	59:22,23 67:12,23
62:3 64:18 82:22	tony 2:4 6:25,25	75:19 80:1,7	70:10,20 72:6
93:10	8:12,12 43:23,25	81:21 87:6,11,21	73:25 78:10 86:17
throw 6:22	63:7 68:21 71:3	89:12 92:20 93:15	88:23 89:6,15
thursday 5:1	71:11 82:10 83:13	95:23 98:15 99:3	90:12,17,18,24
<b>tight</b> 74:14	83:19 87:8,13	102:1,7,9 103:7,9	91:13 92:2,4,14
time 5:5,7,8,10,16	94:14 97:13	103:12,14	92:15 93:1 94:2
5:25 6:8,18 7:21	tool 48:11	travis.clark 2:3	95:11
15:13 27:2 39:4	<b>tootsie</b> 3:10 80:16	treated 67:2	<b>true</b> 56:8 104:6
43:13,14 47:19,25	80:16	treaties 60:1	<b>truly</b> 56:1
48:4,8 49:15,15	topic 32:22 36:25	<b>treaty</b> 32:21 33:9	trust 72:7
51:10,18 52:22	40:14 91:20	59:22,22,25	trustees 71:23
53:14,18 54:22	topics 32:20 36:3	tremendous 49:22	try 8:25 13:14
57:21 61:15,22	58:25	<b>tribal</b> 1:11 4:3,4	15:7 31:21
64:22 67:14,21	topnotch 65:7	7:3,3,5,19,25 8:3	<b>trying</b> 10:14 76:23
68:12 72:19 73:10	total 45:3	11:7 29:11,14	82:4,17 97:5
73:10,12 74:22,25	totally 103:4	30:8 32:16,19,20	99:14
76:16 78:16 79:16	touched 18:9	33:4,9,10,23	tsi 26:7
80:4 85:1 86:15	tracking 97:3	34:24 36:3 49:9	turnover 49:22
86:20 87:14,15	traditionally	51:9 53:5 54:24	two 10:1,13,17
90:20 92:24 95:12	21:19	56:24 58:1,3	22:18 27:11 29:5
96:9 98:6	trained 100:16	59:19 61:6,7 70:6	35:10,11,24 36:3
timeline 26:12	transcript 11:22	70:21 77:19 78:1	38:19 42:2 43:7
28:11 39:17 40:21	42:8 88:3	78:1,4 79:19	68:18 81:19 89:15
40:22 52:21 57:15	transcription	84:12 85:3 86:5	91:15 93:22 96:10
69:6,25 70:12	104:7	92:22 93:4 100:10	96:13 99:17,24
74:4,6,9,12,14	transferred 82:16	101:2,19	100:4,22 101:24
timelines 38:22	transition 37:19	tribally 7:11 51:5	103:6
39:12,14	46:23	53:3 56:8 72:4	type 55:8 89:23
times 13:21 28:22	transitioning	85:1 86:6,10	94:5 102:5
35:3 50:10	46:18	102:24	u
title 11:19 15:11	transparency	<b>tribe</b> 11:19 34:1	<b>u.s.</b> 1:9 24:22
25:1,6,18 26:6	15:25 30:15,25 56:4 84:7 86:3,25	34:16 38:24,25 42:5 44:4 52:6	72:10
42:5 52:13,14	30.4 04.7 00:3,23	42.3 44.4 32.0	

