1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
2	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS
3	TRIBAL LAW AND ORDER ACT CONSULTATION
4	
5	
6	
7	Miccosukee Resort & Gaming
8	500 Southwest 177th Avenue
9	Miami, Florida 33194
10	Thursday, October 28, 2010
11	1:00 p.m 5:00 p.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PANEL MEMBERS:
2	
3	DARREN CRUZEN, DEPUTY BUREAU DIRECTOR
4	CARLA FLANNAGAN, ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS
5	JIMMY GIBSON, JR., SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
6	GAYE L. TENOSO, DEPUTY OFFICER
7	SUSAN KAROL, CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had.)
2	MR. CRUZEN: We have the Indian Country
3	doing these consultation on the Tribal Law
4	and Order Act we've often said we have to
5	start off the right way with a prayer. And
6	Officer Nicole from the Miccosukee Tribe has
7	offered to say a blessing, so if we could do
8	that.
9	(Thereupon, a Prayer was said and the proceedings
10	continued as follows:)
11	MR. CRUZEN: Thank you very much.
12	Thank you to the Miccosukee Tribe for
13	hosting this; you've been very gracious to
14	do that for us. We are honored to have
15	Chairman Billie with us who is going to say
16	a few words. So Chairman Billie, thank you
17	again for being here, it's very much an
18	honor. And we turn it over to you, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN BILLIE: From here.
20	MR. CRUZEN: Wherever you are most
21	comfortable.
22	CHAIRMAN BILLIE: Tribal leaders,
23	Tribal representatives, all government
24	representatives that are here with us, my
25	name is Agnes Billie. I'm the Chairman of

1	the Business Consult for the Miccosukee
2	Tribe of the Indians of Florida. And I just
3	want to say welcome to Miccosukee. The
4	Everglades is my home as well the home of
5	the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida.
6	I just want to say that where you are you're
7	on the eastern edge of the Florida
8	Everglades. If you've never been out here
9	before, I know that this is a one-day
10	meeting and if you get a chance to come back
11	on a different day I hope you can come out
12	and visit the main headquarters which is
13	approximately 18 miles west of here. That's
14	where our main community is located as well
15	as our Tribal administration building,
16	that's where my office is located. And I
17	just wanted to say welcome. As far as this
18	consultation that's taking place, as a
19	Tribal leader and as Tribal Chairman I
20	wanted to say Tribal leaders and
21	representatives, I know that this federal
22	legislation that was passed, which is the
23	law and order legislation, I understand that
24	each tribe can voluntarily adopt. Before
25	you do that it's my recommendation or

suggestion that you proceed cautiously. 1 We're taking a look at it. And there are some things that I've seen that makes me 3 feel a little uncomfortable. Some of the 4 5 things are the reporting departments and the 6 sharing departments. Those things, you 7 know, you need to take a look at with your 8 Tribal lawyers and discuss it with your 9 counsel. But I would just want to make a 10 suggestion that you proceed cautiously on 11 this. I just want to say thank you and 12 welcome to Miccosukee. 13 MR. CRUZEN: Again, Chairman, thank you 14 very much. And as I was saying to you 15 earlier it's an honor to have you here. 16 We've had consultations across the country 17 for the last three weeks. And you know it's 18 been attended, extremely well but one of the 19 things that was noticeably missing, I think, 20 in a lot of locations were the Tribal 21 leaders. And so to me it says a lot about 22 your commitment to law enforcement on your 23 reservation and safeguarding your people and 24 that you're here and that you're willing to -- I know your time is very busy, you'd 25

1	probably want to be in a thousand other
2	places right now so I appreciate you taking
3	your time as scheduled to be with us as long
4	as you can. I understand that you may have
5	to leave and I completely understand that.
6	But thank you again for being here. As I
7	said, we've done these now in California,
8	Montana, Minneapolis, Albuquerque, Oklahoma
9	and here. And it's been received extremely
10	well as we go around. I know it's a new Law
11	and Order Act. It was just signed in in
12	July by the President. So if you've read
13	it, if you've had an opportunity to read it
14	it's a bit overwhelming, it can be
15	there's a lot to it. And so and before
16	we get started here what I wanted to do is
17	to make sure that there was an understanding
18	that these consultations are just exactly
19	that. They are consultations. Nothing is
20	in stone right now as far as a way forward
21	for us and I'm very much interested in
22	hearing your thoughts and opinions. And as
23	I said, I know that there's a lot to this
24	Tribal Law and Order Act. And there's a
25	good possibility that you haven't had an

1	opportunity to read through the whole thing
2	and digest it. So my hope is today that we
3	can share some of the, you know, top issues
4	that we need to look at immediately that
5	have a time on them and reporting, that we
6	have to report back on. And you know what
7	I'm sorry, I didn't introduce myself, my
8	name is Darren Cruzen. I'm the director for
9	law enforcement for the Bureau of Indian
10	Affairs Office of Justice Services. And
11	I've been there a whole three weeks. So I
12	may not have all of the answers for you, but
13	I can only tell you one thing that I do come
14	here with is a sincere desire to work
15	collectively and collaboratively with our
16	Tribal partners, our DOJ partners, our
17	Indian Health Services partners, our SAMHSA
18	partners and anybody else that is willing to
19	help me to do what we are trying to do to
20	improve the quality of life of our community
21	members across the country. Just a little
22	bit about myself, so you don't think that I
23	completely have no idea what is going on in
24	the country. I spent about ten years prior
25	to leaving the BI, with the BI, I started my

1	law enforcement career about 18 years ago in
2	for city police department in the
3	Midwest. And in my tribe the Miami Nation,
4	they don't if you say Miami in Oklahoma
5	they are ready to fight. So it's Miami in
6	Oklahoma but so the Miami Nation called
7	and asked if I would come and be part of
8	their police department which is where I'm
9	enrolled. So I did that and then I attended
10	the Indiana Police Academy. And while I was
11	there I was hired as BI police officer GS
12	I like to say GSIOU1 but it was the GS3 and
13	I worked my way through. I was a training
14	sergeant at our Indiana Police Academy. And
15	then was the Regional Agent in charge for
16	our Portland office. And from there I was
17	the Chief of Police at the Crow Indian
18	reservation in Montana. And then was
19	brought over by the then acting director of
20	law enforcement to Washington to serve as
21	the senior advisor. And then from there
22	went over to so I was with the BI for
23	about ten years. And then from there went
24	over to work my last four and a half years
25	was at the Pentagon in Washington, D.C. I

1	was the Assistant Special Agent in charge
2	for the criminal investigations there. And
3	also did protecting missions all over the
4	world for some of our high level DOD
5	officials. So world traveler now and back
6	to the BIA and back three and a half weeks
7	ago, maybe four weeks now. I guess I've
8	been saying three and a half weeks but maybe
9	four weeks now. But I could say I come back
10	with a sincere optimism this Tribal Law and
11	Order Act I think once you hear about it,
12	once you start learning a little bit more
13	what it has to offer I think you'll share my
14	excitement. And Chairman, I agree with what
15	you said, there are some things that are
16	unknown right now and we're trying to work
17	through that. So hopefully we can answer
18	some of those question for you but those are
19	very smart words and advice that you gave.
20	With that, one other thing that I would
21	like to do, is let you know that we do have
22	a court reporter here that's typing away
23	right over here feverishly every word that
24	we say. So I know that she would appreciate
25	as we move through this and if you have

1	comments or suggestions or thoughts or
2	questions, if you could, before you speak if
3	you could give your name at which she can
4	log it and then after that every time that
5	you as we move through the day, if you
6	have another comment if you could again
7	announce yourself so she doesn't have to try
8	and remember who is who, that would be very
9	helpful. What I'd like to do is start on at
10	this end of the table, let everybody
11	introduce themselves and then what I'd like
12	to do is if there's any other Tribal leaders
13	in the room that have anything that they
14	want to say or start out with we'll do that
15	and then we'll have our Department of
16	Justice friends out here kind of giving an
17	overview of the Tribal Law and Order Act.
18	And we'll progress through the day. So if
19	that's okay, Gaye can you introduce
20	yourself.
21	MS. TENOSO: Sure. Hello, my name is
22	Gaye Tenoso. I'm a citizen of the Citizen
23	Potawatomi Nation in Oklahoma. I belong to
24	the Thunder clan. I'm also Deputy Director
25	at the Office of Tribal Justice in the U.S.

- 1 Department of Justice.
- 2 MS. FLANAGAN: Good afternoon. My name
- 3 is Carla Flanagan. I'm the Associate
- 4 Director of Corrections and Bureau of Indian
- 5 Affairs.
- 6 MR. GIBSON: Hello, my name is Jimmy
- Gibson. I'm a member of the (Inaudible)
- 8 Indians. I'm the district to -- special
- 9 district six, special agent in charge.
- 10 MS. KAROL: Good afternoon. My name is
- 11 Susan Karol. I'm an enrolled member of the
- 12 Tuscarora Indian Nation which is in New
- 13 York. I'm also the Chief Medical Officer
- 14 for the Indian Health and Service based in
- 15 Rockefeller.
- MR. CAULUM: My name is Andy Caulum.
- 17 I'm with the Office of the Solicitor
- 18 Division of Indian Affairs in Washington.
- 19 MR. CRUZEN: Thank you very much. And
- I think that, we did this the other day, we
- 21 had about 120 in the room and it was very
- 22 difficult for our court reporter to keep up.
- 23 But with the size of the room that we have
- in here if maybe we could just go around. I
- 25 think we probably can do this fairly easy,

- 1 so if we can just start with you.
- 2 MR. COLLINS: Daniel Collins, Chief of
- 3 Public Safety for the Manshantucket Pequot.
- 4 MR. GONZALEZ: Xavier Gonzalez, I'm the
- 5 Interim Chief of Police of the Miccosukee
- 6 Tribe.
- 7 MR. CARROLL: Kitcki Carroll, Executive
- 8 Director of United South and Eastern Tribes.
- 9 MR. LATCHFORD: Will Latchford, Chief
- of Police of the Seminole of Tribe of
- 11 Florida.
- MS. BILLIE-MOTLOW: Agnes
- 13 Billie-Motlow, Legal Department, Tribe of
- 14 Florida.
- 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible), Seminole
- 16 Tribe of Florida.
- 17 MS. BILLIE-MOTLOW: Agnes Billie-Motlow
- 18 Legal Department, Seminole Tribe of Florida.
- 19 MR. KAMEN: Michael Kamen, outside
- 20 counsel for the Seminole Tribe of Florida.
- 21 MS. WILLIAMS: Officer Nicole Williams,
- 22 Miccosukee Police department.
- MR. ROMAN: Bernie Roman, Miccosukee
- 24 Tribe. I'm an attorney.
- MR. IMPSON: I'm Bob Impson. I'm the

1	Deputy Regional Director of the Bureau of
2	Indian Affairs in Nashville, Tennessee.
3	MR. NEVES: Keith Neves, the Seminole
4	Agency Bureau of Indian Affairs
5	Superintendent.
6	MR. OSCEOLA: Curtis Osceola,
7	Miccosukee Tribe.
8	MR. SOTO: Good afternoon, Fernando
9	Soto, Sergeant for Miccosukee Police.
10	MR. STINSON: Brad Stinson Court
11	(unintelligible) Department.
12	MR. HAMMONDS: Larry Hammonds, Police
13	Chief of Porch Creek.
14	MR. PANTHER: Richard Panther, Eastern
15	Cherokee.
16	MR. CRUZEN: All right. Well, thank
17	you very much and pretty I have a pretty
18	diverse group. That's BIA folks and USEF
19	and regional directors and folks from all
20	over, some attorneys. That's great. Okay.
21	So what I'd like to do then if there's any
22	other Tribal leaders in the room that would
23	like to maybe begin with comments our

thoughts or concerns or questions, kind of

open that up for them in the beginning.

24

1	Okay. I don't see anybody, so what we'll do
2	is we'll go ahead and start down here with
3	the Office of Justice Programs, if you could
4	give in depth detail four/five hour
5	description of the Tribal honor program.
6	MS. TENOSO: Actually what we've tried
7	to do did everybody pick up one of these
8	at the front table. What we tried to do
9	this is a PowerPoint. And since I don't
10	like PowerPoints I turned it into a handout,
11	but we tried to do is boil it down to what
12	Tribal Law and Order Act changes, what used
13	to be the case and what is new that's in the
14	Tribal Law and Order Act. As Darren pointed
15	out earlier there's a whole lot in this
16	bill. And it's I understand that it will
17	be a lot easier to offer input about how the
18	various departments represented here can
19	implement these provisions. If we
20	understand exactly what it is we've been
21	told by Congress to do. As you may know a
22	couple of slides a couple of the slides
23	deal with the bill to which the Tribal Law
24	and Order Act was attached so that it could
25	get passed. And that's actually amendments

1	to the Indian Arts and Crafts Act. So I'm
2	actually going to skip those two slides
3	because we're not really here to consult
4	about those. But it does show what the
5	changes are before and after. There's two
6	slides to that. So I'm going to start with
7	the bottom two slides on the handout.
8	And let's see, the first one is not
9	very tough. And we really don't have to do
10	any consulting on it. It just confirms what
11	happened in 2005. The BIA changed their
12	Office of Law Enforcement Services, the name
13	of it changed to the Office of Justice
14	Services. So it codifies that, it a new
15	law. And on the second one and in that
16	section 211(b) the there's new
17	responsibilities given to that office which
18	includes: dispatch services, 911 emergency
19	services and training for access to the
20	National Crime Information Center. Section
21	211(b) of the act, we're still on that,
22	here's some of the other new duties of the
23	Office of Justice Services, and that is the
24	collection, analysis and reporting of crime
25	data. This is a very, very important, I

1	understand that Chairman Billie had some
2	concerns about some of the data sharing, but
3	I want to sort of try to help you understand
4	why this is so important. The Department of
5	Justice has certain grants and awards that
6	are made to Law Enforcement Services and in
7	Indian Country. And in order and those
8	are based on these the amounts are based
9	on in order to be eligible the department
10	has to have this data about the crime rates
11	on the reservations to be eligible for these
12	grants. That's one of the reasons it's very
13	important to have this data. Also, very
14	important, I think for your purposes to have
15	data input in these into these systems
16	because one of the things the statute tries
17	to do is to make sure that Tribal Law
18	Enforcement Services and Tribal court
19	systems have the ability not only to input
20	their information into the systems but also
21	to access it so that if a Tribal officer
22	pulls someone over, they'll be able to
23	access the same crime data basis that State
24	and local and Federal Law Enforcement
25	officers resort to to find out whether the

1	person they stopped maybe has an outstanding
2	warrant on them and that sort of thing. So
3	collection, analysis and reporting of crime
4	data I think is very important. And you
5	have training responsibilities for that.
6	Reporting the UCR crime data to FBI, CJIS on
7	a tribe-by tribe basis. And it needs to be
8	split up by tribe. And I think one of the
9	problems that was causing the grant issue
10	was that it was being reported in an
11	aggregated way so the individual tribes
12	weren't getting their eligibility for these
13	grants. So I guess also they're going to
14	have to write a report every year to
15	Congress talking about the unmet need in the
16	areas of tribal law enforcement,
17	prosecution, judicial corrections and other
18	resources that make up our Indian Country
19	Justice systems. And I think, you know,
20	this is very important to you and to
21	Congress because if Congress needs to know,
22	okay, if there are especially personnel
23	shortages because they can't be funded, then
24	that's going to help Congress decide
25	hopefully to give us the money that we need

to make up this things, to fill up those
vacancies.

