In The Matter Of:

Department of the Interior, Office of the Assistant Secretary, Indian Affairs

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020 Federal Acknowledgment of Alaska Native Entities

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 (907) 460-9535 AlaskaCrystal@gmail.com

> Original File 2020-01-30-DOI-INDIAN AFFAIRS.TXT Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1 1 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY 2 3 INDIAN AFFAIRS 4 5 FEDERAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ALASKA NATIVE ENTITIES 6 7 PROPOSED RULE - 25 CFR 82 8 9 10 TRIBAL CONSULTATION 11 Thursday, January 30, 2020 Taken: Place: Raven Landing Center 12 1222 Cowles Street 13 Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 14 15 16 17 Appearances: 18 Lee Fleming - Director Office of Federal Acknowledgment 19 Kyle Scherer - Deputy Solicitor 20 John-Michael Partesotti - Attorney-Advisor Office of the Division of Indian Affairs 21 22 Elizabeth Appel Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action 23 24 25

Federal Acknowledgment of Alaska Native Entities

Federal Acknowledgment of Alaska Native Entities

2

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	10:24 A.M.
4	(On record.)
5	MS. APPEL: Good morning. This is Liz Appel
6	with the Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative
7	Action reporting to the Office of the Assistant Secretary
8	for Indian Affairs. And I'm here with John-Michael
9	Partesotti, an Attorney-Advisor From the Office of the
10	Solicitor, Division of Indian Affairs; Kyle Scherer, the
11	Deputy Solicitor; and Lee Fleming, the Director of the
12	Office of Federal Acknowledgement.
13	And we have no tribal representation at this
14	meeting, so we are opening and closing the record for this
15	Tribal Consultation in Fairbanks. We will proceed with a
16	public meeting in a few minutes.
17	10:24 A.M.
18	(Off record.)
19	10:25 A.M.
20	MS. APPEL: Good afternoon. And welcome to
21	the public meeting, the first public meeting session for
22	Federal Acknowledgement of Alaska Native Entities, our
23	Proposed Rule to be located at 25 CFR, Part 82.
24	My name is Liz Appel. I'm the Director of the
25	Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action

3

under the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs. 1 And I'll have our other panelists introduce 2 3 themselves. MR. PARTESOTTI: Good morning. John-Michael 4 5 Partesotti. I'm the Attorney-Advisor in the Office of the Solicitor at the Division of Indian Affairs in the 6 7 Department of the Interior. MR. SCHERER: Kyle Scherer, Deputy Solicitor 8 9 for Indian Affairs. Thank you very much for coming. MR. FLEMMING: Lee Fleming, Director of the 10 Office of Federal Acknowledgement. And good morning, 11 12 everyone. MS. APPEL: It's nice that we have a small 13 crowd here today. We're not going to reference you --14 15 introduce yourself. MR. WILLIS: Hi. Good afternoon. 16 Michael I'm an attorney with Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker 17 Willis. 18 based in Washington, D.C. And I am here at a public meeting on behalf of the Qutekcak Native Tribe of Seward 19 and the Kungank Tribe of Dillingham, Alaska. Thank you 20 21 very much. 22 MS. APPEL: Thank you. 23 So what our plan for today is, is I will run 24 through our PowerPoint presentation which explains some of 25 the background of how we got here and the content of this

rule. And if you want to interrupt at any time with
 questions or anything, feel free to.

Okay. Some background. In 1934, Congress, of
course, enacted the Indian Reorganization Act, the IRA,
that authorized Tribes to organize for their common
welfare, but most sections were inapplicable to Alaska
Natives.

So in 1936, Congress enacted additional 8 9 legislation, what's known as the Alaska IRA, which allows groups of Indians in Alaska who were not previously 10 recognized as Bands or Tribes by the U.S. to organize 11 under the IRA to become eligible for some of the IRA 12 benefits as long as they could demonstrate a "common bond" 13 of occupation or association or residence within a 14 15 well-defined neighborhood, community, or rural district. And that language is straight from the Alaska IRA. 16

The Department has regulations (indiscernible) 17 18 in the 1934 IRA at Part 83 that established a process and 19 criteria for groups to be federally acknowledged as Indian Tribes, but there's currently no regulatory process 20 21 through which Alaska entities are acknowledged under the Alaska IRA "common bond" standard as Tribes. So this 22 23 Proposed Rule will establish that regulatory process. 24 Today the Department has approved the organization of more than 70 entities under the Alaska IRA 25

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

"common bond" provision, but those approvals have all been 1 2 case-by-case determinations relying on the 1937 instructions and other guidance contemporaneous with the 3 4 Alaska IRA. 5 Yes. MR. WILLIS: Good afternoon. I have a 6 7 question about the 75 recognized as the Alaska IRA. And my question is, within those 70 entities, I am of the 8 9 understanding from previous conversations with the Department of the Interior that the view that the Native 10 Village of King Salmon and the Sunaq Tribe of Kodiak were 11 recognized or organized pursuant to an affirmation or 12 13 re-affirmation and not pursuant to the Alaska amendment to the IRA. 14 15 Is that the correct understanding? Or would you consider the Native Village of King Salmon and the 16 Kodiak Tribe of Sunag as Alaska IRA entities? 17 18 And this is based on the 2000 -- you know, the 19 December 2000 affirmation letter of Kevin Gover, which was then reconsidered and reaffirmed by Neal McCaleb in 2002. 20 MR. FLEMMING: I'm not sure if those two are 21 included in this statistic, but those were decisions made 22 23 by Assistant Secretary Kevin Gover in 2000. 24 MR. WILLIS: Okay. Thank you. 25 MS. APPEL: The Department began the process

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

of looking at whether to establish Alaska IRA regulations 1 2 in the summer of 2018, and has held multiple tribal consultations, public meetings through the spring of 2019. 3 4 Most of the input we received questioned whether we need an Alaska-specific regulatory process, and several 5 representatives of currently recognized Tribes were 6 7 concerned that a new regulatory process might affect their existing federal recognition as Tribes in Alaska. 8

9 And nearly all urged the Department to issue
10 final decisions on any outstanding Alaska IRA requests
11 prior to implementing any regulation or guidance for the
12 Alaska IRA acknowledgement process.

13 The Department's responses to this input -- as 14 for the need for an Alaska-specific regulatory process, 15 the Department determined that a formal process is needed 16 to effectively carry out the Alaska IRA, and that the 17 process that's existing in Part 83 does not account for 18 the Alaska IRA "common bond" standard.

19There's no effect on the size of currently20recognized Tribes in Alaska or elsewhere. And as for21consideration of pending petitions or requests, the22Department determined it will not consider any23acknowledgement petitions submitted by Alaska Native24entities under the Alaska IRA during this rulemaking.25If the rule is finalized, then Alaska Native

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

groups that have previously submitted petitions would need
 to revise or resubmit the petitions in conformance of the
 final rule.

The Proposed Rule published on January 2nd and 4 the proposed new regulatory process for federal 5 acknowledgement under the "common bond" standard in the 6 7 Alaska IRA it, of course, applies only to groups that are not currently recognized. So any group that's not 8 9 currently on the list of federally recognized Tribes that BIA publishes annually in the Federal Register does not 10 impair or otherwise affect the existing rights and 11 authorities of already-recognized Alaska Native Tribes, 12 and any Alaska Native entity that's acknowledged under 13 this Proposed Rule would be eligible to receive all 14 15 services available to federally recognized Tribes.

The Proposed Rule would be located at Part 82, if finalized. In large part it incorporates the process and requirements that are in the existing Part 83, which is the 1934 IRA recognitions, but there are important distinctions to account for the Alaska IRA "common bond" standard set up in the statute.

The first is that the proposed Part 82 would require descent from an Alaska IRA-eligible entity as opposed to Part 83, which requires descent from a historical Indian Tribe.

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

The start date for evidentiary standards in 1 the Proposed Rule would be the date that the Alaska IRA 2 was enacted, May 1st, 1936. And it's under the current 3 4 Part 83. The start date is 1900. Likewise a period to satisfy the evidentiary 5 criteria would be measured from 1936 to the present under 6 7 the Proposed Rule, whereas under the current Part 83, it 8 is measured from 1900 to the present. 9 And then finally the proposed Part 82 does not include the review of previous federal acknowledgement 10 claims that is available in the current Part 83. 11 The proposed Part 82 also clarifies that 12 Alaska Native entities who want to hold a secretarial 13 election under Part 81 first gain federal recognition. 14 15 And this is consistent with past Department practices which have focused on organizing entities capable of 16 establishing government-to-government relations with the 17 18 federal government. And it's also consistent with the IRA, Alaska IRA, and Part 81. 19 MR. WILLIS: Good afternoon. Thank you. 20 Excuse the interruption. 21 22 I just wanted to flag one comment with respect 23 to that particular slide that Ms. Appel just walked 24 through. And I'll talk more about this in my comments 25 when the opportunity presents itself.

This is an issue that I think the 1 2 interpretation of this is consistent with the IRA, the 3 Alaska IRA, and Part 81. There may be differences, and it 4 would be important, and I'd like to gain more understanding of the perspective given that the Alaska IRA 5 statutory term refers to Tribes not previously recognized 6 7 being organized pursuant to -- so in terms of just our reading of the statute, we have the view that federal 8 9 recognition under the Alaska IRA is not required prior to organization of Part 81. 10

I understand that Part 81 made changes 11 recently that may not have accounted for the Alaska IRA. 12 But just in terms of our perspective on statutory 13 interpretation, that's just a position that our law firm 14 15 has taken and advised our clients on. I'll share that more with you as we go forward. But just to flag that was 16 an issue that we see and still have a difference of 17 18 opinion on.

19 MS. APPEL: Under the Proposed Rule, the 20 Office of Federal Acknowledgement would review the Alaska 21 IRA petitions and make a recommendation to the Assistant 22 Secretary for Indian Affairs. Currently under the ad hoc 23 process, the Office of the Solicitor takes the lead in 24 reviewing petitions or requests. So this would be a 25 change under the Proposed Rule.

