## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY - INDIAN AFFAIRS

## TRIBAL ENERGY RESOURCE AGREEMENT (TERA) CONSULTATION

HELD: July 11, 2019, 9:00 a.m.

At: Hard Rock Casino Hotel

777 West Cherokee Street

Catoosa, Oklahoma

Reported by: Linda Fisher, CSR-RPR

Page 2

i		<u> </u>
1		ATTENDEES:
2	For United States Department of the	MR. JOHN TAHSUDA Principal Deputy, Assistant
4	Interior:	Secretary - Indian Affairs Office of the Secretary
5		Division of Indian Affairs 1849 C Street NW
6		Washi ngton, DC 20240 (202) 208-7163
7 8		john. tahsuda@i os. doi . gov MR. STEPHEN L. SIMPSON
9		Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs
10		1849 C Street NW Room 6541, MS 6513
11		Washington, DC 20240 (202) 208-3401
12		stephen.simpson@sol.doi.gov MR. MARK CRUZ
13		Deputy Assistant Secretary of Policy and Economic Development
14		Office of the Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs
15		1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240
16		(202) 208-7163 mark. cruz@i os. doi . gov
17		MS. ELIZABETH APPEL
18		Director Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative
19		Action 1849 C Street NW
20		MIB, Mailstop 4660 Washington, DC 20240
21		(202) 273-4680 el i zabeth. appel @bi a. gov
22		MS. ANNETTE ROMERO
23		Office of Regulatory Affairs 1101 Indian School Road, NW
24		Albuquerque, New Mexico 87104 (505) 379-6138
25		annette. romero@bi a. gov

JOHN TAHSUDA: Good morning. I would like to get started here. And as we usually do, I would like to start us off with prayer. I think Mr. Mason has graciously offered to help us start off in a good place and a good frame of mind.

ARCHIE MASON: It's an honor to have been asked to render this morning prayer. Like you, I enjoy talking to God in the mornings.

(Prayer): Mighty Father God, we come this morning.

We say thank you for the privileges of life you have given us. Thank you for the breath of life this morning.

Thank you for allowing us to see Father Sun come up this morning. Blessings upon us here in this place, the Cherokee. We thank you for that.

We thank you for the leadership that is here, Father God. And those that will be presenting, Father, and those that will be listening, Father. And those that have questions, and those that have answers, Father, for our people in the Osage and many nations that are represented here.

We thank you, Father God, for their presence. We ask for your divine guidance and your protection, Father God, upon our people, our Indian people. Bless us. Protect us.

Put your angel's wings around each and every one of

us, the parents, and grandparents, and the greatgrandparents that are here, Father, as we are here to listen, and determine, and make decisions, Father, for ourselves and for our children, for our grandchildren, for those unborn, Father.

We thank you for that privilege that we're still here and we're surviving, Father. Give us those things. We've inherited many things, Father God. And now as we stand here and we're on our own watch right now, you're on our watch, too.

Watch over and oversee the business at hand, Father, that comes our way. It's complex, and it's confusing, and it's important, Father God, so we ask that you just be with us.

As we sit here today, Father, give us open minds and open our ears that the things that we say and the things that are said, Father, will be good to hear and explanations will be given today, questions that we may have, Father God, as we come to you with questions every day. We know you have the answers.

So guide us, Father God, throughout this day. Be with us during this summer season in the heat of our ceremonial times, and the times that we express ourselves here as representatives of our peoples, Father. Be with us now as we move through the day, and in the morning in

the name of your son Jesus. Amen.

JOHN TAHSUDA: Thank you, Mr. Mason. We're here this morning to open up a consultation with tribes in this area about a proposed set of regulation changes that we have and to provide a little more explanation. The regulations -- and you should have been able to get a copy, a paper copy when you checked in at the desk which will have -- a copy of this slide show, right?

LIZ APPEL: Yes.

JOHN TAHSUDA: A copy of this slide show.

And then with that, you have a copy of the proposed regs
and then a copy of a called redline, which will share the
changes to the current regulations with the proposed regs.

And so these regulations reflect changes in the law that happened last year. And the changes carry with it not only the latest changes you see but a timeline.

So we are required by the statute now to have conforming regulations, or conform our regulations to the changes in law by the end of this year. So we're engaging in several consultations. This is the first formal consultation.

We had a listening session at NCI a couple of weeks ago. But this is the first formal consultation on these proposed regulations changes. We'll have a couple more, one in Durango, and one in -- oh, in North Dakota, yeah,

New Town, for the Three Affiliated Tribes Reservation.

So that's what you have in front of you, and we're here to discuss today. Unless anybody has an objection, what I will do is go through the slide show relatively -- not very long, and give a basic explanation of what the proposed changes are. And then we can open it up for discussion and anything we have.

We have a court reporter here. Again, this is a formal consultation. So we have a court reporter. She'll be taking down things that -- everything that we say which will be part of the record. Our staff, led by Liz, will prepare your remarks as part of the consultation and part of the record that we consider in doing the ruling.

And oh, while I'm speaking of the court reporter, if you can, when you get up to speak, if you could state your name clearly as you can and your tribal affiliation, that would -- and particularly, if you're a tribal leader, if you could state your position with the Tribe, it will be helpful for us as we prepare the record, and et cetera, and make sure that we have all that and know who is being -- which comments.

Oh, and yes, please, if you can come up and use the mics for your statement. We appreciate that. So with that, we'll go through.

(Slide show presented.)

JOHN TAHSUDA: So the regulations that we're talking about today are what we call the TERAs, the Tribal Energy Resource Agreements. Many of you probably know about them.

These were part of a statutory creation from 2005 when Congress passed a major National Energy Act. And there was an Indian component, Indian minerals component to that. So these are basically an agreement where the Tribe can take over many of the functions that the BIA does now in allowing mineral development on Indian lands, in this case, oil and gas.

So it really is sort of a -- it's a conforming step in the law to bring Indian energy and mineral development in line with the overall policy of self-determination, self-governance by tribes. So that, as I said, the tribes, largely, you would step into the shoes of the tribe, I mean, into the shoes of the United States and perform a lot of the functions just as happened through other areas of the self-governance and self-determination.

So as I said, the statutory authority for this, the basic authority is from 2005. In 2006, and '8, the BIA went through a regulatory process, finalizing in 2008. The current regs are at 25 CFR 224.

And then last year Congress updated the statute and made what I think are some very positive changes to it.

And we now need to conform our regulations in 224 to the new statute.

One of the things that the update provided, I think, is greater opportunity for tribes to be able to work with outside companies, et cetera, pool their sort of -- their resources as far as their knowledge, or their business expertise with the Tribe to do that. So not only can the Tribe take over functions, but there's an opportunity, a greater opportunity for tribes to partner and use that as a way to spur the development as well.

So in the proposed rule packet you have, there is basically subparts A through I. And then a new subpart J, which was -- which talks about the new process of certifying the Tribal Energy Development Organizations which is where the tribes will be able to partner with outside.

So some of the main changes: There's no longer this independent notion of Tribal capacity. It's specific to the energy functions that the Tribe wants to take over.

Rather, the laws have changed to look over all the Tribe's capacity. Again, the same thing we do with, like, self-governance, or self-determination.

So if the Tribe -- and we'll get into some more of it later. But if the Tribe has sort of a general capacity handling real estate matters, other energy matters, et

cetera, then we'll presume that the Tribe has the capacity to be able to enter into a TERA with the United States.

There's a few more timelines. And then the -- one of the other, I think, important components is greater clarification and a limitation on who an interested party is who would be able to challenge either the TERA regs or the actions a tribe takes under those.

So we talk about tribe capacity. So the new law deleted several of the TERA application items that went to showing capacity, that is, expertise, capabilities, experience, specific to the energy development the Tribe wants to enter the TERA for.

No longer does the department, you know, make this determination. But rather, it's more mechanical now. If the Tribe has certain things in place, then you basically are deemed to have the capacity to take over a TERA.

And then there is some authorization for the department to provide financial assistance. As most of you know, we don't have piles of cash laying around so I'm going to be honest up-front, and say I don't know how much financial assistance would be available.

Because you guys know Eddie. He doesn't have any spare change in his pockets. But there at least is the authority, if we find -- if we find that we are able to do that, that's a possibility that we can work with the

tribes on as well.

Okay. So, the TERA, the Tribal Energy Resource Agreement, has a statutory deadline. Now, once an application is received by the department, we have 271 days to approve it or disapprove it or it automatically goes into effect.

If we do it, then we have to work with the Tribe, allow them to submit a revised TERA, and then this timeline shortens to 91 days to get to the approval or disapproval. And hopefully, at that point we would be in approval, I think.

We have limitations. One of the -- one of the -- I think clarifications that the new law provides is limiting the grounds for disapproval. So now instead of whether a tribe has capacity, we talk about whether a tribe is qualified.

You know, is there any independent -- these are really pretty broad categories. Is there something in the TERA that's going to violate another federal law or the Tribe's treaty, or something, kind of a catchall there.

If we disapprove, then the department, we would have to specify what provisions work with you, what revisions would take care of our disapproval and then prepare for you to resubmit it and get it approved.

So what is a qualified tribe? So really again

instead of having sort of an independent look at the Tribe's specific energy capabilities, the law now tells us to look at the Tribe's sort of overall governmental capabilities, again, consistent with self-governance or self-determination.

So has the Tribe carried out a contract or a compact under self-determination for land and natural resources in the last three years? Has it had no material audit exceptions in those three years?

And then do you have -- does the Tribe have substantial experience with the review or administration or evaluation of energy resource leases or agreements or otherwise participated in the management of the energy resources located on your land?

Those are the basic concepts. Now, we're placed in again, this sort of very in-depth review of capacity that was under the prior law. So I'm not sure this is entirely applicable because we've never approved a TERA. But if the Tribe had had a TERA in place before, we wouldn't have to submit for approval.

In the future, once a TERA is approved, and you want to amend it to add, say, another type of energy development or something to the TERA, you don't have to apply for a whole new TERA, you actually just apply and say, We want to amend ours to add this -- you know, this

type of energy development to what we are already doing.

And then the TERAs are basically evergreen, right? They stay in place until rescinded by the Tribe, or if there is some dramatic action that the Secretary needs to take to protect the Tribe's physical trust assets. And really those are the only two things that would interrupt the authority of the TERA.

So again, here's what I thought -- I think is a positive improvement in the law. And particularly, for the tribes and for the department. As you know, we get sued by a lot of people quite frequently. But in this case for the TERAs, the law limits who an interested party is.

And so -- and provides that they have to take certain steps before they can seek legal remedy. So they have to have exhausted their remedies under tribal law, they have to demonstrate a substantial interest, a substantial evidence of their interest in the actual energy development to even be an interested party.

And then when the department makes the determination whether somebody is an interested party, and if they are, if the Tribe is not in compliance with its own TERA, it's also -- it's not wide open. There's a limited number of actions the department takes. And largely, to make sure that this now interested party has a valid point to make,

and the Tribe -- we work with the Tribe to address the noncompliance with TERA.

So again, there's a provision for if we have unexpended amounts funds, the tribes can ask for those to help them carry out TERA functions. Again, I'm not -- I don't know how much money that would be there since most of our offices and agencies are not running surpluses.

But again, it's something, that once the -- once things get rolling, TERAs are in place, Tribes are taking over some of the functions that our office would do, it may be something to look at.

And then an alternative to the TERAs. This is the new subpart J which the Tribal Energy Development Organizations. Again, I view this as a very positive development for tribes.

If you don't want to do a TERA, or I think if you want to do a TERA, you can do one of these as well. But the opportunity to still do leases, business agreements, et cetera, without having to have a TERA in place.

Again, it's similar to the TERAs. You have to have had experience in managing the government, basically, the government functions that would go with approving leases, et cetera. So has the Tribe had a self-determination contract for three years, you know, handling, you know, real estate matters, et cetera.

In the end, in a sense, does the Tribe have some experience for at least three years handling the types of functions that the Federal Government has been doing on your behalf, rights-of-ways, lease agreements, et cetera. So if the Tribe has that, then the Tribe has, in a sense, has the capacity to handle this.

The other major qualification for this is that this Tribal Energy Development Organization, if the Tribe brings in outside partners, the Tribe has to retain a majority interest in the Tribal Energy Development Organization. And it has to be reflected in the organization documents, et cetera.

Oh, and importantly, there is a requirement that the TEDO documents specifically state that it is subject to tribal law primarily. And this is, actually, even a shorter time frame for us. But again, I think these are -- the intent of the law, as I sort of read it or see it, is that there's less requirement for Interior to make -- to make sort of substantive calculations.

But rather, if things are in place, then the Tribe can engage -- you know, enter into this engagement quickly. So there's only a 90-day turnaround once we have received it to approve or disapprove a TEDO.

And then once it's done, it will be published in the Federal Register. That's it. That's my short

expl anati on.

I'm sure you have a lot more questions. But if you need -- if you want to look at more paper, you can go to these two or go to this link on our website and click on in the RACA area which is regulatory development and you will see a link for Tribal Energy Resource Agreements. Click on that.

So comments are due by September 3rd. We will have wrapped up the final consultation next week, the final in-person consultation. We have a telephonic consultation on the 26th. I believe it's July 26th.

So the consultations, including telephonic, will be wrapped up by the end of this month. And then we will have the proposed -- or we'll have -- we'll close down the comment period by September 3rd. So you have that period of time to get written comments in. You submit those to our consultation@bia.gov email address.

And Liz and her shop will compose all those like they do with the transcripts from these consultations.

And then the next step is we would then roll into a formal ruling process. So really you kind of get two bites of the apple.

You get to make comments during the consultation period. And then there's also either a comment period as we go with ruling in general so once we launch into the

```
1
    formal ruling process while the proposed rule comment
2
    period, and then a final rule comment period.
                                                     So vou'll
3
    get -- if you think you've missed something through the
    consultation comment period, you will have an additional
4
5
    opportunity to resubmit comments.
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
6
                                      No.
7
                    JOHN TAHSUDA:
                                   No?
8
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      No.
9
                    JOHN TAHSUDA: On the proposed rules?
10
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      We are in -- do you want
11
    me to do this, Liz, or do you want to do it?
12
                    JOHN TAHSUDA:
                                   Because normally, we do a
    consul tati on.
13
14
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      I'm Stephen Simpson.
                                                             I'm
15
    with the Solicitor's Office.
16
                    JOHN TAHSUDA:
                                  I should have introduced you
17
           Let me do this real quick.
                                        Steve Simpson with the
18
    Solicitor's Office.
                          Mark Cruz is our Deputy Assistant
19
    Secretary for Policy and Economic Development.
20
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      Okay.
                                             We are in the
21
    proposed -- the proposed rule published last week, week
22
    before last.
                   So we are actually in the proposed rule
23
    comment period right now. That's what ends on September
24
    3rd.
          0kay?
25
           Then we go through the -- we go through the
```

comments, create the final rule, publish the final rule and that's it. There is no comment period on the final rule. But, yeah, this is -- or often we will do consultations, or before on a discussion draft before the final -- the proposed rule is published.

In this case, we didn't largely because what we're doing is just taking the statutory language, the new statutory language and largely just moving it into the regulations. There's -- we're not making any real substantive changes besides what Congress told us we had to do.

So there wasn't -- I think the view was there was not really a need for a discussion draft consultation for this -- with this particular regulation. Because we really didn't have any discretion as to what we had to do.

But in this case -- but so the comment period on the proposed rule ends on September 3rd. If you want to submit comments after that, we have to extend the comment period and open it up to everybody else.

JOHN TAHSUDA: Thanks, Steve.

LIZ APPEL: This is Liz. I agree with what Stephen said. But I also wanted to say that I think ideally he would have had a discussion draft first before we publish the proposed rule.

But because Congress required a final rule by

1 December 18th of this year, and Congress passed that law in December of last year, so we -- with last 2 3 appropri ati ons. And we were under an especially 4 compressed timetable. 5 So we had to go straight to the proposed rule for And I think that's why John was thinking that this 6 7 was just the discussion draft at this point. 8 JOHN TAHSUDA: Yeah. 9 LIZ APPEL: Because normally that's what we 10 would do. JOHN TAHSUDA: 11 Thank you. I stand 12 So now you know the time frame, time periods corrected. 13 to submit. And again, so let me -- let me just add I know 14 that as Steve said, our -- the draft that you have, 15 proposed rule is largely -- is really just conforming our 16 regulations to the new statute and doesn't venture beyond 17 that. 18 I want to say, though, that we are open if you have 19 an idea, an improvement to the regulations beyond this, we 20 would, of course, love to hear that, anything you would 21 have to say. If there's any -- you know, anything that we 22 could build into it; it would, of course, have to be 23 consistent with the statutes. And the statutes relatively 24 are fairly specific.

But I think we would be happy to entertain some

25

better way for us to handle our responsibilities on your behalf. So that's it for me. I want to open it up now for comment. And I think Chief right off the bat. There you go.

CHIEF STANDING BEAR: Thank you, John. I recognize Chief Tillman is here and Everett Waller is here of our Osage Minerals Council and other members of the Osage Minerals Council. Speaker Tillman, members of the Osage Congress, and our Osage shareholders here, constituents.

The position of the Osage Nation is the Osage Minerals Council manages our mineral estate. And for that, the Minerals Council will have its own statements. And the other branches of our government, we will have some comments as we go through this process.

At this time I would like to turn it over to the Chairman of the Osage Minerals Council, Mr. Everett Waller, who will be speaking for us for the Minerals Council. Mr. Waller.

EVERETT WALLER: Ladies and gentlemen, I've addressed my Chief Tillman, my Chief Standing Bear, and my elders here, my Congress, my Council, my shareholders, and anyone else that is even listening to us today.

Representing the Osage is a great honor for me. As Chairman we have seen all of this come through. Before I

got into it, I got this knocked down to an hour and a half. I won't go that far.

We have a document here over a TERA. Back when I was on serve with the Chief back in the nineties, we had efforts of this nature. I sat on ITMA, ITA, RCB. This is an opportunity here that's coming down because it's a federal statute.

We have to review it because of us and we live under A federal statute, Title 25, 226. That will stay. As a Council, we look at how -- how do we even generate these for the TERA? Can't do it without us. But the "us" part now is that I've got a Congress here that's going to have to write a lot of laws.

The effort of the Tribe here as a direct service tribe, we are allowed some capacities that a self-governance compacts don't have. This is not a self-governance or compact.

All it is is if we're going to look at a TERA and we'll do just like we have had to do everything else. We are going to run down -- and we don't hunt buffalo, we chase paper with pictures of dead presidents. My God, that's the answer to all my problems in the Osage: Money.

The thing I want to look at is that this is an opportunity. All of my shareholders know what we have just marched through. What we have come through is days

and days of no rigs. When I was working for the BIA, I had to go check 20 rigs a day every day.

Now if I want to check them, they're sitting over here for sale on the highway. The services groups have dropped. Now, to get back to issue at hand.

The Osage Minerals Trust. We developed it, and received it in 1871. We purchased this property and come in and struck oil in 1898. From that point on, we have had the Federal Government because remember, we was with the Department of War in 1754.

They did not leave us out. We stayed with them.

And for that capacity, I'm glad to be here on my other side of the family, my Cherokee people. This -- I started here. I was here when we was in a tent.

So it matters to me what happens to the Osage. It's checked on a national level. No one comes ahead of us. We're 127 years old in the fossil fuels.

Our problem is not that we still kept them. Our problem is that we've got to know the value of it. I've got council here. That's what we're working on. But this TERA is going to allow the Nation to do some things.

Now, all of this comment is over production. I can't give much rhetoric on the logistics. I see that Stephen has already contacted something else, that you're right; there is no effort in that. You could give us the

direct service now and not have to go through the process that's getting us killed everywhere else.

Or otherwise, I wouldn't be in the Supreme Court fighting another country. I want to just thank my Council for that. You think you've got problems over TERA? You better think about trespassing on your family's land, their graves, their hardships they took to keep it.

But this effort here, the trust, we want to get into it. Don't be afraid of where we're going. You better be afraid of where we've been. If that does not change, I don't see anything but a downfall. And ask how many companies we own? None.

We have built five of the largest corporations in the world. What we do now is come into a TERA that's a little bit about Osage Minerals Trust system, which you know well. You are a trustee.

We go into suggested changes to TERA proposed rule. That's why we're here with my Council, my Congress, and my Speaker. Our Chief and I have talked. And what we have visited on is that every once in a while this runs into a little problem because of change.

Well, a TERA is not a compact. You go X amount of feet into this endeavor under 1906 Act. We happen to know it well because we're the ones leasing it. Once we lease it, then there's the possibility of where we want a TERA.

The Osage Nation does not discount the Osage
Minerals Council. Yeah, the politics thing, it get a
little gray there. But we have the reservation.

We worked this out. The Nation can plug in. We can do it to protect ourselves. I'm not going and leaving this earth where I have left my folks at risk. I just won't do it.

What I am looking at -- and then I'll kind of wrap this part up -- is that we have to have some comment here. We cannot just put our heads in the sand and act like it's going to get better. I'm losing 5,000 barrels a day. Do you think I'm going to fix that here? I'm not.

But I am going to tell these other tribes: Watch what's happened to us. I gave a great speech at Three Affiliated. My God, a million two barrels a day, two billion cubic feet of gas a day. And they have got problems, the same one we've got.

And I totally saw that about 1920. With that, I want to say that the continued work and relationship with John Tahsuda, all of your offices is what's really going to make this. I've worked on it at many levels. Let's see what else I have.

I have a nice comment that I'd like to turn in -funding formula. This will be real easy. Andrew, what
did you say 10 million a year? Yeah. I got him up to

ni ne.

