



Consultation, IA <consultation@bia.gov>

(no subject)

Pete Gregory <gregoryh@nsula.edu>

Tue, Jul 29, 2014 at 10:00 AM

To: "consultation@bia.gov" <consultation@bia.gov>

Sirs: I would like to add a few comments regarding the changes in the Federal Acknowledgment reforms.

First, I would like to congratulate the FOA/BIA team for their efforts and especially for the way they handled the public meeting recently held at Marksville, LA

There are excellent changes in the new regulations and I look forward to those being implemented.

FOA has come a long way since the first rules were compiled.

I might suggest a re-visit to the dates 1900 and 1934

full understanding the implications of the IRA dates

and their impact on tribal acknowledgment, but see little reason to use both dates. The 1900 date should include the IRA dates .

Third party input is very important but veto power should be limited to only cases where the sovereignty of specific acknowledged tribes is an issue.

Thank you for this chance to comment.

Sincerely, Pete Gregory, anthropologist/citizen