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1And the proposal is based on OFA's composition2of anthropologists, historians, and genealogists who3review are able to review under the current Part 834petitions in accordance with their professional standards.5And that professional expertise helps safeguard the6uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with7best practices within their fields and helps ensure that8the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference9by reviewing courts.10And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is11submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a12final decision.13The Proposed Rule is broken into three14subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers15definitions and overall purpose.16The second subpart B addresses criteria for17federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive18evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must19demonstrate.20And then Subpart C addresses the process for21federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a22hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the23assistant secretary makes the final determination.24So we're going to delve into the contents of25the Proposed Rule here, so do you have any questions or								
 review are able to review under the current Part 83 petitions in accordance with their professional standards. And that professional expertise helps safeguard the uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with best practices within their fields and helps ensure that the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. 	1	And the proposal is based on OFA's composition						
 petitions in accordance with their professional standards. And that professional expertise helps safeguard the uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with best practices within their fields and helps ensure that the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	2	of anthropologists, historians, and genealogists who						
 And that professional expertise helps safeguard the uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with best practices within their fields and helps ensure that the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. 	3	review are able to review under the current Part 83						
 uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with best practices within their fields and helps ensure that the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. 	4	petitions in accordance with their professional standards.						
 best practices within their fields and helps ensure that the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	5	And that professional expertise helps safeguard the						
the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference by reviewing courts. And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of	6	uniform application of the Alaska IRA in accordance with						
9by reviewing courts.10And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is11submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a12final decision.13The Proposed Rule is broken into three14subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers15definitions and overall purpose.16The second subpart B addresses criteria for17federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive18evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must19And then Subpart C addresses the process for21federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a22hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the23So we're going to delve into the contents of	7	best practices within their fields and helps ensure that						
10And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is11submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a12final decision.13The Proposed Rule is broken into three14subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers15definitions and overall purpose.16The second subpart B addresses criteria for17federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive18evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must19demonstrate.20And then Subpart C addresses the process for21federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a22hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the23assistant secretary makes the final determination.24So we're going to delve into the contents of	8	the Department's decisions will be accorded due deference						
 submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	9	by reviewing courts.						
 final decision. The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	10	And as I've mentioned before, OFA's work is						
 The Proposed Rule is broken into three subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	11	submitted to the assistant secretary, who then issues a						
14 subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers 15 definitions and overall purpose. 16 The second subpart B addresses criteria for 17 federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive 18 evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must 19 demonstrate. 20 And then Subpart C addresses the process for 21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	12	final decision.						
 definitions and overall purpose. The second subpart B addresses criteria for federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. And then Subpart C addresses the process for federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	13	The Proposed Rule is broken into three						
16 The second subpart B addresses criteria for 17 federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive 18 evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must 19 demonstrate. 20 And then Subpart C addresses the process for 21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	14	subparts. Subpart A is just the general that covers						
17 federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must demonstrate. 20 And then Subpart C addresses the process for 21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	15	definitions and overall purpose.						
18 evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must 19 demonstrate. 20 And then Subpart C addresses the process for 21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	16	The second subpart B addresses criteria for						
19 demonstrate. 20 And then Subpart C addresses the process for 21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	17	federal acknowledgement, which includes the substantive						
20And then Subpart C addresses the process for21federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a22hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the23assistant secretary makes the final determination.24So we're going to delve into the contents of	18	evidentiary and factual requirements that petitioners must						
21 federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a 22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	19	demonstrate.						
22 hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the 23 assistant secretary makes the final determination. 24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	20	And then Subpart C addresses the process for						
 assistant secretary makes the final determination. So we're going to delve into the contents of 	21	federal acknowledgement, and the process for obtaining a						
24 So we're going to delve into the contents of	22	hearing from an administrative law judge. And again, the						
	23	assistant secretary makes the final determination.						
25 the Proposed Rule here, so do you have any questions or	24	So we're going to delve into the contents of						
	25	the Proposed Rule here, so do you have any questions or						

comments before we do that? 1 Some of the significant definitions in the 2 Proposed Rule include the term "Alaska IRA-eligible 3 4 entity." That is defined as an entity that as of May 1st, 1936, was not recognized by the federal government as a 5 Tribe; was organized on the basis of a common bond of 6 7 occupation, association, or residence; and was comprised of members descending from Indians in Alaska. 8 9 So as part of the documented petition, the petitioner has to submit a claim of an Alaska IRA-eligible 10 entity from which it will demonstrate that it descends. 11 And the Proposed Rule further defines each of those 12 constituent requirements. 13 "Common bond" is defined as "Clearly defined 14 15 common interest shared and acted upon by a group of Alaska Natives, distinguishable from other groups or 16 associations." 17 18 And the Proposed Rule delves further into the federal government's interpretation of what a common bond 19 20 is at 82.21(a)(5). Other definitions: Indians in Alaska or 21 Alaska Native is essentially taken from the definition of 22 23 Indian in the IRA, which states that "For the purposes of 24 the Act, Eskimos and other aboriginal peoples of Alaska are considered Indians." 25

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

And then "membership list" is defined as a list of all known current members of the petitioner, including their full name, date of birth, and current residential address.

In the "Scope and Applicability" section, the 5 6 Department lists entities that it will not acknowledge 7 under the rule, including any entity that's already petitioned for and been denied federal acknowledgement 8 9 under Part 83, the 1934 IRA regulations; any entity that petitions and is denied acknowledgement under this 10 eventual final Part 82; any entity that's located outside 11 of Alaska; any entity that was recognized as a Tribe by 12 the federal government on or before May 1936; or was 13 recognized by the federal government through some other 14 15 means and is included on the list of federally recognized Tribes after 1936. 16

And any entity that petitions and is denied acknowledgement under the eventual final Part 82 would not be eligible for acknowledgement under Part 83 either.

The evaluation of the criteria in the proposed rules is the same as the Part 83 process in that the standard of proof is the "Reasonable likelihood of the validity of the facts relating to each criterion." And it "Requires that the existence of community" -- which is the second criterion -- "and

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1	political and influence and authority" which is the
2	third criterion "be demonstrated on a substantially
3	continuous basis." So that means that overall continuity
4	had to have been maintained even though there may have
5	been interruptions or periods where there is no or limited
6	evidence.
7	The second mandatory criteria track are those
8	criteria that are in the current Part 83 with
9	modifications to address the Alaska IRA provisions.
10	So the first criterion, A is: "Identification
11	as an Alaska Native entity on a substantially continuous
12	basis since 1936."
13	B: The community standard, the entity has to
14	show: "Comprised a distinct community from 1936 to the
15	present."
16	C: Political influence/authority, the entity
17	must show it exercised political influence and authority
18	over its members from 1936 to the present.
19	And then and those first three are part of
20	the Phase 2 review, which we'll go into later. The second
21	four are in the Phase 1 review. Those are:
22	"Governing document describing membership
23	criteria and current governing procedures."
24	Criterion E, which is demonstration of
25	"Members' descent from the Alaska IRA-eligible entity that
L	

1 existed in 1936."

F: The "Petitioner's membership must not be composed principally of persons who are members of another Tribe."

5 And then G is the only one that the 6 Department -- the Department approves rather than the 7 petitioner governing the (indiscernible) approved, and 8 that is that there is "No legislation that has terminated 9 or forbidden the federal relationship."

10 The process for federal acknowledgement begins 11 when an entity submits the documented petition to OFA 12 explaining how it meets the criteria. And then when OFA 13 begins review, it provides public notice and begins a 14 Phase 1 review where it examines the second four criteria.

15 It then issues findings on those criteria and 16 proceeds to the Phase 2 review, which are the first three 17 criteria. And then it chooses a proposed finding on those 18 three criteria. And ultimately the assistant secretary 19 issues a final determination.

20And that's just a high-level overview of the21process. There's more detail in the Proposed Rule.

At each phase technical assistance is available from OFA. And the petitioner has the option for a hearing before an administrative law judge if they receive a negative proposed finding. That administrative

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

law judge would make a recommendation to the assistant 1 secretary, who would then review the recommendation as 2 well as OFA's findings and issue a final determination. 3 4 And if the final determination is negative, of course, the 5 petitioner could then also proceed to appealing to the federal court. 6 7 As for the time line, the process begins when an entity submits a Document of Petition. And then OFA 8 9 begins its review of the petition when its workload allows. And at that point it provides public notice that 10 it's beginning the review. And within six months of 11 providing a public notice, OFA will issue a Phase 1 12 proposed finding. And within six months of the deadline 13 to issue that Phase 1 proposed finding, it will issue a 14 15 Phase 2 proposed finding. So there are standards in there to ensure that 16 the process proceeds in a timely manner. 17 18 Acknowledgement occurs when the petitioner receives positive final determination. And at that point, 19 the petitioner is a federally recognized Tribe and will be 20 included on the next list of federally recognized Tribes 21 and is published in the Federal Register. 22 23 As for next steps, we're currently in the 24 comment period on the Proposed Rule, and we have a teleconference consultation and public meeting on February 25

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1	6th remaining. The comment deadline is March 2nd, and
2	comments may be e-mailed to consultation@bia.gov, or
3	submitted through the other methods that are listed in the
4	Proposed Rule in the Federal Register.
5	Once the comment deadline occurs and we've
6	received all the transcripts from consultations and public
7	hearings, the Department will review the comments and make
8	changes to the Proposed Rule as appropriate. And the plan
9	is to then publish a final rule in the Federal Register.
10	Do you have any questions or additional
11	comments? Anyone?
12	MR. FLEMMING: I'm good.
13	MS. APPEL: Okay. Well, thank you so much for
14	joining us today, and we will return this afternoon for
15	the second portion of our public meeting. And you're
16	welcome to join us then, too.
17	So thank you. I'll close the record.
18	10:50 A.M.
19	(Off record.)
20	10:51 A.M.
21	MS. APPEL: Okay. I'm re-opening the record.
22	We do have some comments. So I will pass the mic.
23	MR. WILLIS: Yeah. I've already introduced
24	myself, I understand. But let me just say my name is
25	Michael Willis. I'm an attorney with Hobbs, Straus, Dean

1 & Walker based out of Washington, D.C.

Since 2007, I've represented the Qutekcak Native Tribe of Seward. Since 2008, the Kungank Tribe of Dillingham. Both of these clients have requested to organize under the Alaska amendment to the IRA. They've made those requests long before my work with them began. The Qutekcak Native Tribe made a request in 1993, the Kunganks in 2001.

9 My own personal work on Alaska IRA issues began in the late 1990s when I was part of the team of 10 Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker attorneys that worked on the 11 Alaska IRA recognition and the reorganization requests of 12 the Native Village of King Salmon, which, in the 13 discussion earlier, I know it was recognized by Assistant 14 15 Secretary Gover in 2002. And then there was a reconsideration at which point Assistant Secretary Neal 16 McCaleb reaffirmed or confirmed the 2000 decision to 17 18 recognize the Native Village of King Salmon.