How can we run a government installation for my people when they can't run it? They're underfunded. How is the tribe going to pick up these extra dollars? My God, I had a great career in robbery when I started as a child.

But, you know, Iollipops and millions are about the same thing. You've just got to go get them. Now, while I'm chairman, I'll do anything it takes to take care of my people.

With that, I would like to have all this comment put in. I do want to tell my shareholders is that this is not a compact or a contract. We will deliberately leave identification of 1906 in here. None of that is going to change.

As a matter of fact, even on these documents, your Nation has put it in here as representation of us. The Chief has allowed me this comment period. I've thought about other things to say. But I want to close with this.

When I was young I worked on those rigs, all the elements, pulling units, everything. Everyone had jobs. Everyone worked. We don't have that now.

What I'm looking forward to is handing my grandchildren something that matters. I was handed that.

And what I look at it is that this is an opportunity here

for not only the Osage Minerals Council, the Osage Nation, but all other tribes.

The fossil fuels is taking a big hit. But until I see camels and horses tied up out there, I think I'll stay in the game with it.

Because why am I going to give something away that we had to pay for? And that's where you follow us, you will follow us to an eternity.

We're the only tribe that had to come after Civil
War and buy our home, our former home. So we're going to
get paid back. Today's environment is a tough
environment.

I want to thank my council for bouncing through this. Yeah, it's been tough. Yeah, we're trying to grab every dollar out there. And you know, we're on a global market. You know that.

The only way that oilfield is up is in two ways. They want to make less of a profit, or we're having soldiers dying in the field. So in that case, I want everything kept in order.

I want to close my comments on behalf of my Council is that this has elements of us in it. And it's all 1906, the Allotment Act. Why did we change from Part 25, 226 to Part 25, 224? It's not going to happen. The Nation will look at privacy in other areas. We know that.

1 I used to work for them. I used to work for the 2 Don't hold it against me, because I learned a lot 3 there. I learned how that other world looks at us. 4 now I love the part of that, become friends of me and my 5 Council now. And I already know who they are. One thing about 6 7 it, as Osages, we've learned to skin that cat five ways. 8 But I guarantee you we will hunt it down and get it. 9 With that, I appreciate you all. I love my aunts I want to close with that, my Chief, my Council. 10 here. Thank you so much. And I'll have this for the record. 11 12 JOHN TAHSUDA: All right. The floor is That's a hard act to follow. 13 open. 14 LIZ APPEL: I'm happy to carry the mic to 15 anyone who doesn't want to stand up. 16 JOHN TAHSUDA: Please just remember to say 17 your name. 18 JULIE MALONE: My name is Julie Malone. 19 And I'm a beneficiary of the Osage Mineral Estate Trust. 20 What functions will remain trust functions if we enter 21 into a TERA? And Will the list of beneficiaries remain the same? 22 23 JOHN TAHSUDA: So I'm going to turn the question of functions over to Steve because a lot of that 24 25 has been a work of the Solicitor's Office in determining

what functions we immediately can turn over.

But I would say that two things: One is under further review of the last year or so, the solicitors, with our office with BLM, in particular, have expanded the number and type of functions and functions from different offices like a field office.

I know that's not really an issue to you. So the opportunity there is -- the opportunity is there, I believe, for tribes to take over a broader range of functions than was originally conceived when the TERA idea was enacted. But now, that's also something that you can, you know, take or not in the TERA.

You can leave -- you can take over some functions and leave others. That would be something that you would convert through -- for the specific needs of your tribe.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yeah. But as John said, it does depend on when the Tribe decides to take over, to a certain extent. We do have a provision in the existing regulations that is not being changed, not proposed to be changed at 224.82, which talks about what functions the department will still provide after approval of the TERA.

And what that says in part is that -- well, it starts off with, "All activities the department performs unless the Tribe has assumed such activities under the TERA." Okay?

So as John points out, we're taking a look at that right now. As to what specific activities the Tribe may assume, I can't tell you much more than -- because the Secretary won't let me.

It's just much more than that. But we are taking a look at it. And that's fine. That needs to go through all of its proper vetting and everything.

But what we say in the regulation is that we would still, again, unless the Tribe takes it over, we would still provide access to title status information and other support services that are needed by the Tribe in the course of evaluating proposals for leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way. We will, and -- and coordinate between the Tribe and the Department for maintenance of those property records.

There's still access -- there's still access, and the Tribe wouldn't be taking this over. This is just what we do. There is still access to technical support services within the Department to assist the Tribe in evaluating -- we've got a list here, physical, economic, financial, cultural, social, environmental, and legal consequences of those leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way.

You can always call us. You can talk to us. You can ask for our advice on those things. And assistance on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enforcement. We still have -- we will still have the authority under the statute to ensure that the -- there are breaches of those terms. We can -- we can go and enforce, we can work with the Tribe on enforcement, you know. We work that out between us. We will still have the authority, if the Tribe needs us to, to exercise it. As far as the relationship between the Osage Nation and the Minerals Council and the headright owners, Chairman Waller is absolutely correct. The 1906 Act still applies. And nothing in this TERA statute or in any other statute changes that. So that relationship is still there. And you would still get, you know, the same -- you would still be entitled to headright payments and all that. JOHN TAHSUDA: Did that answer your question about beneficiary? JULIE MALONE: Yes.

MARGO GRAY: Thank you. My name is Margo Gray. I'm an Osage Minerals Council councilwoman. And you know, we -- when we first started talking about TERA and when it was proposed to us through our attorneys and office of the chiefs, you know, we took a good hard look at this.

And the first thing, and just to back up what you

1 said that this will not impact the 1906. 2 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yes. 3 MARGO GRAY: We want to make sure that 4 those protections are in place. The Trust responsibility 5 remains the same. And so when we go -- you know how it is on social 6 7 You know, we always have some people saying, Oh, media. 8 TERA is bad, it's going to -- you know, it's like the 9 boogeyman is here. And that's not the case. 10 For many years, Osages have never been -- had the 11 ability to get into business on their own, on our own 12 terms. And TERA opens up this opportunity, you know, on 13 busi ness. We're doing this already, we're approving leases. 14 15 For over three years, we've already been handling the 16 Realty office. We have some of these things in place. So from a business perspective, and also from the 17 18 oil and gas perspective, we know that the return on our 19 shareholder's checks has been impacted drastically. Obvious from the ABB. And so that has been really 20 21 detrimental to our ability to -- for our partners in the 22 oil and gas industry in order to -- them to do business.

23

24

25

I'm going to kind of relate this a little bit to gaming. When gaming first came on, everyone was like, you know, this is going to be bad. You know, the Gambino Family is going to be here. You know, it was like the Mafia part.

So I can see where people are hesitant about this but we also know, speaking of gaming, we have a Governor right now who is wanting up from five to 20 percent increase. So for those of us in oil and gas, or gaming and oil and gas tribes, we have to look at other ways to diversify in order to put money back, not into the Nation, but more importantly, for us as Osage, who I represent as Osage shareholders is to look at this as a business opportunity.

So my really only concern that I have is that I know that you said there will be technical support but there also will be -- which is going to be great in setting up. We talked about Osage needs oil and gas laws on our -- on our books. We're working on that.

I like that there is the compliance piece, that checks and balance will be there. The other part is, you know, maybe the 271 days, it seems like a long time in order to do business.

You know, we're hoping that -- I don't know if there's any way that that can be shortened but that is a

long time. That's a nine-month wait. And when you start any business, nine months is a long time because you want a return on your investment if you're ready to go.

So even if by the time September is here and then

December that we'll be in to 2021 before this could

actually impact our shareholders to where we'll be able to

-- I'm just going to use this as an example.

If Osage wanted to get in, like, one of our people on our reservation, Candy Crusher, or APAC for the minerals, -- I mean, I'm just using this for an example. If the Tribe, -- and I know that the Office of Indian Energy and Economic Development have a grant for minerals. So if we were going to get into, like, the gravel and all that, because it does pay royalties, how will that be paid back?

And will it follow the same thing like if we had a tribal loan business for, like, a gravel pit to provide some of this, they pay the -- so we would pay ourselves back and then it goes to the shareholders. Is that the way the business model will work?

STEPHEN SIMPSON: If the -- if the Tribe has its own minerals operation? We would have to look into that. I think we've had some discussions with y'all about taking over royalty and gas and that sort of thing.

MARGO GRAY: Right.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: And we still would need to know that we -- this is -- typically, if a tribe is developing its own minerals or developing its own land, the department, or the government, the Federal Government doesn't have an approval authority there anyway. Okay?

And so you'll -- so you'll see that that's why the TERA is -- and why the TEDO provisions of this statute are oriented towards leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way without the approval of the Secretary.

Okay? Because ordinarily, the approval of the Secretary would be required for those.

And so that's what Congress was trying to -- trying to take care of. How the -- so the general principle is a tribe can develop its own land, can develop its own minerals without the approval of the Secretary.

Whether the Tribe pays itself royalties is up to the Tribe. How that will work, how something -- I'm coming to that. How that would work under the 1906 Act we have not looked into. I -- I cannot -- I can't opine on that because I have no clue.

We'd have to talk, we'd have to work with -probably work with your legal counsel to figure that out.

And I'm not going to put them on the spot right now either and ask them. You could do that if you wanted to but I'm not going to.

1 MARGO GRAY: I was just using that as an 2 I'm not saying that that's what we're going to 3 do. 4 STEPHEN SIMPSON: But, yes. And this -and a TERA or a TEDO would apply to any of the minerals 5 6 that the Nation has. 7 MARGO GRAY: All right. Well, more 8 specifically probably outside businesses that have to do 9 with the oil and gas industry. 10 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Right. 11 MARGO GRAY: I know that the Osage Minerals 12 Council before has really worked on our strategic plan on getting into business. But it is a part of whether it's 13 14 gas in kind. 15 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Sure. 16 MARGO GRAY: But where that money will come 17 back will always be to, you know, the royalty payments 18 will come to our shareholders. We've got to find out ways 19 to increase the check. 20 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yeah. 21 MARGO GRAY: Yeah. All right. Those are 22 my comments. 23 STEPHEN SIMPSON: And there is -- and there 24 is nothing in federal law that stops, you know, even if --25 even if, you know, the Tribe is developing its own

minerals. Okay? Or its own land. There is nothing in federal law that stops you from giving money to the shareholders. Okay?

If -- if the Nation decides, you know, with working with the Minerals Council that that's what they want to do with their money with money from those minerals, that's fine. So --

STEPHANIE ERWIN: I could say a lot to them, but I won't right now. Because it's more important for me to know exactly the problem. My name is Stephanie Erwin, E-r-w-i-n. And it's important for me to know the role of the BIA in all this.

Right now we have a very serious problem up there that our would-be oil producers do not have access to the documents. So, therefore, they're afraid to come and drill in the Osage. And our minerals has been devalued because of that.

And we have also lost money because of that, the annuitants. I want to know what role that -- if we don't have to have the Secretary of Interior, the Superintendent sign off on the 139s, 208s, however that's going to play out, I want to know who signs it and if it will trigger a federal action.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: It largely depends on what actions -- what activities the Tribe takes over as to

who signs what. The TERA will, at a minimum, covers leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way themselves, not necessarily all the 139s and 208s, and all that.

But if you're taking -- if the Tribe decides to take over, as John mentioned earlier, if the Tribe decides to take over other activities that the department performs now, it can do that. And that's what we're looking into is trying to define exactly what those activities are.

And in that case, if the Nation or the Minerals

Council at the Nation has the Minerals Council do that, it

would take over all of those activities, and would do it

themselves. What else was I going to mention?

Well, yes, you could also do development as a TEDO. And I will tell you what the TEDO idea gets you is, as an alternative to the TERA is it moves it out of -- it moves it out of the TERA and so you don't have what the TERA has -- okay? -- is the periodic reviews that the Secretary will do of how you're -- is required to do with how you're doing your job under the TERA. And you won't have some of those other things.

But the leases that it would apply to are more restricted. If you've got a TERA, you can lease it with whoever you want. If you're doing leases with your TEDO, that's -- it's restricted to those.

The other thing was you mentioned federal action.

The main reason that Congress -- one of the main reasons, not all of them, but one of the big reasons that Congress enacted the TERA statute to begin with was to take the Secretary out of -- just take away the Secretary -- the requirement that the Secretary approves leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way.

It says in the statute, and in the regulations currently, and the proposed rule doesn't change this, that all federal environmental laws still apply. Earlier there was discussion of the American burying beetle. Yes the ESA would still apply. But you know the statutes of how well that's going.

So NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act, would still apply, the National Historic Preservation Act would still apply. The key, however, is that those statutes are triggered by a federal action. And by a Federal, and in the case of the NHPA, a federal undertaking.

Congress, in this statute, has taken the federal action or the federal undertaking away for leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way. So there would be no need for review for these.

There is requirement under the TERA statute for the Tribe to do an environmental review. The terms of that are laid out in the statute. It is not NEPA. It is

specifically not NEPA. There's no alternatives analysis and there is -- there is some other differences.

It still would require that that public -- or that environmental review get public comments. Okay? But importantly, Congress does not define, did not define in 2005, still didn't define in 2018, what the word "public" means.

We have had another statute, similar statute for surface leasing we have called the HEARTH Act that we've been administering now since 2012. There are about 30 tribes around -- more than 30 tribes around the country that have HEARTH Act authority and are doing their surface leasing without the approval of the Secretary.

There's the same requirement in there for an environmental review. So Congress also did not define "public" in that statute. And we've told tribes that when they do their regulations under that statute, they can figure out who the public is that has to comment on there.

And as you might imagine, generally, it's tribal members, maybe people around the edges of the tribal land. And that's fine. So that allows you more flexibility there, too.

STEPHANIE ERWIN: Okay. So I'm assuming you'll be funding the tribes to set up these regulations, the NEPA, wildlife, whatever, historic?

JOHN TAHSUDA: We haven't had a discussion that we would have to have. Again, there is authority for us to assist both with technical support and financial support under the -- under the law and under the regulations.

But as with everything we do, that's basically subject to the available appropriations that we have in a particular year. As a general matter, Congress never appropriates to us. Let me say this carefully. Congress seldom appropriates to us funding that is in excess of the bare minimum need we have to administer our responsibilities, in general.

STEPHANIE ERWIN: Okay. One more. I asked this question. Maybe I didn't hear it. Sometimes my ears aren't so quick. But at what point do we gain access to our documents so our oil producers can get back in the field and start drilling?

At what point does this happen for us? You tell me.

Is it still going to be a BIA function or can that be part

of the TERA? I mean, what are we doing?

JOHN TAHSUDA: Well, let's see if Steve can address it. But I would say conceptually, that's a challenge that I think is really not addressed by this change in the law. So to the extent that those documents are trust documents or trust assets, we remain responsible

for protecting those for the Tribe and tribal members.

And so that is something that we -- we have tried to proceed very carefully. It creates or it is the potential for substantial liability risk on behalf of the United States. And so I know sometimes it seems burdensome.

But, you know, in balancing, in balancing the ability, as you see it, of the Tribe to further its own economic development with our requirement, trust requirement to protect those documents, we have traditionally come out on the side of protecting the documents. So that's, you know, that's sort of my rough understanding, or I would say my rough explanation of how we've done it.

And until there is a change in that dynamic, I'm not sure. We can work around the edges.

I'm not sure how we can totally open up those type of documents to just the broad public even if it's just your business partners unless you have some type of arrangement, the Tribe has some type of arrangement, maybe through a TEDO or something where you can -- and we could become comfortable with the liability on behalf of the United States that that universe has shrunk down to an acceptable level. I don't know if that makes sense.

ANDREW YATES: Andrew Yates, Osage Minerals

Council, Second Chair. You addressed some of the concerns I had with if the Tribe, the Osage Tribe, and the Minerals Council, and Congress, and the Executive enter into this TERA or TEDO agreements, I was wondering, my main concern is the NEPA.

We've had a lot of overburdened regulations that have been imposed upon us, namely the American Burying Beetle. It's held up a lot of our production, killed our drilling, you know.

It's all time sensitive. And it takes six months to a year to get a lease agreement for a well drilled and then, you know, things change, the economy changes, the funding, the investors changes.

My question is if -- and you did answer to a certain degree -- businesses, and leasing. You get some from NEPA but my question is on APDs, Application for Permit to Drill. Will we have any relief if we enter into this agreement?

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Applications for Permits to Drill are one of the things that we are looking at for whether a Tribe can take it over. As I said earlier, that decision has not been made yet, okay?

If a -- as with all of the functions that a Tribe would take over under a TERA, okay, if they -- if the Tribe did that for APD approval, the Tribe could do that

for APD approval and then it would still be -- the Tribe would still be acting as a contractor essentially of the Federal Government.

Because the vehicle for taking these things over is a 638 contract or compact. So we've got to look into that, too. In other words, I am not sure.

My initial thought would be no, that there would still -- there might still be NEPA review for that because the Tribe is acting as the Federal Government's contractor. Okay? But I would have to -- I would have to think about that some more.

ANDREW YATES: Thank you.

SUSAN FORMAN: My name is Susan Forman.

I'm a Minerals Council member. And I just would like for you to give me a detailed clarification of the difference between TERA and TEDO. I'm a little confused on that point is why I do a TEDO instead of a TERA.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: That's completely understandable. We're -- we're -- we had to sit down and really work our way through it as well.

Under a TERA if a tribe enters into a TERA with the Secretary, okay, the tribe is -- it's an agreement. And part of that agreement is that the Tribe can then do leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way without secretarial approval and take over other functions as

well. Okay?

More other parts of that agreement under the statute are that the Secretary has the authority -- has the obligation to do periodic review and evaluation of how the Tribe is performing under that TERA. Okay?

There is also provision, the interested party provision, that John referred to with the overheads occurs in a part of the statute that allows a third-party to come in and basically petition the Secretary to reassume the TERA and all those functions if the Tribe is violating the terms of its TERA. Okay?

And as John said, Congress made that a little tougher. There is tribal law. There's also tribal remedies and all that.

The TEDO provision is an alternative. Okay? What it says is that you can create -- the Tribe can either create its own corporation, or partnership or whatever, to -- and essentially under tribal law, and do leases between the Tribe, leases, business agreements, rights-of-way, between the Tribe and that entity -- okay -- that tribal corporation, that the Secretary would not have to approve.

Or the Tribe can enter into a partnership or a joint venture with other nontribal entities, an oil company, a pipeline company, some other entity, and can join together with other tribes in that. Okay. And then they can do

leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way between the tribe and that entity that do not require the Secretary's approval.

And there are requirements for what that entity has to look like which is what John was talking about. But we don't get into that level of detail here. So but the TEDO provision is only limited -- the exemption from the Secretary's approval is limited to those leases, to leases with the TEDO, okay, not leases with another company.

And the other leases, business agreements or rights-of-way would still have to be approved by the Secretary. So the TERA gives you a broader -- a broader range of those people to contract with, okay? Because it's not limited to the TEDO. It could be anybody.

But that comes with the periodic review by the Secretary. That comes with the authority of the Secretary to resume that authority, the TERA authority. That comes with the possibility of a third-party petition through violation of the TERA.

None of those three things happen if your contract
-- or apply if you're contracting with a TEDO. At least
that's our initial read of how all this works. Because as
John points out, we've never had a TERA application so we
don't know.

And the TEDO provision just came in in September so

1 that hasn't happened yet either. But that's sort of how 2 we see it initially. Is that it's a more limited range of 3 folks you can contract with. But it gets rid of some 4 parts of the parts of the TERA that the Tribe may not want 5 to deal with. SUSAN FORMAN: Just to add to that, then 6 7 can a tribe do both? 8 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yes. Yes. A tribe can 9 A tribe, you could do -- you can do a TERA and do both. you can still lease under your -- under the 1906 Act or 10 11 under one of the Five Tribes Acts or whatever is still 12 applicable, the IMDA. 13 SUSAN FORMAN: Under both? 14 STEPHEN SIMPSON: You can do that. And you 15 can do -- you can do leases under either one you want. You can do a right-of-way under a TERA if you've got one. 16 You can do a right-of-way under the right-of-way statute. 17 18 Or yes, if the Tribe has a TEDO, and it is involved 19 in a TEDO, you can still get a TERA, you could do it any 20 of those three ways, if you want. You could -- you could 21 have -- you know, you could say we want -- we want to 22 lease under our TERA with this company. We want to lease 23 under our -- with other TEDO and we want to do a 1906 Act, 24 lease with that company over there.

Okay. One last question.

SUSAN FORMAN:

25

Then under any of those scenarios, we can still elect to maintain our trust and our trustee for the collection of disbursement of any funds, just a -- I need a confirmation on that.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Well, no, if the Tribe doesn't take over those functions, yes. And let me be -- let me be -- add just another level of confusion to this. As I said, the Tribe will be taking over -- if the Tribe has a TERA, it will be taking over functions through a 638 contract/compact. The Tribe can already do that even without a TERA. That's not new.

Tribes take over functions of the Secretary all the time and as long as they're not inherently federal. And so, you know, from 1979, when the -- when the Self-Determination Act was passed, a tribe could take over minerals functions of the Secretary. No tribe has yet but they could.

And that won't change with this. So if you've got a TEDO, if you're just doing the TEDO option, you can still take over all those functions of the Secretary that are not inherently federal.

And what we're looking into, -- you know, we've referred to this a few times now -- what we're looking into is the list of -- is whether functions are inherently federal or not. And we've got a list of all the various

functions that the Secretary performs.

And what we're looking into that I've referred to a couple of times is whether those are inherently federal or whether they are contractable. Okay? And that's the review that's not finished yet.