3 MR. CARROLL: Can I ask you a question?

4 MS. TENOSO: Sure.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARROLL: I'm sorry to interrupt but you mentioned a couple of times now, you referred to grants, I know the whole issue of the funding of Tribal Law and Order Act has not been appropriated at this point in time. The question I have is when you're using this language -- I'm sorry Kitcki Carroll, with the South and Eastern tribes, when you're using this language of grants when the Tribal Law and Order Act is passed one of the things that they were highlighting and celebrating is that this was a celebration because the government was given us it's trust and responsibility as it relates to law enforcement in the Indian Country. My concern though, and I'm looking for some clarification is, when in assuming that the monies are going to be appropriated for carrying out all of these things that are out -- I mean, in the acts, is it going

to be through a competitive grant process?

Because the concern that I have is that 1 within just the USEF area we have 25 tribes 3 that have very increased ability to write strong grant reports. And I don't think 4 5 that the intent of the legislation would be 6 to allow for certain tribes to receive money 7 simply because of their ability to write 8 stronger grants and then tribes that don't 9 have that resource to not receive any money. 10 So can you clarify what the intentions are 11 as far as monies getting out to the new 12 country if the vehicle that is going to be 13 used is a grant process? 14 MS. TENOSO: I think, and you could 15 feel free to jump in, but my understanding 16 of funding is that there are various sources 17 of funding. The Department of Justice's 18 main source of funding is through our grant 19 programs. We just -- I think under 20 consolidated grant program this year ordered 21 nearly \$127 million to numerous tribes. I 22 wish I can remember the number. I think 23 that the crime reporting data is for these, 24 what are called burnt grants; and I apologize I'm not actually in the office of 25

1	Justice Service or that familiar with the
2	grants but the ones that are were with
3	the crime data is needed that's already
4	funded. And also the included in that
5	consolidated grant allocation for earlier
6	this year were, for example, the cops grants
7	for community community oriented policing
8	services, which do fund a lot of law
9	enforcement officer positions in Tribal
10	police departments. Now the Bureau of
11	Indian Affairs, through its Office of
12	Justice Services, also has a more regular
13	kind of funding; is that correct? So it's a
14	combination not only of grants. While this
15	bill is not an appropriations bill, a lot of
16	what it does is it builds on existing
17	programs and I think it makes them more
18	readily available here by including the
19	individual tribe criminal reporting data,
20	this opens up a grant program for tribes
21	that they're not eligible for now because
22	they don't have data. Does that help answer
23	your questions?
24	MR. CRUZEN: Well, and also as she said
25	that the Tribal Law and Order Act, that does

1	not come with appropriations. There are a
2	lot of things in here and primarily what
3	this says I think or as I understand it is
4	if it allows us to better streamline and
5	work together with things that we're already
6	should be doing, you know, collecting and
7	most all are already reporting, whether it's
8	internally and some are everybody is
9	capturing that, but not everybody is
10	reporting. So what this Tribal Law and
11	Order Act is is it tries to better paint the
12	picture of what's going on in the country
13	with the things we're already doing. And as
14	we move forward, we spoke, there are reports
15	that as you read through here, where we're
16	required to submit to Congress here and one
17	of those is our unmet needs. So as we work
18	together with I mean really it's a
19	numbers driven and, you know, in order for
20	us to go out to Congress and say, look,
21	we've been saying here are the problems and
22	here are the numbers to back that up. And I
23	know that historically there has been a
24	concern about some tribes that, you know,
25	well you are using these numbers and it's

1	not equitable, how are you using the numbers
2	to get the budget up that it's not equitable
3	how the funding goes out to tribes. And I
4	can't really speak to how that has been done
5	in the past. But one of the things that I'm
6	looking to do is and I love this
7	collaboration consultation concept. To me,
8	and I saw this at the department of defense
9	work time and time again, is if I come to
10	the table with an idea alone and say, here's
11	what we're going to do and here's the
12	formula that we're going to use to do it.
13	It doesn't have to be funding. It can be
14	anything. This is how we're going to, you
15	know, build this phone. It's only as good
16	or as smart the idea as I am. But if and
17	it just boggles my mind that we don't do
18	this more often. And I can assure you under
19	my time here as the director that's the
20	direction I'm going is to have more of these
21	consultations because I know one of the
22	things that makes me the most optimistic is,
23	as I travel around and as I've been the
24	director and attended these meetings is, the
25	gist and it's evident in the room as we went

1	around and talked the Tribal Law and Order
2	Act, you can say already is a success in the
3	fact that there are very, very smart people
4	from all over the Indian country, from all
5	over the Department of Justice, from our
6	IHS, SAMHSA across the board that are on the
7	hook, if you will, or responsible now to
8	work together and should have been happening
9	but this is the you know, the tool I
10	guess, if you will, that's going to bring us
11	together to work on these issues. And so
12	one of the things, and you'll have to
13	forgive me too, if you have children have
14	seen the movie Up where the dog is running
15	around and one of them will say squirrel and
16	the dog will look over there and get off
17	track? Sometimes I do that. Please don't
18	mistake that for anything other than my
19	excitement for what we have to do here. So
20	I'm energetic about it. So getting back on
21	track here, one of the things that working
22	together I want to do as we move forward
23	since you started touching upon that, I want
24	to get Tribal leadership from across Indian
25	countries, to sit down in a working group

setting to help me figure out what is a fair 1 2 way to do this. You know, people will say, 3 all right, well, it's a number of people on the reservation. Okay, that's one way. 4 Some people will say, well, it's the 5 6 highways and people that transverse through 7 you on the reservation, you've got to count that. Well, it's a number, it's number of 8 9 acres. Well, it's the number of population 10 that gets based on from Tribal casinos, 11 Tribal gaming, whatever. If you ask 50 12 different people what the formula is, 50 different people will tell you, well, this 13 14 is what it is. So I don't want to be the 15 guy responsible in D.C. That has to 16 explain, well, here's my idea. This is what 17 I thought made the most sense. I want to 18 bring smart people, very smart, smarter than I am, together from Indian country, across 19 20 the country, to say, all right, let's talk 21 about this formula, let's come up once and 22 for all with a formula that you plug in all 23 of these wonderful variables that we come up 24 with and then at the end it spits out a number and says, all right, here's what it 25

should be. So you'll know I'm not looking 1 2 at starting from zero and, you know, based 3 funding is based funding. But what I'm looking for is a way forward, how do we do 4 5 that, how do we do that that's fair and 6 equitable across and I also know that not 7 everybody is going to agree. And I get 8 that; but I think if we can bring people in 9 that have an understanding of what the needs 10 are out there that we can build a formula 11 that will meet everyone's needs and will be 12 fair and equitable. So I -- you know, to get back to your question this Tribal Law 13 14 and Order Act doesn't come with funding. 15 But the results of the Tribal Law and Order 16 Act is how we move forward to address our 17 needs in the future is how I see it. Yes, 18 sir you have a question in the back. MR. OSCEOLA: Yes, Curtis Osceola, 19 20 Miccosukee Tribe. The lady to your left or 21 your right was talking about the information 22 sharing and that it's for own my good that I 23 can share this data and information but, you 24 know, I fully disagree with your statement that it's for our own good. I don't think 25

it's automatically a beneficial statement 1 2 and, you know, the tribe, in particular the 3 Miccosukee Tribe, the State or local law enforcement agencies, you know, are always 4 5 trying to get into our business and data and 6 some of our police information. 7 share certain things, but I don't think that 8 it's good for us to share everything that 9 these agencies to want to get into. And I 10 don't think it's a fair statement to say to 11 us that it's for our own good. 12 MR. CRUZEN: And let me just address 13 something really quick. And maybe -- I 14 think at least from my prospective of what 15 I'm looking at is the -- you know, boils 16 down -- since we are talking about law 17 enforcement, it's an officer safety issue 18 that we're looking at primarily from BIA is that if an officer pulls a car over and is 19 20 walking after that car and can run the 21 license plate and say, okay, this car is 22 stolen or this car is registered to this 23 person who has a warrant for assault on a 24 police officer or has, you know, just robbed a bank or something like that, that's the 25

kind of information I think that we're 1 2 primarily concerned with as it relates back 3 to officer safety. So if that maybe clears 4 that up. 5 MR. OSCEOLA: I think so. But when, 6 you know, traffic stops occur, you know, you 7 can deal with it as a local matter. And 8 sometimes we do that, you know, we have our 9 own Tribal Law and Order Acts and some of 10 our members can be subject to that and in 11 some cases it's nobody's business. 12 MS. TENOSO: Any more questions? I'm 13 going to keep going through the statute but 14 feel free to interrupt me with a question or 15 a comment at any time. One of the -- and 16 I'll pick up on Darren's reference to our 17 collaboration. In the next slide the 18 Departments of Interior and the Department 19 of Justice are working together and they 20 obviously will be consulting with you about 21 this too. The need to develop a long-term 22 tribal detention plan and report to Congress 23 back on that plan within one year of the 24 laws passed. So that's one of the -- one of the areas where we would like to have tribal 25

1 input.

MR. CARROLL: Can you clarify one thing 3 for me before you go further, Kicki Carroll Southern and Eastern Tribes, a significant 4 5 portion of this language in this legislation 6 relies upon inner agency, inner government 7 collaboration and you've already spoken to 8 that. That's very clear with the language. 9 And you also made a comment a little bit ago 10 as far as these are things that should have 11 been happening and for whatever reason had 12 not. I guess I'm interested in hearing what 13 is it that's changing beyond the act itself 14 and the reporting requirements within the 15 act that are going to cause that 16 relationship and that partnership to be any 17 different than it has been at this point. 18 Because we've heard over and over from the 19 prospective of the FBI, which has not 20 fulfilled its commitments, when you talk 21 about two-way states what states have not 22 done their responsibility, jurisdictional 23 responsibility, so these responsibilities 24 and accountabilities were there to we begin with. It's just that they weren't being 25

1	fulfilled to the extent that they should
2	have been. So what is it that's changing
3	that, if the majority of this legislation is
4	required upon that collaboration, what is it
5	that's changing from yesterday to today that
6	going to cause that to be successful, where
7	in the past it's failed in many instances
8	beyond just saying there's a reporting of
9	requirements to the act. Because you're
L 0	talking about more effort, more energy
L1	that's going to need to be put forward but
L2	you've already made a comment, this is not
L3	an appropriations bill. So there's no
L4	financial resources coming to the table to
L5	help with any of these requirements that are
L6	being put forth. In addition to that, we
L7	already know in talking individually whether
L8	it's the BIA or IHS or whomever, DOJ, that
L9	there's a human capital deficiency already
20	in place that presents it from doing some of
21	the things it was supposed to. So there's
22	no additional monies coming in to add
23	resources to the mix, how do you foresee
24	that this is going to I mean, I don't
25	want to sound like if I'm pessimistic at

all, I just want a better understanding as 1 to how this is going to work in reality. MS. FLANAGAN: If I could just take a 3 stab at a couple of those issues. One of 4 the things that the bill is consistent in 5 6 doing is sharing the responsibility for individual or for task areas between the 8 Department of Interior and the Department of 9 Justice or IHS or SAMHSA. And it outlines 10 it, specifically, whereas it would have 11 previously said for instance the secretary 12 of interior, the assistant secretary of 13 Indian Affairs is responsible for, now it 14 enjoins the attorney general and other 15 people or the director by IHS. It also 16 places some responsibility, at least in the 17 detention area, and that's what I'm most 18 familiar with but it permeates throughout the bill, it also requires us to cooperate 19 20 and it lays out specifics and it will be in 21 consultation with tribes. I think that we 22 have identified that -- at least in Indian 23 Affairs we haven't always been, at least for 24 the Office of Justice Services I'll say, we haven't always been tuned in enough to 25

1	consult with tribes on matters that we deal
2	with. And now we're required and can be
3	held accountable to the law. So I think
4	that that's what's changed. Now what's
5	changed in actuality is we have our partners
6	at the table during these consultations and
7	for the past five and this one, we've been
8	here together. When we've been in D.C. we
9	meet regularly, at least on the detention
LO	plan and there are other issues, on the
L1	accountability issues, on the information
L2	sharing. Apart, separate there are work
L3	groups that are chaired by the deputy
L4	attorney general and the deputy secretary of
L5	interior. They cover the most many of
L6	the areas of the bill that predates the
L7	bill. So if you're asking what's concrete
L8	and happening with that, we know each
L9	other's names, we have relationships and
20	guidelines and we're going to we're
21	committed to working together to get these
22	things done. And also the responsibility is
23	shared, so it's not just on the burden of
24	one agency. It's a recognition that the
25	federal government, in its entirety, those

Τ	pertinent agencies need to work together. I
2	hope that's how there are probably some
3	more examples that I could give you once I
4	go through them and think of them. And I
5	just want to kind of touch on your comment.
6	You couldn't be more right about the issue
7	about the superior grant writers within
8	tribes and account superior grant writers
9	sometimes benefit better than tribes who
LO	don't have that that accessibility. And
L1	that's something that I, on the detention
L2	side, bring up frequently with the Office of
L3	Justice programs who are the grant who
L4	are the grant awarders. And they're aware
L5	of that and that's something that we're
L6	looking at and how we can better assist and
L7	make that process easier. I'm thinking of
L8	within our OJS staff of maybe having, on
L9	the detention side, a grant writer that can
20	assist and kind of help through the grant
21	process. But your point is well taken. And
22	we need to do something better with just the
23	tribes who can have it have better grant
24	writing skills getting grants, while tribes
25	who perhaps are more needier and don't have

1 those resources need to have equal access to 2 the grants.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MS. KAROL: I would like to respond from the Indian Health Service standpoint. We have had years of inadequate funding, as you know. We have often made lemonade out of lemons when we've had big projects to get started. One of our recent big project is the domestic violence project, DVPI, as we abbreviate it. 95 of the programs across the country not totally greatly funded but 12 somewhat funded in the first step was from Congress to get us to pay attention to domestic violence and sexual assault. yes, we haven't been doing great strides as the years have gone by, but new things have come up. In this administration we have individuals frequent at the top that have -that are native that are looking out for us and have put us together. And you have a series of made individuals here who are 22 committed and concerned. You got the BIA talking to the Indian Health Service, probably for the first time in many years, if ever. Honestly putting programs together

and we're going to be working now with the 1 sexual assault policy and protocols. 3 think you should see that we take this 4 Tribal Law and Order Act very seriously. 5 Dr. Roblo has charged me with being present at these consultations in making sure that we do interact with the BIA and DOJ. And so 8 yeah, you might think it's more lip service, 9 but I think you have a bunch of committed 10 individuals that really want to see some 11 change happen. And maybe with hearing --12 I'm excited to hear that I get a yearly 13 chance to put some comments in about funding 14 needs. So maybe it will have some effect as 15 the years go forward. 16 MS. TENOSO: The Department of Office 17 of Justice Programs also does a significant 18 amount of training and technical assistance 19 for any would be grant recipients and so if 20 you have -- if your tribe is interested in 21 pursuing a grant, then I encourage you to 22 contact our office and we'll put you 23 together with the people in the Office of 24 Justice Programs so it could be helpful that 25 way.