So I'm here to share my perspective and experience working with the IRA as an attorney, as well as the particular views of the Qutekcak Native Tribe and the Kungank Tribe. They aren't necessarily joint comments; they are the shared positions in terms of some of the framework and the approach. Each of them has different experiences and evidentiary materials to show that I think

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

will be more appropriate for the written comments to 1 2 discuss some of the evidentiary standards and processes and raise specific questions about those. 3 But for now I'll just share some initial 4 5 thoughts to say, you know, that I very much appreciate the Department's interest and concern. And moving forward 6 7 with that process, it's clear that it's transparent, and we rely on -- there's clearly been a lot of work and a lot 8 9 of thought brought into this. There have been consultations and been efforts. 10 11 So I think from a sharing perspective of the views of our clients and from my perspective on a legal 12 analysis, hopefully provide understanding where our 13 clients are coming from and build a basis for working 14 15 forward. We certainly want to have a reliable, consistent, timely, and, you know, lawful process to 16 achieve the final determinations in the pending petitions 17 18 at this point. To start I'm going to say that, you know, the 19 Alaska amendment to the IRA does continue to raise to the 20 specific statutory standard, the "common bond" standard, 21 and this is apropos in groups of Native Alaskans not 22 23 previously recognized that need to organize in the IRA. 24 The language and the statute. Groups of Indians in Alaska not heretofore recognized as Bands or 25

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1	Tribes, but having a common bond of occupation or
2	association or residence in a well-defined neighborhood,
3	community, or a rural district may organize to adopt
4	constitutions and bylaws, et cetera, under the revision of
5	the (indiscernible - background noise).
6	As you noted in the presentation, the
7	Department has relied upon the standard in the past to
8	organize and recognize many other Alaska groups. You
9	identified 70, a (indiscernible) number. That sounds
10	accurate to my perspective so that you won't have that
11	(indiscernible).
12	And from our view, Congress has not done
13	anything to remove the standard that's applicable to
14	groups of Alaska Natives who are not heretofore
15	recognized. And that in the prior consultations on the
16	topic that you identify, I think the Tribes and Tribal
17	organizations in Alaska that have participated indicated
18	that you, the Alaska IRA, and the "common bond" standard
19	as still existing are still important to respect and
20	continue.
21	I mentioned that the Qutekcak and Kungank
22	Tribes have been pending for a long time. They put
23	together documentation, fairly extensive documentation.
24	You know, as Mr. Fleming probably recognizes, the
25	documentation probably isn't a substantial sum of

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1	materials you would see his own part be free. But in
2	terms of the experience of compiling materials and work
3	over a period of time, the Qutekcak Native Tribe and the
4	Kungank Tribe think they have provided substantial
5	documentation in accordance with the instructions and
6	guidance that the Department of the Interior has provided
7	them in the past, beginning most specifically following
8	their initial requests and then the subsequent
9	communications that said, "Here's what we need from you
10	based on our technical assistance."
11	And our concern, as I identified in the
12	comment, is we certainly want to proceed in a manner where

13 the standard and the (indiscernible) that Congress uses is 14 indeed lawful and consistent with the statute. We have 15 concerns of that. That's not the case in light of the 16 statute's specific terms regarding organization of Tribes 17 not previously recognized. There's not a requirement of 18 recognition prior to organization, but there's a "common 19 bond" standard once established from its organization.

And ultimately, you know, the view of our clients and the positions we have taken is that we think it's very appropriate for the Department to continue under the statutory mandate to come up with procedures that are necessary for you-all to rely upon in making determinations under the common bond" standard, and we

1 want to see that put in place. We would much rather see,
2 in the interest of fairness and the ongoing investment
3 that our clients have put forward, to continue to review
4 those under the existing practice on a case-by-case
5 mechanism that's been used with specific evaluation
6 according to the "common bond."

7 I raised the Sunaq of Kodiak and King Salmon illustrations, looking back at that process, which, I 8 9 think, you know, Lee Fleming and about half of the Office of Federal Acknowledgement provided very specific feedback 10 and comments on whether those petitioners met the Alaska 11 IRA standard, and indeed in memos that are documented and 12 were presented publicly identify what the views, from 13 OFA's perspective, were shortcomings in those 14 15 applications. And as noted, there was a time consideration, and Assistant Secretary Gover acted to --16 under his authority as assistant secretary to recognize as 17 18 opposed to continue that from the IRA perspective.

While not producing midway what that has done ultimately is to simply to say there seems to be a communication mechanism in process that was working where OFA was evaluating an IRA request based on the statutory standard and shared perspectives for consideration by the assistant secretary.

25

Not to say that the system is not broke, don't

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

fix it, but there are some very important precedents to 1 2 rely on and -- particularly prior to the 2000 decision, which may have taken a different turn. I think our 3 4 clients feel that maybe they've been hindered or hampered by the Department's reluctance to proceed on a 5 case-by-case basis to pursue determinations of their own 6 7 evaluation, perhaps because of some of the views of what happened in 2000. But that's a speculation, and I'd like 8 9 to understand better from you-all. I think it will be helpful moving forward. 10

I'm not going to spend too much time talking about the legal analysis of our view, but we do perceive that, as currently framed, the Proposed Rule would not likely pass the arbitrary and capricious test and not due Chevron deference.

Just a couple reasons. Well, we've already identified. But there is some interpretation of the "common bond" standard, and that's a very interesting language as you put out in the definition, "What is the 'common bond' standard?" "How does it apply?" You've got some clear terms.

But the way it's portrayed is the "common bond" standard applies only to the 1936 entity. And once that's established, that's where, essentially, what is the Part 83 process kicks in. And to work with a continuation

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1 based on Subpart 83 concepts from 1936 to present, I'm not 2 convinced that that plays out consistent with the statute 3 and its terms about Tribes heretofore previously not 4 recognized.

5 And we're quite concerned that the case law 6 that generally recognizes the Department's acknowledgement 7 authority as being quite broad. And it's discussed in the 8 preamble, I think, fairly in terms of the authority of the 9 Department to make recognition decisions based on its 10 authority under, you know, 25 USC Section 2 or Section 9.

But unlike some of the other contexts for 11 acknowledgement, we do have a specific standard that 12 Congress has to look into. And we're not convinced that 13 the general authority that the Department of the Interior 14 15 has and operates under Part 83 necessarily allows a superimposition of Part 83 on the Alaska IRA "common bond" 16 standard. Issues for further discussion and evaluation 17 18 and debate. But that's our perspective going in.

19 The other side deals with how the Department 20 is characterizing its prior precedent or its prior action 21 on this. From our view, you know, Congress didn't --22 since the time of enactment in 1936, the Department has 23 applied the "common bond" standard. You cite the 1937 24 instructions, we think, as contemporaneous interpretation 25 of the Alaska IRA.

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

It's extremely important material. 1 It 2 describes how Alaska Native groups not recognized should 3 be organized for the purpose of the IRA. There are 4 subsequent legal opinions on specific cases that 5 constitutes what groups meet or don't meet the "common bond" standard, and what sort of terms there are. And, of 6 7 course, the very significant part of it is there are many IRA constitutions that the Department has approved and 8 9 authorized secretarial actions based on membership criteria in those constitutions that rely upon the "common 10 bond" standard as well. 11 So there's a breadth of past practice that the 12 Department indeed acknowledges in the preamble and 13 identified it. Very well acknowledged. We're not 14 15 convinced, again, whether the departure from that to proceed with this rule meets the arbitrary and capricious 16 test in terms of a departure from prior precedent. 17 18 Fully recognizing the needs for consistency, reliability, uniformity, we question whether this approach 19 accomplishes those objectives. I think the case-by-case 20 determination based on existing efforts and actions have 21 done so. Procedurally there may be more for 22 23 clarification, time frames, what the roles of various 24 offices are, how they evaluate the standard. And there

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

seems to be some very good approaches presented in the

25

evidentiary terms or procedures. I think those are very
 helpful.

3 Again, I question whether it's a regulatory 4 term that's viable on a vehicle basis given this working as a pretty significant turn of course where some 70 5 Alaska Native entities have been processed pursuant to the 6 7 case-by-case determination approach, and you're left with 8 two pending petitioners who are going to see something 9 very different than everyone else, even though they have submitted requests at time periods and in a dialogue with 10 the Interior that seemed very consistent with what was 11 done with the prior petitioners, from our understanding of 12 the history and the background. So we questioned about 13 consistency here and uniformity. 14

I also think it's really -- I'd like you-all to hear, and I think it's very important. I mentioned earlier that both the Qutekcak Native Tribe and Kungank Tribe have invested significant resources based on its prior guidance and communication that they had with the Interior.

Since I've been involved in 2008, it's been a very active process in different time periods. There have been periods where it's quiet, and there have been periods where there's a lot of back-and-forth; there's a lot of questions; there's a lot of considerations. And we fully

respect the Department's view. Everything's been 1 2 consistent, reliable, a uniform approach going forward. And from our part as the attorneys working on 3 4 behalf of our clients, and on behalf of our clients, we feel like we've done what we can to support the Department 5 to operate within its existing framework, and to come up 6 7 with decisions that are defensible and reliable and 8 uniform. 9 And I think that -- you know, the guidance you refer to, some of it's been submitted, but the material 10 they relied on in terms of the 1996 instructions and 11 specific guidance based on the technical assistance that 12 was provided by the Department of the Interior and the BIA 13 as to what's needed to move forward. And then there's 14 15 also some clarification. I think it's important in 2012, in response to Senator Murkowski's questions from that 16 hearing based in November of 2009 in which she asked the 17 18 Department, "Please clarify how Alaska Native Tribes are to be recognized in this day and age where there has not 19 been recognition of Alaska Natives lately." 20 And the understanding of Tribes and Tribal 21 organizations and potential petitioners in Alaska is that 22 the Alaska IRA is the mechanism by which the Tribe with a 23 24 "common bond" of either occupation, association, or residence in a well-defined community is the basis for 25

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

organization and subsequent recognition. 1 Their response came a few years later, but in 2 the form of a letter from Assistant Secretary 3 Echelbaugh (ph) in which it was explained, yes, we've got 4 5 a whole group of Alaska Natives not heretofore recognized that can demonstrate a "common bond" under the IRA from 6 7 1936 to present, but hadn't passed the mechanism for them to organize. If they can't meet that, there's Part 83. 8 9 And there's another mechanism as well. But I think -- and that was an important 10 clarification. And again, that's a very active process of 11 both of our clients investing a lot of resources and time 12 to clarify and address how they meet the Alaska IRA 13 "common bond" standard, and to address the questions that, 14 you know, those of you in the Department have asked for 15 clarification. 16 They received some, you know, feedback, mostly 17 18 in the form of questions. "We need to understand this." "We need to understand that." Not entirely understanding 19 what all considerations on your end have been in -- or 20 certainly in one of those internal communications that 21 have happened. But the intent is to provide the 22

23 Department with the information it needs to achieve

24 decisions based on the "common bond."

25

And, you know, in light of that, the ideas

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

here -- and, you know, the most traumatic comments and/or appease for the regulations is if a new rule is approved, any pending petitions will essentially start over. Right? We're going to start from scratch.

Both Qutekcak and Kungank received 5 communications in the -- and in the last administration 6 7 (inaudible), this is an issue, like getting through the process. It's a wonderful idea. This is in the line if 8 9 there's a process that's going to come to completion at a date certain. Or it would seem to be. And then it would 10 continue with it's between presidential administration or 11 Those questions, political questions, are probably 12 not. irrelevant for what the priorities are. But for our 13 clients and their experience, it's been kind of a -- you 14 15 know, work with the assistant secretary that's there and address those comments to the extent possible. 16

So at the end of the last administration, both 17 18 of our clients received notice that they would be issued a 19 final decision taking a position on the eligibility under the Alaska IRA. The final decision never came, but the 20 understanding was that there were some unresolved 21 issues -- and a lot of issues resolved, and some 22 unresolved issues, and this is the opportunity -- there 23 24 would be an opportunity to move forward to see if there 25 could be a closing of those unresolved issues, whether

> Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1	unfavorably or favorably, but with something of a connect
2	to wrap it up and be done and have some finality. That's
3	really the important part of this, is that you're looking
4	for finality, and our clients certainly want finality
5	instead of what they all describe as being in limbo for
6	decades. And that's not a very satisfying place to be.

