

Date	TELEFAX Sender's Telephone Number: 318-240-7131 Avoyel-Biloxi – Petitioner 231		No. of Fax Pages Page 1 of 1
August 20, 2013	Subject	<u>Social Cohesion - Race-Based Discrimination</u>	
Sender's Name	Sender's Fax Number	Recipient's Name	Recipient's Fax Number
Terry M. Francisco	318-253-5437	Ms. Elizabeth Appel	1-505-563-3811
Telefax Reference			
<u>Off of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action</u> <u>ASIA Washburn Consideration Revision of Part 83 Process</u>			

COMMENTS ON THE DISCUSSION DRAFT**"1076-AF18"**

Dear Ms. Appel:

On August 20, 2013, the Avoyel-Biloxi submitted and posed by priority mail post the same 5 questions below concerning *social cohesion* accompanied by a copious amount of federal documentation justifying the impediments of *social cohesion* against black Native American tribal members of the amalgamated Tunica-Biloxi-Avoyel-Ofo pan-tribal alliance. Likewise, included in the priority post is a labyrinth domino effect of social cohesion addressed in past articles published in *The Alexandria Daily Town Talk* with more to follow. The objective of the articles is for an investigation by the General Accountability Office. The Avoyel-Biloxi continues its status as a inter-active on-going tribal entity with a political authority of a traditional cabalé [chairman] as the head and surety for the Avoyel-Biloxi tribal members.

Will the discussion draft comments address the BIA's century-old advocacy and subscription to the stigma and labyrinth domino tenets of social cohesion against the Avoyel-Biloxi and other black Native Americans in the past and the present be developed, presented and addressed in the discussion draft?

Will the discussion draft comments be developed and addressed in straight talk depicting the impediment issues of social cohesion, which is a euphemism for race-based discrimination-be developed, presented and addressed in the discussion draft?

Will the discussion draft attempt to comment and address then reconcile the status of any Black Native American group pilloried by the bigotry of the BIA then visited on that black tribal group be developed, presented and addressed in the discussion draft for some type of restitution to Black Native American suffering or having suffered and endured social cohesion indignation? Will the draft comment and attempt to provide a parity of commutative and distributive justice to black Native Americans having been formerly part and parcel of any tribe or amalgamated pan-tribal member?

Will the draft posthumously comment and address the BIA's coup d'état role against the last traditional amalgamated pan-tribal chief Joseph Alcide Pierite for his sole stance against social cohesion?

Thank you,



Terry M. Francisco, Chairman