1	So from detention, Section 211(c)(2)(B)
2	this change is a standard upon which a BIA
3	employee can carry out a warrantless arrest.
4	The statute used to say reasonable grounds,
5	now it says probable cause, which is
6	consistent with other standard for other law
7	enforcement. It adds additional offenses
8	that a warrantless arrest can be carried out
9	for and those are controlled substances,
10	federal firearms offenses, assault, and
11	bootlegging, were added to the list of
12	crime. And someone had mentioned earlier
13	that I need a Tribal Law and Order Act as
14	having two overarching equals and one is
15	making federal agencies with responsibility
16	for public safety Indian country more
17	accountable for their responsibilities. And
18	also trying to empower tribes to take on
19	more of this to spend their willing and
20	able to take on more of this themselves.
21	So to start the TLOA Section 212, we
22	feel we get into the accountability area and
23	this is lot of those of you who follow
24	the government act you know this was a big
25	driving force in the passage of the act

1	where what's called declinations. And
2	that is when a prosecutor or an investigator
3	declines to pursue a case that occurs in
4	Indian country, either at the
5	investigation's stage or the sitting to
б	prosecute stage. So what they've done here
7	is the statute requires, what makes common
8	sense that should happen, requires that the
9	if a U.S. attorney declines a
LO	prosecution, they need to coordinate with
L1	Tribal officials who have concurrent
L2	jurisdiction for those crimes. And about
L3	what the decision is, why, if they're
L 4	declining a case, they're declining a case
15	if there is an opportunity to share
L6	evidence, so that the tribe decides to
L7	pursue the case where federal government has
L8	declined can use it for the statute of
L9	limitations expired. So that's the goal of
20	this provision. And the accountability is
21	enhanced with the with the Executive
22	office for the United States Attorneys
23	having to submit an annual report to
24	Congress and the annual reports go district
25	by district in explaining how many cases

1	were declined, the reasons for the
2	declination and so forth. The another
3	thing the statute does is codify things that
4	were just being done, maybe not in every
5	U.S. attorney's office but some of them.
6	For example, Section 213 it specifically
7	codifies the practice of what was going on
8	in some of these attorney's offices where
9	tribal prosecuting attorneys may, with the
10	consent, obviously, of the tribe they work
11	for also serve as Special Assistant in the
12	United States Attorneys to help prosecute
13	the crimes that are occurring in Indian
14	countries. So it's a way to have more
15	prosecution resources available for Indian
16	country crimes. And now most U.S.
17	Attorney's offices with Indian country have
18	what they call Tribal liaisons in them,
19	assistant United States attorney one or more
20	who is specifically designated to be the
21	Tribal liaison. Now any district with an
22	Indian Country is required to have at least
23	one. And their jobs or their duties are
24	spelled out in the statute.
25	One of the things obviously of the

1	Tribal Liaison, one of the things they're
2	supposed to do is facilitate, help
3	facilitate that communication in keeping
4	Tribal Justice Officials aware of what's
5	going on with regard to particular cases.
6	And they also help coordinate the
7	prosecution of Indian countries offenses in
8	their own offices.
9	And on a parochial note, the office I
10	work for, which was established by Attorney
11	General Janet Reno in 1995, well, has is
12	now required to be a permanent office and
13	we, like you, are waiting on funding but
14	everyone in the Office of Tribal Justice is
15	assigned detail from another part of the
16	department. Our director has been on detail
17	for ten years, for example, from the U.S.
18	Attorney Office in Montana. So we will
19	become we are going to become a permanent
20	office in with our own funding in our
21	position under the statute. And this
22	similarly, there are there has been for
23	many, many years a person who's title is the
24	Native American Issues Coordinator and that
25	person is like a career attorney and they

1	work in the executive office for United
2	States attorneys. And they also work with
3	the I don't know if you know the attorney
4	general has an advisory counsel that
5	consists of the United States Attorney's,
6	called the Attorney General Tribal Counsel,
7	and they have subcommittees. And right now
8	the largest subcommittee of that counsel is
9	the NativeAmerican issue subcommittee. And
10	so this career attorney works with those
11	United States attorneys that are on that
12	subcommittee. And also has a number of
13	duties under the statute. I think I already
14	mentioned one in connection with another
15	section and that's that the person is
16	supposed to report these statistics about
17	declinations to Congress. This a person
18	she the person who is doing this now is a
19	woman, so that's why I say she collects the
20	data from the U.S. Attorney's Offices and
21	puts them in a report form and sends them to
22	Congress. That is one of the many duties.
23	We don't do we have anyone here who from
24	the Public Law 280 State Mandatory? I don't
25	think so. I think everyone is from Florida

1	and Alabama, right?
2	I don't know if you're familiar with
3	Public Law 280. That's where the 50
4	Congress gave certain states criminal
5	jurisdiction in Indian countries and to the
6	exclusion of the United States major crimes
7	that don't apply there. And it used to be
8	that the only way the jurisdiction could
9	come back to the federal government was if
10	the State asks. But now the State had to
11	agree if a tribe wanted jurisdiction to come
12	back to federak government. Now, these
13	tribes that are located in Public Law 280
14	States can request that the attorney general
15	reassume concurrent jurisdiction. If it
16	doesn't become exclusive to the United
17	States. And the state will still have
18	concurrent jurisdiction. And this can be
19	important to tribes who feel like the States
20	are not responsive to their law enforcement
21	needs. And there are some of those and
22	unfortunately parts of the country
23	MR. CRUZEN: Can I? Just a minute. I
24	got in a lot of trouble at our last
25	consultation because, you know, any of us or

1	any of you can get up and use the restroom
2	whenever you want to but our court reporter
3	really got mad and I don't say that
4	jokingly. She was very upset because we
5	went on and on and on. So if that's okay,
б	if we can take about a ten-minute break.
7	And then we can come back and jump right
8	back into this. And the Miccosukee Tribe
9	has given us coffee and things like that
10	back there in the back. So we thank them
11	for that. Restrooms are straight out that
12	door. Women's is on this side, Men's is on
13	this side. And if we can come back in here
14	in about ten minutes. We'll get fired off
15	from here. Thank you.
16	(Thereupon, a break was taken and the proceedings
17	continued as follows:)
18	MS. FLANAGAN: Couple of things that
19	the Law and Order Act does in 231(a) it
20	now OJS, BIA is required to recognize
21	training and provide programs of training
22	that are provided by State and Tribal Police
23	Academies and other programs as long as they
24	meet the peace officers standard of
25	training. In addition to help fill the

unmet needs, the maximum age of new BIA OJS 1 officers was raised by ten years. And now 3 anyone under the age of 47 can service a BIA police officer. This also requires the 4 Department of Interior, this is the second 5 6 part of Section 231, requires the Department of the Interior to establish procedures for 7 8 establishment of SLEC MOA understanding. 9 And I think, Darren, that this is more in 10 your neck of the woods than mine. 11 does have a number of deadlines. 12 Okay. When you had asked earlier about 13 funding, interestingly the statute requires 14 the secretary of interior office to set up 15 the law enforcement foundation that's 16 effectively a charity, to accept donations 17 and that the donations are be used to help 18 Tribal Public Safety and Justice of Service 19 programs. And so that's going to be another 20 potential area of resource. 21 MR. CARROLL: Can I ask a question? 22 MS. FLANAGAN: Sure. 23 MR. CARROLL: I seem to hit a little bit on your slides, on the handout I didn't 24 see it. So forgive me if you plan talk to 25

1	about it later, but there's a component of
2	it that talks about the percentage for
3	the Tribal states cooperation. And just
4	some conversation that we had during the
5	break, I guess I'm curious as to, you know,
6	there's almost two components. There's one
7	that is the federal side and the
8	jurisdiction and the resources of the FBI
9	and what not and the responsibility of the
10	FED. And there's a whole other side of
11	equation that is the Tribal and State
12	relations and jurisdiction issues and how
13	that relationship goes.
14	Without speaking on behalf of the
15	tribes in our area but some of the tribes
16	that are within this national area, national
17	serving area have issues with the
18	relationships with States. And not always
19	recognizing the effort of these forces
20	themselves. So what can you tell us more
21	about what the idea is or what the intent is
22	behind the incentives for the Tribal state
23	and cooperation. Because that's really
24	where the heart is for all tribes that we
25	work with is that that's not always the

Τ	case. So I'm curious how the FEDs in their
2	prospective plan to address a State Tribal
3	relationship issue that are often times is a
4	real interference as to the jurisdiction on
5	recognizing the servitude of the tribes, et
6	cetera. And then I guess just to put out
7	there, since, you know, the funding issue
8	has come up there and there would seem to
9	be an opportunity since the appropriations
10	have not been completed for the funding of
11	this legislation. I know that a lot of the
12	feedback that I, personally, have received
13	from Tribal relationships within our area is
14	that some of these police forces that we're
1,5	talking about don't even have the resources
16	to begin with to do some of the things that
17	you're talking about. One of the tribes in
18	the northeast said they have a Tribal police
19	force, six individuals working a seven days
20	a week, 24-hour coverage time. So having
21	six officers is nowhere near sufficient. So
22	I know that their hope and desire would be
23	that as part of the funding for Tribal Law
24	and Order, whether it's under the BIA, where
25	ever the funding is coming from that there

Τ	be an intention in efforts in price to all
2	on the table so that these police forces get
3	to just the basic level of performance and
4	operation where they need to be to then be
5	considering these potential relationships
6	and partnerships and collaborations. I was
7	just there it's just very difficult to
8	have a conversation about pursuing something
9	new when you're struggling to meet with what
10	the current needs are to begin with.
11	MS. TENOSO: I understand. Sorry, what
12	exactly is your question? I apologize.
13	MR. CRUZEN: I can answer that. I can
14	respond. I think one of the things is that
15	we're running into, and as I told you
16	earlier, I ran into the chief up there in
17	IACP and I voiced some of his concerns about
18	the relationships between his department and
19	the State. And how the State is not
20	recognizing or cooperating with them. We're
21	in a very similar situation that we're
22	running into in California. And I flew down
23	there some three, you know, basically the
24	first week that I was on board. We had a
25	consultation and met with them. And their

1	issue is, going back to the officer safety,
2	the State doesn't recognize them as police
3	officers, of course for purposes of gaining
4	access to their state criminal databases.
5	Now the department of the justice through
6	Bob Cheney, from the Attorney General's
7	Office, went down there and did a needs
8	assessment throughout Indian country Army
9	locations that had access to NCIC and worked
10	with them to make those available to those
11	tribes. So that solved the need there in
12	California but still the problem is the
13	communication and the access to those
14	databases simply for those officers' safety
15	issues. So one of the things that I've
16	asked our solicitors office that we're
17	working on, is drafting a letter of support
18	to the State of California saying, because
19	of officer safety needs we believe that
20	these officers need to have access to those
21	databases. And so we're working on that.
22	And I told the Chief from Oneida the same
23	thing, you know, if it was, you know,
24	certainly the BIA Office of Justice Services
25	had zero problem in supporting efforts that

1	make our officers more safe. And so
2	that's one way we're doing that. And I
3	don't think that will be any different with
4	the State of New York for us to, you know,
5	change California to New York and do the
6	same thing you know. And we for officer
7	safety reasons, we need to be doing
8	everything that we can to work together to
9	make sure that the men and women out there
10	that are protecting our communities are
11	doing so with all the tools and resources
12	that they need to do it in a very safe
13	it's inherently a dangerous job. So we need
14	to make sure that we're doing everything to
15	make their job safer. So in putting our
16	support, you know, behind that is a no
17	brainier. And so we're definitely willing
18	to help out anywhere in the Indian country
19	where we're having a problem with
20	communications. And if it means, you know,
21	Mr. Gibson, special agent in charge of this
22	region, going out there to meet with the
23	sheriff's association or for that matter
24	I'll fly up there and do the same thing. I
25	understand that sometimes states, you know,

1	are have their very strong views and
2	opinions of how things should be.
3	Certainly, you know, what I think should
4	happen isn't necessarily what they're going
5	to do. But I'm certainly willing to throw
б	in our support for those issues. Because at
7	the end of the day we can all agree, we may
8	not agree on everything but I guarantee
9	there's not anybody in this room that will
LO	disagree that at the end of the day we want
L1	to make sure number one, that our
L2	communities are safe and that our people
L3	living in our communities in Indian country
L4	share the same ability and luxury, not
L5	luxury, the same ability to go out in their
L6	communities and feel safe. The other thing
L7	that we will all agree on is that we want to
L8	make sure we're doing everything we can do
L9	to make sure that our officers have those
20	same safeties and securities; that they got
21	the tools and the resources. And that we're
22	working hard to make sure that those things
23	happen. And so like I said, if it amounts
24	to us, you know, throwing our support behind
25	that, certainly we're willing to do that.

1	MR. CARROLL. SO What is the incentive
2	point that took
3	MS. TENOSO: I'm sorry. You said
4	states took out
5	MR. CARROLL: There's a section that's
6	identified as incentives for tribal-state
7	cooperation. What are what's the
8	substance of those incentives?
9	MS. TENOSO: I think the part of the
LO	act that deals with state could you give
L1	me the section.
L2	MR. CARROLL: Well, the section that I
L3	have numbered is showing as Section 202.
L <b>4</b>	I've already realized that the sections is
L5	not coordinating necessarily with your
L6	PowerPoint slides.
L7	MS. TENOSO: Really the main incentive,
L8	if you will, is in addition to the Public
L9	Law 286 being able to go to the FEDs and say
20	take over, there is a section there that
21	says, the attorney general it's really
22	kind of general, it says, the attorney
23	general may provide technical and other
24	assistance to the state, tribal and local
) 5	governments that enter into gooperative

1	agreements, including agreements related to
2	mutual hot pursuit across declination to
3	improve law enforcement effectiveness. I
4	guess there isn't it doesn't seem to be
5	like any monetary incentive that's built
6	into the statute. But the incentive, I
7	guess, is that the they're looking to the
8	attorney general, the Department of Justice
9	to help facilitate these. And I think part
10	of it sometimes part of it may just be an
11	indication component to bring the two groups
12	together and everybody talk; maybe that will
13	help improve the situation. But these inner
14	where they have these and they're
15	working, they work really well. I mean a
16	number of tribes are very happy with the
17	cooperation they're getting from their
18	local, the local people. Sometimes tribes
19	have difficulty with the county but have a
20	really good relationship with the State
21	police. So I mean the incentive, I guess,
22	is that maybe this is an area where we
23	need to get your ideas about how best to
24	facilitate these kinds of inner cooperative
25	agreements.