But what -- but again, if the Tribe does a TEDO, works with the TEDO, they can still contract out those functions. If a tribe decides not to do a TERA at all, they can still contract out those functions once we figure out what they are. Okay?

PAUL REVARD: I would like to say something. My name is Paul Revard. I'm also a Council member, Osage Minerals Council member. Our well records are vital to the development of our Mineral Estate. The oil and gas producers cannot generate and develop their leases without access to these crucial records.

It is now the responsibility of the Secretary of Interior to be the custodian and maintain these well records. Why -- why couldn't we, as a nation, take over that responsibility and let us maintain our own well records and let us assume the responsibility to that?

STEPHEN SIMPSON: You would need to contract out the -- The well records and all of that are going to be federal records. They are federal records. If you are contracting out -- if the Tribe contracts out

the functions of the -- the Realty functions or other functions that the Secretary is performing, again, because it's a contract, they would still end up being federal records.

They would still end up being -- they are still -- are proprietary information. They still are subject to FOIA. And this is actually addressed in the regulations, in TERA regulations. And so they are still subject to being withheld from release to third parties.

The Tribe would have more access to them. The Tribe would have more access to them because they would, in fact, be contracting that out. But they are still subject to not being released to third parties. And it's because we -- we, you know, we want to -- we need to protect.

PAUL REVARD: Yeah, but you're not protecting the Osage shareholders in Mineral Estate.

These are vital. We will not have a Mineral Estate if we can't have access to well records. There will not be a Mineral Estate left.

So in one -- you're saying that you're trying to protect the well records. Well, what about protecting the Mineral Estate? You're killing the Mineral Estate by your protection of our well records.

JOHN TAHSUDA: That's a good point.

However, for better or worse, and probably for worse, but

we have -- we have responsibilities that we have to administer. One of those is the administration, protection of trust assets.

And part of what Steve is saying is that the documents themselves are a trust asset that we have to protect. We can't -- until such time as we are authorized to turn that over to the Tribe or somebody else, and we are no longer legally liable and responsible for that, you know, --

PAUL REVARD: Well, you're responsible to us in our protection and development, preservation of our Mineral Estate. And so what's more important, a well record with somebody's name and address that's been dead for 110 years?

JOHN TAHSUDA: What's important -PAUL REVARD: Or the future of our
grandchildren and greatgrandchildren?

JOHN TAHSUDA: Part of what we are required to do is to comply with the law. Well records that are -- that are done in -- by the oath of our -- held by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, or held by a county or something like that are not subject to the same federal laws that federal records are subject to. And so that's what we're trying to do. And we are bound to balance out all of those various responsibilities.

MYRON RED EAGLE: My name is Myron Red Eagle. I'm with the Osage Minerals Council. And my question is quite simple: If we adopt a TERA and do all the procedures that are required of it, would the funding, -- like the BIA gets funding now, would we get that same kind of funding as they did? Or would they change? Or how would that work?

JOHN TAHSUDA: So I guess part of this is answered by Steve's answer. If you're -- if you're assuming a function that is also through the Indian Self-Determination Act which does carry with it if the Tribe assumes that, they get to, you know, assume part of our budget that we would expend for that basically. Right? So that would be part of that process.

Again, the Energy Act provides authority for us essentially if we have other funds, extra funds whatnot, that we can use, we can use to provide to the Tribe for The Tribe's assistance. I would say as a matter of practicality, we rarely have extra funds laying around.

And so I don't know how much of that, even though the authority to do it, in a practical term, I don't know how much that we would have. However, the funding for, you know, taking over the function, you know, again, that's part of the 638 law that is part of the negotiation we'd have with the BIA in taking over that function, and

the funding, any funding agreement that goes with that.

CYNTHIA BOONE: I'm Cynthia Boone. And I'm a member of the Osage Tribe. And I'm also a headright owner. Thank you for allowing me the time to speak.

My concern when I first got here is whether the TERA is in conflict with the U.S. Congressional Act of 1906. If the Secretary of Interior signs our leases, then that's part of the trust responsibility. The U.S. Congressional Act of 1906 gave us that trust.

When you take the Secretary of Interior out of the equation, we lose that trust. I'm concerned that the whole process within Osage Nation is putting our assets at risk.

There was someone who posted on the social media that the Minerals Council should be a minerals board.

Board members are appointed. That's diminishment of the 1906 Act.

I'm concerned when the situation was brought up earlier about the rock and the interest from the rock would be up to the Tribe. To me, that's putting one of our assets at risk.

I know that our Osage Nation Congress would be more than happy to assist the Minerals Council with any type of legislation regarding a TERA. However, any legislation that our Osage Nation writes can be amended and changed. That's where I feel like our trust assets are at risk.

I would like to say that our Osage Minerals Council is elected to protect our assets. And I don't believe that going into the TERA would be in our best interests.

I find it interesting that even though TERA has been around since 2005, no tribe has jumped up and said, Hey, sign me up. And I don't know that the Osage tribe needs to be the first people, the first tribe to enter into an agreement of this nature.

And because of the uniqueness of the Osage Tribe, and this process within our Osage Nation, I believe, puts us all at risk. And I'm a little bit disappointed to think that our Osage Minerals Council would enter into an agreement with the Osage Nation Congress in the spirit of cooperation and unification because to me the whole process is too risky for our assets. And that's why I'm against the TERA. Thank you.

wanted to -- you didn't ask any questions but I wanted to respond to one point which is that by -- Congress set up this trust. Congress passed the 1906 Act.

By taking -- so and Congress passed the TERA statute so Congress is the one that is saying if the Tribe enters into a TERA, then the Secretary does not have to approve leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way under the

1906 Act or under another act.

But what Congress did in that statute, and we still went -- we went through the list of the functions that the Secretary would still perform, -- Congress did preserve the trust responsibility of the Secretary. And so that -- that is still there.

And that authority, and I will tell you that if the Tribe takes over under a 638 contract, any functions that the Secretary is performing, the 1979, 638 statute also explicitly preserves the trust responsibility.

So Congress had all of that in mind. They kept it all there. And they -- they and the department both have all taken it very seriously. And we will still -- we will still be here.

LINDA HESKETT: Yes, my name is Linda
Heskett. I'm a headright owner and I have been since I
was two and-a-half years old.

And to me, it seems this is not well thought out. You don't -- you can't answer a lot of questions. It's something that I find very aggravating that the -- they would enter into a TERA when we have the 1906 Act. It's still well and healthy.

And on top of that, our esteemed Minerals Council should inform the other 4,000 people who are property owners of this trust. You don't go and start making --

have eight or ten people make the statement that they want to go into a TERA without informing the property owners. We own that.

And to me, if they want it, buy it. It can be up for sale. Or you can put it to a vote for the headright owners to vote on whether they want this or not. This is something that is going to be, to me, detrimental to the Minerals Council.

It will diminish our headrights. It will not give us the power that we need to direct our own ideas of what we want this Mineral Estate to help.

And yes, oil is down. It's down because we had no cooperation from the BIA in maintaining our records. It was like Three Blind Mice. And this has -- this has been going on for guite some time.

And I do understand that any Nation would go after a billion dollars -- in excess of a billion dollar asset. That's very important. And this is something that the shareholders should not take likely. And I -- I believe there are laws in there for the 1906 Act. And if we have to explore those, we will. Thank you.

CHARLES TILLMAN: I don't know if I can stand up or not. But I will do the best I can. I'm going to make a statement.

My name is Charles Tillman. I used to be the Chief

of the Osage Tribe and all that. In 1978, -- this is kind of a small history lesson -- we were producing 30,000 barrels a day, oil. Now, that's not the gas, just oil. And those were the good days.

But now we're down to 9,000 barrels a day. And they come in with a new type of set of rules and how we're going to increase that 9,000 barrels back up to 30,000 barrels and I don't think we can.

So let's get real with this and talk about the trust responsibility that is laid upon you folks right up there in that seat. The Secretary, it sounds like, wants to get out from underneath the trust, or part of it. And this is the first step to do it because without bothering the trust.

Next would be the trust. So if that's the case, I would like to know that but I sense that's what's happening here.

I read a lot. And I was reading, finding out what Russell Means had to say in the agreement that was made. And he said you broke the trust before the ink as dry on this side.

And then there was another thought. His name was Kevin Grover. Kevin Grover was a -- was a man of vision. He was a man that knew a lot of -- he was a very smart and intelligent man. And he made one of the smartest speeches

I've ever heard in my life.

And if you get the chance, you ought to get that and read it. Because he talked about the policies and how he was going to change it, because he was the Secretary of the Interior at the time.

And he said, I'm going to make some changes. And he said it's going to be good for the Osage, or not the Osage but the Indian people overall.

We're going to understand what this government is about and how it runs. And he taught us about the nature of how you folks take command from your superiors. And he said it's not me talking. But this is me talking today. And after that speech, they got rid of him. So now he's the Director of the Smithsonian, and I think the Indian Museum over there.

But TERA is -- I don't understand it that well because I only read it once. But it sounds like that you're looking at the collection and money to finance that program or new program or whatever we want to do.

Now, to do that, it takes money. And you guys can't even finance the BIA in Pawhuska, Oklahoma, today. There is no way that we can keep up with what's out there today because there's no money. There's no financing for people that work out there in the oilfields because there's just not enough of them.

At one time, we had, I think it was a little over 13,000 wells out there. And we only had five fieldmen working. Now, you tell me how they're going to cover the 13,000 wells. You tell me how that they're going to monitor 16,000 tank batteries -- or not, yeah, 16, 16,000 -- no, 1,600 tank batteries. And that was the problem we had.

And we asked for more money to do that because of the trust. The trust responsibility. If you look up in a legal dictionary and see what "trust" means, it will tell you.

It will tell you exactly that you are in charge of that oilfield up there, not us. Yeah, we have a -- we have a counsel that has limited jurisdiction over it.

We can approve leases and we can obtain -- the Superintendent can turn those leases around and say, I don't approve them. She can disapprove a lease over the Tribal Council. Which is good because it's a check and balance system.

We've already had two councils that have been absolutely -- how would you say impeached over our history. Now, you may not know that but that's the truth. And I know that those people were infractioned with making leases that they shouldn't be making for kickback money. All right.

So let's go on and say if we had -- if we can't afford what we're doing now, how are we going to afford to add more to it and more responsibility because the Tribe is going to take it over. The Tribe is going to have to take this over and you're going to run it. We can't even run what we've got.

And most of it is because of the lack of money.

Now, you can blame -- a lot of people blame the

Superintendent. And she takes orders from the Area

Director. Now, whatever he tells her, that's what she's

going to do. That's her boss. He's the one that writes

the checks.

So don't blame her. Blame the Area Director. And if you can't blame the Area Director, then blame the Secretary because it goes right on up the line to the Secretary. So that's where it stops. The buck stops at the Secretary.

But still yet, we don't have that money to operate. It doesn't matter how much you guys will put up there on the board and all those rules and regulations, but if we don't have the money to implement it, then it does no good for us or you either.

But you're doing your job. You're doing -- coming down here and telling us how this is going to solve our problem. What's going to solve our problem is how you

tell me how you're going to fund that.

And is the funding guaranteed? Or do we have funding just for a few years and then all of a sudden we're back to where we are today with more responsibility and a weakened government.

Because the government we have right now is covered by the 1906 Act that we implemented a membership agreement. And that's the only time we've bothered that since that. So we relied on it. And we relied on it for a hundred years.

But when you look at Russell Means and what he had to say, and Kevin Grover, what he had to say, we're running out of oil. And that's -- there's no doubt about it. You ain't going to put that -- it took a million years to make that barrel of oil and we don't have another million years to go by.

So I just want to say that. And I'll shut up. That there's going to be a fossil fuel. The coal, I think, is going to be done away with, even though the politics is so great with it because of the trainload of coal that comes out of the northern states down here to operate these generators to generate electricity.

So they kind of go to natural gas. And we've got a little bit of that left. So how do we capitalize on natural gas? Well, we need an expert to tell us how to do

that because we don't know.

We don't have the people in Osage in the Osage agency right now to help us determine what the reserves are, and how much production there is and where it is. We just do the best we can in going out there and reading what the wellhead tells us. That's all we've got.

So I'm not saying I'm for it. But I'm not against it. But I'm going to tell you this. I'm going to really take a strong look at it.

And if I see that there's something wrong there and the Secretary is trying to weaken the Osage Tribal regulations, then that's when I'm going to start fighting with him, and tell him you're wrong. You're just trying to get out from your responsibility, sir. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MARSHA HARLAN: Good morning. I'm Marsha Harlan. I'm a member of the Osage Minerals Council. And first off, I would like to thank all the shareholders for appearing here today.

I know several of them believe a decision has been made. I, for one, have not made a decision. I would like to concur with Chief Tillman on this. And I would like to know some more answers before I make a decision and vote as a member of the Council.

But I do have a question for Mr. Simpson. Several

times this morning you said you're not exactly sure of what duties will be available under the TERA, that you're looking at the list, that you're considering what type of functions the tribes might be able to take over.

And it occurs to me that the last comments are due September 3rd, the final rules to be published December 18th. Do you have any idea when you might be able to tell us what kind of functions there are?

Because you're asking for comment from us but we don't even know the whole story yet. And so do you have a timeline? And are you going to publish that to, particularly, the Osage? I'm sure other tribes would like to know. But my interest is for the Osage. Thank you.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Unfortunately, the -unfortunately, I don't. The decisions as far as what
functions are contractable and what are inherently federal
is being made at the highest level of the department.
Chief Tillman said where the buck stops. That's where it
is, in the Secretary's office.

And therefore, I couldn't -- I am so far down below that, I couldn't -- John couldn't tell you either. Mark couldn't tell you either. No. We -- we don't know.

They -- they will release it when they're ready. I can tell you that it -- I am sure that it will be a -- I think it's set up right now for a secretarial order. I'm

sure that it will be publicized, and we will -- and y'all will know.

And we -- and we will probably, I assume, get it out to the tribes. Yeah, we'll get it specifically out to the tribes including the Minerals Council and the Osage. Go ahead, John.

JOHN TAHSUDA: Let my address a little bit.

So I've been in on those discussions as we worked it out.

There's a -- there's a -- it's a gentle crossover.

There's significant legal questions that need to be addressed and answered. There's also, you know, administrative or functional questions to be addressed as well.

And it's not just an Indian affairs discussion. It involves, you know, the land and minerals offices as well, and BLM. So it's taking a long time to get through all of those discussions.

And again, it doesn't impact you as much here. But in other parts of the country, the BLM performs an substantial number of functions that deal with mineral development on Indian lands as they do for other federal lands.

So there's a lot of discussion with that. It is -it is now on what we call the 6th floor of the Secretary's
consideration and his team. And so I think that our goal

-- and I don't want to get ahead of anybody here -- but I think the Secretary hopes that we can -- that his decision on this, -- and I think it will take the -- it will take the -- it will be a secretarial order is how it will come out of the department.

So that it has sort of his stamp of approval on it.

At least that's the plans I know as I understand it now.

I think that the goal is so that it can go sort of hand in hand with the regulatory changes.

So I would anticipate that we -- I mean, my hope is that we will be able -- that the Secretary will be done with his review and then can get that completed within a month or two. So before this is finalized, the hope is that we can have that out so that they can be complementary.

MARSHA HARLAN: While I appreciate your attempts to give me an answer -- both of you gentlemen -- I would like my comments specifically to reflect that I think it's inherently unfair that you're asking us to comment by September 3rd when we don't even know what functions are a possibility yet.

And so I would like that to be part of the record is you're kind of asking us to come in with our hands tied behind our back a little bit. Thank you.

JOHN TAHSUDA: You just said it. It is

1 part of the record. So point well taken. I understand 2 Although -- so this comment period is specifically 3 on this proposed regulation, though. 4 And for the effective functioning of these 5 regulations, we, of course, need that piece of it. But that's not something that is part of the formal comments. 6 7 What we're hoping to get is your thoughts and comments on 8 these proposed regulations. 9 PAUL REVARD: I have a question again. 10 Paul Revard again with the Osage Minerals Council. 11 the documents, the TERA as well as the TEDO, are these 12 instruments that will be signed and approved by the 13 Secretary of Interior? 14 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Or I think, or his 15 designee, right. 16 They will be? PAUL REVARD: 17 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yes. 18 PAUL REVARD: In and of itself, is that not 19 a federal enactment? 20 JOHN TAHSUDA: I don't know if that's been 21 addressed yet. So the approval of it may or may not 22 trigger a federal review, because there -- that is a 23 federal action, approving the TERA, even though that's not 24 -- even though there's no formal. But there's no --25 there's no groundbreaking action taken.

```
1
    contemplates it.
2
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON: It is -- it is a federal
3
    action.
4
                    PAUL REVARD:
                                  Would that --
5
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      The authority, the
    argument that we will be making -- and it is just an
6
7
    argument -- there is authority under NEPA that if another
8
    statute, the time frames of another statute are in
9
    conflict with the NEPA process, then the other statutes
10
              If there are mandatory time frames in those
11
    other statutes, then we would -- we can not have to do
12
    NEPA.
           And it's -- it is specifically for something like
13
14
    this where we have 90 days to certify a TEDO. There is no
15
    way that we would be able to do an environmental
16
    assessment and get it all done in 90 days.
           And so if we have -- and Congress has just added --
17
18
    added more weight to that by saying that if we don't do a
19
    -- meet those time frames, those are -- they're deemed
20
    approved.
21
                    PAUL REVARD:
                                  Well, that's going to be one
    -- one, not the most important, but one of the enticing
22
23
    things about possibly entering into this is that it -- to
24
    maybe avoid the burdensome regulations under NEPA.
25
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      Right.
```

PAUL REVARD: So we kind of need to know the answer to that before we would be able to decide among ourselves if we want to participate.

assuming that either we will have to do -- and there is some discussion of this in the TERA regulations. We may have to -- we may -- may need to do a NEPA review for approval of the TERA itself although we're hoping that that provision of the -- that case law will help with that.

There will be, if the tribe enters into a TERA with the Secretary, as I said, there would not be NEPA review for the leases, business agreements, and rights-of-way under that. There would, however, be the Tribe's environmental review.

MARGO GRAY: This is Margo Gray with the Osage Minerals Council. My question is: Since 2005 -- I know that this was a revision of the 2005 TERA -- during that time how many tribes, like, what are the success stories of the tribes that have entered into these agreements and also on TEDOs as well.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: There have been -- no tribe has entered into a TERA yet. We had discussions with -- with several tribes about doing that. And they started some of the process. But no tribe has actually

even -- even submitted an application so far.

And the -- the remark was made earlier about the time frames here. Congress has tried to fiddle with this statute from time to -- to amend the statute from time to time to encourage tribes to apply.

Some of those were changing the time frames although we noted that we hadn't had one yet so we didn't know that the time frames were going to be a problem administratively speaking. But that's -- those amendments, those earlier amendments did not pass.

These did. And so that's what Congress is trying to do here is to address some of the issues that tribes pointed out were -- were problems with the statute and why there may not have been some things that may have caused tribes to not apply.

And I would tell you that, in fact, one of them -because the Tribe told me -- I won't say who it is -- but
there was one tribe that wanted to know what they could
contract before they applied. And we were unable -- we
told them to go ahead and apply and we'd tell them then.

Because that's the way those things are often done. And so that's one of the reasons why this -- why this secretarial order that we keep talking about but can't tell you what it is is being done.

But that's -- so there are no TERAs right now.

There is no track record. And that's what Congress is trying to fix. And we're trying to fix.

MARGO GRAY: Okay. With that being said, I'm not sure who wants to be the guinea pig on this. So the other part is did you all factor in before you released this that the economics of what this could do or how this could impact, say, several different tribes, from a small tribe, from a large land-based tribe, with the oil and gas?

Because now I have some serious concerns that this has never been done. And even if you tweak these laws, and we're not clear in your answers of what it is because you cannot tell us about these inherent functions that we know that we have but the functions that you're presenting. So I'm not sure there's really a question there; but...

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Well, but let me do -- we do have a similar experience with a similar statute. Let me go back and amend what I just said.

As I said earlier, in 2012 Congress passed something called the HEARTH Act. And that was to allow the Tribes to take over, to issue service leases, and approve service leases without, for residential development, for wind, and solar development, for businesses without TERA approval.

And in order to do that, the Tribe needed to pass

and have approved by the Secretary, regulations for leasing that were consistent with our leasing regulations.

Over 30 tribes have done that and gotten approval for HEARTH Act leases. That is going very well.

And they are, -- it's -- they are out there doing it. It has not caused any issues. And there are more tribes in the pipeline to get approved. It is -- it has worked very well for them.

So while we don't have a track record on TERAs, we do under the HEARTH Act and with the same type of arrangement with the tribes doing -- doing these -- those land development activities without our approval. And it's -- and it's working.

MARGO GRAY: Okay. So I want to go back to my original question. Do you have, or have you had an economist look at the possibility of our -- can you show something that there has been that this will work?

Because I know that Congress is wanting, we have this administration that is wanting to utilize domestic oil and gas but also looking at the tribes for an answer.

But I haven't heard the economics of this. And I believe that we, as tribal leadership, have the responsibility to get that information and also because we're going to have to relay this to our constituents.

JOHN TAHSUDA: Let me -- so I think the

answer is probably not what you want to hear but it's pretty simple. This is a Congressional action.

They enacted the changes -- they enacted the original law, they enacted changes to that. The regulations that we have proposed in front of you are to address those changes in the law and to conform our regulations to that.

