

United States Department of the Interior AMERICA

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

Washington, D.C. 20240

IN REPLY REFER TO

Tribal Government Services -MS 4641-MIB

MAY 2 4 1996

Ms. Rosemary Cambra 226 Airport Parkway, Suite 630 San Jose, California 95110

Dear Ms. Cambra:

Acknowledgment and Research Branch of has documentation submitted by the Muwekma to demonstrate previous acknowledgment. The purpose of this research is to determine. whether the Muwekma can utilize section 83.8 of the acknowledgment regulations by demonstrating previous Federal acknowledgment in the 20th century.

a petitioner can demonstrate past acknowledgment, the requirements to be acknowledged are reduced, in accord with section 83.8(d). A previously acknowledged petitioner need only demonstrate tribal existence from the point of last Federal acknowledgment. Further, the demonstration of tribal existence between last acknowledgment and the present-day community requires only a demonstration of criterion 83.7(c), using a reduced burden of evidence. The petitioner must still show that modern-day group meets the full requirements of criteria 83.7(b) and (c). Tribal ancestry under criterion 83.7(e) must still be shown, tracing from the group at the point of last Federal acknowledgment or earlier.

A determination of previous acknowledgment has two general elements. One is to show a past Federal action which constitutes unambiguous Federal acknowledgment. The second is to establish on a preliminary basis that the present group is the same as or has evolved from the group as it existed at the point of last acknowledgment.

Based on the documentation provided, and the BIA's background study on Federal acknowledgment in California between 1887 and 1933, we have concluded on a preliminary basis that the Pleasanton or Verona Band of Alameda County was previously acknowledged between 1914 and 1927. The band was among the groups, identified as bands, under the jurisdiction of the Indian agency at Sacramento, California. The agency dealt with the Verona Band as a group and identified it as a distinct social and political entity. The band was among the bands proposed by a Special California Indian agent in 1914 for homesite land under the appropriations for homeless California Indians which began in 1906. In 1928, the band was again



SURNAME

identified under the land purchase program, but this review was that a homesite was not required.

The Muwekma have also established, on a preliminary basis, that it is the same group as the band identified between 1914 and 1927. Consequently, the Muwekma may complete their petition documentation based on section 83.8 of the regulations, tracing the group's existence from 1927 to the present.

This letter is a determination of eligibility to be evaluated under section 83.8, not a determination that the Muwekma meet the requirements of the acknowledgment regulations, section 83.7, as modified for previously acknowledged groups by section 83.8. That determination will be made during the active consideration of the Muwekma petition.

While we have endeavored to make this determination as conclusive as possible, you should be aware that a determination of the point of last Federal acknowledgment under 83.8 is subject to review during the preparation of the proposed finding, as well as to challenge and review in the final determination comment process and any reconsideration, in the same manner as any other question bearing on a determination concerning acknowledgment.

This letter constitutes only a portion of the results of the technical assistance review of the documented Muwekma petition. The technical assistance review will be conducted based on the this determination of previous acknowledgment. We expect to provide the balance of the review within a short time.

Sincerely,

/SGD/ DEBORAH J. MADDOX

Director, Office of Tribal Services

cc: Al Logan Slagle Dena Magdaleno

الوائد ويتعالى الأراب والأراب

Surname; 440B; 440 Chron; 400; Hold; Roth:gr; x3592; 5/6/96; muwekprv.ltr; transmit 7; ret:jac 05-10-96