1	You had also a question about
2	MR. CRUZEN: Andy has something.
3	MR. CAULUM: I just wanted to add
4	before I went out to Washington, I lived in
5	Wisconsin. And for the longest time tribes
6	and it was it was very dependent just
7	even on a county by county basis of how well
8	Tribal law enforcement and county sheriffs
9	cooperate. And until about a year and a
LO	half, two years ago there was really no
L1	question about whether tribes in state or
L2	county or state law enforcement provide
L3	mutual aid to one another. Unfortunately,
L 4	there was an attorney general in Wisconsin
15	that said that state or county law
L6	enforcement were not covered, nor were
L7	tribal law enforcement covered by
L8	essentially insurance requirements under the
L9	mutual aid. And one thing that happened
20	there, I believe, is that the U.S.
21	Attorney's Office, at least for the western
22	district, which was very helpful in
23	facilitating discussion in trying to find
24	ways for everybody to work together. And I
25	agree there really isn't anything that's

1	specific in terms of what kind of financial
2	incentives there might be, but you know,
3	there are, as you know, it varies state by
4	state, there are some states where they
5	don't want to have they don't want to
6	have some be with the tribe or want to see
7	jurisdiction in those kinds of question you
8	have that you're dealing with like in
9	California, for example. I think the U.S.
10	Attorney's Office if they are encouraging
11	even almost requiring a sit down and
12	bringing all of those parties together is
13	something that is in some cases very unusual
14	in area six, you know. And I believe that
15	that was something that did happen in
16	Wisconsin. And that's happening in other
17	states where perhaps in the past all of
18	those parties have not sat down and really
19	tried to address these issues and trying to
20	find ways to solve them.
21	MS. TENOSO: We already talked about
22	access to criminal basis and I just heard in
23	TLOA I thought it already was available to
24	get into the Federal criminal information
25	databases. I think we worked out all of the

1	glitches on those. And now with regard to
2	empowering tribal justice systems and
3	234(a)-(b), Section 234 the statute this
4	is the enhanced sentencing authority if the
5	tribes' justice system satisfies certain
6	requirements that are listed in the statute
7	than there sentencing authority can be
8	raised to as much as three years per
9	count for a total of nine years per case for
10	serious crimes. And this is also in
11	connection with enhance sentencing authority
12	a lot of tribes do have detention or
13	correction facilities issues, so that it is
14	partnered with this Pilot Program of the
15	Bureau of Prisons of Department of Justice
16	who will house up to 100 prisoners
17	nationwide who have been convicted under
18	this enhanced authority. And to the extent
19	they have the room and they will do it at
20	their own expense. So it's there's
21	certain conditions, for example, it has to
22	be a violent crime, they have to have space
23	and I think they're the statute directs
24	them to house the prisoners in a facility as
25	close as possible to the reservation or the

1	it's most possibly trying to
2	descending them from incarceration.
3	In addition to foundation there's also
4	going to be a Tribal Law and Order
5	Commission established that will study do
6	a comprehensive study of Indian Country
7	criminal justice system and report to the
8	President and Congress. The there's also
9	an effort to to deal with Alcohol and
10	Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment.
11	MR. CARROLL: Can you clarify the last
12	thing, please. When you talk about the
13	Indian Law and Order Commission. And what
14	I'm looking at here it shows that the points
15	met are being made by the presentation
16	the president of Congress attorney general
17	and the Secretary of Interior, et cetera.
18	I'm assuming that those are for the Tribal
19	state and local justice officials. But how
20	is the process going to be to get Tribal
21	representation on a commission? Where are
22	those points coming from?
23	MR. CRUZEN: I'm not sure that anybody
24	here on this panel can address that very
25	well. That's probably something that would

have to be addressed by the assistant 1 2 secretary's office. I just don't have the 3 answer for that. MS. FLANAGAN: Wait, I think in one of 4 5 our consultations a couple of weeks ago we 6 had Ronda Harjo who is the minority counsel 7 for the senate community with Indian 8 Affairs. And she advised, because if you 9 try -- the commission is appointed by the 10 President and then six by Congress, that if 11 there was anybody interested they should 12 reach out and contact the Senate Committee 13 in Indian Affairs if anybody is interested 14 in serving on that commission. But Darren 15 is right. Basically, that's way kind of 16 above our head but if -- she made that 17 comment so I'm passing that on. If anybody 18 is interested I would certainly reach out to either your legislatures or whomever you 19 20 want to connect through. Now, I don't know 21 if NCAI is playing a role. They were also 22 at this session and made a remark that they 23 were commenting on it. So I think there's a number of ways to do that or through the, 24 you know, the assistant secretary, to let 25

1	people know that if somebody is interested
2	that's what they should do.
3	MS. TENOSO: If you refer to your
4	PowerPoint Substance Abuse Prevention and
5	Treatment that they request the tribe, some
6	are the Department of Justice Office
7	Programs, the Department of the Interior and
8	IHS are supposed to help develop, help
9	tribes develop, action plans to deal with
LO	those issues. And their efforts to
L1	authorize Federal Pretrial and Probation
L2	Services to appoint officers in Indian
L3	Country can provide for substance abuse and
L 4	other treatment services.
L5	MS. FLANAGAN: 247 deals with Alaska.
L6	261 the bureau prisons other responsibility
L7	in the statute is they must notify the
L8	tribe's chief law enforcement officer
L9	whenever they are releasing to tribal
20	jurisdiction a prisoner convicted of violent
21	crime, drug trafficking or sex offense. And
22	then Section 263 attempts to make it more
23	likely that the Bureau of Indian Affairs and
24	Indian Health Service employees who are
25	needed to make a case, to make as witnesses

1	or if they have evidence that need to be
2	subpoenaed and make it should make it
3	easier for that to happen. And then the
4	Department of Justice Office on violence
5	against woman, the Bureau of Indian Affairs
6	Office of Justice Services and Indian Health
7	Service are supposed to work together to
8	develop standardized sexual assault policies
9	and protocol for these and those kinds of
10	cases.
11	Can I ask for the jurisdictions that
12	are here where your prisoners are housed at
13	Miccosukee; are you using county jail?
14	MR. GONZALEZ: No, we have our Tribal
15	Court so we house them in the reservation.
16	MS. FLANAGAN: So you have a jail?
17	MR. GONZALEZ: No, what we have is a
18	we have holding facilities and a
19	rehabilitation center.
20	MS. FLANAGAN: Okay. So if you have a
21	case where somebody isn't accepted, it's not
22	accepted by the feds, so it's a major crime.
23	MR. GONZALEZ: Well
24	MS. FLANAGAN: They're tried tribally
25	and then they're sentenced to

1	MR. GONZALEZ: No, usually if it's
2	like the majority of our of the crime
3	that we deal with that involve community
4	members they're processed through Tribal
5	court. In case where it would be a let's
6	say a major crime, if it's state
7	jurisdiction, state; if it's federal
8	jurisdiction, federal. But the majority of
9	the time when we do deal with Tribal members
10	it goes through Tribal court. And we do
11	have holding facilities and a rehabilitation
12	center.
13	MS. FLANAGAN: Okay. And then the
14	gentlemen in the back, your jurisdictions?
15	Can you talk into the mic? And I'm sorry,
16	can you identify yourself for the court
17	reporter?
18	MR. PANTHER: Richard with Eastern
19	Tribe, Turkey. Ours is housed by the
20	county. We don't have a jail. We got
21	scheduled the ground but it's like in a year
22	or so; but we don't have a jail at this
23	point.
24	MS. FLANAGAN: Okay.
2.5	MR. KAMEN: Michael Kamen, we also use

1	county. We have three different law
2	enforcement groups and we have three
3	counties to say that fortunately it doesn't
4	cost us a dime, so we're real fortunate.
5	MS. FLANAGAN: I'm asking because of
6	I think that it's an interesting issue on
7	one of the issues that we have under the BOP
8	Pilot Program to take 100 prisoners, if your
9	tribe or your community accepts the enhanced
10	sentencing provisions, is how to get those
11	prisoners from your jurisdiction into the
12	BOP System and any of you that know that,
13	it's pretty complex with the Marshalls and
14	the BOP. It's perhaps a little easier here.
15	There may be more jails that we can get them
16	to, but that's why I'm asking.
17	AUDIENCE MEMBER: We come with Ms. Gaye
18	on the way back on what you said earlier;
19	now if it goes to a three-year sentence the
20	(unintelligible) won't house them,
21	correct?
22	MS. FLANAGAN: I'm sorry, say it again.
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: If Tribal court, you
24	know, sentences someone for three years the
25	state will not house them, correct?

1	MR. CRUZEN: No, there's the there's
2	a Pilot Program of Bureau of Prisons is
3	working on it. And so I think there hasn't
4	been any since the act has been enacted that
5	would but there are standing by and
6	waiting.
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: But there is no fund
8	in case that happens.
9	MR. CRUZEN: Well, there's
10	MS. FLANAGAN: No, they don't need
11	funding. They have, for their for that
12	Pilot Program, they have absorbed this cost
13	already with their own expenses. Now,
14	because but that individual will go
15	they may not necessarily go to Coleman or
16	Miami, they may go to wherever the Bureau of
17	Prisons designates them. Now, they try to
18	keep them close to home. And it may be
19	fortunate that there for people in the
20	southeastern United States, Florida, that
21	there are places, or Alabama, that, you
22	know, Talladega, or any of those facilities;
23	they may go there if their security level
24	warrants it, if their classification
25	warrants it, if their health needs warrant

1	it, but otherwise they would go somewhere
2	else. So at the expense of the U.S.
3	Government, so at the bureau prison's
4	expense.
5	57 And that also and my interpretation
6	is including that transportation which is a
7	big issue for some tribes.
8	I think there was a question over here
9	and there was also another jurisdiction.
10	MR. SHORE: Jim Shore, Seminole Tribe.
11	Just to expand and this is coming from
12	Miccosukee. The Miccosukee's have a
13	rehabilitation center which is a lockdown
14	level four facility. And throughout the
15	years what the tribe has experienced is
16	traffic the other way around. It has been
17	very common for a State judge, and maybe
18	some Federal judges, to send someone who has
19	been sentenced to serve time to the
20	Miccosukee Treatment Center, including a
21	couple of cases where there are violent
22	offenses committed outside of the
23	reservation and they were sent to Miccosukee
24	because of the combination of being in lock
25	down on level four facility and having the

1	ability to provide treatment that is
2	individualized and culturally related. So
3	the Tribe has experienced with several years
4	and from Collier County, Broward County,
5	Dade County, Monroe County, when they have
6	allowed tribal members to come to the
7	facility.
8	Usually the tribe doesn't send them
9	out. If they get sentenced and they have to
LO	serve they going to treatment centers, there
L1	are only lockdowns and facilities, you have
L2	a staff with 24-hour counselors and security
L3	guards. I think they get, obviously, to
L4	take advantage of the rehabilitation and the
L5	counseling part of it.
L6	MS. FLANAGAN: Thank you. Seminole?
L7	MR. LATCHFORD: Currently, the Seminole
L8	Tribe does not have a Tribal court. We are
L9	separated geographically six different
20	locations throughout the state. And soon it
21	will be seven within the next year. All our
22	arrestees or turned over to the county
23	facility, the local sheriff has the
24	authority to handle all of the county
25	facilities. After prosecution and found

guilty they go into the Florida State 1 Correctional System. And of course could be 3 transferred to any facility within the state within the number of facilities they have. 4 On the Federal side that just depends on 5 whether the reservation is located where we -- if we do decide to go federal prosecution what facilities are dropped off at sometimes 8 9 like for instance if it's here in Broward, 10 sometimes we'll bring them down to Miami, 11 sometimes they're dropped off in Ft. 12 Lauderdale, just all depends on the circumstances, after conviction and those 13 14 circumstances are sent anywhere. We have 50 15 percent across the United States after their 16 convictions, so it's just varies. 17 MS. FLANAGAN: Thank you. 18 MR. CRUZEN: That's very interesting to 19 me that the Miccosukee, that the -- you 20 obviously see the need for provision and 21 treatment. That's encouraging. And I've 22 shared this story once or twice or mil but 23 before we get into our corrections portion 24 of it here, everybody in this room that's in law enforcement will have a Mike that you 25

dealt with. And this is a story that I'm 1 not very proud of. 3 But, now, in this new position that I'm in maybe we'll have an opportunity to make a 4 difference. But when I was the Chief of 5 6 Police of Crow Agency we had a guy and his name was Mike. Mike would -- you could 8 count on Mike every Thursday or Friday night 9 to go into -- this was the Crow Agency so it 10 was a dry reservation, so you'd go into 11 Harden which was a city right off the 12 reservation, and he would somehow get 13 alcohol and then he would start drinking, 14 Thursday or Friday night. And he would go 15 out and he would act silly and somebody 16 would call the police on him. And then 17 officers from my department would respond 18 out there. And Mike, when he was sober, was as nice a guy as you'd ever meet. As a 19 20 matter of fact, Mike and I become very close 21 in the -- in our working relationship, if 22 you will. But Mike was a really a good guy, 23 super good guy. But when he was intoxicated 24 he was a handful, just out of control. And so you could count on one of two things 25

1	happening. Either one of my officers
2	getting injured, going out there to arrest
3	him or Mike getting injured every you
4	know, every weekend. And so they would
5	bring, you know, they would respond, wrestle
6	with him to the car, they'd get him in the
7	car, they'd wrestle with him when they got
8	to the police department or the correction
9	facility, they'd wrestle with him all the
LO	way into booking and they'd wrestle with him
L1	all the way into the cell. Well, then he'd
L2	go to sleep. Monday morning, and we never
L3	did this but we could have, it was Mike
L <b>4</b>	was this kind of guy, you could open the
L5	door and say, okay, Mike, go get a shower
L6	and walk over to the Court and see the
L7	judge. And so anyway we would get him
L8	cleaned up and we'd take him over to the
L9	judge. And the judge would go, Mike, what
20	are you doing to yourself? Well, Judge, I'm
21	here drunk and, you know, this is what
22	happened as best as I can remember. And the
23	judge would go, okay, Mike two weeks in
24	jail, go back over there. And so Mike was
25	doing and we all have Mikes, we all know

1	Mike, Mike was doing a life sentence in
2	tribal jail in two week increments. In
3	other words, he spent two weeks in jail,
4	he'd get out, he'd be okay for a couple of
5	days, he'd get alcohol and then he would the
6	next same cycle, right back in jail. So
7	he was doing a life sentence in two weeks
8	increments. And but when he was in
9	there, he would always go up to the
LO	correction officer and say, hey, can I go
L1	see the chief? And they would say
L2	absolutely. And at that point they really
L3	would open the door and he'd walk right
L 4	over. It was a building it's probably, you
15	know, 50 yards or so from the correction
L6	facility. He'd walk over there by himself
L7	and he'd come in, good morning Chief, can I
L8	vacuum your carpet or can I do dust or empty
L9	the trash? Sure, Mike. You know it's not
20	funny, you know. I don't want to make a
21	light of it Mike and I, we became good
22	buddies. The office would look as good as
23	it ever did when Mike was there and, you
24	know. So but here's the sad story and
25	this is what I'm not proud of. I never did

anything to help him break that cycle. 1 wasn't that Mike was a bad guy at all. 3 had a problem with alcohol and probably every one of your Mikes that you're thinking 4 about in here, that's the same thing; 5 6 they're probably really good people, they're 7 probably wonderful whenever they're not intoxicated or under the influence. And so 8 9 then I leave and I've always -- and I 10 probably will always carry this with me as a 11 reminder, you know, what did I do when I had 12 the opportunity to help somebody who is in a bad situation? What I don't want to be is a 13 14 warehouser of our people. What I think that 15 we need to do, I think we need to be more 16 into that treatment preventing and these 17 kinds of programs. 18 Well, flash forward a few years and now 19 I'm the director. And I go down to Denver 20 to meet with Carla and some of her 21 correction folks who are having a meeting 22 there in Denver. And it was a pretty tough 23 audience because they were correction people 24 and I told the story. I looked over here about where Andy is sitting, and there's a 25

1	lady sitting there, big smile on her face.
2	And it was kind of strange to me because to
3	me it's a very sad story. And so I said
4	what are you smiling about? And she said
5	I'm from Crow Agency. I'm the correction
6	supervisor there. I said, oh, okay. She
7	said, I know Mike. And so instantly that
8	was good news to me that Mike is still
9	alive. She said not only is Mike still
10	alive but Mike is now a counselor at our
11	Seven Hills Treatment Facility on the Crow
12	Indian reservation. So once again, Darren
13	didn't do anything to help Mike, but
14	somebody did though. And so I really
15	applaud the tribes that are thinking forward
16	in saying look everybody doesn't need to be
17	in jail. Now, there are people, I'm a firm
18	believer there are people that need to be in
19	those jails. However, not most of them.
20	Most of them are in there because of alcohol
21	or substance abuse problems. So I think as
22	we progress in law enforcement and IHS here,
23	I wish SAMHSA was here, they've got a new
24	acting director now, a guy by the name of
25	Dennis Romero who is just as proactive on

1	this as you can possibly imagine. I think
2	we're getting better at thinking about how
3	we're doing business. And certainly, you
4	know, the enforcement of laws are our
5	responsibility but I think prevention is
6	something else that we need to really be
7	looking at and taking an approach on it.
8	So now that I'm in this position, I'm
9	in a situation where now maybe I can help
LO	more Mikes and not the Mikes I'm looking
L1	forward to go back to Crow and meeting with
L2	him. But I really do applaud, and it's very
L3	exciting and anxious to make a meeting and
L4	take a look at that place just as best
L5	practices throughout the Indian Country.
L6	Because I think there's a lot of places that
L7	aren't doing that. There are lot of place
L8	that are, but we need to really be, you
L9	know, focusing on that. So again don't mean
20	to ramble on, but that's something that's
21	very near and dear to me. And so without me
22	going on any further I'm going to turn this
23	over to Carla Flannagan. She's the
24	Associate Director for Corrections. She
2.5	works there in Washington, D.C. with me.