Any economic analysis done, whether it be done by Congress in '05 and follow-ups, I think that would -- you know, because they're the ones passing the law and the authorities that we can exercise under it. So in preparing these regs, you know, there's not really any economic analysis on our part because we're just saying how we're going to implement the changes in the law to Congress.

MARIA WHITEHORN: My name is Maria
Whitehorn. I'm on Osage Nation Congress. And I'm
familiar with our Minerals Estate. I look into it quite
often.

But I would like to continue on with what she was talking about of economic impact. I do believe that that's a part of negotiated rulemaking. And am I incorrect in my assumption?

JOHN TAHSUDA: Formally, this is not a negotiated rulemaking. This is just a rulemaking.

```
1
                    MARIA WHITEHORN: It's a rulemaking.
2
             So there's no negotiation. So economic impact is
3
    not -- regular rulemaking.
4
                    JOHN TAHSUDA:
                                   Correct.
5
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                      So there's no
    negotiations, you're just telling us what the rule is,
6
7
    putting it out there, and allowing us to comment?
8
                    JOHN TAHSUDA:
                                   Right.
9
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                      No negotiations.
                                                         Okay.
    Thank you for clarifying that for me. I would also like
10
11
    to ask about -- somebody needs to help me get clear on
12
    this.
          When we lease -- our environmental council enters
13
14
    into a lease with a producer, that action of just entering
15
    into a lease does not trigger NEPA, just the action of
16
    I easi ng?
17
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      The Minerals Council's
18
    action?
19
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                      When we have a buyer, --
20
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       Okay.
21
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       When we sell and they
    come to buy, and they come and purchase a lease, --
22
23
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       Uh-huh.
24
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       Their payment to us, the
    approval by the Minerals Council, send it over to the
25
```

```
1
     Secretary, does that --
2
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       The approval by the
3
     Mi neral s
               Council does not trigger NEPA.
4
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       0kay.
5
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       The approval by the
     Superintendent does.
6
7
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       So I'm really unclear how
8
     the person can purchase the lease and make a payment for
9
     the lease before NEPA is put into force.
10
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       They don't.
                                       I'm unclear on that.
11
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
12
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       They don't.
                                                     They can't.
13
     The lease is not effective until the Superintendent
14
     approves it.
15
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       Okay.
                                               And so they do
     have to, just in order to lease it, fulfill all leasing
16
17
     requirements?
18
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       Yes.
19
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
                                       That's just not -- that's
20
    just not on the permit to drill?
21
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       That's right.
                    MARIA WHITEHORN:
22
                                       So then they have to go
23
     to NEPA and Fish and Wildlife regulation again to access
24
     to the permit to drill? They have to --
25
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       Yes.
```

1 MARIA WHITEHORN: -- go through that twice? 2 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Because that's another 3 federal action. We are trying right now, we're working 4 right now on an environmental impact statement that would 5 help with that as you probably are aware. 6 MARIA WHITEHORN: Right. 7 STEPHEN SIMPSON: But, yes. Right now 8 there is a NEPA requirement or -- there is a NEPA 9 requirement for every federal action. 10 And even, there is -- there is a requirement to do NEPA is a very short bit of NEPA, a very quick NEPA 11 12 But there is, in fact, a NEPA review issued for review. the Department of the Interior to issue my paycheck 13 14 because that's a federal action. 0kay? It gets to that level of detail. That said, so, 15 16 yes, there is a NEPA review for the lease because you're 17 approving the change of that land and the use of that land 18 into oil and gas. 0kay? 19 There is a NEPA review for the APD because now we 20 know where the wells are going to be and people can 21 actually drill. And so then we have to assess the 22 environmental review, the environmental impacts of that. 23 There is also a NEPA review for workovers of that 24 well because those could have environmental impacts as

well and we have to approve those. That's why we're doing

25

media ads.

MARIA WHITEHORN: Well, the reason I asked the question is because I haven't heard any complaints just by -- about the leasing of an oil and gas lease.

Where I hear that there's a problem is when they're trying to achieve getting their permit to drill.

That's -- so you're telling -- I believe I heard you say that that was probably not a federal function, issuing an approval to drill that the Federal Government was going to be willing to release. And to my knowledge, that's where we're having the issue with NEPA and Fish and Wildlife is the permit to drill.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: The issuance of the APD, the approval of the APD is one of the functions that the Secretary's Looking at. Okay? But even -- but what I said was even if the Secretary determines -- and again, as John points out, it's the Secretary himself.

The Secretary determines that we can contract out the approval of an application for a permit to drill.

That is still because it's under contract, it is still a federal function and may still require an approval.

MARIA WHITEHORN: What is the TERA considered, Tribal Energy Resource Agreement? Is not an agreement between a nation, two nations, a contract?

STEPHEN SIMPSON: It is.

1 MARIA WHITEHORN: So to me, then how are 2 you getting rid of all these other federal requirements 3 such as NEPA? I'm trying to -- trying to follow you here. 4 STEPHEN SIMPSON: No, I understand. 5 MARIA WHITEHORN: Because it doesn't make 6 sense to me. 7 STEPHEN SIMPSON: I understand. NEPA is --8 again, NEPA is triggered by the federal approval. What 9 Congress in did the federal -- in the tariff statute and 10 what can happen under the TERA regulations is removing the 11 federal approval for leases, business agreements, and 12 rights-of-way. 13 So if there is no federal approval, there is no 14 NEPA. Okay? But they did it specifically. And even when 15 they changed the statute to say what we had already said 16 in the regulations that tribes can take over other functions, what Congress did not say there is that if a 17 18 tribe takes over those other functions, then the 19 Secretary's approval then is no longer a federal act. 20 Those are no longer federal actions either for 21 purposes of NEPA. So that's why the exempt -- the 22 nontriggering of NEPA only applies to the approval of the 23 lease, the approval of the business agreement, or the 24 approval of the rights-of-way. So any other --25 MARIA WHITEHORN: Okay.

1 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Any other -- any other 2 functions that the Tribe is taking over as a contractor 3 from the Federal Government would still be subject to NEPA 4 review. 5 MARIA WHITEHORN: That Okay. Thank you. helped me understand that a little bit better. 6 7 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Good, good. 8 MARIA WHITEHORN: If I may continue, --9 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Go ahead. 10 MARIA WHITEHORN: Congressmen Looked at the 11 dollars and cents of things. I know what we have right 12 now in appropriated funds to last us till the end of this school year. 13 14 I've heard comments made that this isn't a compact 15 or a contract. I -- I tend to disagree. I think that if 16 we're making an agreement, it's a contract. 17 Currently, the Osage Nation is not a compacted tribe 18 in offices of governments. We operate under 638 contract. 19 In fact, when we took over Realty two years ago, it was 20 not done with any Congressional action, the Chief just 21 signed a contract to take over our Realty office. 22 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Right. 23 MARIA WHITEHORN: Which he could actually 24 do to take over Minerals, too, if he wanted to. He hasn't 25 done that. He said he wouldn't do that.

So currently, I feel like our BIA is severely underfunded. And I see the little carrot that's dangled out here that "any residual funds, we get."

Well, you can't write a budget on the hope of residual funds that are left over. So depending what functions we decide to take over, we will sign a contract, a 638 contract, for those functions.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Right.

MARIA WHITEHORN: There will be negotiations. The Federal Government will tell us how much money we're going to get to that.

Tribes compact to make things better for their people. They don't contract with the government to make money because there's no money there. So I think we need to do some economic analysis of how much it costs to run a 1.5 million acre oil, Minerals Estate.

Because as Chief Tillman says, it's going to cost money. And the Federal Government isn't doing the job right now it needs to do. So I agree with Councilwoman Gray. If we don't do a really good study on this, it's -- it could be a detriment to us. Thank you.

JOHN TAHSUDA: Thank you. So we had a request to take a quick break. Can I get a show of hands? Is there -- if there's enough people that want to take a break, we can do that. Okay. Why don't we do it. We can

1 keep it to, like, five minutes. So we'll take, like, a 2 five-minute break and then come back. 3 (Whereupon, there was a recess taken.) 4 JOHN TAHSUDA: If you guys are ready, we 5 can get started again. Thank you. We can pick up where we left off. It's 11:35 now. So I'm happy -- I know we 6 7 were originally supposed to stop at 12. But I'm happy to 8 go to 12:30. I think that will be plenty. 9 We'll start -- some of my staff, I think, might have to -- they're going to be pushing it. So we need to cut 10 11 it off about 12:30 but we can go at least that long if 12 there's more questions. 13 PAUL REVARD: Okay. I actually have another question. Paul Revard again with the Osage 14 15 Minerals Council. 16 Going back to the previously asking, partially 17 answered question. Chief Tillman has brought it up as 18 well as some of the others about the funding for taking 19 over some of these responsibilities. 20 I do know for a fact that our current Osage Agency 21 is overwhelmed with work, backlog permits, and various 22 things which have been significantly improved upon since 23 we've been in office with this Osage Minerals court. 24 still purportedly understand.

25

And I don't know what their budget is. I would just

briefly say some of us went on kind of an educational trip to Oklahoma City to meet with the commissioners of the land.

It's a department under the State of Oklahoma that manages oil and gas drill rights on land set aside for schools for the State of Oklahoma. They don't have a fraction of the wells that we have.

And they have -- they maintain a staff of about 15 people, built beautiful offices in downtown Oklahoma City. And they told us that they had a budget of \$1500. I don't know what our Osage HC's budget is. But that's just kind of to give you a feel for something to compare to.

If we were to take over these responsibilities that Superintendent Phillips is already performing, and already understands, and hardly -- you know, barely keeping their head above water to keep up with all the work that they're tasked to do, how can you expect us, through a tariff, to duplicate that, also being understaffed and under budget.

If we entered into a tariff, could there be some type of language that it would be with the caveat that provided that there be ample funding for us to actually perform this with any degree of professionalism and success? So I'm -- I'm asking.

You already said that if there was some residual revenues or something that maybe we could tap into. But

it's like it was said by our Congresswoman, we can't -- we can't make future plans based on maybe and what-ifs. So what -- what possibility is there for us to obtain additional funding beyond what Superintendent Phillips is already receiving to perform the same task?

JOHN TAHSUDA: So this, I think, is probably best seen as Steve and I described a little bit as the interplay between the TERA, the Energy Act, TERA provisions, and then the contracting of federal functions through the 638 primarily.

Because if you go beyond just the authority of the TERA to approve the leases, rights-of-way, et cetera, then in talking down to the level of EDs, et cetera, then those are functions that are borne by our -- I'm sorry -- those are -- those are functions that will be performed in the agency office. All right.

So to turn those over, -- and we have to have authority to do it, -- 638 is one of those authorities to do it. That's where the funding to do those functions resides.

We don't have -- like I say, we don't have any money, budget, we don't have budget authority sitting around other places that could just be transferred in anything like that. That's where the -- basically, the budgets you perform those functions resides, at the agency

office.

So to -- to take it over, you have a negotiation as we do with other 638 self-determination contracts. We have negotiation over the functions that you're assuming, the staff that we have at performing, et cetera, or why that, a number of -- that you contract for and you get contract support costs.

So that -- that is sort of functionally how I -- you know, how I see it working at this point on that, so on the actual dollar side of that. So if you start with the understanding that some of our -- one of our bosses has described it this way.

For the BIA we have responsibilities that are like this big (indicating) and we get a budget every year from Congress to meet those responsibilities, a budget that is about this big (indicating). And that's just a function of what we have to work with.

And so then when you slice that up into all the weird things that the BIA does, right, and we get down to where we are. I wouldn't hazard a guess that there's probably no regional director, no agency superintendent who says they have enough funding to do everything that they're supposed to do anywhere in the country. So that's sort of a reality that we deal with every day.

PAUL REVARD: Do you concur with that? Do

you acknowledge that our Osage Agency is, in fact, under funded?

JOHN TAHSUDA: Well, let me -- let me finish my thought. So I think that you have to understand the sort of budget world that we live in, right? And then you have to factor in as well it may be even more of a crunch than the actual budget is the ability to get people to go to remote offices to work, people that have professional skills, et cetera, that are needed in those offices to work for a Federal Government salary in a remote location, et cetera.

And so everywhere in the country, and I'm going to guess that Robin probably has the same challenge. We have a hard time also getting folks to fill into those slots. And so it's really a combination, I think, of the budget, sort of crunch that we have every year, and the inability to find qualified people who are willing to go in, into those offices.

PAUL REVARD: Well, that's a good point.

JOHN TAHSUDA: I think -- I think that

I eaves open -- let me just finish my thought. Through
this process, and it's my experience in watching this
unfold in other parts where tribes have self-governed or
self-determined contracted positions, that they, at least,
can do it the way they want to do it when they contract

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't know that we can solve the budget issue, as you see it. But if the tribe took over those spots, at the very least then you have the ability to try to hire people maybe better than we could to get into those -- into those jobs.

PAUL REVARD: Well, you brought up a good point about the venue of where all this work takes place. And I realize that Pawhuska, although it's experiencing a miniature boom right now which is really nice to see.

But is there any reason why the Osage agency meet operations has to be conducted on the hill? If we were to take over some of these functions, could we actually relocate the personnel that would be required to a more populated area such as Tulsa or Bartlesville where there is more housing, more infrastructure to, you know, to have applicants for these positions to where they could possibly work in these metropolitan areas without having to relocate? Would we be receiving enough funding to open up a satellite office in a near metropolitan area? JOHN TAHSUDA: Well, that's not an easy answer. I think it would be something that maybe Eddie -you need to talk with Eddie and Robin more directly about.

But conceptually, right. When the tribe contracts, you get the funding to perform the function and you also

We're

1 get a little bit of funding as we would do for the 2 facilities, and so, if needed, the facilities to perform 3 that function. 4 However, then it becomes a question, which -- you 5 know, if we have the facility there for you to perform the function in, is it smart budget wise for us to pay you to 6 7 go somewhere else to perform the same function, and we 8 have to pay for your facility there as well while we're 9 still paying for the facility that we have at the 10 location? I mean, that's -- that's a challenge that I see. 11 12 don't know if Eddie -- Eddie actually does -- I've never 13 actually had a direct negotiation. Eddie has. I mean, 14 you might -- that would be something to talk through. But 15 I would see that as a budget challenge in their mind. 16 PAUL REVARD: Eddie is here, right? 17 JOHN TAHSUDA: He is. He is right back 18 there (indicating). 19 EDDIE: Well, it's interesting you bring 20 That is something that we have thought about in that up. 21 the past. Because obviously, Tulsa County, Osage, had 22 their jurisdiction combined into the casino over there. 23 And it is something that has been kicked around to move 24 some of it over there.

So I wouldn't say anything's off the table.

25

just getting all the incidental money that you (indiscernible).

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: This is (indiscernible), Osage shareholder. When we -- should we get involved with a TERA, accept money, and expend that money, and then on down the road somewhere decide that we want to rescind the TERA, is that money due back? Do we have to repay any of that?

JOHN TAHSUDA: No. So again, you know, since we haven't done it before, we're kind of talking, you know, theoretically or conceptually. But so if you compacted or contracted functions, the way it works, and the Tribe can turn that back over to the Federal Government, you don't have to pay anything back.

The Federal Government reassumes those responsibilities. You, of course, don't receive the funding anymore because you're not doing the contract.

The only time that I guess that there would be a payback is if it would be the tribe never actually did the work. Right? So you got money but you didn't do the work. And, you know, that happens every once in a great while with tribes.

You know, they'll turn something back over and we'll find out that they really didn't do what they were supposed to be doing. There's a whole process to address

that, you know, with the department.

But that's -- but generally speaking, if you have been performing and then you decide you don't want to or can't do it as well as you would like to, we'll turn it back over to our government, you just turn it back over and we reassume it.

STEPHEN SIMPSON: And there is discussion in the process for rescission in the regulations, not in the proposed rule that we're talking about because we're not changing that hard rule. But it does -- it does talk about records coming back, coming to the Government. And any -- any departmental resources that you're using being returned to the government.

But that is not money. That's -- that's other kinds of -- other kinds of things. If you're occupying offices in the agency, you can't occupy those offices anymore.

Okay?

You can't use our computers anymore, that kind of thing. But, no, the money is not -- is not one of those things.

DAVID MULLON: Thank you. My name is
David Mullon. And I work for the executive branch for
the Chief's office at Osage. And on the issue of funding
that has been raised a couple of times, I just want to
point out that there is a specific provision in the

statute that deals with making funding available. Okay?

And I -- I will just mention this. I know that
Steve and John know that I worked on the statute back when
the Senate committee developed it. And that was a
provision of specific concern and focus on Congress when
this bill was being considered, before it was enacted.

And you'll see that there's a lot of direction in there about funding. It's not related to the 638 process. But there's an independent funding provision in there that talks about how funding is made available, how it should be calculated and also, most importantly, that that process is to be developed in the regulations.

There's a specific provision in the law that says be sure to develop the funding calculation process, and do that in the regulations. There's very clear direction that the regulations are to address that funding process.

To make a long story short, if the Tribe takes on a function or an activity under the TERA process that the BIA used to do but doesn't anymore because now the Tribe is doing that particular function, whatever that might be, then the funding that is freed up, because the BIA does not have to perform that function anymore, is part of what goes over to the Tribe.

And so it's not -- it's not like if there's some spare money blowing around in the agency, that you can

1 make that available. But it's more like if we're doing it now instead of you, then that money that you used to use 2 3 goes over to the Tribe. 4 So but take a look at that. I just urge you to. 5 Because there's a lot of direction and, you know, guidance 6 given in the statute itself regarding how that issue ought 7 to be raised. 8 STEPHEN SIMPSON: We will. 9 DAVID MULLON: It should be part of regulations, yeah. And it's not really very well 10 11 addressed in the regs. It looks like a placeholder in the 12 proposed rule. But I just urge you to take a look at that 13 and listen to the, maybe input from the tribes on that. 14 CYNTHIA BOONE: Cynthia Boone, Osage tribe, 15 headright holder. I would like to do a follow-up question 16 to what happens if we mess up with this TERA and we want 17 to give it back? 18 What's the time frame for giving it back? Is it two 19 years, 10 years? I would like to have an answer to that. 20 STEPHEN SIMPSON: It is -- I don't know 21 that there is a time frame, but I'm looking it up. 22 JOHN TAHSUDA: There's a couple of 23 different provisions. So there can be reassumption if --

and really, it's on -- it's one-sided if it is the trust

responsibility role. If the Secretary thinks that there

24

25

is imminent harm to the trust asset, we can basically do an immediate reassumption to protect the trust asset.

Then there is a more process laden provision for the tribe to turn it back over. You can submit a notice, if you want to, you know.

CYNTHIA BOONE: You said -- excuse me, you said you submit a notice to return?

STEPHEN SIMPSON: Well, if -- yes, if you -- if the tribe, for reassumption, that's more driven by the Secretary which I was talking about us taking it back. And that's more driven by us. And we have to give the Tribe all kinds of rights under the statutes and regulations before we do that.

The rescission, the Tribe handing it back, okay, that is at the application of the Tribe. There needs to be a tribal resolution saying we want to hand this back to you. But there is no time frame.

It could be -- there is no time frame for when the Tribe can give us a resolution. They can ask for it to be -- to give it back at any time. It becomes effective 90 days after we get that request. Okay? But the Tribe can make a request to hand this back at any time they want.

CYNTHIA BOONE: And what part of the Tribe are we referring to that would do the resolution? Would it be something you would receive from --

```
1
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      It would be --
2
                    CYNTHIA BOONE: -- Osage Minerals
3
    Counci I, --
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
4
                                      No.
5
                    CYNTHIA BOONE: -- or the Osage Nation?
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON: It would be the Nation.
6
7
                    CYNTHIA BOONE:
                                    The Nation. And if it were
8
    to go back, will it go back exactly the way it was before
9
    we ever took over?
10
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                      Yes.
11
                    CYNTHIA BOONE:
                                    0kay.
                                            Thank you.
12
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
                                       That's why you're giving
13
    back the departmental resources, and you're giving us the
    records and all that.
14
15
                    CYNTHIA BOONE:
                                    Thank you.
                    STEPHEN SIMPSON:
16
                                       Because it goes back to
    what it was, yes.
17
18
                    KASIE NICHOLS:
                                    Hi.
                                          I'm Kasie Nichols with
19
    Citizen Potawatomi Nation. So probably the only non-Osage
20
    Nation representative here.
21
                    UNKNOWN SPEAKER:
                                      No, you're not.
                    KASIE NICHOLS:
22
                                    So I had kind of maybe a
23
    process question. Let's just say that the Tribe has an
    approved TERA.
24
25
           So we're into the implementation process and the
```

1 Secretary now is ready to review and evaluate the 2 implementation of the TERA. So who does that? I'm 3 assuming it's the Office of Special Trustee. 4 STEPHEN SIMPSON: No, no. 5 KASIE NICHOLS: Okay. So could you clarify that? Is it separate? And because in the regulations 6 7 right now it says there's an annual review for three 8 years, is that correct? 9 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Yes. KASIE NICHOLS: And then there's an option 10 11 maybe to do that every two years thereafter. 12 STEPHEN SIMPSON: Right. 13 KASIE NICHOLS: Okay. 14 STEPHEN SIMPSON: It is -- one of the 15 changes that we're making to the -- proposing to make to 16 the regulations on this point is you will see throughout the regulations now it refers to the director. 17 18 director is the Director of the Office of Indian Energy 19 and Economic Development who is under the Assistant 20 Secretary of Indian Affairs. 21 What we're proposing to change that to is the 22 Secretary not because the Secretary of the Interior 23 himself will be doing all this, but because that's how we 24 usually write regulations. And it allows for delegation 25 to whoever the Secretary delegates it to.