7 Finally, I'm just going to add that -- you know, that as, you know, we see (indiscernible) from the 8 9 participation here. There was consultation that the Department did. There was participation from many Tribes 10 and Tribal organizations previously. As I see it, without 11 the published comments, seven of the eight comments, as 12 you can (indiscernible) appropriately in the preamble, 13 said, "Hey. Focus on getting these tiny petitions 14 15 resolved before focusing on a new rule." That's in -that was a comment of seven of the eight. The eighth has 16 since indicated no new processes necessary without 17 18 commenting on the pending petitions.

19 They came from various regions. The
20 (indiscernible) First (indiscernible) Nation from Bethel,
21 and then the association of those council presidents came
22 from Chugachmiut and Chugach, Alaska; Wrangell Cooperative
23 Association; Tlingit and Haida about their positions.
24 Native American Rights Fund and National Congress of
25 American Indians had joint comments.

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

1I'm not sure what happened with Kawerak's2comments in the Bering Strait Region. I understood they3had submitted comments, but I don't -- for whatever4reason, they didn't make it into the Web site or perhaps5accepted for the record for one reason or another. I6don't know. I just know they did submit them as well.

7 So to kind of wrap up, as a path forward, we really view this as that the Department really determines 8 9 it has to follow the regulations on the "common bond" standard that it really worked to incorporate its prior 10 precedent and work on really interpreting the statutory 11 It also acknowledged and recognized that the 12 revisions. statute does say "A Tribe not previously recognized can 13 organize and then be recognized as opposed to requiring 14 15 recognition first and then the organization under Part 81.

I understand that's what Part 81 is saying now, but I question whether there needs to be some kind of acknowledgement that the statute says something different.

We do view, as a matter of equity, fairness, consistency, conformity, and even finality that the Department should resolve the existing pending petitions based on the same procedures on a case-by-case evaluation under the statutory terms. We would think that's the way to proceed. The pending petitions that have already been in here.

I know the Part 83, when it was revised, the 1 2 notion was the pending petitioners could choose one or the other. I would think it would be reasonable for those who 3 have participated up to now say, "Well, we'd rather go 4 with the ad hoc basis and proceed that way if -- rather 5 than start from scratch under new criteria and 6 7 reformatting and redoing the materials we submitted." So that's our view. Of course, we'll follow 8 9 up with written comments and various insight about the possibility of having further dialogue with you-all. You 10 know, having Lee Fleming here to present his view and his 11 deep understanding of the Part 83 process, how that 12 intersects and proceeds with this new mechanism --13

But I think we would like to see time lines, 14 15 procedures, mechanisms established to ensure getting to finality, and having consistency and uniformity, but very 16 much consistent with the IRA statutory terms and the 17 18 Department's actions and precedent. We acknowledge that that's including the specific instructions they have 19 provided -- the Department has provided to our individual 20 21 petitioners as well.

So I will leave it at that. And in the written comments we'll certainly want to raise questions about how this evidentiary standard might be applied and how it plays out for the individual Tribes. But this is

1	going to be an overarching, shared perspective on the
2	process and the standards that our clients share.
3	So thank you very much for your time and for
4	the hard work you've done on this. And as I say, I think
5	it really is valuable and you have key elements in that,
6	although we disagree on certain aspects of the statutory
7	interpretation and the precedential interpretation. But
8	we greatly appreciate a process going forward that can
9	resolve and can reach final determinations on behalf of
10	these pending petitioners.
11	Thank you very much.
12	MS. APPEL: Thank you.
13	Do you have any any of you want to share?
14	MR. SCHERER: Thank you very much for your
15	comments. Something that I would at least like to respond
16	to now, and Mr. Fleming can elaborate.
17	I do believe while you were correct that when
18	Part 83 was revised, there was an opportunity for a
19	petitioning group to select which version or which
20	iteration of Part 83 they would move forward under.
21	When Part 54 was originally promulgated in
22	1978, the Department's position was that pending
23	applications and I believe there were approximately
24	40 would need to resubmit under the new Part 54.
25	MR. WILLIS: I understand. Thank you.

1	MR. PARTESOTTI: Hi. I just wanted to say						
2	that I really appreciate your comments and your time						
3	coming up here to share them with us.						
4	I also just want to emphasize that we note in						
5	the preamble that we're very eager to hear the comments,						
6	written comments that echoed the ones that you shared with						
7	us about whether there are alternative mechanisms or						
8	processes that exist to which the Department can or should						
9	evaluate the Alaska IRA petitions outside of Part 83. And						
10	so we look forward to reviewing anything that you submit						
11	to us.						
12	Thanks again.						
13	MR. WILLIS: Thank you.						
14	MR. FLEMMING: And I also appreciate your						
15	comments about having a process that has clarity,						
16	transparency, reliability, consistency, timely, lawful,						
17	uniformity, defensibility, and finality. And that's our						
18	desire for what this Proposed Rule is about. And those						
19	were the similar principles that were presented when we						
20	went through the 2015 revisions to Part 83. So I						
21	appreciate that.						
22	MR. WILLIS: Thank you.						
23	MS. APPEL: Are there any other comments or						
24	questions?						
25	All right. Thank you, again, for your						

Federal Acknowledgment of Alaska Native Entities

34 comments and for joining us today. 1 I will close out the Public Meeting Part 1 in 2 Fairbanks. 3 Thank you. 4 11:17 A.M. (Off record.) 5 6 1:41 P.M. 7 MS. APPEL: Good afternoon. This is Liz Appel from the Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative 8 9 Action in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs. 10 I have with me John-Michael Partesotti from 11 the Office of the Solicitor; Kyle Scherer who is Deputy 12 Solicitor; and Lee Fleming, Director of the Office of 13 Federal Acknowledgement. And we have one public 14 15 participant here in our public meeting, the afternoon of January 30th in Fairbanks. 16 Our public participant has indicated there are 17 18 no additional comments. So we are closing the record 19 unless -- last chance. So we'll be closing out the 20 record. Thank you. 21 1:42 P.M. (Off record.) 22 23 24 25

Federal Acknowledgment of Alaska Native Entities

35 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF ALASKA 3)) ss. FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT) 4 5 6 I, Crystal D. Thompson-Bartlett, Court Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the State of Alaska, do hereby certify that the 7 foregoing proceedings were taken electronically before me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or at my 8 direction. 9 That the foregoing transcript is a full, true, and correct transcript of the proceedings, including 10 questions, answers, objections, statements, motions, and exceptions, made and taken at the time of the foregoing 11 proceedings. 12 That all documents and/or things requested to be included with the transcript of the proceedings have 13 been annexed to and included with said proceedings. 14 That I am not a relative or employee or 15 attorney or counsel of any of the parties in these proceedings, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in 16 said proceedings or the outcome thereof. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and 18 affixed my Notarial Seal this 26th day of February 2020. 19 20 21 CRYSTAL D. THOMPSON-BARTLETT 22 Notary Public for Alaska My commission expires: 9/15/2022 23 24 25

Department of the Interior, Office detherals sistemt we depart of Alaska Native	Entities
Secretary, Indian Affairs	

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020

Secretary, Indian Affairs January 30, 2020					
	administration (3)	annually (1)	34:9	7:10;26:13	
•	28:6,11,17	7:10	association (6)	birth (1)	
Α	administrative (3)	anthropologists (1)	4:14;11:7;19:2;	12:3	
- h.l. (1)	10:22;14:24,25	10:2	26:24;29:21,23	bond (26)	
able (1) 10:3	adopt (1)	appealing (1)	associations (1)	4:13,22;5:1;6:18;	
aboriginal (1)	19:3	15:5	11:17	7:6,20;11:6,14,19;	
11:24	advised (1)	Appearances (1)	attorney (5)	18:21;19:1,18;20:19,	
accepted (1)	9:15	1:17	3:17;16:25;17:20;	25;21:6;22:18,23;	
30:5	AFFAIRS (13)	appease (1)	35:15,15.5	23:16,23;24:6,11;	
accomplishes (1)	1:3,21,22.5;2:6,8,	28:2	Attorney-Advisor (3)	26:24;27:6,14,24;	
24:20	10,25;3:1,6,9;9:22;	Appel (16)	1:20.5;2:9;3:5	30:9	
accordance (3)	34:8,10	1:22;2:5,5,20,24;	attorneys (2)	bond' (1)	
10:4,6;20:5	affect (2)	3:13,22;5:25;8:23;	17:11;26:3	22:20 B-th (5)	
accorded (1)	6:7;7:11 affirmation (2)	9:19;16:13,21;32:12; 33:23;34:7,7	authorities (1) 7:12	Both (5) 17:4;25:17;27:12;	
10:8	5:12,19	Applicability (1)	authority (7)	28:5,17	
according (1)	affixed (1)	12:5	13:1,17;21:17;23:7,	breadth (1)	
21:6	35:18	applicable (1)	8,10,14	24:12	
account (2)	afternoon (7)	19:13	authorized (2)	broad (1)	
6:17;7:20 accounted (1)	2:20;3:16;5:6;8:20;	application (1)	4:5;24:9	23:7	
9:12	16:14;34:7,15	10:6	available (3)	broke (1)	
accurate (1)	again (6)	applications (2)	7:15;8:11;14:23	21:25	
19:10	10:22;24:15;25:3;	21:15;32:23		broken (1)	
achieve (2)	27:11;33:12,25	applied (2)	B	10:13	
18:17;27:23	age (1)	23:23;31:24		brought (1)	
acknowledge (2)	26:19	applies (2)	back (1)	18:9	
12:6;31:18	ALASKA (76)	7:7;22:23	21:8	build (1)	
acknowledged (5)	1:6,14;2:22;3:20;	apply (1)	back-and-forth (1)	18:14	
4:19,21;7:13;24:14;	4:6,9,10,16,21,22,25;	22:20	25:24	bylaws (1)	
30:12	5:4,7,13,17;6:1,8,10, 12,16,18,20,23,24,25;	appreciate (5) 18:5;32:8;33:2,14,	background (4)	19:4	
Acknowledgement (21)	7:7,12,13,20,23;8:2,	21	3:25;4:3;19:5; 25:13	С	
2:12,22;3:11;6:12,	13,19;9:3,5,9,12,20;	approach (4)	Bands (2)		
23;7:6;8:10;9:20;	10:6;11:3,8,10,15,21,	17:24;24:19;25:7;	4:11;18:25	came (4)	
10:17,21;12:8,10,18, 19;14:10;15:18;	22,24;12:12;13:9,11,	26:2	based (15)	27:2;28:20;29:19,	
21:10;23:6,12;30:18;	25;17:5,9,12;18:20,	approaches (1)	3:18;5:18;10:1;	21	
34:14	25;19:8,14,17,18;	24:25	17:1;20:10;21:22;	can (8)	
acknowledges (1)	21:11;23:16,25;24:2;	appropriate (3)	23:1,9;24:9,21;25:18;	26:5;27:6;29:13;	
24:13	25:6;26:18,20,22,23;	16:8;18:1;20:22	26:12,17;27:24;30:22	30:13;32:8,9,16;33:8	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT (2)	27:5,13;28:20;29:22;	appropriately (1)	basis (8)	capable (1)	
1:6,18.5	33:9;35:3,7,22	29:13	11:6;13:3,12;18:14;	8:16	
Act (2)	Alaskans (1)	approvals (1)	22:6;25:4;26:25;31:5	capricious (2)	
4:4;11:24	18:22	5:1	become (1)	22:14;24:16	
acted (2)	Alaska-specific (2)	approved (4)	4:12	$\operatorname{carry}(1)$	
11:15;21:16	6:5,14	4:24;14:7;24:8; 28:2	began (3) 5:25;17:6,10	6:16 (2)	
Action (5)	allows (3) 4:9;15:10;23:15	approves (1)	5:25;17:6,10 beginning (2)	case (2) 20:15;23:5	
1:22.5;2:7,25;	already-recognized (1)	14:6	15:11;20:7	20:15;25:5 case-by-case (6)	
23:20;34:9	7:12	approximately (1)	begins (5)	5:2;21:4;22:6;	
actions (3)	alternative (1)	32:23	14:10,13,13;15:7,9	24:20;25:7;30:22	
24:9,21;31:18	33:7	apropos (1)	behalf (4)	cases (1)	
active (2) 25:22;27:11	although (1)	18:22	3:19;26:4,4;32:9	24:4	
ad (2)	32:6	arbitrary (2)	benefits (1)	Center (1)	
9:22;31:5	amendment (3)	22:14;24:16	4:13	1:12	
add (1)	5:13;17:5;18:20	aspects (1)	Bering (1)	certain (2)	
29:7	American (2)	32:6	30:2	28:10;32:6	
additional (3)	29:24,25	assistance (3)	best (1)	certainly (5)	
4:8;16:10;34:18	analysis (2)	14:22;20:10;26:12	10:7	18:15;20:12;27:21;	
address (5)	18:13;22:12	ASSISTANT (17)	Bethel (1)	29:4;31:23	
12:4;13:9;27:13,14;	and/or (2)	1:2;2:7;3:1;5:23;	29:20	certify (1)	
28:16	28:1;35:12.5 annexed (1)	9:21;10:11,23;14:18; 15:1;17:14,16;21:16,	better (1) 22:9	35:7 cetera (1)	
addresses (2)	35:13.5	17,24;27:3;28:15;	BIA (2)	19:4	
10:16,20	55.15.5	17,27,27.3,20.13,		17.7	