1	She's going to go through I don't know
2	how relevant this to the tribes down here,
3	to be honest with you. As I sat here and
4	listened to some of the things that you're
5	doing with contracts in different counties
6	and things like that. So we'll go through
7	here and if there's any comments, questions
8	or concerns we'll talk about them. But
9	she'll tell you again, this is there
10	are three issues that we're really here
11	consulting on. And thank you, Gaye, for
12	going through that through that list.
13	But there are three things that have a
14	timeline for us. There are special law
15	enforcement commissions and it is our
16	background investigations for pre-employment
17	and then there's our long-term corrections
18	not long-term corrections, but our
19	certification for the plan of our long-term
20	corrections. So Carla if you could share.
21	MS. FLANAGAN: Hi. If you have any
22	questions or comments or for the services
23	just through something at me, yell, do
24	whatever. One of the requirements in the
25	area of corrections is for the BIA to

1	develop approval procedures for long-term
2	incarceration or to develop guidelines that
3	we can approve Tribal correctional centers
4	for long-term incarceration. So that's
5	under the enhanced sentencing guidelines and
6	it's one of the short deadlines of the
7	statute. We have 180 days, by January 25th
8	we have to have these guidelines developed.
9	So what we did was we assembled the best of
10	the brightest in Indian Country jail
11	administrators. We have some really
12	top-notch people across Indian countries
13	that don't get enough recognition. So we
14	took all of these Tribal folks, about 15 of
15	them, and we met off site in Denver. And we
16	tried to develop these guidelines using
17	somewhat established procedures, so that we
18	didn't reinvent the wheel. And I don't know
19	how many of you are familiar with the
20	American Correctional Association, but it's
21	the organization that sets standards for
22	prisons, jails, juvenile facilities,
23	probation programs, training facilities and
24	a couple of other areas. Luckily enough for
25	us they have just published a poor jail

1	standards. First edition; it came out in
2	May or June. So without any country jail
3	administrators we take a look at that and
4	determined that that's what we were going to
5	use as the baseline. And just so you can
6	see it, this is a copy of the this is the
7	HCA Core Jail Standards that are used
8	that are going to be used by jails across
9	the country, including likely many of the
10	county jails and facilities that you all are
11	using. And what we did was we went standard
12	by standard. There are 138 standards. Let
13	me make sure; 138 standards, 45 of which are
14	mandatory, about 93 are non-mandatory. And
15	we evaluated each standard for applicability
16	to Indian Country, whether it was directly
17	applicable, whether it needed to be tweaked
18	or whether we felt it shouldn't be addressed
19	at all. I had we had two of our folks
20	who run our facilities there and I have the
21	our district supervisors there. But they
22	didn't want our district supervisors
23	weighing in on the issue and tried to keep
24	our management and staff from laying in on
25	the issue. So it was actually the

1	practitioners. And we went through a week.
2	And what we did was we developed the Indian
3	Country Core Detention Standard Core Jail
4	Standard, the title is that, but they're
5	Indian Country Core Jail Standards they
6	address specifics to the needs of the Indian
7	Country. They basically follow they're
8	very much identical to the ACA Standards
9	with some exceptions. And I can give you an
10	example where there's a standard that
11	prisoners or inmates be programmed. We
12	included a notation that there be cultural,
13	traditional and religious programs available
14	for the prisoner population in recognition
15	of the importance of traditional, cultural
16	and religious practices amongst our
17	population. Not that it's any more so than
18	other populations, but it's critical and we
19	recognize that.
20	And I think we did take out one
21	standard that had to deal with four-point
22	restraints because the jail administrators
23	just didn't want to see that being
24	available. So what we ended up doing is
25	coming up with those standards and those

1	guidelines. Our intent is to publish them
2	on the BIA website and seek comments on it.
3	So even if I mean, I would appreciate you
4	all looking at them and commenting and
5	weighing in if you see anything that's
6	problematic or that you think might be
7	problematic or you know from your expertise
8	that is a problem or if they are great. If
9	you think they are great and they'd work or
LO	that you might look to a document.
L1	Eventually I would like to work with ACA who
L2	is very interested in Indian Country and see
L3	about having them officially develop them in
L <b>4</b>	a document like this. And what we have yet
L5	to do and we are also seeking input, it will
L6	be on the website, is the process by which
L7	we go out and evaluate facilities. The
L8	statute requires us and this is verbatim, a
L9	Tribal Court may require the defendant one,
20	to serve the sentence, a. in a Tribal
21	correctional center that has been approved
22	by the BIA for long-term incarceration in
23	accordance to the guidelines to be developed
24	by the BIA in consultation with Indian
25	tribes not later than 180 days after day of

1	enactment of the Tribal Law and Order Act
2	2010. And so we were left with our
3	that's our charge and that's our admission.
4	And so we get the guidelines, now we're
5	going to develop the procedure. And I think
6	part of what I know the procedure is going
7	to be, and we talked to the jail
8	administrators, is to have kind of a peer
9	review type process so that we get jail
10	administrators from different parts of the
11	country who would go be part of the team
12	that evaluate facilities. So we also have
13	to work hand in hand with our court's
14	component and Joe Little is not here. He is
15	our Tribal Court's Associate Director.
16	There's a certification process if you're
17	going to use the enhanced sentencing that
18	plays into this. So that's where we are in
19	the guidelines.
20	You know, again we welcome all of your
21	comments or input in the process or the
22	guidelines. These apply to adults. We
23	intend probably to do the same for juveniles
24	at some point even though this enhance
25	sentencing doesn't affect you now. So two

1 of the other areas or two other areas of the act that require attention from detention 3 folks are the development of long-term plans for detention. 71 One for adults and one for 5 6 juveniles. And both of those long-term plans are in conjunction with DOJ, also with 8 IHS and SAMHSA, especially for the juvenile 9 component, and with BIA in education. 10 so that we are covering a lot of the basis 11 that we haven't really been covering lately. 12 And so we need and Darren said it, I think 13 DOD said it, you know, we certainly do not 14 need to be warehousing -- certainly don't 15 need to be warehousing anybody but we don't 16 need to be warehousing children or kids 17 without furthering their legal education as 18 opposed to their education of illegal matters. Both of those plans also have to 19 20 be done in consultation with the tribes, 21 which we will be doing. And that's part of 22 the group that we've already kind of 23 established in D.C. It also includes Dennis 24 Romero, who is from SAMHSA. And he's the head of the Indian Substance Abuse and 25

1	Alcohol treatment he has a new title.
2	It's one of the things required by the
3	statute that has been established within
4	SAMHSA. The other issue touched briefly on
5	was the BOP Pilot Program. And while that
6	doesn't really affect us, it will eventually
7	because somehow we're going to have to
8	recognize the issues of transporting those
9	accepted prisoners from Indian Country jails
10	to wherever the designated BOP facility is,
11	which as we've talked about could be some
12	distance away. We somehow have to get them
13	into that kind of Conair system somehow.
14	The last thing I think and I don't know if
15	we will assist our DOJ partners, we do have
16	discussions with the Bureau of Prisons is
17	the notification procedures and Gaye talked
18	a little bit about that. The Bureau of
19	Prisons will tell you that they do notify
20	whomever that they're releasing a prisoner.
21	Especially if that prisoner is under
22	supervised release. But that also every
23	prisoner has a release plan. And so I think
24	that there's probably some room for
25	improvement and we'll assist the Bureau of

1	Prisons in any way that we can. And the
2	last thing I can think about in Miccosukee,
3	I would love to get out there to see that
4	facility. I think that's terrific. And I'd
5	like to talk about finding funding sourcing
6	and how you're doing it. But the other
7	thing and we may have said it or the
8	attorney may have said it, concerning the
9	Feds and releasing; the Bureau of Prisons is
10	much more open lately to releasing Federal
11	prisoners. Every Federal prisoner comes out
12	to a halfway house the last six months of
13	their sentence. And as recently as earlier
14	this month they approved releasing, they
15	approved the Pine Ridge Adult Facility as a
16	release site. They're kind of in lieu of
17	the halfway house because halfway houses are
18	so difficult to find, not only in Indian
19	Country but in certain parts of the country.
20	So I think that that may be an option. I
21	don't know if you have more business than
22	you need at your facility but we certainly
23	would could advocate and assist in
24	getting Bureau of Prisoners down to look at
25	that. They do take good money for their

1	prisoners and release them through the
2	halfway houses. So that's something, I
3	would love to get out and see your facility.
4	So those are kind of the capsulating
5	versions of what's going on in detention in
6	TLOA. So I'm sure there will be more. But
7	if you have any questions don't hesitate to
8	contact me, you know, I have my cards up
9	here or ask me questions now. The floor is
10	yours. I have two things I want to give you
11	here real quick. As I said earlier, what
12	we're going to do when we get back to
13	Washington, D.C. in the next couple of
14	weeks, we're going to compile everything
15	that we've been getting from these
16	consultations into draft documents. And
17	what we'll do is we'll put this on the
18	Bureau of Indian Affairs Office of Justice
19	Service's website, those draft documents.
20	And then what we would like you to do is to
21	go on that website, look at those documents
22	and make comments. I'm going to give you
23	two e-mail addresses that I would like you
24	to use to make those comments. It's very
25	helpful to us. This is our opportunity to

have a collaboration working together. So

- 2 the first one that we use for just your --
- if you have issues regarding corrections.
- 4 And the e-mail address is
- 5 OJS.divisionofcorrections, one word,
- 6 @BIA.gov. So it's OJS, which is Office of
- 7 Justice Services.
- 8 divisionofcorrections@BIA.gov. The second
- 9 one is a very similar e-mail address and
- 10 that will be used for all of the other
- 11 topics that we've have, SLECs or any other
- 12 comments that you have on the act, it's
- OJS.TLOA2010.comments@BIA.gov,
- OJS.TLOA2010.comments@BIA.gov . If there's
- 15 no questions on -- yes, sir.
- 16 MR. KAMEN: Unrelated question, general
- 17 question.
- MR. CRUZEN: Please, sure.
- 19 MR. KAMEN: On the requirement for
- judges in the act bureau says there is a
- 21 requirement that the judge be licensed to
- 22 practice law by any jurisdiction in the
- 23 United States. And I want to confirm or
- 24 clarify that meant state jurisdiction?
- MR. CRUZEN: Sir, and I will be honest

1	with you, I had intended on having my
2	associate Director of Correction for Courts
3	here. He got weathered in Chicago or
4	Minneapolis, one of those two. But if I can
5	get your card and what we'll do is we'll
6	meet afterwards. I'll get that question and
7	we'll get that answer for you. I just don't
8	want to give you misinformation. All right,
9	if there are no other questions, Susan or
LO	Doctor, I'm sorry, I know that you have a
L1	flight that leaves out soon or something
L2	like today so we are very, very proud to
L3	have our Indian Health Service partners here
L <b>4</b>	with us. And so without anything further, I
15	will just turn it over to you.
L6	MS. KAROL: Sure. And Chairman asked
L7	me to say a couple of words about the SAMHSA
L8	efforts. I'll start with that. Dr. Dennis
L9	Romero is our lead person from SAMHSA who
20	has put together a very robust and
21	aggressive timeline and activity schedule
22	for SAMHSA to help with the Tribal Law and
23	Order Acts behavioral health issues.
24	Doctor I think he's a doctor. Dr.
25	Romero, actually no, he's not a doctor.

1	Mr. Romero is working in SAMHSA right now,
2	in the office of finance and has taken on
3	this charge to really bolster what we're
4	doing here. Unfortunately, he wasn't able
5	to come here today, but has been part of our
б	road trip, if you will, across the country.
7	So SAMHSA has robust programs to help from
8	the treatment standpoint which is so
9	critical in correctional facilities and for
LO	the individuals who we're talking about
L1	today. And not only treatment, but
L2	hopefully prevention prevention programs
L3	so that hopefully as individuals are
L 4	identified they don't end up with law
15	enforcement issues. So he will be
L6	available, I'm sure at further discussions,
L7	and his e-mail is Dennis.romero@samhsa.gov ,
L8	so he can be easily accessed if you need
L9	him.
20	From Indian Health Services where you
21	have three of the provisions of our directly
22	attributable to help us help. We are
23	looking at providing and making sure that
24	testimony from our Federal officials in
) 5	cevial accoult domestic violence cases are

1	tended to and allowed to be present for
2	testimony. We have not really seen that to
3	be an issue in the past but we heard that to
4	be a concern, so we are making double sure
5	that individuals are available. We are, as
6	I said earlier, developing our domestic
7	violence policy, our domestic violence
8	prevention initiative which includes
9	updating our sexual assault procedures and
10	policies which we will also be working with.
11	I believe it's provision 260- I want to say
12	three or five, with the Department of
13	Justice that is actively going on as we
14	speak. My division of I believe, a
15	director, Dr. Rosiyaki (phonetic) is working
16	on that this week. And so we will be fine
17	tuning that collaboration in getting that
18	policy out. We will be also working on this
19	one more provision, working with the Tribal
20	Tribal action plans. So the IHS is
21	and has been working on the consultation all
22	along and will be happy to assist in
23	formation of these plannings. Any questions
24	from that prospective for the IHS?
25	MR. CARROLL: Relating to the sexual

1	assault, domestic violence incident, I know
2	the incident or questionnaire or feedback is
3	going around right now to the report that
4	you took back to house and social community
5	of Indian Affairs. The question that
6	they're posing is a question about the
7	capabilities of the IHS to capture that
8	information for the remote and world areas.
9	The comment you just made before are you
10	saying that this is not an issue of that
11	information be captured right now?
12	MS. KAROL: There is an issue with the
13	the first obtain the information needed
14	to prosecute. We have staff at our clinics
15	and hospitals, those that are open 24-7
16	nature, we're trying to make that across the
17	board whereby there are individuals that
18	know exactly how to obtain the evidence and
19	then how to keep the evidence. And that's
20	another issue that the actual refrigerators
21	and photography and where the data goes and
22	is kept securely are issues. And, of
23	course, funding is needed to secure
24	appropriate separate refrigerator elements
25	and data secure data areas so that as

1	these cases go to trial the individuals who
2	are part of the work up and the victim and
3	the evidence are all gathered securely and
4	efficiently together so that the case can
5	then move forward.
6	MR. CARROLL: Just so I'm clear so I
7	can give proper feedback to some of our
8	member tribes, the way the question is being
9	framed is as a world community issue or a
10	remote lasting village issue, there is also
11	a potential initiative issue with
12	non-lawyers as well?
13	MS. KAROL: Yes, correct. Very much
14	so.
15	MR. CARROLL: Okay. So they should be
16	providing feedback on that?
17	MS. KAROL: Yes, we appreciate Alaska
18	is very rural. But we also have been
19	extreme rural areas in the lower 48 too and
20	our urban center's aren't always
21	appropriately equipped. However, many times
22	our urban areas aren't 24-7 facilities, so
23	we are, at this time, trying to get 24-7
24	facilities to be up to speed with our sexual
25	assault policies.