But the way it would actually operate is as we're conceiving of it right now, is that -- and the reason I'm hesitating is only because the Secretary can change this, can change delegations at any time. Right?

But the current -- it would operate such that, yes, the application would come to the Secretary. It would be routed to -- delegated to the Director of the Office of Indian Energy and Economic Development -- okay -- who again is under the Assistant Secretary of Indian Affairs.

That office would do processing of it in contact, in consultation with other portions of the department. If there are -- if it involves Indian minerals that are not Osage, okay, it would -- that consultation would be with, among others, the Bureau of Land Management who does a lot of the inspection and enforcement stuff for Indian minerals outside of Osage that the BIA does have.

It would also be with the Office of Natural Resources Revenue who are the people who collect revenues. It would be with the Office of the Special Trustee. It would be with the Office of the Solicitor so there would -- it takes a village inside the department.

And they would marshal all those folks. And we would all be reviewing this. Similarly, the administration of the TERA, we would all be involved as well.

But so it is done, it is largely, at least as delegated, as currently delegated, it was largely comes under IAD which is again under the Assistant Secretary of Indian Affairs. And they're looking forward to having a TERA to review; so -- they just haven't had one yet.

JOE TILLMAN: Good morning. My name is Joe Tillman. I'm Speaker of the 606 Nation Congress. First of all, we would like to thank Chief and I would like to thank Chairman Waller. I would like to thank members of the Minerals Council that are here, those of the Congressional body that are also in attendance, shareholders, Osage constituents.

And as Speaker of the Congress, I feel obligated that I need to come up and say a few words. I begin with a lot of the things that my father has taught me through the years. And I hold a very high respect to the Osage Minerals Council and that 1906 Act.

And I have learned a lot today. I've been told to be a good listener. And I've listened today. I learned so much from some of our elders that are here in this room. Your words are powerful. Your words are healing. And I appreciate that.

I am no longer a young man. I'm stepping close to that old fellow myself. And I know there are other shareholders who have inability to be here today but their

heart and spirit are here. We have to tell them what took place here today and be accurate, be very, very accurate.

I've always been told to keep the Minerals Estate separate from government. That's our foundation. It's all we had for years.

And now, as Chairman Waller says, this TERA, the government is going to have to get involved, the Congress, as he put it, to write many, many pieces of legislation.

And that's a concern. It is. It's of high importance.

We are crossing some lines I've never crossed, not at the levels of this Mineral Estate. We talked a lot about funding, funding.

It appears to me on the surface that whatever we pull that had federal money attached to it, we're going to have to come up with the dollars to pay for that, sort of cafeteria of sorts. It's my understanding from what these gentlemen say, if you take this, if you take this, if you take that, it's got federal money attached to it, that now becomes your responsibility.

So quickly with an update, the Osage Nation, we only have so much. We're a small gaming revenue tribe. And having said that, you have to think what we have out there now. Our debt service currently is extremely high.

We have an Osage Nation ranch, 70 plus million dollars that we're paying on. We're on schedule to pay

that off. But we're still paying on it.

We just broke ground on a \$26 million tower for casino hotels. But now it's adding to our debt. We are under attack by the State of Oklahoma; as all Indian gaming is, from the governor to increase the amount of money we pay the state which has the potential to double, triple, quadruple what we pay them.

Now we look at that and we look at our debt service and we look at how do we continue the pace of government growth with less money. That's the potential the Chief -- the Chief fights this every day.

So we're in a spot that we have to be careful with every move that we make, every move that we make. And I appreciate the opportunity to be here. Thank you all for holding this.

I would like to remind everyone that tomorrow is the meeting on the 12th at 10 a.m. up on the hill. Thank you all so much.

JOHN TAHSUDA: All right. If there's -- I don't see any hands. If there's no further questions, we can close this out. Thank you guys very much.