chance (1)	commission (1)	constituent (1)	3:14	denied (3)
34:19	35:22.5	11:13	Crystal (2)	12:8,10,17
change (1)	commissioned (1)	constitutes (1)	35:6,21.5	DEPARTMENT (33)
9:25	35:6.5	24:5	current (8)	1:1;3:7;4:17,24;
changes (2)	common (29)	constitutions (3)	8:3,7,11;10:3;12:2,	5:10,25;6:9,15,22;
9:11;16:8	4:5,13,22;5:1;6:18;	19:4;24:8,10	3;13:8,23	8:15;12:6;14:6,6;
characterizing (1)	7:6,20;11:6,14,15,19;	CONSULTATION (4)	currently (8)	16:7;19:7;20:6,22;
23:20	18:21;19:1,18;20:18,	1:10;2:15;15:25;	4:20;6:6,19;7:8,9;	23:9,14,19,22;24:8,
Chevron (1)	25;21:6;22:18,20,22;	29:9	9:22;15:23;22:13	13;26:5,13,18;27:15,
			9.22,13.23,22.13	
22:15	23:16,23;24:5,10;	consultation@biagov (1)	D	23;29:10;30:8,21;
choose (1)	26:24;27:6,14,24;	16:2	D	31:20;33:8
31:2	30:9	consultations (4)		Department's (8)
chooses (1)	communication (2)	6:3;16:6;18:10;	date (5)	6:13;10:8;18:6;
14:17	21:21;25:19	19:15	8:1,2,4;12:3;28:10	22:5;23:6;26:1;31:18;
Chugach (1)	communications (3)	contemporaneous (2)	day (2)	32:22
29:22	20:9;27:21;28:6	5:3;23:24	26:19;35:18	departure (2)
Chugachmiut (1)	community (6)	content (1)	DC (2)	24:15,17
29:22	4:15;12:25;13:13,	3:25	3:18;17:1	Deputy (4)
cite (1)	14;19:3;26:25	contents (1)	deadline (3)	1:19.5;2:11;3:8;
23:23	compiling (1)	10:24	15:13;16:1,5	34:12
claim (1)				
	20:2	contexts (1)	deals (1)	descending (1)
11:10	completion (1)	23:11	23:19	11:8
claims (1)	28:9	continuation (1)	Dean (3)	descends (1)
8:11	composed (1)	22:25	3:17;16:25;17:11	11:11
clarification (4)	14:3	continue (6)	debate (1)	descent (3)
24:23;26:15;27:11,	composition (1)	18:20;19:20;20:22;	23:18	7:23,24;13:25
16	10:1	21:3,18;28:11	decades (1)	describe (1)
clarifies (1)	comprised (2)	continuity (1)	29:6	29:5
8:12	11:7;13:14	13:3	December (1)	describes (1)
clarify (2)	concepts (1)	continuous (2)	5:19	24:2
26:18;27:13	23:1	13:3,11	decision (5)	describing (1)
clarity (1)	concern (2)	conversations (1)	10:12;17:17;22:2;	13:22
33:15	18:6;20:11	5:9	28:19,20	desire (1)
clear (2)	concerned (2)	convinced (3)	decisions (6)	33:18
18:7;22:21	6:7;23:5	23:2,13;24:15	5:22;6:10;10:8;	detail (1)
Clearly (2)	concerns (1)	Cooperative (1)	23:9;26:7;27:24	14:21
11:14;18:8	20:15	29:22	deep (1)	determination (7)
clients (14)	confirmed (1)	council (1)	31:12	10:23;14:19;15:3,4,
9:15;17:4;18:12,14;	17:17	29:21	defensibility (1)	19;24:21;25:7
20:21;21:3;22:4;26:4,	conformance (1)	counsel (2)	33:17	determinations (5)
4;27:12;28:14,18;	7:2	35:15,16	defensible (1)	5:2;18:17;20:25;
29:4;32:2	conformity (1)	couple (1)	26:7	22:6;32:9
close (2)	30:20	22:16	deference (2)	determined (2)
16:17;34:2			10:8;22:15	6:15,22
	Congress (7)	course (6)		
closing (4)	4:3,8;19:12;20:13;	4:4;7:7;15:4;24:7;	defined (4)	determines (1)
2:14;28:25;34:18,	23:13,21;29:24	25:5;31:8	11:4,14,14;12:1	30:8
19	connect (1)	court (2)	defines (1)	dialogue (2)
Collaborative (4)	29:1	15:6;35:6	11:12	25:10;31:10
1:22.5;2:6,25;34:8	consider (2)	courts (1)	definition (2)	difference (1)
coming (3)	5:16;6:22	10:9	11:22;22:19	9:17
3:9;18:14;33:3	consideration (3)	covers (1)	definitions (3)	differences (1)
comment (6)	6:21;21:16,23	10:14	10:15;11:2,21	9:3
8:22;15:24;16:1,5;	considerations (2)	Cowles (1)	delve (1)	different (5)
20:12;29:16	25:25;27:20	1:13	10:24	17:24;22:3;25:9,22;
				30:18
commenting (1)	considered (1)	criteria (14)	delves (1)	
29:18	11:25	4:19;8:6;10:16;	11:18	Dillingham (2)
comments (26)	consistency (5)	12:20;13:7,8,23;	demonstrate (4)	3:20;17:4
8:24;11:1;16:2,7,	24:18;25:14;30:20;	14:12,14,15,17,18;	4:13;10:19;11:11;	direction (1)
11,22;17:22;18:1;	31:16;33:16	24:10;31:6	27:6	35:8.5
21:11;28:1,16;29:12,	consistent (9)	criterion (5)	demonstrated (1)	Director (5)
12 25.30.2 3.31.9 23.	8.15 18.9.2.18.16	12.23 25.13.2 10	13.2	1.18.2.11 24.3.10.

Department of the Interior, Office dethar also is knowledgment of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

32:15;33:2,5,6,15,23;

34:1,18

commission (1)

20:14;23:2;25:11;

26:2;31:17

constituent (1)

24

crowd (1)

3:14

demonstration (1)

13:24

denied (3)

12,25;30:2,3;31:9,23;

8:15,18;9:2;18:16;

CFR (2)

1:7;2:23

chance (1)

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535

12:23,25;13:2,10,

13:2

1:18;2:11,24;3:10;

Department of the Interior, Office Hetheralssistent wledgment of Alaska Native Entities	5
Secretary, Indian Affairs	