1	MR. CRUZEN: Just for clarification,
2	I'm not a doctor either, but I did stay in
3	the Miccosukee Resort last night. I don't
4	know if that makes a difference. It's a
5	Holiday Inn joke. Most of my jokes don't go
6	very good. That was Andy's joke actually.
7	All right. With that being said why don't
8	we go ahead and take a ten-minute. And
9	we'll come back in here and talk about SLECs
10	and our background procedures. And then
11	again, if there's any other questions we are
12	happy to address those and take those back
13	to the appropriate people. Thanks.
14	(Thereupon, a break was taken and the proceedings
15	continued as follows:)
16	MR. CRUZEN: Okay. Here we go. What I
17	want to do is I just want to give you a
18	60,000 foot view of the background
19	investigations (unintelligible) of
20	pre-employment. One of the things that
21	we've heard is well, we don't we want to
22	make sure that these aren't anymore
23	stringent than what you guys are going
24	through, that's not going to be the case.
25	One of the problems that I'm having though

1	is that when we get a request to do a
2	background investigation on a Tribal
3	employee, a pre-employment or employment
4	background investigation, we've got 60 days
5	from the time we've received the request to
6	get that done. Which what we're doing right
7	now, we're using our 1811, our criminal
8	investigators to do those and to me that's a
9	that's problematic in fact that we are
10	asking our investigators to come off the
11	street and not do criminal investigations
12	but rather do these preliminary background
13	investigations. So what I've done is I've
14	asked my associate director for
15	administration to develop a statement of
16	work where we can go out and contract this
17	to be done. The Office of Personal
18	Management, OPM, who does background
19	investigations for Federal employees, if you
20	actually talk to an OPM investigator you'll
21	find that probably the majority of them, I'd
22	say 80 percent or more, aren't even
23	employees of the government, they're
24	contracted to do these background
25	investigations. And they're all over the

1	country, everywhere you can imagine. And so
2	my thought is if we can do a cradle to grave
3	program where in other words, if I made
4	I'm the tribal chief of police and Carla
5	is an employee, pre-employee, and I need a
6	background investigation on her, rather than
7	send it to the BIA and ask them to start
8	this process that we, we being the BIA,
9	enter into a contract with the company, who
10	I can send Carla's, all of her information
11	to them and they can properly start running
12	then. And we, as the BIA, aren't really
13	involved in it unless we want to know, okay,
14	are we on track, are we on schedule, are
15	there any problems. So in this company,
16	this contract would get the information, in
17	other words do the preliminary background
18	investigation, ability standards to make
19	sure that all of those things are in place
20	in order for Carla to be a police officer.
21	And then they send it back to me the, Tribal
22	Chief of Police, and to the BIA agency
23	certified, that's done and it's just as
24	simple as that. So basically what we're
25	looking at is contracting that particular

1	service absolute; we are not having to again
2	pull our resources off from the street, our
3	criminal investigators to do these
4	background investigations. We're really
5	interested to hear if you have any thoughts,
6	if you think yep, it's a good idea or no,
7	that's a bad idea. That's 60,000 foot level
8	of what we are looking at. But again, as I
9	said earlier this afternoon nothing that
10	we're doing right now is in stone until
11	after this consultation. And then we'll
12	take it back, put up a draft recommendation
13	on e-mail. And then you can make comments.
14	Does anybody have any thoughts on that that;
15	see it as a good or negative or just
16	completely indifferent?
17	MR. COLLINS: Does that take away the
18	ability for us to do our own background
19	check?
20	MR. CRUZEN: No, no. And thank you,
21	that's a really good question. The question
22	was, would that take the tribe's ability to
23	do their own background investigations and
24	no, it won't have any bearing on that. It's
25	basically incumbent upon us to mandate it

1	for us if a tribe asks for a background
2	investigation to be done, then we have
3	then we do it. And it's just not a good
4	it's not being a good steward of the
5	government's money if I pull a investigator
6	off. It's not a smart thing to do. So
7	contracting seems to make the most sense.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: What was the comment?
9	I'm sorry.
LO	MR. CRUZEN: He asked if that by us
L1	contracting that would eliminate the tribes
L2	from doing their own background
L3	investigations. And the answer is no, it
L4	would have no bearing on the tribe doing
L5	their own background investigations. This
L6	is really a way forward for when the tribe
L7	asks the BIA to conduct the background
L8	investigations. And there's a lot of tribes
L9	that don't have their own backgrounds
20	investigations, like investigators in
21	Oklahoma are a hit and miss on what they do.
22	There are some other ones that we're
23	responsible to do that. We have to do it in
24	60 days. That's not an unreasonable amount
25	of time, but I just don't like pulling

Τ	somebody out off the street.
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it cheaper for you
3	to hire it out?
4	MR. CRUZEN: Say it again?
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it cheaper for you
6	to contract it out?
7	MR. CRUZEN: Well, this is what we're
8	looking at. I don't know. But just not
9	being a good steward of your resources, I
10	don't think, to pull an investigator off a
11	criminal investigation to do a background
12	investigation. I'm trying to look at costs
13	and prioritizing and using my resources, you
14	know, where they're intended to do. So
15	we're looking at that. Sir?
16	MR. STINSON: Do you just run the
17	background do you also have a suitability to
18	find along with that background?
19	MR. CRUZEN: Yeah, we're no longer
20	we're not checking the suitability. We're
21	not doing those. That's something that
22	you know, you have to be a trained
23	adjudicator to do those kinds of things. So
24	we've got a contract right now with the
25	company that does the adjudication for us on

1	the investigations that we do. So we do
2	we it's very much like a criminal
3	investigation. We gather the facts and then
4	present those to somebody else who is a
5	trained adjudicator to make a determination,
6	yes, this person is suitable or not. And
7	they are looking at, as you all know,
8	they're looking at criminal histories,
9	they're looking at previous employers,
10	they're looking at, you know, drug use,
11	those kind of things to make those
12	suitability determinations which were not
13	we're not doing ourselves. That's a
14	contract that
15	MR. STINSON: Have you had any issues
16	with (unintelligible) are you familiar with
17	that?
18	MR. CRUZEN: I'm not going to lie to
19	you, I'm not familiar with that.
20	In my short tenor as a director I
21	haven't had any issues, but I'm certainly
22	willing to take that question back to
23	somebody that might be (unintelligible)
24	familiar with that. Thank you.
25	MR. STINSON: It goes to two or more

1	misdemeanors, a felony, a background and
2	that person not being suitable to be around
3	children or having contact with children and
4	police have had that issue arise on numerous
5	occasions. And we've had people that have
6	had pardons from the board of pardons and
7	paroles. And we've had to look at all kinds
8	of issues with backgrounds. And I was just
9	wondering if, you know, if y'all were going
10	to do that you all were going to make that
11	call or if you were going to allow the
12	tribes to continue to try to make that call
13	on their own or if you had some trained
14	individuals that can assist with those?
15	MR. CRUZEN: Well, that's interesting.
16	I mean, that question came up the day before
17	yesterday and in Oklahoma. And it was
18	the question was around the same vein there.
19	It was on expungements and what does that
20	mean, exactly.
21	MR. STINSON: Nowadays, there are so
22	many alternate adjudications, there's not
23	guilty, not guilty in null process, you
24	know, and you've got adjudication, expunge
25	director, firm prosecution, pre-trial

diversion, pardon, case dismissed, you know, 1 you've got all of these alternate 3 adjudications that I don't think anybody is really taking into consideration. And it's 4 5 also different state by state. 6 MR. CRUZEN: Sir, you're right at. 7 That's one of the things we get and we would 8 do a Quasi-Questionnaire, Pre-employment 9 Ouestionnaire, and we would send that to any 10 potential applicants. I mean, we have --11 you can't even imagine the number. So we 12 would send those out and then we -- I have a 13 team of folks that just look at those real 14 quickly, a cursory, look at it. And we 15 weren't even wasting any time with issues 16 that were questionable, you know, I mean, 17 anymore. It used to be that any drug use at 18 all was an automatic disqualifier. And now 19 everywhere, and I'm talking about Department 20 of Defense, CIA, NSA, all of these agencies 21 have taken a different look at and are 22 mitigating drug use now. You know, solely 23 marijuana use. You know, now it's have you 24 used it within the last "x" amount of years, hallucinogens, you know, cocaine and those 25

1	kind of things are automatically
2	disqualifiers. But I got to tell you, this
3	to me feels like consultation right here,
4	this back and forth. This is why I like
5	this kind of working through the issue, but
6	I got to tell you where I come in on that is
7	we give our officers a great deal of
8	authority. They can take somebody's freedom
9	away. They can take their lives in certain
10	instances. And so to me and that's why
11	we try to get ahead in that those issues
12	pre-background investigations because we
13	really didn't want anybody I'm talking
14	about the Department of Defense now, we
15	didn't want anybody telling us, well, okay
16	that's unavailable. We wanted that ability,
17	that pre-employment questionnaire come in to
18	say, well, there are better qualified
19	applicants. We call them BQA, so you know.
20	Although this is probably or could be a
21	mitigatable offense there we have another
22	applicant right here who didn't have that
23	issue so there's a Federal qualified
24	applicant. And we put them through. So
25	what I don't want to do, I don't want to

1	I don't loop holes or back back channels
2	for folks that, you know, hey, you were
3	charged with this and you probably did it,
4	in fact you probably signed a you may
5	have even signed a confession that you did
6	it, but for whatever reason it got by the
7	court system and it was dismissed, so it's
8	not there. We don't want that kind loophole
9	to keep us from keeping those type of people
LO	who the applying for these jobs. We don't
L1	want them in here so, you know, it's a I
L2	think we have to move smartly as we go
L3	through this.
L <b>4</b>	So as the conversation kind of went on
L5	like this yesterday on expungement it got me
L6	thinking, okay, well, maybe we need to have
L7	some verbiage in that draft policy to make
L8	sure that, you know, it's have you the
L9	question is not have you been convicted, but
20	maybe the attorneys will probably be more
21	than happy to weigh in on this one, have you
22	been charged, maybe a question, and if yes,
23	then explain. I don't know. But I
24	certainly see where you're coming from. I'm
25	like you, I don't want to let anybody in

that got in on a technicality or a loophole. 1 Any other comments or thoughts on 3 background? Okay. What we'll do is we'll move to the Special Law Enforcement of 4 5 Commissions portion of it. And just to give 6 you a guick overview of the things that I've 7 been hearing as I've traveled around, the 8 three primary concerns of Tribal partners 9 have -- hey, there you go, Mr. Weekes how 10 are you sir? Safe Travels, I quess, back 11 from the IACD. 12 MR. WEEKES: Yes, I drove right in from 13 there. 14 MR. CRUZEN: Well, great. I don't mean 15 to put you on the spot but do you have any 16 thoughts or comments that you want to weigh 17 in on or do you want to wait just a few 18 minutes and get your feet --19 MR. WEEKES: I'll wait. 20 MR. CRUZEN: Okay. The three issues 21 that I'm hearing; number one, on the Special 22 Law Enforcement of Commissions are the 23 number of criminal justice in Indian Country 24 classes that are being taught. The tribes

are saying, hey, look there's not enough of

1	those and we need more of those. The other
2	thing that I hear is when you do have those
3	they're in locations that are a great
4	distance for us to travel. So it causes us
5	some problems with that, you know, paying
6	for the officers and, you know, it's
7	difficult for us if we got seven or eight or
8	ten officers in total working and we need
9	this class and it's I think it's I
10	don't know how many hours it is. If it's 24
11	in a three-day class or whatever we can't
12	send all of our officers to go do that
13	because then we're shorthanded back here.
14	And then the third issue, and this is the
15	one that really, quite frankly, put me on a
16	tilt when I heard and it's one that I had
17	the most trouble over was, I had several
18	tribes tell me, you know, not only are those
19	two issues a problem but when we you do
20	attend a class it often takes us and I'll
21	just be honest with you, I had one Tribal
22	Chief say, we took the class three years ago
23	and we're still waiting on those Special Law
24	Enforcement Commissions. And so, of course,
25	my blood pressure shot up. And I thought

1	that was unacceptable. So I passed it on to
2	somebody else. And I shot out a pretty
3	nasty e-mail to some of our folks saying,
4	what's going on here? Why is this an issue
5	and quickly got schooled on it. And so it
6	made a little bit more sense after I heard,
7	but it's almost like the Office of Justice
8	Services in some instances have put the car
9	before the horse on some things. One of the
10	things that we have to have in place prior
11	to giving SLECs, as you all know, is a
12	memorandum of agreement between the tribe
13	and the BIA. So we want to make sure that
14	that's in place. And what I was told is
15	that specifically to the tribe we raised
16	that concern was that the memorandum of
17	agreement is not in place. We were sent
18	there but it's in that process of being
19	proved, but what we had done is we had
20	offered the class so officers from that
21	department had attended. And then there was
22	an expectation well, I attended this class
23	and now expect my SLEC card. When really
24	they shouldn't have attended the class yet.
25	So what we're looking at doing, again this

1	is part of our consultation, and interested
2	in hearing what you think, but I'm a real
3	process guy, I like to see processes laid
4	out. So we're going to change that. We're
5	not going to we it's not fair to have
6	officers come to the training and then not
7	receive their card. So what we're going to
8	do now is we're going make sure that when
9	they what we would like to make sure, I
L 0	really want to be clear on that, no decision
L1	has been made, I really want to be clear,
L2	but we're not, I mean what I think makes the
L3	most sense is that prior to allowing
L 4	officers to attend that class we need to
L5	make sure the MOA is in place and that the
L6	application is done and the background
L7	investigation for that officer is complete
L8	and then have the class. And I'm not so
L9	convinced that it needs to be a 24-hour or
20	three-day class. I think probably most of
21	that can be covered in eight hours. Now,
22	that's Darren talking, but I think most of
23	that can be covered in about eight hours.
24	And then a test is given and when the
25	officer finishes that day from training they

do their swearing in and they're given their

1

24

25

2 card right then; so we don't have this --3 there's no downtime, if you will, between 4 when they take the class and getting the What I think we need to make sure is 5 6 the memorandum agreement in place. That's what makes a lot of sense. And that the 8 officer who is attending has a background 9 done and everything is ready to go so when 10 they pass they get the card. 11 The first two issues, the number of 12 classes that are criminal justice in Indian 13 Country classes that are given and the 14 locations that they're given are addressed 15 right now through the department of justice, 16 Leslie Hagan, who is just a top-notch young 17 lady, she is very, very impressive and has 18 been recently put into -- I don't know what they call that, but it's an Indian Country 19 20 training position, training coordinator for 21 the Indian country at the Department of 22 Justice level. And so one of the things 23 they are moving quickly on is starting in

November she's going to pull Assistant U.S.