Great questions. I appreciate all the questioning you have and it certainly gives us some additional thoughts to think about. And for us, you guys are special.

```
You present challenges, you know, which is great.
 1
 2
     But you present some challenges that are different from
 3
     other tribes around the country, their mineral health.
                                                                So
 4
                            We look forward to hearing your
     thank you very much.
 5
     additional comments.
                            We're closed.
 6
           (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTI FI CATE
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA )
3	COUNTY OF TULSA ) ss.
4	I, Linda Fisher, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary
6	Public in the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that on
7	the 11th day of July, 2019, at the Hard Rock Casino Hotel,
8	777 West Cherokee Street, Catoosa, Oklahoma, the within
9	and foregoing TRIBAL CONSULTATION was reduced to writing
10	by me in stenograph, and thereafter transcribed by me, and
11	is fully and accurately set forth in the preceding pages.
12	I do further certify that I am not related to
13	nor attorney for any of the said parties, nor otherwise
14	interested in the event of said action.
15	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 17th day
16	of July, 2019.
17	
18	NOTCA
19	
20	Linda K. Fisher C. R. Marine
	- Sund Kinner Ch
21	Linda Fisher, CSR-RPR #866
22	
23	
24	
25	

	E1. 4 O 17	addrassad	77: 19	amond 11, 22
A	51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21  53: 1	addressed 19: 21		<b>amend</b> 11: 22 11: 25 67: 4
<b>a.m</b> 1:20	53: 1, 21	39: 23 41: 1	<b>agreement</b> 1:17 7:8	68: 19
95: 17	53.1,21			
<b>ABB</b> 30: 20	54: 20 59: 7	48: 7 62: 11	10: 3 41: 11	amended
ability	68: 21 69: 4	62: 12	41: 18	51: 25
30: 11, 21	69: 10	64: 21	42: 22, 23	amendments
40: 8 82: 7	75: 19 80: 8	88: 11	43: 2 51: 1	67: 10, 10
83: 4	93: 17	admi ni ster	52: 9, 14	American
<b>abl e</b> 5: 6	<b>acti ng</b> 42: 2	39: 11 49: 2	55: 19 59: 8	37: 10 41: 7
8: 4, 15 9: 2	42: 9	administ	74: 23, 24	amount
9: 6, 24	<b>acti on</b> 2: 19	38: 10	75: 23	22: 22 95: 5
32: 6 61: 4	12: 4 35: 23	administ	76: 16	amounts
61: 7 63: 11	36: 25	11: 11 49: 2	agreements	13: 4
65: 15 66: 2	37: 16, 20	69: 19	7: 3 11: 12	<b>ample</b> 79: 21
absolutely	64: 23, 25	92: 24	13: 18 14: 4	anal ysi s
29: 10	65: 3 70: 2	administ	15: 6 28: 13	38: 1 70: 8
57: 21	71: 14, 15	62: 12	28: 22 33: 8	70: 13
accept 85: 5	71: 18 73: 3	administ	36: 2 37: 6	77: 15
acceptable	73: 9, 14	67: 9	37: 21 41: 4	and-a-hal f
40: 24	76: 20	<b>adopt</b> 50: 3	42: 24	53: 17
access	97: 14	ads 74: 1	43: 19 44: 1	Andrew
28: 10, 16	actions 9: 7	advi ce	44: 10	23: 24
28: 16, 18	12: 24	28: 25	52: 25	40: 25, 25
35: 14	35: 25	affai rs	66: 13, 21	42: 12
39: 15	75: 20	1: 12 2: 4, 5	75: 11 <sup>'</sup>	angel's
47: 16	acti vi ti es	2: 9, 14, 18	<b>ahead</b> 21: 16	3: 25
48: 10, 11	27: 23, 24	2: 23 62: 14	62: 6 63: 1	ANNETTE
48: 18	28: 2 35: 25	91: 20 92: 9	67: 20 76: 9	2: 22
72: 23	36: 6, 8, 11	93: 4	ain' t 59: 14	annette
accurate	69: 12	Affiliated	Al buquerque	2: 25
	acti vi ty	6: 1 23: 15	2: 24	<b>annual</b> 91: 7
94: 2, 2	87: 18	affiliation	Allotment	annui tants
accurately 97:11	<b>Acts</b> 45: 11	6: 16	25: 23	35: 19
achi eve	actual	<b>afford</b> 58: 2	<b>allow</b> 10: 8	answer
74: 6	12: 18	58: 2	21: 21	20: 22
	81: 10 82: 7	<b>afrai d</b> 22: 9	68: 21	29: 16
acknowl edge 82: 1	add 11: 22, 25	22: 10	al I owed	41: 14 50: 9
acre 77: 16	18: 13 45: 6	35: 15	20: 15	53: 19
act 7: 6	46: 7 58: 3	agenci es	24: 18	63: 17 66: 2
22: 23	<b>added</b> 65: 17	13: 7	al I owing	69: 20 70: 1
23: 10	65: 18	<b>agency</b> 60: 3	3: 12 7: 10	83: 22
25: 23	<b>addi ng</b> 95: 3	78: 20	51: 4 71: 7	88: 19
26: 13	addi ti onal	80: 16, 25	allows	answered
29: 10	16: 4 80: 4	81: 21 82: 1	38: 21 43: 8	50: 9 62: 11
30: 23	95: 23 96: 5	83: 11	91: 24	78: 17
33: 18	address	86: 16	al ternati ve	answers
37: 13, 14	13: 1 15: 17	87: 25	13: 12	3: 18 4: 20
-	39: 22	aggravating	36: 15	60: 23
38: 9, 12	49: 13 62: 7	53: 20	43: 15	68: 12
45: 10, 23 46: 15	67: 12 70: 6	<b>ago</b> 5: 23	al ternat	anti ci pate
	85: 25	76: 19	38: 1	63: 10
50: 11, 15	87: 16	agree 17: 21	<b>Amen</b> 5: 1	anybody 6: 3
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- <b>3</b>		

		1		
44: 14 63: 1	95: 14, 22	83: 15, 20	assumption	39: 16 55: 7
anymore	appropri	areas 7: 19	70: 23	59: 4 63: 24
	76: 12			
85: 17	_	25: 25	attached	68: 19
86: 16, 18	appropri	83: 18	94: 14, 18	69: 14 78: 2
87: 19, 22	39: 9, 10	argument	<b>attack</b> 95: 4	78: 16
anythi ng' s	appropri	65: 6, 7	attempts	84: 17 85: 7
84: 25	18: 3 39: 7	arrangement	63: 17	85: 13, 14
anyway 33: 5		40: 20, 20	attendance	85: 23 86: 5
ADAC 22.0	approval			
APAC 32: 9	10: 9, 11	69: 11	93: 11	86: 5, 11
<b>APD</b> 41: 25	11: 20	<b>asi de</b> 79: 5	ATTENDEES	87: 3 88: 17
42: 1 73: 19	27: 21 33: 5	<b>asked</b> 3: 7	2: 1	88: 18 89: 4
74: 13, 14	33: 9, 10, 15	39: 13 57: 8	attorney	89: 10, 14
<b>APDs</b> 41: 16	38: 13	74: 2	97: 13	89: 16, 20
_				
appeari ng	41: 25 42: 1	<b>aski ng</b> 61: 9	attorneys	89: 22 90: 8
60: 19	42: 25 44: 3	63: 19, 23	29: 22	90: 8, 13, 16
appears	44: 8 63: 6	78: 16	<b>audi t</b> 11: 8	backl og
94: 13	64: 21 66: 8	79: 23	<b>aunts</b> 26: 9	78: 21 <sup>-</sup>
<b>APPEL</b> 2: 17	68: 24 69: 3	assess	authori ti es	<b>bad</b> 30: 8
5: 9 17: 21	69: 12	73: 21	70: 11	31: 3
18: 9 26: 14	71: 25 72: 2	assessment	80: 18	bal ance
	71. 25 72. 2			
<b>appl e</b> 15: 22		65: 16	authori ty	31: 21
appl i cabl e	74: 14, 19	<b>asset</b> 49: 5	7: 20, 21	49: 24
11: 18	74: 21 75: 8	54: 17 89: 1	9: 24 12: 7	57: 19
45: 12	75: 11, 13	89: 2	29: 2, 6	bal anci ng
appl i cants	75: 19, 22	<b>assets</b> 12: 5	33: 5 38: 12	40: 7, 7
83: 17	75: 23, 24	39: 25 49: 3	39: 2 43: 3	<b>bare</b> 39: 11
application	approve	51: 12, 21	44: 16, 17	barel y
9: 9 10: 4	10: 5 14: 23	52: 1, 3, 16	44: 17	79: 15
41: 16	43: 21	assi st	50: 15, 21	barrel
44: 23 67: 1	52: 24	28: 19 39: 3	53: 7 65: 5	59: 15
74: 19	57: 15, 17	51: 23	65: 7 80: 11	barrel s
89: 15 92: 6	68: 22 <sup>°</sup>	assi stance	80: 18, 22	23: 11, 15
Applicat	73: 25	9: 18, 21	authoriz	55: 3, 5, 7, 8
41: 19	80: 12	28: 25	9: 17	
	_			Bartlesv
appl i ed	approved	50: 18	authori zed	83: 15
67: 19	10: 24	Assistant	49: 6	<b>based</b> 80: 2
appl i es	11: 18, 21	1: 12 2: 3	automati	<b>basi c</b> 6: 5
29: 11	44: 11	2: 13, 14	10: 5	7: 21 11: 15
75: 22	64: 12	16: 1 <sup>8</sup>	avai I abl e	basically
appl y 11: 24	65: 20 69: 1	91: 19 92: 9	9: 21 39: 7	7: 8 8: 12
11: 24 34: 5	69: 7 90: 24	93: 3	61: 2 87: 1	9: 15   12: 2
36: 21 37: 9	approves	<b>assume</b> 28: 3	87: 10 88: 1	13: 21 39: 6
37: 11, 14	37: 5 72: 14	47: 21	<b>avoi d</b> 65: 24	43: 9 50: 13
37: 15	approvi ng	50: 12 62: 3	<b>aware</b> 73: 5	80: 24 89: 1
44: 21 67: 5	13: 22	assumed		<b>bat</b> 19: 3
67: 15, 20	30: 14	27: 24	В	batteries
appointed	64: 23	assumes	<b>back</b> 20: 3, 4	57: 5, 6
51: 16	73: 17	50: 12	21: 5 25: 11	<b>Bear</b> 19: 5
appreciate	<b>ARCHI E</b> 3: 6	assumi ng	29: 25	19: 21
6: 23 26: 9	<b>area</b> 5: 4	38: 23	31: 11	beauti ful
63: 16	15: 5 58: 9	50: 10 66: 5	32: 15, 19	79: 9
93: 22	58: 13, 14	81: 4 91: 3	34: 17	beetle
	l	l	<u> </u>	

07.40.44.0	50 44 44	1 44 40		05 40 00 0
37: 10 41: 8	58: 14, 14	44: 12	68: 24	25: 19 30: 9
behal f 14: 4	Bl ess 3: 23	<b>broke</b> 55: 20	<b>buy</b> 25: 10	36: 9 37: 17
19: 2 25: 21	Bl essi ngs	95: 2	54: 4 71: 22	55: 15 66: 9
40: 4, 22	3: 13	brought	<b>buyer</b> 71: 19	cash 9: 19
<b>believe</b> 15: 11 27: 9	Bl i nd 54: 14	51: 18 78: 17 83: 7	C	<b>casi no</b> 1: 21 84: 22 95: 3
52: 3, 11	BLM 27: 4	<b>buck</b> 58: 16	C 2: 5, 9, 15	97: 7
54: 19	62: 16, 19 <b>bl owi ng</b>	61: 18	2: 19	<b>cat</b> 26: 7
60: 20	87: 25	budget	cafeteri a	catchall
69: 22	<b>board</b> 51: 15	50: 13 77: 4	94: 16	10: 20
70: 21 74: 7	51: 16	78: 25	cal cul ated	categori es
benefici	58: 20	79: 10, 11	87: 11	10: 18
26: 21	<b>body</b> 93: 11	79: 18	cal cul ation	Catoosa
benefi ci ary		80: 22, 22	87: 14	1: 23 97: 8
26: 19	30: 9	81: 14, 15	cal cul at	caused
29: 17	<b>books</b> 31: 19	82: 5, 7, 15	14: 19	67: 14 69: 6
<b>best</b> 52: 4	<b>boom</b> 83: 10	83: 2_84: 6	call 7: 2	caveat
54: 23 60: 5	<b>Boone</b> 51: 2	84: 15	28: 24	79: 20
80: 7	51: 2 52: 18	budgets	62: 24	<b>cents</b> 76: 11
<b>better</b> 19: 1	88: 14, 14	80: 25	<b>cal I ed</b> 5: 12	ceremonial
22: 6, 9	89: 6, 23	buffal o	38: 9 68: 21 <b>camels</b> 25: 4	4: 23
23: 11 48: 25 76: 6	90: 2, 5, 7	20: 20 <b>bui l d</b> 18: 22	Candy 32: 9	<b>certain</b> 9: 15-12: 15
77: 12 83: 5	90: 11, 15 <b>borne</b> 80: 14	<b>built</b> 22: 13	capabi l i	27: 18
beyond	<b>boss</b> 58: 11	79: 9	9: 10 11: 2	41: 14
18: 16, 19	bosses	burdensome	11: 4	certai nl y
80: 4, 11	81: 11	40: 6 65: 24	capaci ti es	95: 23
<b>BI A</b> 7: 9, 21	bothered	<b>Bureau</b> 26: 2	20: 15	CERTIFICATE
21: 1 35: 12	59: 8	92: 14	capaci ty	97: 1
39: 19 50: 5	botheri ng	buryi ng	8: 18, 21, 24	Certi fi ed
50: 25	55: 13	37: 10 41: 7	9: 1, 8, 10	97: 4
54: 13	bounci ng	busi ness	9: 16 10: 15	certi fy
56: 21 77: 1	25: 13	4: 11 8: 6	11: 16 14: 6	65: 14 97: 6
81: 13, 19	<b>bound</b> 49: 24	13: 18	21: 12	97: 12
87: 19, 21	branch	28: 12, 22	capi tal i ze 59: 24	certi fyi ng
92: 16	86: 22 <b>branches</b>	30: 11, 13 30: 17, 22	care 10: 23	8: 14
<b>bi g</b> 25: 3 37: 2 81: 14	19: 14	30: 17, 22	24: 9 33: 13	<b>cetera</b> 6: 19 8: 5 9: 1
81: 16	breaches	31: 13, 23	<b>career</b> 24: 5	13: 19, 23
<b>bi I I</b> 87: 6	29: 3	32: 2, 17, 20	careful	13: 25 14: 4
billion	<b>break</b> 77: 23	33: 8 34: 13	95: 12	14: 12
23: 16	77: 25 78: 2	36: 2 37: 5	careful I y	80: 12, 13
54: 17, 17	<b>breath</b> 3: 11	37: 21	39: 9 40: 3	81: 5 82: 9
<b>bi t</b> 22: 15	bri efl y	40: 19	carri ed	82: 11
31: 1 52: 12	79: 1	42: 24	11: 6	<b>CFR</b> 7: 23
59: 24 62: 7	<b>bri ng</b> 7: 13	43: 19 44: 1	carrot 77: 2	Chai r 41: 1
63: 24	84: 19	44: 10	carry 5: 15	chai rman
73: 11 76: 6	bri ngs 14: 9	52: 25	13: 5 26: 14	19: 17, 25
80: 7 84: 1	<b>broad</b> 10: 18	66: 13	50: 11 <b>case</b> 7: 11	24: 9 29: 10
<b>bi tes</b> 15: 21 <b>bl ame</b> 58: 8	40: 18 <b>broader</b>	75: 11, 23 <b>busi nesses</b>	12: 12 17: 6	93: 9 94: 6 <b>chal I enge</b>
58: 8, 13, 13	27: 9 44: 12		17: 16	9: 6 39: 23
	27.7 37.12	31.0 41.13		7.0 07.20

	1		I	ı
82: 13	<b>Chi ef</b> 19: 3	92: 18	34: 22 38: 4	computers
84: 11, 15	19: 5, 6, 21	collection	61: 5 63: 18	86: 18
chall enges	19: 21 20: 4	46: 2 56: 18	64: 6, 7	concei ved
96: 1, 2	22: 19	combination	76: 14 96: 5	27: 10
<b>chance</b> 56: 2	24: 18	82: 15	Commission	concei vi ng
<b>change</b> 9: 23	26: 10	combi ned	49: 21	92: 2
22: 10, 21	54: 25	84: 22	commissi	concepts
24: 15	60: 22	<b>come</b> 3: 9, 12	79: 2	11: 15
25: 23 37: 8	61: 18	4: 19 6: 22	committee	conceptu
39: 24	76: 20	19: 25	87: 4	39: 22
40: 15	77: 17	20: 25 21: 7	compact	83: 24
41: 12	78: 17 93: 8	22: 14 25: 9	11: 6 20: 17	85: 11
46: 18 50: 6	95: 10, 11	34: 16, 18	22: 22	concern
56: 4 73: 17	Chi ef' s	35: 15 <sup>°</sup>	24: 13 42: 5	31: 15 41: 4
91: 21 92: 3	86: 23	40: 11 43: 8	76: 14	51: 5 87: 5
92: 4	chi efs	55: 6 63: 4	77: 12	94: 9
changed	29: 23	63: 23	compacted	concerned
8: 20 27: 19	<b>chi l d</b> 24: 6	71: 22, 22	76: 17	51: 11, 18
27: 20	chi I dren	78: 2 92: 6	85: 12	concerns
51: 25	4: 4	93: 14	compacts	41: 1 68: 10
75: 15	Citizen	94: 15	20: 16	concl uded
changes 5: 4	90: 19	<b>comes</b> 4: 12	compani es	96: 6
5: 13, 14, 15	<b>Ci ty</b> 79: 2, 9	21: 16	8: 5 22: 12	concur
5: 16, 19, 24	Ci vi I 25: 9	44: 15, 16	company	60: 22
6: 6 7: 25	clarific	44: 17	43: 23, 24	81: 25
8: 17 17: 10	9: 5 42: 15	59: 20 93: 2	44: 9 45: 22	conducted
22: 17	clarific	comfortable	45: 24	83: 12
29: 12	10: 13	40: 22	compare	confirma
41: 12, 13	cl ari fy	<b>comi ng</b> 20: 6	79: 12	46: 3
56: 6 63: 9	91: 5	33: 17	complaints	conflict
70: 3, 4, 6	cl ari fyi ng	58: 23	74: 3	51: 6 65: 9
70: 14	71: 10	86: 11, 11	compleme	conform
91: 15	<b>cl ear</b> 68: 12	command	63: 15	5: 18 8: 1
changi ng	71: 11	56: 11	completed	70: 6
67: 6 86: 10	87: 15	comment	63: 12	conformi ng
charge	cl earl y	15: 15, 24	completely	5: 18 7: 12
57: 12	6: 16	16: 1, 2, 4	42: 18	18: 15
Charl es	<b>cl i ck</b> 15: 4	16: 23 17: 2	complex	confused
54: 22, 25	15: 7	17: 16, 18	4: 12	42: 16
<b>chase</b> 20: 21	<b>cl ose</b> 15: 14	19: 3 21: 22	compliance	confusi ng
<b>check</b> 21: 2	24: 19	23: 9, 23	12: 22	4: 12
21: 3 34: 19	25: 21	24: 11, 18	31: 20	confusi on
57: 18	26: 10	38: 18 61: 9	comply	46: 7
<b>checked</b> 5: 7	93: 23	63: 20 64: 2	49: 19	Congress
21: 16	95: 21	71: 7	component	7: 6, 24
checks	<b>cl osed</b> 96: 5	comments	7: 7, 7	17: 10, 25 18: 1 19: 9
30: 19 31: 21	<b>cl ue</b> 33: 20	6: 21 15: 8	components 9:4	19: 22
58: 12	<b>coal</b> 59: 18 59: 20	15: 16, 23 16: 5  17: 1		20: 12
Cherokee	Collabor	17: 18	compose 15:18	20. 12 22: 18
1: 22 3: 14	2: 18	17: 18		33: 12 37: 1
21: 13 97: 8	collect	25: 21	compressed 18:4	37: 2, 19
21.13 71.0	COLLECT	ZJ. ZI	10.4	J1. Z, 17

		-		-
38: 5, 15	92: 11, 13	65: 10	Counci I,	16: 18
39: 8, 9	97: 9	convert	90: 3	CSR-RPR
41: 3 43: 12		27: 15	counci I s	1: 25 97: 21
	consulta			
51: 22	15: 17	cooperation	57: 20	<b>cubi c</b> 23: 16
52: 14, 20	consulta	52: 15	councilw	cul tural
52: 21, 22	5: 20 15: 12	54: 13	29: 20	28: 21
52: 23 53: 2	15: 19 17: 4	coordi nate	77: 19	current
53: 4, 11	contact	28: 14	counsel	5: 13 7: 23
65: 17 67: 3	92: 10	<b>copy</b> 5: 7, 7	33: 22	78: 20 92: 5
67: 11 68: 1	contacted	5: 8, 10, 11	57: 14	currently
68: 20	21: 24	5: 12	country	37: 8 76: 17
69: 18 70: 9			22: 4 38: 11	77: 1 93: 2
	contempl	corporation		
70: 15, 17	65: 1	43: 17, 21	62: 19	94: 23
75: 9, 17	continue	49: 21	81: 23	custodi an
81: 15 87: 5	70: 20 76: 8		82: 12 96: 3	47: 18
93: 7, 13	95: 9	22: 13	county	<b>cut</b> 78: 10
94: 7	conti nued	correct	49: 21	Cynthi a
Congress	23: 19	29: 10 71: 4	84: 21 97: 3	51: 2, 2
51: 6, 8	contract	91: 8	<b>coupl e</b> 5: 22	88: 14, 14
70: 2 76: 20	11: 6 13: 24	corrected	5: <b>2</b> 4 47: 3	89: 6, 23
93: 11	24: 13 42: 5	18: 12	86: 24	90: 2, 5, 7
Congressmen		cost 77: 17	88: 22	90: 11, 15
76: 10	45: 3 47: 7	costs 77: 17	course	70. 11, 13
	47: 9, 23	81: 7	18: 20, 22	D
Congress				<b>Dakota</b> 5: 25
80: 1	48: 3 53: 8	counci I	28: 12 64: 5	
conseque	67: 19	19: 7, 8, 12	85: 16	dangled
28: 22	74: 18, 20	19: 13, 17	<b>court</b> 6: 8, 9	77: 2
consi der	74: 24	19: 19, 22	6: 14 22: 3	<b>Davi d</b> 86: 21
6: 13	76: 15, 16	20: 10	78: 23	86: 22 88: 9
consi der	76: 18, 21	21: 20 22: 4	<b>cover</b> 57: 3	day 4: 20, 21
62: 25	77: 6, 7, 13	22: 18 23: 2	covered	4: 25 21: 2
consi dered	81: 6, 7	25: 1, 13, 21	59: 6	21: 2 23: 11
74: 23 87: 6	82: 25	26: 5, 10	<b>covers</b> 36: 1	23: 15, 16
consi deri ng	85: 17	29: 9, 20	create 17: 1	55: 3, 5
61: 3	contract	34: 12 35: 5	43: 16, 17	81: 24
consistent	46: 10	36: 10, 10	creates	95: 11 97: 7
11: 4 18: 23	contract	41: 1, 3	40: 3	97: 15
69: 2	47: 4 61: 16	42: 14	creation	days 10: 5, 9
constitu	contracted			20: 25 21: 1
		47: 12, 13	7: 5	31: 22 55: 4
19: 10	82: 24	50: 2 51: 15	crossed	
69: 24	85: 12	51: 23 52: 2	94: 10	65: 14, 16
93: 12	contracting	52: 13	crossi ng	89: 21
Constitu	44: 21	53: 23 54: 8	94: 10	<b>DC</b> 2: 6, 10, 15
30: 25	47: 25	57: 18	crossover	2: 20
consulta	48: 12 80: 9	60: 17, 24	62: 9	<b>dead</b> 20: 21
1: 18 5: 3	contractor	62: 5 64: 10	cruci al	49: 13
5: 21, 23	42: 2, 10	66: 17	47: 16	deadl i ne
6: 9, 12	76: 2	71: 13, 25	<b>crunch</b> 82: 7	10: 3
15: 9, 10, 10	contracts	72: 3 78: 15	82: 16	<b>deal</b> 45: 5
15: 23 16: 4	47: 25 81: 3	93: 10, 17	Crusher	62: 20
16: 13	83: 24	Council's	32: 9	81: 24
17: 13	control	71: 17	Cruz 2: 12	<b>deal s</b> 87: 1
17.13	COILLIOI	/ 1. 1/	01 UZ Z. 1Z	acai 3 U / . I

		1	1	1
<b>debt</b> 94: 23	73: 13 79: 4	11: 23 12: 1	57: 17	54: 17
95: 3, 8	86: 1 92: 11	12: 19	di sburse	81: 10
December	92: 21	13: 13, 15	46: 3	dollars
18: 1, 2	departme	14: 8, 10	di scount	24: 4 54: 17
32: 5 61: 6	86: 12	15: 5 16: 19	23: 1	76: 11
<b>deci de</b> 66: 2	90: 13	32: 12	discretion	94: 15, 25
77: 6 85: 6	depend	36: 13 40: 9	17: 15	domestic
86: 3	27: 17	47: 14	<b>di scuss</b> 6: 3	69: 19
deci des	dependi ng	49: 11	di scussi on	<b>doubl e</b> 95: 6
27: 17 35: 4	77: 5	62: 21	6: 7 17: 4	<b>doubt</b> 59: 13
36: 4, 5	depends	68: 23, 24	17: 13, 23	downfall
47: 8	35: 24	69: 12	18: 7 37: 10	22: 11
deci si on	<b>Deputy</b> 2: 3	91: 19 92: 8	39: 1 62: 14	downtown
41: 22	2: 13 16: 18	dictionary	62: 23 66: 6	79: 9
60: 20, 21	descri bed	57: 10	86: 7	<b>draft</b> 17: 4
60: 23 63: 2	80: 7 81: 12	di fference	di scussi ons	17: 13, 23