Secretary, Indian Affair	S			January 30, 2020
34:13	alastropically (1)	overtual (2)	29:1	follow (2)
	electronically (1) 35:7.5	eventual (2) 12:11,18	February (2)	30:9;31:8
disagree (1) 32:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	elements (1) 32:5	everyone (2) 3:12;25:9	15:25;35:18	following (1) 20:7
discuss (1) 18:2			FEDERAL (28)	forbidden (1)
	eligibility (1) 28:19	Everything's (1)	1:6,18.5;2:12,22;	14:9
discussed (1)		26:1	3:11;6:8;7:5,10;8:10,	
23:7	eligible (3)	evidence (1)	14,18;9:8,20;10:17,	foregoing (3)
discussion (2)	4:12;7:14;12:19	13:6	21;11:5,19;12:8,13,	35:7.5,9.5,11
17:14;23:17	Elizabeth (1)	evidentiary (7)	14;14:9,10;15:6,22;	form (2)
distinct (1)	1:22	8:1,5;10:18;17:25;	16:4,9;21:10;34:14	27:3,18
13:14	else (1)	18:2;25:1;31:24	federally (6)	formal (1)
distinctions (1)	25:9	examines (1)	4:19;7:9,15;12:15;	6:15
7:20	elsewhere (1)	14:14	15:20,21	forward (12)
distinguishable (1)	6:20	exceptions (1)	feedback (2)	9:16;18:6,15;21:3;
11:16	e-mailed (1)	35:11	21:10;27:17	22:10;26:2,14;28:24;
district (3)	16:2	Excuse (1)	feel (3)	30:7;32:8,20;33:10
4:15;19:3;35:4	emphasize (1)	8:21	4:2;22:4;26:5	four (2)
Division (3)	33:4	exercised (1)	few (2)	13:21;14:14
1:21;2:10;3:6	employee (2)	13:17	2:16;27:2	FOURTH (1)
document (2)	35:14.5,15.5	exist (1)	fields (1)	35:4
13:22;15:8	enacted (3)	33:8	10:7	framed (1)
documentation (4)	4:4,8;8:3	existed (1)	final (15)	22:13
19:23,23,25;20:5	enactment (1)	14:1	6:10;7:3;10:12,23;	frames (1)
documented (3)	23:22	existence (1)	12:11,18;14:19;15:3,	24:23
11:9;14:11;21:12	end (2)	12:24	4,19;16:9;18:17;	framework (2)
documents (1)	27:20;28:17	existing (9)	28:19,20;32:9	17:24;26:6
35:12.5	ensure (3)	6:8,17;7:11,18;	finality (6)	free (2)
done (7)	10:7;15:16;31:15	19:19;21:4;24:21;	29:2,4,4;30:20;	4:2;20:1
19:12;21:19;24:22;	entirely (1)	26:6;30:21	31:16;33:17	full (2)
25:12;26:5;29:2;32:4	27:19	experience (3)	finalized (2)	12:3;35:9.5
due (2)	ENTITIES (11)	17:20;20:2;28:14	6:25;7:17	Fully (2)
10:8;22:14	1:6;2:22;4:21,25;	experiences (1)	finally (2)	24:18;25:25
duly (1)	5:8,17;6:24;8:13,16;	17:25	8:9;29:7	Fund (1)
35:6.5	12:6;25:6	expertise (1)	financially (1)	29:24
during (1)	entity (17)	10:5	35:16	further (4)
6:24	7:13,23;11:4,4,11;	expires (1)	finding (5)	11:12,18;23:17;
	12:7,9,11,12,17;	35:22.5	14:17,25;15:13,14,	31:10
Ε	13:11,13,16,25;14:11;	explained (1)	15	G
	15:8;22:23	27:4	findings (2)	G
eager (1)	equity (1)	explaining (1)	14:15;15:3	
33:5	30:19	14:12	firm (1)	gain (2)
earlier (2)	Eskimos (1)	explains (1)	9:14	8:14;9:4
17:14;25:17	11:24	3:24	first (8)	genealogists (1)
Echelbaugh (1)	essentially (3)	extensive (1)	2:21;7:22;8:14;	10:2
27:4	11:22;22:24;28:3	19:23	13:10,19;14:16;	general (2)
echoed (1)	establish (2)	extent (1)	29:20;30:15	10:14;23:14
33:6	4:23;6:1	28:16	fix (1)	generally (1)
effect (1)	established (4)	extremely (1)	22:1	23:6
6:19	4:18;20:19;22:24;	24:1	flag (2)	given (2)
effectively (1)	31:15	Б	8:22;9:16	9:5;25:4
6:16	establishing (1)	F	Fleming (8)	Good (10)
efforts (2)	8:17	0 (1)	1:18;2:11;3:10;	2:5,20;3:4,11,16;
18:10;24:21	et (1)	facts (1)	19:24;21:9;31:11;	5:6;8:20;16:12;24:25;
eight (2)	19:4	12:23	32:16;34:13	34:7
29:12,16	evaluate (2)	factual (1)	FLEMMING (4)	Gover (4)
eighth (1)	24:24;33:9	10:18	3:10;5:21;16:12;	5:19,23;17:15;
29:16	evaluating (1)	Fairbanks (4)	33:14	21:16
either (2)	21:22	1:14;2:15;34:3,16	Focus (1)	Governing (3)
12:19;26:24	evaluation (5)	fairly (2)	29:14	13:22,23;14:7
elaborate (1)	12:20;21:5;22:7;	19:23;23:8	focused (1)	government (4)
32:16	23:17;30:22	fairness (2)	8:16	8:18;11:5;12:13,14
election (1)	even (3)	21:2;30:19	focusing (1)	government's (1)
8:14	13:4;25:9;30:20	favorably (1)	29:15	11:19
		•	•	·

Secretary, Indian Affair	'S		-	January 30, 2020
government-to-government (1)	3:17;16:25;17:11	individual (2)	22,25;5:4,7,14,17;6:1,	3:20;17:3,22;19:21;
8:17	hoc (2)	31:20,25	10,12,16,18,24;7:7,	20:4;25:17;28:5
greatly (1)	9:22;31:5	influence (2)	19,20;8:2,19,19;9:2,3,	Kunganks (1)
32:8	hold (1)	13:1,17	5,9,12,21;10:6;11:23;	17:8
group (4)	8:13	influence/authority (1)	12:9;13:9;17:5,9,12,	Kyle (4)
7:8;11:15;27:5;	hopefully (1)	13:16	20;18:20,23;19:18;	1:19.5;2:10;3:8;
32:19	18:13	information (1)	21:12,18,22;23:16,25;	34:12
groups (11)	-	27:23	24:3,8;26:23;27:6,13;	-
4:10,19;7:1,7;	I	initial (2)	28:20;31:17;33:9	L
11:16;18:22,24;19:8,	· (1)	18:4;20:8	IRA-eligible (4)	I H
14;24:2,5 guidance (6)	idea (1) 28:8	input (2) 6:4,13	7:23;11:3,10;13:25 irrelevant (1)	Landing (1) 1:12
5:3;6:11;20:6;	ideas (1)	insight (1)	28:13	language (3)
25:19;26:9,12	27:25	31:9	issue (8)	4:16;18:24;22:19
23.17,20.7,12	Identification (1)	instead (1)	6:9;9:1,17;15:3,12,	large (1)
Н	13:10	29:5	14,14;28:7	7:17
	identified (4)	instructions (5)	issued (1)	last (3)
Haida (1)	19:9;20:11;22:17;	5:3;20:5;23:24;	28:18	28:6,17;34:19
29:23	24:14	26:11;31:19	issues (9)	late (1)
half (1)	identify (2)	intent (1)	10:11;14:15,19;	17:10
21:9	19:16;21:13	27:22	17:9;23:17;28:22,22,	lately (1)
hampered (1)	illustrations (1)	interest (3)	23,25	26:20
22:4	21:8	11:15;18:6;21:2 interested (1)	iteration (1) 32:20	later (2)
hand (1) 35:17.5	impair (1) 7:11	35:16	52:20	13:20;27:2 law (5)
happened (3)	implementing (1)	interesting (1)	J	9:14;10:22;14:24;
22:8;27:22;30:1	6:11	22:18	0	15:1;23:5
hard (1)	important (9)	INTERIOR (8)	January (3)	lawful (3)
32:4	7:19;9:4;19:19;	1:1;3:7;5:10;20:6;	1:11;7:4;34:16	18:16;20:14;33:16
hear (2)	22:1;24:1;25:16;	23:14;25:11,20;26:13	John-Michael (4)	lead (1)
25:16;33:5	26:15;27:10;29:3	internal (1)	1:20.5;2:8;3:4;	9:23
hearing (3)	inapplicable (1)	27:21	34:11	least (1)
10:22;14:24;26:17	4:6	interpretation (7)	join (1)	32:15
hearings (1)	inaudible (1)	9:2,14;11:19;22:17;	16:16	leave (1)
16:7 held (1)	28:7 include (2)	23:24;32:7,7 interpreting (1)	joining (2) 16:14;34:1	31:22 Lee (6)
6:2	8:10;11:3	30:11	joint (2)	1:18;2:11;3:10;
helpful (2)	included (5)	interrupt (1)	17:22;29:25	21:9;31:11;34:13
22:10;25:2	5:22;12:15;15:21;	4:1	judge (3)	left (1)
helps (2)	35:13,13.5	interruption (1)	10:22;14:24;15:1	25:7
10:5,7	includes (1)	8:21	JUDICIAL (1)	legal (3)
hereby (1)	10:17	interruptions (1)	35:4	18:12;22:12;24:4
35:7	including (4)	13:5		legislation (2)
Here's (1)	12:3,7;31:19;35:10	intersects (1)	K	4:9;14:8
20:9	incorporate (1)	31:13	T 7 11 (1)	letter (2)
heretofore (4)	30:10	into (7)	Kawerak's (1)	5:19;27:3
18:25;19:14;23:3; 27:5	incorporates (1) 7:17	10:13,24;11:18; 13:20;18:9;23:13;	30:1 Kevin (2)	light (2) 20:15;27:25
Hey (1)	indeed (3)	30:4	5:19,23	likelihood (1)
29:14	20:14;21:12;24:13	introduce (2)	key (1)	12:22
Hi (2)	INDIAN (13)	3:2,15	32:5	likely (1)
3:16;33:1	1:3,21;2:8,10;3:1,6,	introduced (1)	kicks (1)	22:14
high-level (1)	9;4:4,19;7:25;9:22;	16:23	22:25	Likewise (1)
14:20	11:23;34:9	invested (1)	kind (3)	8:5
hindered (1)	Indians (6)	25:18	28:14;30:7,17	limbo (1)
22:4	4:10;11:8,21,25;	investing (1)	King (5)	29:5
historians (1)	18:25;29:25	27:12	5:11,16;17:13,18;	limited (1)
10:2 historical (1)	indicated (3)	investment (1)	21:7 known (2)	13:5
historical (1) 7:25	19:17;29:17;34:17 indiscernible (10)	21:2 involved (1)	known (2) 4:9;12:2	line (2) 15:7;28:8
history (1)	4:17;14:7;19:5,9,	25:21	4:9;12:2 Kodiak (3)	lines (1)
25:13	11;20:13;29:8,13,20,	IRA (54)	5:11,17;21:7	31:14
Hobbs (3)	20	4:4,9,12,12,16,18,	Kungank (7)	list (5)
	-	, , , ,		<- /

Department of the Interior, Office detherals disk mtwledgment of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020

Min-U-Script®

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC(4) government-to-government - list 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535 Department of the Interior, Office **dfetharalssiskmt**wledgment of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