Attorney's from all over the country, and

1	they're going to get the refresh course on
2	that criminal justice in Indian Country
3	class. Knowing that one size doesn't fit
4	all, you know, even when you get over to the
5	east coast, the problems that they're having
6	in the northern with the tribes up north and
7	the tribes down south are different. So
8	once side says however the fundamentals
9	and the foundations are the same. What
10	we're looking at doing is as opposed to
11	contracting this training out where we have,
12	you know, a company that goes out and does
13	it, I think there's a lot of merit in having
14	the and so do they, DOJ does as well,
15	having the assistant U.S. Attorney who is
16	going to be responsible for prosecuting
17	those cases in that particular region where
18	that tribe is providing training.
19	And I think that's smart for two
20	reasons. Number one, they can do more
21	trainings locally on an as needed basis.
22	And then the other thing is if I'm a Tribal
23	police officer and/or the assistant U.S.
24	Attorney that is going to be presenting that
25	case, I think there's a lot of value in

having that relationship and report already. 1 And then that also allows the assistant U.S. 3 Attorney to put whatever kind of spin, politically or locally that, you know, that 4 local court has or -- you know, any of the 5 6 specific things that are relevant locally. 7 I think there's a lot of merit in doing 8 that. So to address the issue, we're 9 looking at process, the order in which we do 10 things to make sure the memorandums are in 11 place, the application is done, and the 12 training. And then we're also looking at 13 having our DOJ partners at the assistant 14 U.S. Attorney level conduct the training. 15 So that's a little bit on the SLECs. 16 I'm going to look over here to Jimmy and ask 17 him if he maybe put a little local skin on 18 what's going on in the SLECs in this region. MR. LATCHFORD: Darren, before we get 19 20 started, can I just add to what you said. 21 Is there -- will it be possible to -- I'm 22 fortunate enough to have my own training 23 unit. I have a staff that just focuses on 24 training and if qualifications are there would there to be an issue or I would like 25

1	to recommend that, you know, when they do
2	that training to allow me, I mean, again,
3	I'm fortunate in my organization where I can
4	have somebody internally like train a
5	trainer and then be certified to teach them
6	that class. Because, you know, when you
7	look at the big picture for our
8	organizations, I'm separating throughout the
9	State and, you know, and I agree with
LO	everything you said as far as other chiefs
L1	that mentioned those issues they're dead on.
L2	But if there can be some consideration to
L3	allow the organizations to have some of the
L 4	insight to conduct a class and maybe proffer
L5	the test, you know, that will definitely
L6	will go assist a long way.
L7	MR. CRUZEN: That makes a lot of sense.
L8	I guess that would be a question to ask the
L9	assistant U.S. Attorney's offices that have
20	been on as well. I will definitely take
21	that back with me as a recommendation; but
22	it makes a lot of sense to me, you know,
23	that's
24	MR. LATCHFORD: Yeah, but the time
25	frame that the classes go, you know, about

the time in this case, you know, were we 1 fall under the same realm -- I know it's not Jimmy's fault or a district six issues, but 3 the reality is if you -- by the time you get 4 5 your certificate or your card, you're having 6 to go through another class again. And, you 7 know, if the standard has to be that way I'd 8 rather have somebody internally every year 9 that mandates that they have to go through 10 circuit training for the State or for the 11 police certification and just add that in 12 there will definitely streamline the 13 process. 14 MR. CRUZEN: Right. And we jogged my 15 memory also, a couple of other things that 16 we're looking at here, two more things, is 17 right now I think there is a 18 recertification, I think it's what they call it, every three years. We are looking at 19 20 upping it to every five years. And then 21 we're also looking at a couple of options 22 for -- I think right now what we're doing is 23 we're having the officers come back for another whatever, however long the training 24 is. I know it's 2010 and I think we really 25

1	need to embrace technology. We're not
2	really waited off into that very well yet.
3	We're looking at possibly an on-line kind of
4	recertification deal or maybe even a simple
5	as a obviously with this new Tribal Law
6	and Order Act there are new issues that go
7	along with this Special Law Enforcement
8	Commission. But I think it's been a while
9	since there's been any significant changes.
10	So another thing we could look at doing is
11	coming from the SAC's office possibly
12	looking at a letter to each department
13	saying, you know, here are the updates as of
14	this time, you know, one, two, three or
15	whatever they are. Sign that and send it
16	back to us saying that you've read this and
17	that you understand it, each officer. It
18	could be maybe send it with that. We're
19	really looking at extreme lines and making
20	it, you know, more just easier to do and
21	still get all of the things that have to be
22	done, done. Just why not make it easier if
23	we can.
24	MS. FLANAGAN: Chief, can we get your
25	name for the court reporter?

1	MR. LATCHFORD: Ye, I'm sorrty: I saw
2	you looking at me. I apologize. Will
3	Latchford, Seminole Tribe of Florida.
4	MS. FLANAGAN: Thanks. We want to make
5	sure when Darren forgets your question we
6	could go back and find it. Thanks.
7	MR. CRUZEN: I won't forget your
8	question. Okay. Jimmy Gibson.
9	MR. GIBSON: The SLEC Program it allows
10	tribes to have the authority to conduct
11	Federal investigations in the authority that
12	the BIA has, which is titled 25 USC Sec.
13	203. In addition, it provides them with
14	limited liability other than conducting
15	investigations. Some of the things that are
16	allowed for this program are the issues of
17	an BIA Special Law Enforcement Commissions
18	to State and local law enforcement, but that
19	has to have the approval of the tribe. And
20	the reason for that division is because
21	crimes and laws district (unintelligible)
22	Between the reservation and the
23	non-reservation and a lot of times we work
24	conjointly with outside agencies on
2.5	investigations. So if and also for

1	mutual aide also. Currently in this
2	district we have 11 tribes that are that
3	have the SLECs, five law enforcement
4	programs have not come entered into
5	agreement or made a request to any
6	agreement. One of the big things that I see
7	that or that we have discussed at meetings
8	like USEF is the application process. And
9	also some of the issues here that have
10	already been discussed.
11	The application process is important
12	that we get and sometimes that delays the
13	issuing of the SLEC Commission because we
14	have to have all of that information on file
15	in order to issue commission when because
16	of liability issues and things like that
17	when the U.S. Attorney's Office has the
18	defending officer, they want all of that
19	background information in place already.
20	And so we have to make sure that we have
21	that in our office on file. We are required
22	to do that. So that's one of the I
23	guess, one of the things that delay us. If
24	we don't have a complete application we wind
25	up contacting the agencies, sending and

1	doing correspondence and things trying to
2	get that information and that delays the
3	process. Currently here in this district we
4	have two assistant U.S. Attorneys that teach
5	the criminal jurisdiction in the course
6	that's (unintelligible) that's up in
7	Nashville, North Carolina. And then Mr.
8	Elkins who's the U.S.A. Southern District
9	of Alabama. We would like to see a lot more
10	and the U.S.A. A lot and a lot of them
11	are willing to I think it's something
12	that they we'll revisit with some of the
13	tribes and they concur that's something that
14	they can try to establish. Does anybody
15	have any questions regarding the SLEC
16	Programs or the I guess, the way of the
17	application process or how we're conducting
18	this program in District 6? Yes, sir.
19	MR. STINSON: Is the Tribal information
20	going to be electronically or still be you
21	have to buy the book material?
22	MR. GIBSON: You're referring to the
23	U.S.A. is currently being a policemen of
24	the U.S. Attorney's office is teaching
25	the course, being in the police academy is

not providing the training materials. I've 1 done that within my office when I've had the 3 budget. If I've got extra funding that I have allocated for something I purchase the 4 books myself. And so far I have been able 5 6 to do that. If there comes a time when I 7 might not be able to do that that will 8 happened to fall upon the U.S. Attorney's 9 Office or possibly the U.S. Attorney's 10 Office will have to pay for that. 11 MR. STINSON: The month that is -- the 12 U.S. Attorney's Office should; they pay for 13 that? 14 MR. GIBSON: They don't really have 15 resources. I mean, under the -- some of the 16 other legislations that have gone passed 17 they have received money through the office 18 specifically for Indian Country, and that 19 includes training and that is something that 20 probably each individual office would have 21 to look at. 22 MR. CRUZEN: And another thing, I think 23 we're all anxiously awaiting Leslie to get 24 her feet underneath her to see how that works out. This is all actually through the 25

1	new office the DOJ, so that's a good
2	question. And, you know, I'll get back and
3	ask if they have a budget associated with
4	that. If so, you know, we'ill those type of
5	things be done. Also, and again I go back
6	to this technology, if there's things that
7	we can do on-line and, you know, to help out
8	with those type of things I think that's
9	important to do. So I don't know the answer
10	to that for sure whether the funding will
11	come from that. We're not talking about a
12	great deal of money, for books, right? Are
13	you talking the books for AICP funding?
14	What is that exactly? What is what are
15	they? Are they books like this or they just
16	
17	MR. GIBSON: It's a binder with like
18	300 something pages, generally is what we
19	provide. And I think for us the cost when I
20	buy them in boxes of like 30 books it varies
21	from \$300 to \$400 depending on what the
22	office selects.
23	Anybody else have any questions or
24	comments regarding the SLEC?
25	MR. CRUZEN: Well, that kind of

1	concludes our formal protocol, kind of what
2	we wanted talk about the main three issues.
3	And I would just like to open it up, Mr.
4	Weekes, if you have anything at this point
5	that you want to
6	MR. WEEKES: Not really, no. Tuesday
7	afternoon UIACP our new director for CJIS,
8	he wanted to have a session with the Tribal
9	police executives that were present. And I
LO	think that Chief Delgado and Director
L1	Rainier were the only two present other than
L2	myself from you know you met him in
L3	Orlando. Our new director, there's some
L <b>4</b>	type of formal agent tribal and like I was
L5	mentioning to him in my community down here
L6	in South Florida we already are very
L7	liberally dealing with the and the sister
L8	agency CPE(sic) not so much nice. So we are
L9	still with our investigative rights. I know
20	there's some positive here. But
21	southwestern boarders and there are some
22	issues there. And the northeastern boarders
23	some areas up to the New York State through
24	USEF or I think they are going to be
25	contacting USEF or to set up some sort of

1 conference or meeting with them. That was a
2 thought.
3 And other than that most of our other

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And other than that most of our other sister Federal agencies were in attendance and DEA and IRS; they were there too, the three of us in the Tribal. But that was it

three of us in the Tribal. But that was it. MR. CRUZEN: I thought it was, too. had an opportunity, I guess, the Indian section from the Internet ICP had a meeting on, I guess, it was Sunday and then Sunday evening they had an awards dinner for their officers in the area which was a female officer from the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation who had gotten into a jam up there arresting a guy and ended up getting into a shooting situation and, you know, just performed very, very admirably. She went on to say a little bit about how important training is necessary. And I come from a training background too. Being in the police academy I spent a lot of time up there. She got a little choked up about the whole thing. Just how her mind went racing back to all the training that she had learned at the academy. She voiced her

sincere desire to police officers don't just 1 2 end their training at the basic police 3 academy because it's a lifelong process that 4 you have to do to prepare yourself, your 5 body, your mind and your reactions to those 6 kind of things. 106 But she was driving down the road 8 and she saw a couple walking. A man and a 9 woman. The woman had a beer in her hand. 10 She said, I just thought I was going to go 11 pull over, have an eight hour involuntary 12 hold there in the jail and she'd be gone. 13 She said within two minutes of seeing that, 14 what I thought was routine, I ended up in a 15 life and death struggle where I had to shoot 16 somebody. And I thought that was -- she was 17 pretty young -- she was a pretty young 18 officer. I bet she was 26 or 27 old. MR. WEEKES: I want to say around the 19 20 mid 20s. I didn't ask her but it sounded 21 like from the standard of community over 22 there that she actually relocated. She's up 23 in Alaska. She lived from the Atlantic her family or family members were deceased. 24

25

MR. CRUZEN: Yeah, she had to be -- it

was good just to hear her thought process on 1 2 something like that happens and to be young 3 and a fairly new officer but to have the understanding of how important training 4 5 really is we kind of take it for granted, 6 oh, boy we all know in here things happen 7 that quick. So anyway I don't know where 8 that came from but it was nice. 9 MR. WEEKES: She's five months 10 pregnant. 11 MR. CRUZEN: Oh, she is or was. 12 MR. WEEKES: Is. 13 MR. CRUZEN: Oh, she is. So she's 14 going to have a child. Her husband is in --15 she was interestingly enough she's now 16 working in the nursing industry. That's 17 where her background was. She's a nurse and 18 had commitment there with IHS to work. 19 wants to come back into law enforcement. 20 But she said she needed a minute to get her 21 thoughts back which is completely 22 understandable. All right. What do we 23 have? Anything that we need or want to talk 24 about? Thoughts? Comments? You know, as the new director -- you know, I'm reading 25

1	this book actually I'm done reading the book
2	now. But it's about the it was about the
3	Toyota and the CEO of Toyota. And I wish I
4	could think I remember the word, it's a
5	Japanese word that translates into "go see".
6	It's a Japanese word and what he does is he
7	makes a very senior, very, very senior
8	executives every year for two or three days
9	they'll come to work and all they have is a
10	hardhat and a clip board. And they go down
11	into the assembly line and it can be where
12	they pour, you know, where the metal comes
13	in to make the form of the vehicle or it
14	could be where they put the engine in or it
15	could be where they put the steering wheel
16	in or the windows, wheels or breaks, you
17	know, all the way down on that assembly line
18	is the very last person who puts the screw
19	in the door before it rolls out. And he
20	talks about he makes him go down there
21	with that clip board and all they do all day
22	long they will go down to that one section,
23	whatever it is, and they just watch the
24	process all day long. And I get it because
25	like I said I'm a very process oriented

1	person. So they're looking okay we got a,
2	b, c, d and e that has do be done. Well, we
3	don't need c and d, we just need a, b and e,
4	really we can do this better. So you know
5	the philosophy "go and see" is something
6	that, you know, I really believe in that.
7	And it's really easy for me as a Director of
8	Law Enforcement for BIA to sit in
9	Washington, D.C. Even though I have worked
10	in every position in the BIA coming up at
11	some point throughout my career, so I know
12	it's easy for me to say, well, I know what's
13	going on out there but things change. And
14	it's real easy for me to sit in Washington,
15	D.C. and think that I have all of the
16	answers but it's another thing for me to go
17	and see for myself. And so that's one of
18	the philosophy's that I've got as the new
19	OJS director. And I'm also finding that
20	and it talks about this in the books, so
21	it's not my idea. But I'm also finding he
22	talks about the very last person on that
23	assembly line or the person very the most
24	close to the problem, whatever that problem
25	is, usually has the answer if the leader is

1	smart enough to go down and ask that person
2	how can we make this better? You know, it
3	goes back to the philosophy if I'm setting
4	or he's setting up his officer or his
5	executive or setting up there. It's one
6	thing to think we know what the answer is.
7	It's another thing to go down there and talk
8	to the person closest to the problem and get
9	their prospective on what it is. And I'm
LO	also seeing that most of these issues that
L1	happen across the Indian Country, and
L2	although I've said that there's that one
L3	size fit all in the very unique problems I'm
L 4	seeing that most of the problems that we
L5	have are not overly difficult problems to
L6	fix. There's just a lot. Somebody asked me
L7	the other day, it's got to be like drinking
L8	from the fire hydrant being in that
L9	position. I said, no, not at all. It's
20	like standing under the water tower with all
21	of the water pouring down on you at one
22	time. So there's lot of problems that we
23	have. A lot of issues we actually have to
24	address. But if you really break those down
25	one at a time. It really is not much that

we can't fix together. And it's working 1 2 collaboratively and cooperatively. And I 3 believe that there aren't hardly any problems that if we sit down over a cup of 4 5 coffee, roll up our sleeves and go, look, I 6 don't necessarily agree with your opinion. 7 However, I see your side of that story. Let 8 me tell you mine. Let's talk this through. 9 I just -- you're not going to be able to 10 convince me, that most of our problems 11 aren't going to be solvable. And the other 12 things about the problems that we share is 13 at the end of the day we want our community 14 safe, we want our officers safe and so our 15 goals are the same. 16 111 We just have different ways of 17 getting there. So to me it's all about 18 communicating and working together. And I know that what I'm saying right now is very 19 20 much what the director should be saying, but 21 I want you to know that I don't believe that 22 you should trust me just because I'm sitting 23 in that chair. I think trust is not a given 24 thing and I don't think it should be. I think it should be an earned thing. And so 25