deci si ons	desi gnee	42: 15	32: 23 62: 8	18: 7, 14
4: 3 61: 15	64: 15	di fferences	62: 17	dramatic
<b>deemed</b> 9: 16	<b>desk</b> 5: 7	38: 2	66: 23	12: 4
65: 19	<b>detai I</b> 44: 6	di fferent	di versi fy	drastically
<b>defi ne</b> 36: 8	73: 15	27: 5 68: 7	31: 11	30: 19
38: 5, 5, 6	detailed	88: 23 96: 2	di vi ne 3: 22	<b>drill</b> 35: 16
38: 15	42: 15	diminish	Division	41: 17, 20
degree	determin	54: 9	2: 5, 9	72: 20, 24
41: 15	9: 14 12: 20	diminish	document	73: 21 74: 6
79: 22	determi ne	51: 16	20: 3	74: 9, 12, 19
del egated	4: 3 60: 3	di rect	documents	79: 5
92: 7 93: 2	determi nes	20: 14 22: 1	14: 12, 14	drilled
93: 2	74: 16, 18	54: 10	24: 16	41: 11
del egates	determi ni ng	84: 13	35: 15	drilling
91: 25	26: 25	direction	39: 16, 24	39: 17 41: 9
del egati on	detriment	87: 7, 15	39: 25	<b>dri ven</b> 89: 9
91: 24	77: 21	88: 5	40: 10, 12	89: 11
del egations	detrimental	di rectly	40: 18 49: 5	dropped
92: 4	30: 21 54: 7	83: 23	64: 11	21: 5
<b>del eted</b> 9: 9	deval ued	di rector	<b>doi ng</b> 6: 13	<b>dry</b> 55: 20
del i bera	35: 16	2: 18 56: 14	12: 1 14: 3	<b>due</b> 15: 8
24: 13	devel op	58: 10, 13	17: 7 30: 14	61: 5 85: 7
demonstrate	30: 25	58: 14	36: 19, 23	dupl i cate
12: 17	33: 14, 14	81: 21	38: 12	79: 18
department	47: 15	91: 17, 18	39: 20	Durango
1: 11 2: 3	87: 14	91: 17, 16	46: 19 58: 2	5: 25
9: 13, 18	devel oped	di sagree	58: 23, 23	<b>duti es</b> 61: 2
=	21: 6 87: 4	76: 15	66: 24 69: 5	
10: 4, 21	87: 12			dyi ng 25: 19
12: 10, 20		di sappoi	69: 11, 11	dynami c
12: 24	devel opi ng	52: 12	73: 25	40: 15
21: 10	33: 3, 3	di sapproval	77: 18	E
27: 21, 23	34: 25	10: 10, 14	85: 17, 25	E-r-w-i-n
28: 14, 19	devel opment	10: 23	87: 20 88: 1	
33: 4 36: 6	2: 13 7: 10	disapprove	91: 23	35: 11
53: 12	7: 13 8: 10	10: 5, 21	dollar	<b>Eagl e</b> 50: 1
61: 17 63: 5	8: 14 9: 11	14: 23	25: 15	50: 2
		•	1	•

		1	1	ı
earlier	el ders	<b>enj oy</b> 3: 7	18: 3	excess
36: 5 37: 9	19: 22	<b>ensure</b> 29: 2	essentially	39: 10
41: 21	93: 20	<b>enter</b> 9: 2	42: 2 43: 18	54: 17
51: 19 67: 2	<b>el ect</b> 46: 1	9: 12 14: 21	50: 16	<b>excuse</b> 89: 6
67: 10	elected	26: 20 41: 3	<b>estate</b> 8: 25	executi ve
68: 20	52: 3	41: 17	13: 25	41: 3 86: 22
<b>ears</b> 4: 16	electricity	43: 22 52: 8	19: 12	exempt
39: 14	59: 22	52: 13	26: 19	75: <b>2</b> 1
<b>earth</b> 23: 6	elements	53: 21	47: 14	exemption
<b>easy</b> 23: 24	24: 21	entered	48: 16, 17	44: 7
83: 21	25: 22	66: 20, 23	48: 19, 22	exerci se
economi c	<b>ELIZABETH</b>	79: 19	48: 22	29: 7 70: 11
2: 13 16: 19	2: 17	enteri ng	49: 12	exhausted
28: 20	elizabet	65: 23	54: 11	12: 16
32: 12 40: 9	2: 21	71: 14	70: 18	existing
70: 8, 13, 21	<b>email</b> 15: 17	enters	77: 16 94: 3	27: 18
71: 2 77: 15	enacted	42: 21	94: 11	expanded
91: 19 92: 8	27: 11 37: 3	52: 23	esteemed	27: 4
economi cs	70: 3, 3, 4	66: 11	53: 23	expect
68: 6 69: 21	87: 6	71: 13	<b>et</b> 6: 19 8: 5	79: 17
economist	enactment	entertain	8: 25 13: 19	expend
69: 16	64: 19	18: 25	13: 23, 25	50: 13 85: 5
economy	encourage	enti ci ng	14: 4, 12	experi ence
41: 12	67: 5	65: 22	80: 12, 13	9: 11 11: 11
<b>Eddi e</b> 9: 22	endeavor	enti rel y	81: 5 82: 9	13: 21 14: 2
83: 22, 23	22: 23	11: 17	82: 11	68: 18
84: 12, 12	<b>ends</b> 16: 23	enti ti es	eterni ty	82: 22
84: 13, 16	17: 17	43: 23	25: 8	experi en
84: 19	<b>energy</b> 1: 17	enti tled	eval uate	83: 9
<b>edges</b> 38: 20	7: 3, 6, 13	29: 15	91: 1	expert
40: 16	8: 14, 19, 25	enti ty	eval uating	59: 25
<b>EDs</b> 80: 13	9: 11 10: 2	43: 20, 24	28: 12, 20	experti se
educati onal	11: 2, 12, 13	44: 2, 4	eval uation	8: 7 9: 10
79: 1	11: 22 12: 1	envi ronment	11: 12 43: 4	explanation
<b>effect</b> 10: 6	12: 19	25: 11, 12	<b>event</b> 97: 14	5: 5 6: 5
effective	13: 13 14: 8	envi ronm	Everett	15: 1 40: 13
64: 4 72: 13	14: 10 15: 6	28: 21 37: 9	19: 6, 17, 20	explanat
89: 20	32: 12	37: 13, 24	evergreen	4: 18
effort	50: 15	38: 4, 15	12: 2	explicitly
20: 14	74: 23 80: 8	65: 15	everybody	53: 10
21: 25 22: 8	91: 18 92: 8	66: 15 71: 13  73: 4	17: 19	explore
efforts 20:5	enforce 29:4		<b>evi dence</b> 12: 18	54: 21
ei ght 54: 1	enforcement	73: 22, 22 73: 24	exactly	express 4: 23
ei ther 9: 6	29: 1, 5	equation	35: 10 36: 8	extend
15: 24	92: 15	51: 11	57: 12 61: 1	17: 18
33: 23	engage	<b>Erwi n</b> 35: 8	90: 8	extent
43: 16 45: 1	14: 21	35: 11	example	27: 18
45: 15	engagement	38: 23	32: 7, 10	39: 24
58: 22	14: 21	39: 13	34: 2	extra 24: 4
61: 21, 22	engagi ng	<b>ESA</b> 37: 11	exceptions	50: 16, 19
66: 5 75: 20	5: 19	especially	11: 9	extremely
			<u> </u>	

94: 23	64: 23 65: 2	55: 18	70: 24	functi onal
74. 20	73: 3, 9, 14	<b>fi ne</b> 28: 6	Forman	62: 12
F	74: 8, 9, 21	35: 7 38: 21	42: 13, 13	function
facilities	75: 2, 8, 9	<b>fi ni sh</b> 82: 4	45: 6, 13, 25	81: 8
84: 2, 2	75: 11, 13	82: 21	former	functi oni ng
facility	75: 19, 20	fi ni shed	25: 10	64: 4
84: 5, 8, 9	76: 3 77: 10	47: 5	formul a	functions
<b>fact</b> 24: 16	77: 18 80: 9	<b>first</b> 5: 20	23: 24	7: 9, 18 8: 8
48: 12	82: 10	5: 23 17: 23	<b>forth</b> 97: 11	8: 19 13: 5
67: 16	85: 13, 15	29: 21, 25	forward	13: 10, 22
73: 12	94: 14, 18	31: 2 51: 5	24: 23 93: 4	14: 3 26: 20
76: 19	<b>feel</b> 52: 1	52: 8, 8	96: 4	26: 20, 24
78: 20 82: 1	77: 1 79: 12	55: 13	fossil	27: 1, 5, 5
<b>factor</b> 68: 5	93: 13	60: 18 93: 7	21: 17 25: 3	27: 10, 13
82: 6	<b>feet</b> 22: 23	<b>Fi sh</b> 72: 23	59: 18	27: 20
fai rl y	23: 16	74: 11	foundati on	41: 23
18: 24	fellow	<b>Fi sher</b> 1: 25	94: 4	42: 25
familiar	93: 24	97: 4, 21	fraction	43: 10 46: 6
70: 18	<b>fi ddl e</b> 67: 3	<b>fi ve</b> 22: 13	79: 7	46: 9, 12, 16
family	<b>fi el d</b> 25: 19	26: 7 31: 8	<b>frame</b> 3: 5	46: 20, 24
21: 13 31: 4	27: 6 39: 17	45: 11 57: 2	14: 16	47: 1, 8, 9
family's	fieldmen	78: 1	18: 12	48: 1, 1, 2
22: 6	57: 2	five-minute	88: 18, 21	53: 3, 8
<b>far</b> 8: 6 20: 2	fighting	78: 2	89: 17, 18	61: 4, 8, 16
29: 8 61: 15	22: 4 60: 12	<b>fi x</b> 23: 12	<b>frames</b> 65: 8	62: 20
61: 20 67: 1	fights	68: 2, 2	65: 10, 19	63: 21
<b>father</b> 3: 9	95: 11	flexibility		68: 13, 14
3: 12, 15, 16 3: 17, 18, 21	figure	38: 21	framework	74: 14
3: 22 4: 2, 3	33: 22 38: 18 47: 9	<b>fl oor</b> 26: 12 62: 24	30: 24 <b>freed</b> 87: 21	75: 17, 18 76: 2  77: 6
4: 5, 7, 8, 11	<b>fill</b> 82: 14	<b>focus</b> 87: 5	frequently	70. 2
4: 13, 15, 17	<b>fi nal</b> 15: 9	<b>FOI A</b> 48: 7	12: 11	80: 14, 15
4: 19, 21, 24	15: 9 16: 2	<b>fol ks</b> 23: 6	fri ends	80: 19, 25
93: 15	17: 1, 1, 2, 5	45: 3 55: 10	26: 4	81: 4 83: 13
federal	17: 25 61: 6	56: 11	<b>front</b> 6: 2	85: 12
10: 19 14: 3	final i zed	82: 14	70: 5	<b>fund</b> 59: 1
14: 25 20: 7	63: 13	92: 22	<b>fuel</b> 59: 18	<b>funded</b> 82: 2
20: 9 21: 9	finalizing	<b>follow</b> 25: 7	<b>fuel s</b> 21: 17	fundi ng
33: 4 34: 24	7: 22	25: 8 26: 13	25: 3	23: 24
35: 2, 23	fi nance	32: 16 75: 3	ful fi l l	38: 24
36: 25 37: 9	56: 18, 21	follow-up	72: 16	39: 10
37: 16, 17	fi nanci al	88: 15	<b>fully</b> 97: 11	41: 13 50: 4
37: 17, 19	9: 18, 21	follow-ups	function	50: 5, 6, 22
37: 20 42: 3	28: 21 39: 3	70: 9	39: 19	51: 1, 1
42: 9 46: 13	fi nanci ng	<b>force</b> 72: 9	50: 10, 23	59: 2, 3
46: 21, 25	56: 23	foregoi ng	50: 25 74: 8	78: 18
47: 3, 24, 24	<b>fi nd</b> 9: 24	97: 9	74: 21	79: 21 80: 4
48: 3 49: 22	9: 24 34: 18	<b>formal</b> 5: 20	81: 16	80: 19
49: 23 61: 16	52: 5 53: 20	5: 23 6: 9	83: 25 84: 3	81: 22
62: 21	82: 17 85: 24	15: 20 16: 1	84: 6, 7	83: 19, 25
64: 19, 22	finding	64:6,24 <b>Formally</b>	87: 18, 20 87: 22	84: 1 85: 17 86: 23 87: 1
07.17,22	Triuring	Tormarry	07.22	00.23 07.1

07.14.17		22. 15 24. 5	00.10 00.7	mmo o t o m O . 4
87: 14, 16	getting	23: 15 24: 5	82: 19 83: 7	greater 8: 4
87: 21	22: 2 34: 13	<b>goes</b> 10: 6	93: 6, 19	8: 9 9: 4
94: 12, 12	74: 6 75: 2	32: 19 51: 1	<b>gotten</b> 69: 3	greatgra
<b>funds</b> 13: 4	82: 14 85: 1	58: 15	government	49: 17
46: 3 50: 16	<b>gi ve</b> 4: 7, 15	87: 23 88: 3	13: 21, 22	greatgra
			14: 3 19: 14	
50: 16, 19	6: 5 21: 23	90: 16		4: 2
76: 12 77: 3	21: 25 25: 6	<b>goi ng</b> 9: 20	21: 9 24: 2	<b>ground</b> 95: 2
77: 5	42: 15 54: 9	10: 19	33: 4, 4	groundbr
further	63: 17	20: 12, 18	42: 3 56: 9	64: 25
27: 3 40: 8	79: 12	20: 20	59: 5, 6	grounds
95: 20	88: 17	21: 21 22: 9	74: 9 76: 3	10: 14
97: 12	89: 11, 19	23: 5, 11, 12	77: 10, 13	groups 21: 4
future	89: 20	23: 13, 20	77: 18	Grover
11: 21	<b>gi ven</b> 3: 10	24: 4, 14	82: 10	55: 23, 23
49: 16 80: 2	<b>4</b> : 18 88: 6	25: 6, 10, 24	85: 14, 15	59: 12 <sup>°</sup>
	gi ves 44: 12	26: 23 30: 8	86: 5, 11, 13	growth
G	95: 23	31: 1, 3, 4		95: 10
			94: 4, 7	
<b>gai n</b> 39: 15	<b>gi vi ng</b> 35: 2	31: 17 32: 7	95: 9	guarantee
Gambi no	88: 18	32: 13	Governme	26: 8
31: 3	90: 12, 13	33: 23, 25	42: 9	guaranteed
<b>game</b> 25: 5	gl ad 21: 12	34: 2 35: 21	governme	59: 2
<b>gami ng</b> 31: 2	global	36: 12	11: 3	guess 50: 8
31: 2, 7, 9	25: 15	37: 12		81: 20
			governments	
94: 21 95: 5	<b>go</b> 6: 4, 24	39: 19	76: 18	82: 13
gas 7: 11	13: 22 15: 3	47: 24 52: 4	governor	85: 18
23: 16	15: 4, 25	54: 7, 15, 23	31: 7 95: 5	gui dance
30: 18, 22	16: 25, 25	55: 7 56: 4	<b>grab</b> 25: 14	3: 22 88: 5
31: 9, 10, 18	18: 5 19: 4	56: 6, 7, 9	graci ousl y	gui de 4: 21
32: 24 34: 9	19: 15 20: 2	57: 3, 4	3: 4	
				<b>gui nea</b> 68: 4
34: 14	21: 2 22: 1	58: 2, 4, 4, 5	grandchi	<b>guys</b> 9: 22
47: 15 55: 3	22: 17, 22	58: 11, 24	4: 4 24: 24	16: 17
59: 23, 25	24: 8 28: 6	58: 25 59: 1	49: 17	56: 20
68: 9 69: 20	29: 4 30: 6	59: 14, 18	grandpar	58: 19 78: 4
73: 18 74: 4	32: 3 53: 25	59: 19 60: 5	4: 1	95: 21, 24
79: 5	54: 2, 16			70.21,27
		60: 8, 8, 12	grant 32: 12	Н —
general	58: 1 59: 16	61: 11	gravel	
8: 24 15: 25	59: 23 62: 5	65: 21 67: 8	32: 13, 17	<b>hal f</b> 20: 2
33: 13 39: 8	63: 8 67: 20	69: 4, 24	graves 22: 7	<b>hand</b> 4: 11
39: 12	68: 19	70: 1 <sup>4</sup>	<b>gray</b> 23: 3	21: 5 63: 8
general I y	69: 14	73: 20 74: 9	29: 19, 20	63: 9 89: 16
38: 19 86: 2	72: 22 73: 1	77: 11, 17	30: 3 32: 25	89: 22
			00.0 02.20	
generate	76: 9 78: 8	78: 10, 16	34: 1, 7, 11	97: 15
20: 10	78: 11	82: 12 94: 7	34: 16, 21	handed
47: 15	80: 11 82: 8	94: 14	66: 16, 16	24: 24
59: 22	82: 17 84: 7	<b>good</b> 3: 1, 4	68: 3 69: 14	handi ng
generators	90: 8, 8	3: 5 4: 17	77: 20	24: 23
59: 22	goal 62: 25	29: 23		89: 14
			great 19: 24	
<b>gentle</b> 62: 9	63: 8	48: 24 55: 4	23: 14 24: 5	<b>handle</b> 14: 6
gentlemen	<b>God</b> 3: 8, 9, 16	56: 7 57: 18	31: 17	19: 1
19: 20	3: 21, 23	58: 21	59: 20	handl i ng
63: 17	4: 8, 13, 19	60: 16 76: 7	85: 21	8: 25 13: 24
94: 17	4: 21 20: 21	76: 7 77: 20	95: 22 96: 1	14: 2 30: 15
[	1.21 20.21	, 5. , , , , 20	/3. 22 /0.	
I				

			1	•
<b>hands</b> 63: 23	74: 5	25: 10	57: 21	62: 14, 21
77: 23	<b>heard</b> 56: 1	honest 9: 20	implement	91: 18, 20
95: 20	69: 21 74: 3	honor 3: 6	58: 21	92: 8, 9, 12
_				
happen	74: 7 76: 14	19: 24	70: 14	92: 15 93: 4
22: 23	hearing	hope 63: 10	implemen	95: 4
25: 24	96: 4	63: 13, 77: 4	90: 25 91: 2	indicating
39: 18	<b>heart</b> 94: 1	hopefully	implemented	81: 14, 16
44: 20	<b>HEARTH</b> 38: 9	10: 10	59: 7	84: 18
75: 10	38: 12	<b>hopes</b> 63: 2	importance	i ndi scer
happened	68: 21 69: 4	hopi ng	94: 9	85: 2, 3
5: 15 7: 18	69: 10	31: 24 64: 7	important	industry
23: 14 45: 1	heat 4: 22	66: 8	4: 13 9: 4	30: 22 34: 9
happeni ng	hel d 1: 20	<b>horses</b> 25: 4	35: 9, 11	inform
55: 17	41: 8 49: 20	Hotel 1: 21	49: 12, 15	53: 24
1 -	49: 21	97: 7	54: 18	information
happens				
21: 15	<b>hel p</b> 3: 4	<b>hotel s</b> 95: 3	65: 22	28: 10 48: 6
85: 21	13: 5 54: 11	hour 20: 1	importantly	69: 23
88: 16	60: 3 66: 9	housi ng	14: 13	informing
<b>happy</b> 18: 25	71: 11 73: 5	83: 16	31: 12 38: 5	54: 2
26: 14	<b>hel ped</b> 76: 6	hundred	87: 11	infracti
51: 23 78: 6	hel pful	59: 10	i mposed	57: 23
78: 7	6: 19	<b>hunt</b> 20: 20	41: 7	infrastr
hard 1: 21	hesi tant	26: 8	i mproved	83: 16
26: 13	31: 6		78: 22	inherent
29: 23	hesitating	I	improvement	68: 13
82: 14	92: 3	I AD 93: 3	12: 9 18: 19	i nherent I y
86: 10 97: 7	Heskett	i dea 18: 19		
		27: 10	in-depth	46: 13, 21
hardshi ps	53: 15, 16		11:16	46: 24 47: 3
22: 7	<b>Hey</b> 52: 6	36: 14 61: 7	in-person	61: 16
Harl an	Hi 90: 18	i deal I y	15: 10	63: 19
60: 16, 17	<b>hi gh</b> 93: 16	17: 23	inability	i nheri ted
63: 16	94: 9, 23	i deas 54: 10	82: 16	4: 8
<b>harm</b> 89: 1	hi ghest	identifi	93: 25	initial
hazard	61: 17	24: 14	i nci dental	42: 7 44: 22
81: 20	hi ghway	i magi ne	85: 1	initially
<b>HC's</b> 79: 11	21: 4	38: 19	i ncl udi ng	45: 2
<b>head</b> 79: 16	<b>hi I I</b> 83: 12	IMDA 45: 12	15: 12 62: 5	i nk 55: 20
headri ght	95: 17	immediate	incorrect	i nput 88: 13
29: 9, 15	hi re 83: 4	89: 2	70: 23	i nsi de
51: 3 53: 16	hi stori c	immediately	i ncrease	92: 21
		27: 1	31: 9 34: 19	
54: 5 88: 15	37: 14			inspection
headri ghts	38: 25	imminent	55: 7 95: 5	92: 15
54: 9	history	89: 1	i ndependent	installa
<b>heads</b> 23: 10	55: 2 57: 22	i mpact 30: 1	8: 18 10: 17	24: 2
heal i ng	<b>hi t</b> 25: 3	32: 6 62: 18	11: 1 87: 9	instruments
93: 21	<b>hol d</b> 26: 2	68: 7 70: 21	I ndi an 1: 12	64: 12
<b>heal th</b> 96: 3	93: 16	71: 2 73: 4	2: 4, 5, 9, 14	intelligent
heal thy	hol der	impacted	2: 23 3: 23	55: 25
53: 22	88: 15	30: 19	7: 7, 7, 10	intent
hear 4: 17	hol di ng	impacts	7: 13 32: 11	14: 17
18: 20	95: 15	73: 22, 24	50: 10 56: 8	interest
	home 25: 10	i mpeached	56: 15	
39: 14 70: 1	1101116 23. 10	impeached	30. 13	12: 17, 18
L	-	•	-	-

14: 10	I TMA 20: 5	j uri sdi c	14: 21	83: 16 84: 5
51: 19		57: 14	18: 12, 13	84: 12 85: 9
61: 13	J	84: 22	18: 21	85: 11, 21
interested	<b>J</b> 8: 12_13: 13		20: 24	85: 23 86: 1
9: 5 12: 12	<b>Jesus</b> 5: 1	K	21: 19	87: 2, 3
12: 19, 21	<b>j ob</b> 36: 19	<b>Kasi e</b> 90: 18	22: 16, 23	88: 5, 20
12: 25 43: 6	58: 23	90: 18, 22	24: 7 25: 15	89: 5 93: 24
97: 14	77: 18 <b>j obs</b> 24: 21	91: 5, 10, 13 <b>keep</b> 22: 7	25: 16, 25	96: 1
<b>interesting</b> 52: 5 84: 19	83: 6	56: 22	26: 6 27: 7 27: 12 29: 5	<b>knowl edge</b> 8: 6 74: 10
interests	<b>Joe</b> 93: 6, 6	67: 23 78: 1	29: 14, 21	0.0 74.10
52: 4	<b>John</b> 2: 3	79: 16 94: 3	29: 23 30: 6	L
Interior	3: 1 5: 2, 10		30: 7, 8, 12	L 2: 8
1: 11 2: 4	7: 1 16: 7, 9	79: 15	30: 18 31: 3	l ack 58: 7
14: 18	16: 12, 16	<b>kept</b> 21: 18	31: 3, 4, 7	<b>I aden</b> 89: 3
35: 20	17: 20 18: 6	25: 20	31: 15, 22	Ladi es
47: 18 51: 7	18: 8, 11	53: 11	31: 24, 24	19: 20
51: 10 56: 5	19: 5 23: 20	<b>Kevi n</b> 55: 23	32: 11 33: 2	l ai d 37: 25
64: 13	26: 12, 16	55: 23	34: 11, 17	55: 10
73: 13	26: 23 27: 16 28: 1	59: 12 <b>key</b> 37: 15	34: 24, 25	<b>I and</b> 11: 7 11: 14 22: 6
91: 22	29: 16 36: 5	ki ckback	35: 4, 10, 11 35: 19, 22	33: 3, 14
interplay 80:8	39: 1, 21	57: 24	37: 11 40: 5	35: 1 38: 20
i nterrupt	43: 7, 12	ki cked	40: 7, 12, 24	62: 15
12: 6	43: 7, 12 44: 5, 23	84: 23	41: 9, 12	69: 12
introduced	48: 24	<b>killed</b> 22: 2	44: 24	73: 17, 17
16: 16	49: 15, 18	41: 8	45: 21	79: 3, 5
investment	50: 8 61: 21	killing	46: 14, 22	92: 14
32: 3	62: 6, 7	48: 22	48: 14 49: 9	I and-based
investors	63: 25	<b>ki nd</b> 10: 20	50: 12, 20	68: 8
41: 13	64: 20	15: 21 23: 8	50: 21, 23	l ands 7: 10
i nvol ved	69: 25 70: 24  71: 4	31: 1 34: 14 50: 6 55: 1	50: 23 51: 22  52: 7	62: 21, 22
45: 18 85: 4 92: 24 94: 7	71: 8 74: 17		54: 22	<b>I anguage</b>   17: 7, 8
i nvol ves	77: 22 78: 4	63: 23 66: 1	55: 16	79: 20
62: 15	80: 6 82: 3	79: 1, 11	57: 22, 23	l arge 68: 8
92: 12	82: 20	85: 10	60: 1, 20, 23	l argel y
i ssuance	83: 21	86: 18	61: 10, 13	7: 16 12: 24
74: 13	84: 17 85: 9	90: 22	61: 22 62: 2	17: 6, 8
<b>i ssue</b> 21: 5	87: 3 88: 22	<b>ki nds</b> 86: 14	62: 11, 15	18: 15
27: 7 68: 22	95: 19	86: 15	63: 7, 20	35: 24 93: 1
73: 13	<b>j ohn. tah</b> 2: 7	89: 12	64: 20 66: 1	93: 2
74: 11 83: 2 86: 23 88: 6	j oi n 43: 24	knew 55: 24 knocked	66: 18  67: 7 67: 18	largest 22:13
i ssued	j oi nt 43: 22	20: 1	68: 14	latest 5: 16
73: 12	Jul i e 26: 18	know 4: 20	69: 18	I aunch
issues	26: 18	6: 20 7: 4	70: 10, 12	15: 25
67: 12 69: 6	29: 18	9: 13, 19, 20	73: 20	law 5: 14, 19
i ssui ng	<b>Jul y</b> 1: 20	9: 22 10: 17	76: 11 78: 6	7: 13 9: 8
74: 8	15: 11 97: 7	11: 25	78: 20, 25	10: 13, 19
I TA 20: 5	97: 16	12: 10 13: 6	79: 11, 15	11: 2, 17
<b>i tems</b> 9: 9	<b>j umped</b> 52: 6	13: 24, 24	81: 9 83: 2	12: 9, 12, 16
	I	ı		I