Secretary, Indian Affair	'S			January 30, 2020
7:9;12:1,2,15;15:21	2:14,16,21,21;3:19;		26:17	19:17;26:22;29:11
listed (1)	15:25;16:15;34:2,15	N.T.	number (1)	organize (8)
		Ν		
16:3	meetings (1)		19:9	4:5,11;17:5;18:23;
lists (1)	6:3	name (3)	0	19:3,8;27:8;30:14
12:6	meets (2)	2:24;12:3;16:24	0	organized (4)
Liz (3)	14:12;24:16	Nation (1)		5:12;9:7;11:6;24:3
2:5,24;34:7	members (4)	29:20	objections (1)	organizing (1)
located (3)	11:8;12:2;13:18;	National (1)	35:10.5	8:16
2:23;7:16;12:11	14:3	29:24	objectives (1)	originally (1)
long (3)	Members' (1)	NATIVE (24)	24:20	32:21
4:13;17:6;19:22	13:25	1:6;2:22;3:19;5:10,	obtaining (1)	otherwise (1)
look (2)	membership (4)	16;6:23,25;7:12,13;	10:21	7:11
23:13;33:10	12:1;13:22;14:2;	8:13;11:22;13:11;	occupation (4)	out (7)
looking (3)	24:9	17:3,7,13,18,21;	4:14;11:7;19:1;	6:16;17:1;22:19;
6:1;21:8;29:3	memos (1)	18:22;20:3;24:2;25:6,	26:24	23:2;31:25;34:2,19
lot (7)	21:12	17;26:18;29:24	occurs (2)	outcome (1)
18:8,8;25:24,24,25;	mentioned (3)	Natives (5)	15:18;16:5	35:16.5
27:12;28:22	10:10;19:21;25:16	4:7;11:16;19:14;	OFA (6)	outside (2)
	met (1)		14:11,12,23;15:8,	12:11;33:9
Μ	21:11	26:20;27:5	12;21:22	outstanding (1)
	methods (1)	Neal (2)	OFA's (4)	6:10
maintained (1)	16:3	5:20;17:16	10:1,10;15:3;21:14	over (3)
maintained (1)		nearly (1)		
13:4	mic (1)	6:9	Off (4)	13:18;20:3;28:3
makes (1)	16:22	necessarily (2)	2:18;16:19;34:5,22	overall (2)
10:23	Michael (2)	17:22;23:15	OFFICE (18)	10:15;13:3
making (1)	3:16;16:25	necessary (2)	1:2,18.5,21,22.5;	overarching (1)
20:24	midway (1)	20:24;29:17	2:6,7,9,12,25;3:5,11;	32:1
mandate (1)	21:19	need (8)	9:20,23;21:9;34:8,9,	overview (1)
20:23	might (2)	6:4,14;7:1;18:23;	12,13	14:20
mandatory (1)	6:7;31:24	20:9;27:18,19;32:24	offices (1)	own (3)
13:7	minutes (1)	needed (2)	24:24	17:9;20:1;22:6
manner (2)	2:16	6:15;26:14	Once (3)	
15:17;20:12	modifications (1)		16:5;20:19;22:23	Р
many (3)	13:9	needs (3)	one (6)	
19:8;24:7;29:10	months (2)	24:18;27:23;30:17	8:22;14:5;27:21;	panelists (1)
March (1)	15:11,13	negative (2)	30:5;31:2;34:14	3:2
16:1	more (7)	14:25;15:4		Part (47)
		neighborhood (2)	ones (1)	
material (2)	4:25;8:24;9:4,16;	4:15;19:2	33:6	2:23;4:18;6:17;
24:1;26:10	14:21;18:1;24:22	new (8)	ongoing (1)	7:16,17,18,22,24;8:4,
materials (4)	morning (3)	6:7;7:5;28:2;29:15,	21:2	7,9,11,12,14,19;9:3,
17:25;20:1,2;31:7	2:5;3:4,11	17;31:6,13;32:24	only (3)	10,11;10:3;11:9;12:9,
matter (1)	most (4)	next (2)	7:7;14:5;22:23	11,18,19,21;13:8,19;
30:19	4:6;6:4;20:7;28:1	15:21,23	opening (1)	17:10;20:1;22:25;
May (10)	mostly (1)	nice (1)	2:14	23:15,16;24:7;26:3;
8:3;9:3,12;11:4;	27:17	3:13	operate (1)	27:8;29:3;30:15,16;
12:13;13:4;16:2;19:3;	motions (1)	noise (1)	26:6	31:1,12;32:18,20,21,
22:3;24:22	35:10.5	19:5	operates (1)	24;33:9,20;34:2
maybe (1)	move (3)	nor (1)	23:15	Partesotti (6)
22:4	26:14;28:24;32:20	35:15.5	opinion (1)	1:20.5;2:9;3:4,5;
McCaleb (2)	moving (2)		9:18	33:1;34:11
5:20;17:17	18:6;22:10	Notarial (1)	opinions (1)	participant (2)
means (2)	much (10)	35:18	24:4	34:15,17
12:15;13:3	3:9,21;16:13;18:5;	Notary (2)	opportunity (4)	participated (2)
measured (2)	21:1;22:11;31:17;	35:6.5,22	8:25;28:23,24;	19:17;31:4
		note (1)		
8:6,8	32:3,11,14	33:4	32:18	participation (2)
mechanism (6)	multiple (1)	noted (2)	opposed (3)	29:9,10
21:5,21;26:23;27:7,	6:2	19:6;21:15	7:24;21:18;30:14	particular (2)
9;31:13	Murkowski's (1)	notice (4)	option (1)	8:23;17:21
mechanisms (2)	26:16	14:13;15:10,12;	14:23	particularly (1)
31:15;33:7	must (3)	28:18	organization (7)	22:2
meet (4)	10:18;13:17;14:2	notion (1)	4:25;9:10;20:16,18,	parties (1)
24:5,5;27:8,13	myself (1)	31:2	19;27:1;30:15	35:15
meeting (9)	16:24	November (1)	organizations (3)	pass (2)
			3 - (-)	• ``

Department of the Interior, Office detherals sistemt wedgement of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020

16:22;22:14 passed (1) 27:7 past (4) 8:15;19:7;20:7; 24:12 path (1) 30:7 pending (11) 6:21;18:17;19:22; 25:8;28:3;29:18; 30:21,24;31:2;32:10, 22 peoples (1) 11:24 perceive (1) 22:12perhaps (2) 22:7;30:4 period (3) 8:5;15:24;20:3 periods (5) 13:5;25:10,22,23, 23 personal (1) 17:9 persons (1) 14:3 perspective (10) 9:5,13:17:19:18:11, 12:19:10:21:14,18; 23:18:32:1 perspectives (1) 21:23 petition (4) 11:9;14:11;15:8,9 petitioned (1) 12:8 petitioner (7) 11:10;12:2;14:7,23; 15:5,18,20 petitioners (8) 10:18;21:11;25:8, 12;26:22;31:2,21; 32:10 Petitioner's (1) 14:2petitioning (1) 32:19 petitions (16) 6:21,23;7:1,2;9:21, 24;10:4;12:10,17; 18:17;28:3;29:14,18; 30:21,24;33:9 ph (1) 27:4 Phase (8) 13:20,21;14:14,16, 22;15:12,14,15 Place (3) 1:12;21:1;29:6 plan (2) 3:23;16:8

plays (2) 23:2:31:25 Please (1) 26:18 PM (2) 34:6.21 point (4) 15:10,19;17:16; 18:18 political (4) 13:1,16,17;28:12 portion (1) 16:15 portrayed (1) 22:22 position (3) 9:14;28:19;32:22 positions (3) 17:23;20:21;29:23 positive (1) 15:19 possibility (1) 31:10 possible (1) 28:16 potential (1) 26:22 **PowerPoint** (1) 3:24 practice (2) 21:4;24:12 practices (2) 8:15:10:7 preamble (4) 23:8;24:13;29:13; 33:5 precedent (4) 23:20;24:17;30:11; 31:18 precedential (1) 32:7 precedents (1) 22:1 present (7) 8:6,8;13:15,18; 23:1;27:7;31:11 presentation (2) 3:24;19:6 presented (3) 21:13;24:25;33:19 presents (1) 8:25 presidential (1) 28:11 presidents (1) 29:21 pretty (1) 25:5 previous (2) 5:9;8:10 previously (8) 4:10;7:1;9:6;18:23; 20:17;23:3;29:11;

30:13 principally (1) 14:3principles (1) 33:19 prior (11) 6:11;9:9;19:15; 20:18;22:2;23:20,20; 24:17;25:12,19;30:10 priorities (1) 28:13 probably (3) 19:24,25;28:12 Procedurally (1) 24.22procedures (5) 13:23;20:23;25:1; 30:22;31:15 proceed (7) 2:15;15:5;20:12; 22:5;24:16;30:24; 31:5 proceedings (7) 35:7.5,10,11.5,13, 13.5,15.5,16.5 proceeds (3) 14:16;15:17;31:13 process (33) 4:18,20,23;5:25; 6:5,7,12,14,15,17;7:5, 17:9:23:10:20,21; 12:21:14:10.21:15:7. 17;18:7,16;21:8,21; 22:25;25:22;27:11; 28:8,9;31:12;32:2,8; 33:15 processed (1) 25:6processes (3) 18:2:29:17:33:8 producing (1) 21:19 professional (2) 10:4,5 promulgated (1) 32:21 proof (1) 12:22 proposal (1) 10:1 **PROPOSED (31)** 1:7;2:23;4:23;7:4,5, 14,16,22;8:2,7,9,12; 9:19,25;10:13,25; 11:3,12,18;12:20; 14:17,21,25;15:13,14, 15,24;16:4,8;22:13; 33:18 provide (2) 18:13:27:22 provided (6) 20:4,6;21:10;26:13; 31:20.20

provides (2) 14:13:15:10 providing (1) 15:12 provision (1) 5:1 provisions (1) 13:9 public (17) 2:16,21,21;3:18; 6:3;14:13;15:10,12, 25;16:6,15;34:2,14, 15,17;35:6.5,22 publicly (1) 21:13 publish (1) 16:9 published (3) 7:4;15:22;29:12 publishes (1) 7:10 purpose (2) 10:15;24:3 purposes (1) 11:23 pursuant (4) 5:12,13;9:7;25:6 pursue (1) 22:6 put (4)19:22:21:1.3:22:19 Q qualified (1) 35:6.5 quiet (1) 25:23 quite (2) 23:5.7 Outekcak (8) 3:19:17:2.7.21: 19:21;20:3;25:17; 28:5 R raise (3) 18:3,20;31:23 raised (1) 21:7 rather (4) 14:6;21:1;31:4,5 Raven (1) 1:12 reach (1) 32:9 reading (1) 9:8 re-affirmation (1) 5:13 reaffirmed (2) 5:20;17:17

really (8) 25:15;29:3;30:8,8, 10.11:32:5:33:2 reason (2) 30:4.5 Reasonable (2) 12:22:31:3 reasons (1) 22:16 receive (2) 7:14;14:25 received (5) 6:4;16:6;27:17; 28:5,18 receives (1) 15:19 recently (1) 9:12 recognition (9) 6:8;8:14;9:9;17:12; 20:18;23:9;26:20; 27:1:30:15 recognitions (1) 7:19 recognize (3) 17:18;19:8;21:17 recognized (27) 4:11;5:7,12;6:6,20; 7:8,9,15;9:6;11:5; 12:12,14,15:15:20,21; 17:14:18:23,25; 19:15:20:17:23:4: 24:2;26:19:27:5; 30:12,13,14 recognizes (2) 19:24;23:6 recognizing (1) 24:18recommendation (3) 9:21:15:1.2 reconsideration (1) 17:16 reconsidered (1) 5:20 record (11) 2:4,14,18;16:17,19, 21;30:5;34:5,18,20,22 redoing (1) 31:7 reduced (1) 35:8 refer (1) 26:10 reference (1) 3:14refers (1) 9:6 reformatting (1) 31:7 regarding (1)

Min-U-Script®

Crystal Thompson Court Reporting Services, LLC 104 Kutter Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99701 -- (907) 460-9535 20:16

Region (1)

30:2

Department of the Interior, Office **dfetharalsSiskmt**wledgment of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020