1	I and this is an Indian Country so I know
2	there won't be a problem of this. But it's
3	incumbent upon you to tell me if you see
4	something as we go and I'm not just
5	talking about the Tribal Law and Order Act,
6	I'm talking about as we make our way through
7	working together on these Indian Country Law
8	Enforcement issues as we move out for the
9	next several years. If you see me acting a
LO	way that is contrary to what I'm telling
L1	you, you need to let me know. You need to
L2	hold me accountable. I want to be held
L3	accountable. And I want to be told, hey,
L 4	look Darren, you're you said that you
L5	were going to consult with this, you said
L6	you were going to talk with us. You said
L7	that you were going to let us voice our
L8	concerns and have our opinions considered.
L9	And you're not doing that. And I can tell
20	you that what I'm saying is really who I am,
21	that really is who I am. I have being
22	calling that plate for a lot of years, I'm
23	having a lot of success in building teams
24	whether it be, you know, at a Tribal level
25	when I was Tribal police officer or as I

1	started working through my way through the
2	BIA whether it be the academy or, you know,
3	the Crow Indian Reservation or at the
4	Pentagon. I've been really fortunate and I
5	don't want to sound egotistical, please
6	don't take it that way, but I've had a great
7	deal of successes in building teams and
8	working collaboratively. So it's not lip
9	service. However, I don't expect you to
LO	trust me. I expect you to give me an
L1	opportunity to prove myself. And then hold
L2	me accountable if you see me act another
L3	way. But I'm here to tell you that you
L 4	won't. It comes from a sincere place of who
L5	I am. I've got a real sense of urgency to
L6	move out on the issues that I have to face
L7	as the director and, you know, nothing would
L8	make me happier than to be able to lock arms
L9	with Indian countries from all over the
20	United States. And do this in a real team
21	effort. I'm real optimistic about it. I
22	haven't had anybody tell me, we're not going
23	to do that, but I've had a lot of people say
24	we've heard that before. So I'm not naive
25	about that. I understand that. But I would

really like if it is a benefit of the doubt 1 2 I would really like the benefit of the doubt 3 and for you to, you know, to cut -- to stand with me, you know, side by side and work on 4 5 these very important issues that we have. 6 Again, that is my little soap box. I 7 don't mean to sound that way. Again, that's 8 how I feel. That's where I'm coming from. 9 Very interested to open the floor at this 10 point if there are any other issues, Tribal 11 Law and Order or otherwise, maybe you feel 12 like you need to address or you got to hear 13 it, and you want me to hear it from you, I'm 14 more than happy to take it back with me and 15 work on it. 16 MR. CARROLL: I guess just a comment 17 for the BIA structure overall of which this 18 is a point of, one of the things that we're constantly up against is this constant 19 20 assault on the BIA budget and the various 21 guidelines within that. We are just had a 22 tea bag meeting here dealing with the -- no 23 additional 2 percent of the 5 percent for 24 budget reductions. So somewhere along the line there has to be recognition that even 25

1	though maybe the structures are in place or
2	the policies and procedures and/or the
3	vision of the plans and those are all great
4	things but we all know that if there aren't
5	resources in dollars, while those things are
6	not going to be implemented and fulfilled
7	and it seems like the BIA budget is
8	constantly under attack to be able to do
9	what it needs to be doing. You know, to
LO	express the voice of many of the tribes part
L1	of the USEF organization, they're not
L2	getting the basic level of fundings to carry
L3	out some of these services that we're
L 4	talking about right now. So it's very hard
15	to have a discussion about all of these
L6	potential things down the road in the
L7	communities as they exist right now. So
L8	somehow the BIA needs within those budgets
L9	have to be maintained and increased in the
20	same manner that we see in other areas
21	because they're not. It's constantly being
22	assaulted on. So we are supporting the
23	Tribal Law and Order Act. We know that it
24	holds great potential for the need of our
25	country but it's also when you're talking

1	about these various levels of reality of the
2	situation many of our communities law
3	enforcement, divisions, court systems and
4	all of these other things that are not where
5	we want them to be. It's because there's
6	not adequate funding to make that happen.
7	With a lot of the tribes that you hear out
8	here you have more sophisticated law
9	enforcement departments and more cops in the
10	ground, they are using their own Tribal
11	discretionary monies to make that happen.
12	It's not coming through other sources. So
13	that just needs to be in consideration as we
14	start talking and implementing all of these
15	wonderful things, you need some basic level
16	of resource to be able to do some of these
17	things. And then on top of that to
18	recognize, which I know that you do, but the
19	real struggle that we deal with is the
20	Tribal State relationships. And those vary
21	across the board. Some instances those are
22	strong relationships and other they are
23	horrible. So in implementing some of these
24	things there has to be a consideration which
25	I know you've already stated that having to

cookie cut a template model is not going to 1 2 fit, leaving Massachusetts out because it's 3 not being done here in Florida or now as it does somewhere else, you know, Maine. So 4 there has to be constant consideration as 5 6 this is going to be moved forward, you 7 appointed earlier, not just Tribal Law and 8 Order, justice services in general. 9 MR. CRUZEN: That's a good point and 10 that's -- I appreciate what you said as 11 sounding that alarm continually to my boxes, 12 you know, I mean that's something, you know, 13 we're facing that same thing. And our 14 agency that, you know, there are limited 15 resource, limited dollars, things like that. 16 And you know just -- so I appreciate you, 17 I'm sure you continue to voice those 18 concerns. And, you know, as every other leader of any police organization in here, 19 20 you know, I'm firmly committed to, you know, 21 certainly would like to have more dollars 22 but that's not going to be the thing that 23 keeps us from moving out. It is a resource 24 kind of driven, you know, a lot of issues are resource driven and dollars driven. 25

1	117 And you know there's it's pretty
2	amazing if you look at what Indian Country
3	Law Enforcement does with the resources that
4	we have, it's pretty amazing. We tend to be
5	on the receiving end a lot of times, on how
6	come you are not doing things but it's
7	really it's pretty amazing what our men
8	and women are doing out there in the Indian
9	Country. And I agree with you to continue
LO	to support that. And not only with going
L1	and seeing but, you know, continually
L2	fighting for those needed additional
L3	resources. So I appreciate that. I
L <b>4</b>	appreciate what you said.
L5	MR. CARROLL: Just one last comment.
L6	This comment is not to dispute what you just
L7	said, because I don't dispute that comment
L8	as far as what any country is doing with its
L9	resources. I think what we're asking for is
20	a more proactive up front investment. I
21	mean it's very easy to capture this as a
22	lack of resources type of an issue but
23	Indian Country BIA is different than the
24	other agencies under the interior
25	department. And if it continues to be

1	addressed in a budgetary way saying that
2	the way they address other agencies or
3	bureaus within DOI, we're going to
4	constantly have this problem. You know to
5	the point of Tribal Law and Order we stand
6	next to everybody else in celebration as to
7	a wonderful thing that happened, but
8	everybody has to pause for a moment when
9	you're talking about what every country does
LO	with its resources. It should have never
L1	gotten to that point. The atrocities that
L2	they talk about in celebration in the
L3	passage of that should have never gotten to
L 4	that point but they've allowed it to get to
L5	that point. And that happened because it
L6	was never a strong investment of resources
L7	to make sure that there were officers on the
L8	ground, to make sure that there was
L9	structure in place, to defend the very
20	things that they're trying to celebrate now
21	that the act has passed. So again I'm not
22	sitting here disputing the what you've
23	done of the act but at some point everybody
24	needs to recognize that that shouldn't have
25	never been allowed to happen in the first

1	place had the Federal government been doing
2	what it was supposed to do to make sure our
3	communities are safe communities. And
4	that's what we're dealing with right now.
5	MS. KAROL: Without sounding too much
6	like a bureaucrat I was at the Tribal Act
7	presentation and I believe that that's one
8	of the reasons that the unmet needs
9	requirement is in the first part of TLOA and
LO	that it exists that we capture the unmet
L1	needs from the Tribal communities. And I
L2	think that's important. I don't know James
L3	McGay is the correctional district
L4	supervisor is Jimmy's counter-part and James
15	is in Albuquerque and it covers this area.
L6	And I don't know because I haven't dealt in
L7	I haven't heard of an issue in this
L8	district or in this part of the country with
L9	getting the information but it would be
20	it is important to know and I can tell you
21	that I think that this administration has
22	seemingly done a better job of we're
23	requesting more. I can't go into too much
24	of the budget but, you know, we requested
25	we requested funds where we didn't kind of

1	look at those types of funds before, DOJ
2	grant and stuff like that. So I think
3	people are hearing it now as a matter of
4	what they do with that information. So if I
5	could just stress from the prospective of if
6	you have unmet needs let me know about them
7	because we have this annual requirement to
8	Congress, we're going to have to lay those
9	out. But if we don't have the information
LO	then it'll just be a void. So that don't
L1	solve what your issue is. In recognizing
L2	that what you said is true and important, I
L3	just want to say that because we have to
L4	annually submit this to Congress which we
L5	hadn't really before we got to cover the
L6	unmet needs.
L7	MR. CRUZEN: Gaye, do you have anything
L8	to say or add?
L9	MS. TENOSO: It's been helpful. I'm
20	pleased to see the engagement. We're always
21	open to getting feedback to this meeting and
22	if you think of something that you want to
23	relate my phone number is on the our
24	office phone number is on the front end of
25	my handout. And we are happy to have any

ideas you may have. Even if they come
later.

MR. CRUZEN: Does anyone need me to re-read these e-mail addresses? And, sir, I will get that I'm going to shoot that Joe Little an e-mail as soon as we are done, as soon as I get back up to the room and I'll courtesy copy you on there and you guys can go off and then talk attorney talk because you will quickly go over the top of my head. Speaking of that, three and a half weeks ago I had a full head of hair.

MR. CARROLL: I just want to make one last comment. I apologize, I didn't want to be in a soap box either but just in the way that we frame things, however all of you sitting up there at this table right now is that you are our champions within the system. And I understand that in order to do your job you have to work within the system as it exists today, not what we're hoping it to be or what it's going to be but as it is today. I think one of the challenges that we are constantly up against with the Indian Country is this notation of

1	needs versus obligations. You know it's
2	very easy for somebody to take a judgmental
3	advice about the tribe in what is needed or
4	what isn't needed. We're not talking about
5	the needs of the community, I get that, I
6	totally understand that, what I'm looking
7	what we're looking for from out internal
8	champions within the system is that there's
9	an obligation there to insure the safety and
10	welfare of our communities. So to frame it
11	as there is an obligation here to fund this,
12	not only a needs issue but there's an
13	obligation issue to make sure that there is
14	adequate appropriate dollars for these
15	programs to ensure the safety of our Tribal
16	communities. When it gets framed otherwise
17	it's very easy to knock it off the list. So
18	just we implore to you that as our general
19	champions and advocates to push that message
20	as aggressively as you can.
21	MR. WEEKES: Yes, I want to add a
22	positive note. I'd like to congratulate and
23	applaud Will for recently graduating in the
24	FBIs National Academy not too long ago. He
25	represent us well in Tribal Nations Public

Safety Services. A couple -- a few years 1 ago he graduated from the FBIs Commanders 3 courses. Something like this we need in this country Tribal Nations. And this is a 4 5 very positive note. Will has represented us 6 very well I believe. In speaking of the 7 Seminole PD I always like to tell a story. 8 I'm going to take the lead director. 9 Back in the 1980-81 this concerns the The President of the IACP in 1982 was 10 Leo Callahan, he was the Chief of Police of 11 12 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida and one of his 13 majors was just retiring, Colovski 14 (phonetic). Mr. Colovski continued the 15 first police chief for then just new 16 organized symbol of the police department. 17 There were a lot of cahooten going on there 18 between the President and the new chief. 19 Now chief Callahan went up to the Board of 20 Directors in the event the community of 21 IACP. And he mentioned that there's 22 practically every other ethnic or 23 nationality represented in the IACP 24 organizations and committees. One we start with the TSR, Tribal Services Relations, 25

1	that's what it was called back then. So
2	they formed a (unintelligible) committee.
3	And then over the years it become a full
4	grown section of the IACP. We had a voice
5	in the IACP and a lot of representation from
6	across the country as needed. Most of us
7	here are IACP members but we were all
8	working with police departments, police
9	administrators, a lot of these associations
LO	and organizations they compete for
L1	attendance, I believe, or it appears to be
L2	that way at times. A lot of our tribes
L3	travel across the country, I'm very
L4	fortunate my chairman has allowed me to
15	travel to Vegas annually for the IACP's
L6	Indian Country sections police executives
L7	every year they meet at the reservation.
L8	But a lot of tribes cannot afford to travel
L9	across county, understood. So what I've
20	been trying to do is Dennis and I had
21	talked about this, that the leadership is
22	line up the Indian Country sections
23	internally which have like a east coast,
24	west coast conference of local tribes, local
25	agencies can attend maybe if it's in driving

1	distance or maybe in of a Seminole country
2	like Oklahoma or something, what they call
3	Indian Country. But that's just an internal
4	thing within the section itself, but that's
5	what I just wanted to mention. Also the
6	other organization which are Seminole
7	Miccosukee PD, were approached back in the
8	late 80s by national sheriff's association.
9	They have Indian Affairs Committee and out
LO	was attending the IACP, NSC for the past 12
L1	years and sometimes maybe every other year
L2	or once a blue moon they have their Tribal
L3	police executives or Tribal police
L 4	administrations there. But most of the time
L5	I'll be sold of that. In June the CSO, the
L6	County Sheriff's Office or the sheriff
L7	themselves or her, it's a different world
L8	and they will put you in your place if you
L9	don't stand on your ethics. Sheriffs for
20	some reason, I'm sure everybody has local
21	county sheriffs before in their home states
22	where ever they're from, they believe that
23	it is their county and they have the total
24	preponderance throughout in his or her
25	county and that's not necessarily so. A

1	county sherrif a county is an honor of
2	the state and if that tribe does not have
3	any dial communications with the State
4	government, who is the sheriff? Basically
5	he does not have an preponderance of
6	authority in his or her county. So that's
7	what we've found communication by
8	whatever the verbiage of the word is. And
9	that's what we got to do. But I just wanted
10	to put that out. And again thanks for
11	representing us Indian Country. Thank you.
12	MR. CRUZEN: Thank you, Mr. Weekes.
13	You represented the tribe well up there at
14	IACP, made me feel welcomed too, so I
15	appreciate that. Anybody have anything else
16	Jimmy?
17	MR. GIBSON: No, not really no comment.
18	Just general, thank you for your time. I
19	know a lot of you guys took time out of your
20	busy schedules to be here. These issues are
21	important in the way we operate. And I
22	would like to encourage you to continue this
23	dialogue. Most of you have my number and
24	know how to get a hold of me. If anybody
25	wants a business card or anything I'll be

1	hanging around here after the meeting. But
2	again thank you for your time.
3	MR. CRUZEN: Anything? Here is what I
4	do. Every morning I get up, and this is no
5	joke, I get up I ask for wisdom every
6	morning. And at night I go to bed every
7	night and I ask for forgiveness. And
8	everything in between those two I do my
9	absolute best and work my absolute hardest
10	and Officer Nicole opened us up just the way
11	we always should with a prayer. And I just
12	want to ask if there's anybody that would be
13	willing to close us that way? If not, I'm
14	going to look right at Officer Nicole.
15	Would you mind?
16	(Thereupon, a Prayer was said and the proceedings
17	were concluded)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	) SS: COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )
5	
6	I, Sussy Luna, Shorthand Reporter, certify
7	that I stenographically reported the foregoing
8	proceedings and that this transcript is a true record
9	of the proceedings.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative,
11	employee, attorney or counsel for any of the parties
12	in this cause nor related to nor employed by any
13	attorney or counsel herein nor financially interested
14	in the outcome of this action.
15	Dated this 8th day of November, 2010.
16	
17	
18	Sussy Luna
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	