ultimately 17:5	utilizes 78:11	want 6:5,10,14,17	weighted 34:9
21:25 26:21 41:18	v	7:2 8:1 12:16	52:5 73:23
unable 51:16	vacancies 96:10	14:15 16:16,21,25	welcome 87:19
70:20	valid 22:22	17:15 18:24,25	98:7,7,13
unanswered 79:8	validated 65:18	20:8,19 21:12,13	went 40:9 63:17
underperforming	variety 13:23 29:7	21:25 24:13 25:13	96:1
25:10	various 13:22	26:14 31:1 34:20	west 2:7 9:18
underserved	53:12 73:18 75:1	35:9 37:22,25	wested 10:19
21:20	75:13	43:3 45:1 56:16	57:15
understaffed 55:6	vastly 55:5	56:17 58:6,8,9	western 80:22
understand 56:1	verbal 69:14	60:24 64:13 67:24	whatnot 50:1
61:7 86:21	verbally 89:19	68:12 71:12,17	whatsoever
understanding	versus 48:9	73:5,15 74:2,9	104:10
86:15 101:7	videoconferenci	77:7 80:1 82:20	wide 13:23 29:6
understood 86:19	100:9	87:18,19,25 88:13	38:11 46:5,9
undertaking 96:3	vince 50:16,16	89:3 90:4,13	<b>wilfred</b> 50:21 54:8
unified 29:12	vincent 3:3 50:20	92:19 93:1,16	window 2:9
37:11,15,24 57:23	violence 68:4	98:8,24	<b>windows</b> 82:2,3,8
62:24 67:15 69:7	vocational 65:3	wanted 22:23	83:6
69:11	<b>voice</b> 71:4	23:15 28:17 30:9	wondering 45:23
<b>uniform</b> 67:2,10	voices 7:8 8:3	35:11 36:7 37:18	90:9 94:5,7
<b>union</b> 47:22,23	volume 82:2	47:15 68:18,19	words 24:9 37:18
73:23	volunteer 43:22	69:1,14 70:2 74:7	work 6:11,19 9:13
<b>unique</b> 7:8 53:19		76:17 93:20 99:6	13:14 33:5 37:3
66:24 67:1 74:16	W	wanting 89:6	39:9 51:2,13,15
77:7 89:23 100:17	wagging 77:13	<b>wants</b> 87:9	54:23 55:10,19
<b>units</b> 52:6 100:10	wait 69:8 93:2	washington 2:2,5	57:13,18,25 58:5
university 99:19	94:19	2:13 8:17 9:6	58:6,19 61:14
101:4	waiting 103:8	way 11:23 17:8	63:5 67:21,21,25
<b>unpaved</b> 50:10,12	waive 29:15 31:20	31:10,24 39:6	68:8,9,14 69:5
unreliable 65:18	38:4 70:9 92:11	44:6,6 48:11 52:4	72:3 73:7,9,11
unresolved 33:12	92:19 93:1,12	73:23 86:12,25	82:13 84:25 85:20
updates 38:23	waiver 34:19 38:3	97:4 102:18	90:6,7 92:2,17
upgraded 81:9,11	38:5,14,24 49:13	ways 48:13	97:2 100:10 101:9
urgent 25:16	49:16,17,21 50:2	we've 5:5 18:23	<b>worked</b> 57:6
urgently 22:2	50:3 70:23 86:17	wearing 94:24	91:25
use 25:24,25 43:9	87:3 90:3 91:17	webinar 40:18	workforce 34:1
44:12 46:16 47:2	91:20 92:23 94:3	website 30:17	96:2,21 97:5,6,11
48:25 51:23 59:5	waivers 38:19	weekly 49:1	working 6:8 57:14
84:9 85:25 86:25	56:10,13 70:15	weeks 76:22 77:2	58:24 62:23 64:6
88:3 90:13	86:16 91:15	weigh 77:18	68:6 75:10 76:8
usually 32:2	waiving 92:5	weight 24:1,4	78:25 82:14 83:8
utilize 48:10	<b>walk</b> 42:3	70:19	83:14 89:20 93:4
			96:16 100:12

Page 25

## [working - youth]

101:20 102:15
world 60:15 65:9
worries 56:20
wound 76:20
wrapped 43:1
write 39:20 41:3
writing 47:7
written 12:13
42:17 43:5,5,12
69:22 88:9,11
89:17
wsu 73:24
wsus 52:6 73:25

## y

**yeah** 87:21 90:8 year 19:14 30:20 31:19 34:11 49:18 49:18 52:20 57:13 57:22,24 58:18,18 62:6 63:18,19,24 63:24 69:3,12,18 72:8,23 74:5,8 76:21,22 99:25 100:23 years 5:19 24:10 24:12 25:22 30:23 33:6 44:21 46:1,2 49:6 62:15 64:19 67:6,16,17 78:22 79:11 82:22 90:18 96:10,13 99:24 102:24 **youth** 68:17