Secretary, Indian Allan	5			Januar y 30, 2020
		(1)	6.5	0.1.10.4.15.16
regions (1)	requirement (1)	run (1)	6:5	8:1;10:4;15:16;
29:19	20:17	3:23	Seward (2)	18:2;32:2
Register (4)	requirements (3)	rural (2)	3:19;17:3	start (6)
7:10;15:22;16:4,9	7:18;10:18;11:13	4:15;19:3	share (6)	8:1,4;18:19;28:3,4;
regulation (1)	requires (2)	,	9:15;17:19;18:4;	31:6
6:11	7:24;12:24	S	32:2,13;33:3	STATE (2)
		6		35:3,7
regulations (5)	requiring (1)		shared (5)	
4:17;6:1;12:9;28:2;	30:14	safeguard (1)	11:15;17:23;21:23;	statements (1)
30:9	residence (4)	10:5	32:1;33:6	35:10.5
Regulatory (11)	4:14;11:7;19:2;	Salmon (5)	sharing (1)	states (1)
1:22.5;2:6,25;4:20,	26:25	5:11,16;17:13,18;	18:11	11:23
23;6:5,7,14;7:5;25:3;	residential (1)	21:7	shortcomings (1)	statistic (1)
34:8	12:4	same (2)	21:14	5:22
relating (1)	resolve (2)	12:21;30:22	show (3)	statute (7)
12:23	30:21;32:9	satisfy (1)	13:14,17;17:25	7:21;9:8;18:24;
relations (1)	resolved (2)	8:5	side (1)	20:14;23:2;30:13,18
8:17	28:22;29:15	satisfying (1)	23:19	statute's (1)
relationship (1)	resources (2)	29:6	significant (4)	20:16
14:9	25:18;27:12	saying (1)	11:2;24:7;25:5,18	statutory (9)
relative (2)	respect (3)	30:16	similar (1)	9:6,13;18:21;20:23;
35:14.5,15.5	8:22;19:19;26:1	Scherer (6)	33:19	21:22;30:11,23;
reliability (2)	respond (1)	1:19.5;2:10;3:8,8;	simply (1)	31:17;32:6
24:19;33:16	32:15	32:14;34:12	21:20	steps (1)
reliable (3)	response (2)	Scope (1)	site (1)	15:23
18:15;26:2,7	26:16;27:2	12:5	30:4	still (3)
relied (2)	responses (1)	scratch (2)	six (2)	9:17;19:19,19
19:7;26:11	6:13	28:4;31:6	15:11,13	straight (1)
reluctance (1)	resubmit (2)	Seal (1)	size (1)	4:16
22:5	7:2;32:24	35:18	6:19	Strait (1)
			slide (1)	30:2
rely (4)	return (1)	second (6)		
18:8;20:24;22:2;	16:14	10:16;12:25;13:7,	8:23	Straus (3)
24:10	review (14)	20;14:14;16:15	small (1)	3:17;16:25;17:11
relying (1)	8:10;9:20;10:3,3;	secretarial (2)	3:13	Street (1)
5:2	13:20,21;14:13,14,16;	8:13;24:9	Solicitor (8)	1:13
remaining (1)	15:2,9,11;16:7;21:3	SECRETARY (17)	1:19.5;2:10,11;3:6,	submit (3)
16:1	reviewing (3)	1:2;2:7;3:1;5:23;	8;9:23;34:12,13	11:10;30:6;33:10
remove (1)	9:24;10:9;33:10	9:22;10:11,23;14:18;	sort (1)	submits (2)
19:13	revise (1)	15:2;17:15,16;21:16,	24:6	14:11;15:8
re-opening (1)	7:2	17,24;27:3;28:15;	sounds (1)	submitted (8)
16:21	revised (2)	34:9	19:9	6:23;7:1;10:11;
Reorganization (2)	31:1;32:18	section (3)	specific (9)	16:3;25:10;26:10;
4:4;17:12	revision (1)	12:5;23:10,10	18:3,21;20:16;21:5,	30:3;31:7
Reporter (1)	19:4	sections (1)	10;23:12;24:4;26:12;	Subpart (4)
35:6.5	revisions (2)	4:6	31:19	10:14,16,20;23:1
reporting (1)	30:12;33:20	seem (1)	specifically (1)	subparts (1)
2:7	Right (2)	28:10	20:7	10:14
representation (1)	28:3:33:25	seemed (1)	speculation (1)	subsequent (3)
2:13	rights (2)	25:11	22:8	20:8;24:4;27:1
representatives (1)	7:11;29:24	seems (2)	spend (1)	substantial (2)
6:6	roles (1)	21:20;24:25	22:11	19:25;20:4
represented (1)	24:23	select (1)	spring (1)	substantially (2)
17:2	RULE (29)	32:19	6:3	13:2,11
request (2)	1:7;2:23;4:1,23;	Senator (1)	ss (1)	substantive (1)
17:7;21:22	6:25;7:3,4,14,16;8:2,	26:16	35:3.5	10:17
requested (2)	7;9:19,25;10:13,25;	services (1)	standard (28)	sum (1)
17:4;35:12.5	11:3,12,18;12:7;	7:15	4:22;6:18;7:6,21;	19:25
requests (7)	14:21;15:24;16:4,8,9;	session (1)	12:22;13:13;18:21,	summer (1)
6:10,21;9:24;17:6,	22:13;24:16;28:2;	2:21	21;19:7,13,18;20:13,	6:2 S
12;20:8;25:10	29:15;33:18	set (2)	19,25;21:12,23;22:18,	Sunaq (3)
require (1)	rulemaking (1)	7:21;35:17.5	20,23;23:12,17,23;	5:11,17;21:7
7:23	6:24	seven (2)	24:6,11,24;27:14;	superimposition (1)
required (1)	rules (1)	29:12,16	30:10;31:24	23:16
9:9	12:21	several (1)	standards (5)	support (1)
			, í	•• \ \ (

Secretary, Indian Affair	s		1	January 30, 2020
26:5	track (1)	28:21,23,25	35:17.5	1900 (2)
sure (2)	13:7	up (8)	whole (1)	8:4,8
5:21;30:1	transcript (3)	7:21;20:23;26:6;	27:5	1934 (4)
system (1)	35:9.5,10,13	29:2;30:7;31:4,9;33:3	WILLIS (10)	4:3,18;7:19;12:9
21:25	transcripts (1)	upon (4)	3:16,17;5:6,24;	1936 (14)
	16:6	11:15;19:7;20:24;	8:20;16:23,25;32:25;	4:8;8:3,6;11:5;
Т	transparency (1)	24:10	33:13,22	12:13,16;13:12,14,18;
	33:16	urged (1)	within (6)	14:1;22:23;23:1,22;
talk (1)	transparent (1)	6:9	4:14;5:8;10:7;	27:7
8:24	18:7	USC (1)	15:11,13;26:6	1937 (2)
talking (1)	traumatic (1)	23:10	without (2)	5:2;23:23
22:11	28:1	used (1)	29:11,17	1978 (1)
team (1)	TRIBAL (7)	21:5	WITNESS (1)	32:22
17:10	1:10;2:13,15;6:2;	uses (1)	35:17.5	1990s (1)
technical (3)	19:16;26:21;29:11	20:13	wonderful (1)	17:10
14:22;20:10;26:12	Tribe (20)	20.15	28:8	1993 (1)
teleconference (1)	3:19,20;5:11,17;	V	work (9)	17:7
		•		1 /./ 1996 (1)
15:25	7:25;11:6;12:12;14:4;	1. 1.4 (4)	10:10;17:6,9;18:8;	
term (3)	15:20;17:3,3,7,21,22;	validity (1)	20:2;22:25;28:15;	26:11
9:6;11:3;25:4	20:3,4;25:17,18;	12:23	30:11;32:4	1st (2)
terminated (1)	26:23;30:13	valuable (1)	worked (2)	8:3;11:4
14:8	Tribes (22)	32:5	17:11;30:10	
terms (14)	4:5,11,20,22;6:6,8,	various (3)	working (5)	2
9:7,13;17:23;20:2,	20;7:9,12,15;9:6;	24:23;29:19;31:9	17:20;18:14;21:21;	
16;22:21;23:3,8;24:6,	12:16;15:21;19:1,16,	vehicle (1)	25:4;26:3	2 (4)
17;25:1;26:11;30:23;	22;20:16;23:3;26:18,	25:4	workload (1)	13:20;14:16;15:15;
31:17	21;29:10;31:25	version (1)	15:9	23:10
test (2)	true (1)	32:19	Wrangell (1)	2000 (6)
22:14;24:17	35:9.5	viable (1)	29:22	5:18,19,23;17:17;
Thanks (1)	turn (2)	25:4	wrap (2)	22:2,8
33:12	22:3;25:5	view (11)	29:2;30:7	2001 (1)
thereafter (1)	two (2)	5:10;9:8;19:12;	written (4)	17:8
35:8	5:21;25:8	20:20;22:12;23:21;	18:1;31:9,23;33:6	2002 (2)
thereof (1)	typewriting (1)	26:1;30:8,19;31:8,11	10.1,51.9,25,55.0	5:20;17:15
35:16.5	35:8	views (4)	Y	2007 (1)
third (1)		17:21;18:12;21:13;		17:2
13:2	U	22:7	years (1)	2008 (2)
Thompson-Bartlett (2)	0	Village (4)	27:2	17:3;25:21
	ultimately (3)			2009 (1)
35:6,21.5		5:11,16;17:13,18	you-all (4)	
though (2)	14:18;20:20;21:20	W	20:24;22:9;25:15;	26:17
13:4;25:9	under (36)	vv	31:10	2012 (1)
thought (1)	3:1;4:12,21,25;		1	26:15
18:9	6:24;7:6,13;8:3,6,7,	walked (1)	1	2015 (1)
thoughts (1)	14;9:9,19,22,25;10:3;	8:23		33:20
18:5	12:7,9,10,18,19;17:5;	Walker (3)	1 (5)	2018 (1)
three (4)	19:4;20:22,25;21:4,	3:17;17:1,11	13:21;14:14;15:12,	6:2
10:13;13:19;14:16,	17;23:10,15;27:6;	Washington (2)	14;34:2	2019 (1)
18	28:19;30:15,23;31:6;	3:18;17:1	1:41 (1)	6:3
Thursday (1)	32:20,24	way (3)	34:6	2020 (2)
1:11	understood (1)	22:22;30:23;31:5	1:42 (1)	1:11;35:18
timely (3)	30:2	Web (1)	34:21	25 (3)
15:17;18:16;33:16	unfavorably (1)	30:4	10:24 (2)	1:7;2:23;23:10
tiny (1)	29:1	welcome (2)	2:3,17	26th (1)
29:14	uniform (3)	2:20;16:16	10:25 (1)	35:18
Tlingit (1)	10:6;26:2,8	welfare (1)	2:19	2nd (2)
29:23	uniformity (4)	4:6	10:50 (1)	7:4;16:1
today (5)	24:19;25:14;31:16;	well-defined (3)	16:18	,
3:14,23;4:24;16:14;	33:17	4:15;19:2;26:25	10:51 (1)	3
3.14,23,4.24,10.14, 34:1	unless (1)		16:20	
		what's (2) 4:0:26:14		30 (1)
together (1)	34:19	4:9;26:14	11:17 (1)	30(1)
19:23	unlike (1)	whereas (1)	34:4	1:11
topic (1)	23:11	8:7	1222 (1)	30th (1)
19:16	unresolved (3)	WHEREOF (1)	1:13	34:16
-	1	1	l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Department of the Interior, Office detharals sisk mtwledgment of Alaska Native Entities Secretary, Indian Affairs

Proposed Rule - 25 CFR 82 January 30, 2020

Secretary, maian minan	5		
4			
40 (1)			
32:24			
5			
54 (2)			
32:21,24			
6			
5th (1) 16:1			
7			
70 (4) 4:25;5:8;19:9;25:5			
75 (1) 5:7			
8			
81 (7)			
8:14,19;9:3,10,11; 30:15,16			
82 (8)			
1:7;2:23;7:16,22; 8:9,12;12:11,18			
82.21a5 (1)			
11:20			
83 (23) 4:18;6:17;7:18,24;			
8:4,7,11;10:3;12:9,19,			
21;13:8;22:25;23:1,			
15,16;27:8;31:1,12; 32:18,20;33:9,20			
9			
9 (1) 23:10			
9/15/2022 (1)			
35:22.5			
99701 (1) 1:14			