14: 15, 17 18: 1 34: 24 35: 2 39: 4 39: 24 43: 13, 18 49: 19 50: 24 66: 9 70: 4, 6, 10 70: 14 87: 13  laws 8: 20 20: 13 31: 18 37: 9 49: 23 54: 20 68: 11  laying 9: 19 50: 19 leader 6: 17 leadership 3: 15 69: 22 learned 26: 2, 3, 7 93: 18, 19 lease 14: 4 22: 24 36: 22 41: 11 45: 10, 22 45: 22, 24	57: 24 66: 13 68: 22, 23 69: 4 75: 11 80: 12  leasing 22: 24 38: 9 38: 13 41: 15 69: 2 69: 2 71: 16 72: 16 74: 4 leave 21: 11 24: 13 27: 13, 14 leaves 82: 21 leaving 23: 5 led 6: 11 left 23: 6 48: 19 59: 24 77: 5 78: 6 legal 12: 15 28: 21 33: 22 57: 10 62: 10 legal ly 49: 8	44: 8, 14 45: 2 57: 14 Iimiting 10: 13 Iimits 12: 12 Linda 1: 25 53: 15, 15 97: 4, 21 Iine 7: 14 58: 15 Iines 94: 10 Iink 15: 4, 6 Iist 26: 21 28: 20 46: 24, 25 53: 3 61: 3 Iisten 4: 3 88: 13 Iistened 93: 19 Iistener 93: 19 Iistening 3: 17 5: 22	84: 10 logistics 21: 23 lollipops 24: 7 long 6: 5 31: 22 32: 1 32: 2 46: 13 62: 16 78: 11 87: 17 longer 8: 17 9: 13 49: 8 75: 19, 20 93: 23 look 8: 20 11: 1, 3 13: 11 15: 3 20: 10, 18 20: 23 24: 25 25: 25 28: 1 28: 6 29: 23 31: 10, 13 32: 22 42: 5 44: 5 57: 9 59: 11 60: 9 69: 16 70: 18 88: 4 88: 12 95: 8	20: 13 26: 2 26: 24 35: 8 41: 6, 8 53: 19 55: 18, 24 58: 8 62: 23 87: 7 88: 5 92: 14 93: 15, 18 94: 11  I ove 18: 20 26: 4, 9  M Mafi a 31: 5 Mai I stop 2: 20 mai n 8: 17 37: 1, 1 41: 4 mai ntai n 46: 2 47: 18 47: 20 79: 8 mai ntai ni ng 54: 13 mai ntenance 28: 15 maj or 7: 6 14: 7 maj ori ty
36: 22 41: 11	57: 10 62: 10	93: 19 <b>I i steni ng</b> 3: 17 5: 22 19: 23	59: 11 60: 9 69: 16	<b>maj or</b> 7: 6
72: 16 73: 16 74: 4 75: 23 <b>I eases</b> 11: 12 13: 18, 22 28: 12, 22 30: 14 33: 8	let's 23: 21 39: 21 55: 9 58: 1 90: 23 level 21: 16 40: 24 44: 6 46: 7 61: 17 73: 15 80: 13	43: 12 52: 12 57: 1 59: 24 62: 7 63: 24 76: 6 77: 2 80: 7 84: 1 <b>I i ve</b> 20: 8 82: 5	76: 10 l ooki ng 23: 8 24: 23 30: 23 36: 7 41: 20 46: 22, 23 47: 2 56: 18 61: 3 69: 20	65: 6 76: 16 87: 1 91: 15 <b>Mal one</b> 26: 18, 18 29: 18 <b>man</b> 55: 23, 24 55: 25 93: 23
36: 2, 21, 23 37: 5, 20 42: 24 43: 18, 19 44: 1, 8, 8, 9 44: 10 45: 15 47: 16 51: 7 52: 25 57: 15, 16	levels 23: 21 94: 11 liability 40: 4, 22 liable 49: 8 life 3: 10 3: 11 56: 1 limitation 9: 5	Liz5: 9 6: 11 15: 18 16: 11 17: 21, 21 18: 9 26: 14 Ioan 32: 17 Iocated 11: 14 Iocation 82: 11	74: 15 88: 21 93: 4 looks 26: 3 88: 11 lose 51: 11 losi ng 23: 11 lost 35: 18 lot 7: 18 12: 11 15: 2	management 11: 13 92: 14 manages 19: 12 79: 5 managi ng 13: 21 mandatory 65: 10 marched

		I	1	
20: 25	57: 10	48: 19, 22	35: 6, 6, 18	55: 22
<b>Margo</b> 29: 19	59: 11	48: 22	56: 18, 20	70: 16
29: 19 30: 3	mechani cal	49: 12	56: 23 57: 8	86: 21 93: 6
32: 25 34: 1	9: 14	54: 11	57: 25 58: 7	nation
34: 7, 11, 16	medi a 30: 7	62: 20	58: 18, 21	19: 11
34: 21	51: 14 74: 1	94: 11_96: 3	77: 11, 14	21: 21 23: 1
66: 16, 16	<b>meet</b> 65: 19	minerals	77: 14, 18	23: 4 24: 17
68: 3 69: 14	79: 2 81: 15	7: 7 19: 7, 8	80: 22 85: 1	25: 1, 24
<b>Mari a</b> 70: 16	83: 11	19: 12, 13	85: 5, 5, 7	29: 8 31: 11
70: 16 71: 1	meeting	19: 17, 18	85: 20	34: 6 35: 4
71: 5, 9, 19	95: 17 <b>3</b>	21: 6 22: 15	86: 14, 19	36: 9, 10
71: 21, 24	member	23: 2 25: 1	87: 25 88: 2	47: 19
72: 4, 7, 11	42: 14	29: 9, 20	94: 14, 18	51: 12, 22
72: 15, 19	47: 13, 13	32: 10, 12	95: 6, 10	51: 25
72: 22 73: 1	51: 3 60: 17		moni tor	52: 11, 14
73: 6 74: 2	60: 24	33: 15 34: 5	57: 5	54: 16
74: 22 75: 1	members	34: 11 35: 1	<b>month</b> 15: 13	70: 17
75: 5, 25	19: 7, 8	35: 5, 6, 16	63: 13	74: 24
76: 5, 8, 10	38: 20 40: 1	36: 9, 10	<b>months</b> 32: 2	76: 17 90: 5
76: 23 77: 9	51: 16 93: 9	40: 25 41: 2	41: 10	90: 6, 7, 19
<b>Mark</b> 2: 12	membership	42: 14	morni ng 3: 1	90: 20 93: 7
16: 18	59: 7	46: 16	3: 7, 9, 11	94: 20, 24
61: 21	menti on	47: 13 50: 2	3: 13 4: 25	nati onal
mark.cru	36: 12 87: 2	51: 15, 15	5: 3 60: 16	7: 6 21: 16
2: 16	menti oned	51: 23 52: 2	61: 1 93: 6	37: 13, 14
market	36: 5, 25	52: 13	morni ngs	nations
25: 16	mess 88: 16	53: 23 54: 8	3: 8	3: 19 74: 24
Marsha		60: 17 62: 5	<b>move</b> 4: 25	natural
	<b>metropol</b> 83: 18, 20	62: 15	84: 23	
60: 16, 16				11: 7 59: 23
63: 16	Mexi co 2: 24	64: 10	95: 13, 13	59: 25
marshal	MI B 2: 20	66: 17	<b>moves</b> 36: 15	92: 17
92: 22	mi c 26: 14	70: 18	36: 15	<b>nature</b> 20: 5
<b>Mason</b> 3: 3, 6	<b>Mi ce</b> 54: 14	71: 17, 25	<b>movi ng</b> 17: 8	52: 9 56: 10
5: 2	<b>mi cs</b> 6: 23	72: 3 76: 24	Mul I on	NCI 5: 22
material	<b>Mi ghty</b> 3: 9	77: 16	86: 21, 22	<b>near</b> 83: 20
11: 8	million	78: 15, 23	88: 9	necessari I y
matter	23: 15, 25	90: 2 92: 12	Museum	36: 3
24: 16 39: 8	59: 14, 16	92: 16	56: 15	<b>need</b> 8: 1
50: 18	77: 16 <sup>°</sup>	93: 10, 17	<b>Myron</b> 50: 1	15: 3 17: 13
58: 19	94: 24 95: 2	94: 3	50: 1	33: 1 37: 22
matters	millions	mi ni ature		39: 11 46: 3
8: 25, 25	24: 7	83: 10	N	47: 22
13: 25	mi nd 3: 5	mi ni mum	<b>name</b> 5: 1	48: 14
21: 15	53: 11	36: 1 39: 11	6: 16 26: 17	54: 10
24: 24	84: 15	minutes	26: 18	59: 25
mean 7: 17	mi nds 4: 15	78: 1	29: 19	62: 10 64: 5
32: 10	mi neral	mi ssed 16: 3	35: 10	66: 1, 7
39: 20	7: 10, 13	model 32: 20	42: 13	77: 14
63: 10			47: 12	
	19: 12	<b>money</b> 13: 6	49: 13 50: 1	78: 10
84: 11, 13	26: 19	20: 22	53: 15	83: 23
means 38: 7	47: 14	31: 11	54: 25	93: 14
55: 19	48: 16, 17	34: 16 35: 2	34, 23	needed
	1	•	•	

		   .		
68: 25 82: 9		<b>occurs</b> 43: 7	27: 25 33: 5	
84: 2	90: 18, 18	61: 5	33: 10 35: 1	
<b>needs</b> 12: 4	90: 22 91: 5	offered 3: 4	35: 3 36: 17	82: 21
27: 15 28: 6	91: 10, 13	<b>offi ce</b> 1: 12	38: 4, 23	83: 20
29: 7 31: 18	<b>ni ne</b> 24: 1	2: 4, 8, 14	39: 13	<b>opens</b> 30: 12
52: 7 71: 11	32: 2	2: 23 13: 10	41: 22, 24	operate
77: 19	nine-month	16: 15, 18	42: 10, 22	58: 18
89: 15	32: 1	26: 25 27: 4	43: 1, 5, 11	59: 21
negoti ated	ni neti es	27: 6 29: 23	43: 15, 20	76: 18 92: 1
70: 22, 25	20: 4	30: 16	43: 25 44: 9	92: 5
negotiation	non-0sage	32: 11	44: 13	operation
50: 24 71: 2	90: 19	61: 19	45: 25 47: 4	32: 22
81: 2, 4	noncompl	76: 21	47: 10 68: 3	operati ons
84: 13	13: 2	78: 23	69: 14 71: 9	83: 12
negotiat	nontri bal	80: 16 81: 1	71: 20 72: 4	<b>opi ne</b> 33: 19
71: 6, 9	43: 23	83: 20	72: 15	opportun
77: 10	nontri gg	86: 23 91: 3	73: 14, 18	30: 25
<b>NEPA</b> 37: 13	75: 22	91: 18 92: 7	74: 15	opportuni ty
37: 25 38: 1	normally	92: 10, 17	75: 14, 25	8: 4, 8, 9
38: 25 41: 5	16: 12 18: 9	92: 19, 20	76: 5 77: 25	13: 18 16: 5
41: 15 42: 8	<b>North</b> 5: 25	offi ces	78: 13	20: 6, 24
65: 7, 9, 12	northern	13: 7 23: 20	86: 17 87: 1	24: 25 27: 8
65: 24 66: 7	59: 21	27: 6 62: 15	89: 14, 21	27: 8 30: 12
66: 12	<b>Notary</b> 97: 5	76: 18 79: 9	90: 11 91: 5	31: 14
71: 15 72: 3	<b>noted</b> 67: 7	82: 8, 10, 18	91: 13 92: 8	95: 14
72: 9, 23	<b>noti ce</b> 89: 4	86: 15, 16	92: 13	opti on
73: 8, 8, 11	89: 7	offi ci al	Oklahoma	46: 19
73: 11, 11	<b>noti on</b> 8: 18	97: 15	1: 23 49: 21	91: 10
73: 12, 16	number	<b>oh</b> 5: 25 6: 14		<b>order</b> 25: 20
73: 19, 23	12: 23 27: 5	6: 22 14: 13	79: 4, 6, 9	30: 22
74: 11 75: 3	62: 20 81: 6	30: 7	95: 4 97: 2	31: 11, 23
75: 7, 8, 14	<b>NW</b> 2: 5, 9, 15	oi I 7: 11	97: 6, 8	61: 25 63: 4
75: 21, 22	2: 19, 23	21: 8 30: 18	<b>ol d</b> 21: 17	67: 23
76: 3		30: 22 31: 9	53: 17	68: 25
<b>never</b> 11: 18	0	31: 10, 18	93: 24	72: 16
30: 10 39: 8	<b>oath</b> 49: 20	34: 9 35: 14		<b>orders</b> 58: 9
44: 23	objection	39: 16	11: 21 13: 8	ordi nari I y
68: 11	6: 3	43: 23	13: 8 14: 22	33: 10
84: 12	obl i gated	47: 15	14: 24	organi za
85: 19	93: 13	54: 12 55: 3	15: 25	14: 8, 11, 12
94: 10	obligation	55: 3 59: 13	22: 20, 24	Organi za
<b>new</b> 2: 24 6: 1	43: 4	59: 15 68: 8	47: 9 56: 17	8: 14 13: 14
8: 2, 12, 13	obtai n	69: 19	85: 21	oriented
9: 8 10: 13	57: 15 80: 3	73: 18 74: 4	one-si ded	33: 8
11: 24	Obvi ous	77: 16 79: 5	88: 24	original
13: 13 17: 7	30: 20	oi I fi el d	ones 22: 24	69: 15 70: 4
18: 16	obvi ousl y	25: 17	70: 10	originally
46: 11 55: 6	84: 21	57: 13	<b>open</b> 4: 15	27: 10 78: 7
56: 19	occupy	oi I fi el ds	4: 16 5: 3	<b>0sage</b> 3: 19
NHPA 37: 17	86: 16	56: 24	6: 6 12: 23	19: 7, 8, 9, 9
<b>ni ce</b> 23: 23	occupyi ng	<b>okay</b> 10: 2	17: 19	19: 11, 11
83: 10	86: 15	16: 20, 24	18: 18 19: 2	19: 17, 24
		1		•

20: 22 21: 6	Overwhel med	Q. 15	nave 22: 16	74:6 12 10
20: 22 21: 6	overwhel med 78: 21	8: 15 <b>partners</b>	<b>pays</b> 33: 16 <b>peopl e</b> 3: 19	74:6,12,19 <b>permits</b>
22: 15 23: 1	owner 51: 4	14: 9 30: 21	3: 23, 23	41: 19
23: 1 25: 1	53: 16	40: 19	12: 11	78: 21
25: 1 26: 19	owners 29: 9	partnershi p	21: 13 24: 3	person 72: 8
29: 8, 20	53: 25 54: 2	43: 17, 22	24: 10 30: 7	personnel
31: 12, 13	54: 6	parts 43: 2	31: 6 32: 8	83: 14
31: 18 32: 8		45: 4, 4	38: 20	perspecti ve
34: 11	PP	62: 19	44: 13 52: 8	30: 17, 18
35: 16	<b>pace</b> 95: 9	82: 23	53: 24 54: 1	petition
40: 25 41: 2	packet 8: 11	<b>party</b> 9: 5	56: 8, 23	43: 9 44: 18
47: 13	pages 97: 11	12: 12, 19	57: 23 58: 8	Phillips
48: 16 50: 2	<b>pai d</b> 25: 11	12: 21, 25	60: 2 73: 20	79: 14 80: 4
51: 3, 12, 22	32: 14	43: 6	77: 13, 24	physi cal
51: 25 52: 2	<b>paper</b> 5: 7	<b>pass</b> 67: 10	79: 9 82: 7	12: 5 28: 20
52: 7, 10, 11	15: 3 20: 21	68: 25	82: 8, 17	pi ck 24: 4
52: 13, 14 55: 1 56: 7	parents 4: 1 part 6: 11	<b>passed</b> 7: 6	83: 5 92: 18	78: 5
56: 7 60: 2	6: 12, 12	18: 1 46: 15 52: 21, 22	peopl es 4: 24	<b>pi ctures</b>   20: 21
60: 2, 11, 17	7: 5 20: 11	68: 20	percent	<b>pi ece</b> 31: 20
61: 12, 13	23: 9 25: 23	passi ng	31: 8	64: 5
62: 5 64: 10	25: 24 26: 4	70: 10	perform	<b>pi eces</b> 94: 8
66: 17	27: 22 31: 5	<b>Paul</b> 47: 11	7: 18 53: 4	<b>pi g</b> 68: 4
70: 17	31: 21	47: 12	79: 22 80: 5	<b>pi l es</b> 9: 19
76: 17	34: 13	48: 15	80: 25	pi pel i ne
78: 14, 20	39: 19	49: 10, 16	83: 25 84: 2	43: 24 69: 7
78: 23	42: 23 43: 8	64: 9, 10, 16	84: 5, 7	<b>pi t</b> 32: 17
79: 11 82: 1	49: 4, 18	64: 18 65: 4	87: 22	<b>pl ace</b> 3: 4
83: 11	50: 8, 12, 14	65: 21 66: 1	performed	3: 13 9: 15
84: 21 85: 4	50: 24, 24 51: 8 55: 12	78: 13, 14	80: 15	11: 19 12: 3
86: 23 88: 14 90: 2	63: 22 64: 1	81: 25 82: 19 83: 7	<b>performing</b> 43:5 48:2	13: 9, 19 14: 20  30: 4
90: 5 92: 13	64: 6 68: 5	84: 16	53: 9 79: 14	30: 16 83: 8
92: 16	70: 13, 22	Pawhuska	81: 5 86: 3	94: 2
93: 12, 16	87: 22 88: 9	56: 21 83: 9	performs	placed
94: 20, 24	89: 23	<b>pay</b> 25: 7	27: 23 36: 6	11: 15
<b>0sages</b> 26: 7	partially	32: 14, 18	47: 1 62: 19	pl acehol der
30: 10	78: 16	32: 18 84: 6	peri od	88: 11
<b>ought</b> 56: 2	parti ci pate	84: 8 85: 14	15: 15, 15	places
88: 6	66:3	94: 15, 25	15: 24, 24	80: 23
outsi de 8: 5	particip	95: 6, 7	16: 2, 2, 4	pl an 34: 12
8: 16 14: 9	11:13   parti cul ar	<b>payback</b>   85: 19	16: 23 17: 2	<b>pl ans</b> 63: 7
34: 8 92: 16 overal I	17: 14 27: 4	paycheck	17: 16, 19 24: 18  64: 2	80: 2 <b>pl ay</b> 35: 21
7: 14 11: 3	39: 8 87: 20	73: 13	peri odi c	<b>pl ay</b> 33. 21 <b>pl ease</b> 6: 22
56: 8	parti cul	payi ng 84: 9	36: 17 43: 4	26: 16
overburd	6: 17 12: 9	94: 25 95: 1	44: 15	<b>pl enty</b> 78: 8
41: 6	61: 12	payment	peri ods	<b>pl ug</b> 23: 4
overheads	parties	71: 24 72: 8	18: 12	<b>pl us</b> 94: 24
43: 7	48: 9, 13	payments	permi t	pockets
oversee	97: 13	29: 15	41: 16	9: 23
4: 11	partner 8: 9	34: 17	72: 20, 24	<b>poi nt</b> 10: 10
L	•	•		•

	-	-	-	
12: 25 18: 7	practi cal	62: 3 70: 1	79: 22	12: 14
21: 8 39: 15	50: 21	73: 5 74: 8	profi t	50: 15
39: 18	practica	80: 7 81: 21	25: 18	provi si on
42: 17	50: 19	82: 13	program	13: 3 27: 18
48: 24	<b>prayer</b> 3: 3	90: 19	56: 19, 19	43: 6, 7, 15
52: 20 64: 1	3: 7, 9	problem	<b>proper</b> 28: 7	44: 7, 25
81: 9 82: 19	precedi ng	21: 18, 19	property	66: 9 86: 25
83: 8 86: 25	97: 11	22: 21	21: 7 28: 15	87: 5, 9, 13
91: 16	prepare	35: 10, 13	53: 24 54: 2	89: 3
pointed	6: 12, 19	57: 6 58: 25	proposals	provi si ons
67: 13	10: 23	58: 25 67: 8	28: 12	10: 22 33: 7
	_	74: 5	_	
<b>points</b> 28: 1	prepari ng		proposed	80: 9 88: 23
44: 23	70: 12	problems	5: 4, 11, 13	<b>public</b> 38: 3
74: 17	presence	20: 22 22: 5	5: 24 6: 6	38: 4, 6, 16
pol i ci es	3: 21	23: 17	8: 11 15: 14	38: 18
56: 3	present	67: 13	16: 1, 9, 21	40: 18 97: 6
<b>pol i cy</b> 2: 13	96: 1, 2	procedures	16: 21, 22	publ i ci zed
7: 14 16: 19	presented	50: 4	17: 5, 17, 24	62: 1
37: 13	6: 25	proceed	18: 5, 15 <sup>'</sup>	publ i sh
politics	presenti ng	40: 3	22: 17	17: 1, 24
23: 2 59: 19	3: 16 68: 15	proceedi ngs	27: 19	61: 11
pool 8: 5	preserva	96: 6	29: 22 37: 8	publ i shed
popul ated	37: 14	process	64: 3, 8	14: 24
83: 15	49: 11	7: 22 8: 13	70: 5 86: 9	16: 21 17: 5
portions	preserve	15: 21 16: 1	88: 12	61: 6
92: 11	53: 4	19: 15 22: 1	proposi ng	pul I 94: 14
position	preserves	50: 14	91: 15, 21	pulling
6: 18 19: 11	53: 10	51: 12	proprietary	24: 21
posi ti ons	presi dents	52: 11, 16	48: 6	purchase
82: 24	20: 21	65: 9 66: 25	protect	71: 22 72: 8
83: 17	presume 9: 1	82: 22	3: 24 12: 5	purchased
posi ti ve	pretty	85: 25 86: 8	23: 5 40: 10	21: 7
7: 25 12: 9	10: 18 70: 2	87: 8, 12, 14	48: 14, 21	purportedl y
13: 14	previ ousl y	87: 16, 18	49: 6 52: 3	78: 24
possibility	. 78: 16	89: 3 90: 23	89: 2	purposes
9: 25 22: 25	primarily	90: 25	protecting	75: 21
44: 18	14: 15	processi ng	40: 1, 11	pushi ng
63: 21	80: 10	92: 10	48: 16, 21	78: 10
69: 16 80: 3	Pri nci pal	producer	protection	<b>put</b> 3: 25
possi bl y	2: 3	71: 14	3: 22 48: 23	23: 10
65: 23	pri nci pl e	producers	49: 3, 11	24: 11, 17
83: 18	33: 13	35: 14	protections	31: 11
			•	
posted	pri or 11: 17	39: 16	30: 4	33: 23 54: 5
51: 14	pri vacy	47: 15	<b>provi de</b> 5: 5	58: 19
Potawatomi	25: 25	produci ng	9: 18 27: 21	59: 14 72: 9
90: 19	pri vi l ege	55: 2	28: 10	94: 8
potential	4: 6	production	32: 17	<b>puts</b> 52: 11
40: 4 95: 6	pri vi l eges	21: 22 41: 8	50: 17	putting
95: 10	3: 10	60: 4	provi ded	51: 12, 20
<b>power</b> 54: 10	probabl y	professi	8: 3 79: 21	71: 7
powerful	7: 3 33: 22	82: 9 97: 5	provi des	
93: 21	34: 8 48: 25	professi	10: 13	Q
	!	<u>I</u>	!	<u> </u>

	44.00	00.05	F 40 7 00	1. 6
quadrupl e	44: 22	89: 25	5: 13 7: 23	relief
95: 7	55: 18   56: 3	recei ved	9: 6 70: 12	41: 17
<b>qualific</b> 14:7	56: 17	10: 4 14: 23 21: 7	88: 11	relocate
qual i fi ed	<b>readi ng</b> 55: 18 60: 5	recei vi ng	<b>regul ar</b>   71:3	83: 14, 19 <b>remai n</b>
10: 16, 25	ready 32: 3	80: 5 83: 19	regulation	26: 20, 21
82: 17	61: 23 78: 4	recess 78: 3	5: 4 17: 14	39: 25
question	91: 1	recogni ze	28: 8 64: 3	remains
26: 24	real 8: 25	19: 6	72: 23	30: 5
29: 17	13: 25	<b>record</b> 6: 11	regulations	<b>remark</b> 67: 2
39: 14	16: 17 17: 9	6: 13, 19	5: 6, 13, 14	remarks
41: 14, 16	23: 24 55: 9	26: 11	5: 18, 18, 24	6: 12
45: 25 50: 3	real i ty	49: 13	7: 1 8: 1	remedies
60: 25 64: 9	81: 24	63: 22 64: 1	17: 9 18: 16	12: 16
66: 17	real i ze	68: 1 69: 9	18: 19	43: 14
68: 15	83: 9	records	27: 19 37: 7	remedy
69: 15 74: 3	<b>really</b> 7: 12	28: 15	38: 17, 24	12: 15
78: 14, 17	10: 18, 25	47: 13, 16	39: 5 41: 6	remember
84: 4 88: 15	12: 6 15: 21	47: 19, 21	48: 7, 8	21: 9 26: 16
90: 23	17: 13, 15	47: 23, 24	58: 20	remind
questi oni ng 95: 22	18: 15 23: 20  27: 7	47: 24 48: 4	60: 12 64: 5	95: 16
	30: 20	48: 18, 21 48: 23	64: 8 65: 24 66: 6 69: 1	remote 82: 8 82: 11
<b>questions</b> 3: 18 4: 18	30. 20 31: 15	49: 19, 23	69: 2 70: 5	removing
4: 19 15: 2	34: 12	54: 13	70: 7 75: 10	75: 10
52: 19	39: 23	86: 11	75: 16 86: 8	render 3: 7
53: 19	42: 20 60: 8	90: 14	87: 12, 15	repay 85: 7
62: 10, 12	68: 15	<b>Red</b> 50: 1, 1	87: 16	Reported
78: 12	70: 12 72: 7	redl i ne	88: 10	1: 25
95: 20, 22	77: 20	5: 12	89: 13 91: 6	reporter
<b>qui ck</b> 16: 17	82: 15	reduced	91: 16, 17	6: 8, 9, 14
39: 15	83: 10	97: 9	91: 24	97: 5, 5
73: 11	85: 24	referred	regul atory	represent
77: 23	88: 10, 24	43: 7 46: 23	2: 18, 23	31: 12
qui ckl y	Real ty	47: 2	7: 22 15: 5	represen
14: 22 94: 20	30: 16 48: 1	referri ng 89: 24	63: 9 <b>rel ate</b> 31: 1	24: 17
qui te 12: 11	76: 19, 21 <b>reason</b> 37: 1	refers	related	<b>represen</b> 90: 20
50: 3 54: 15	74: 2 83: 11	91: 17	87: 8 97: 12	represen
70: 18	92: 2	reflect	relation	4: 24
	reasons	5: 14 63: 18	23: 19 29: 8	represented
R	37: 1, 2	reflected	29: 13	3: 19
<b>RACA</b> 15: 5	67: 22	14: 11	relatively	Represen
rai sed	reassume	regardi ng	6: 4 18: 23	19: 24
86: 24 88: 7	43: 9 86: 6	51: 24 88: 6	<b>rel ay</b> 69: 24	request
ranch 94: 24	reassumes	regi onal	rel ease	77: 23
range 27: 9	85: 15	81: 21	48: 9 61: 23	89: 21, 22
44: 13 45: 2	reassump	Register	74: 10	requi re
rarely	88: 23 89: 2	14: 25	released	38: 3 44: 2
50: 19 <b>RCB</b> 20: 5	89: 9	Registered	48: 13 68: 6	74: 21
read 14: 17	<b>recei ve</b> 85: 16	97: 5   <b>regs</b> 5: 11	<b>rel i ed</b> 59: 9 59: 9	<b>requi red</b>   5: 17   17: 25
1 000 17. 17	00.10	regau. H	J 7. 7	3.17 17.23

		-	-	
33: 11	79: 13	44: 15 47: 5	15.16 17	16.1 2 21
			45: 16, 17	16: 1, 2, 21
36: 18	81: 13, 15	63: 12	45: 17	16: 22 17: 1
49: 18 50: 4	85: 16	64: 22 66: 7	<b>rights</b> 79: 5	17: 1, 3, 5
83: 14	responsi	66: 12, 15	89: 12	17: 17, 24
requi rement	30: 4 47: 17		rights-o	17: 25 18: 5
14: 13, 18	47: 20, 21	73: 16, 19	28: 13, 23	18: 15
37: 5, 23	51: 8 53: 5	73: 22, 23	33: 9 36: 2	22: 17 37: 8
38: 14 40: 9	53: 10	76: 4 91: 1	37: 6, 21	71: 6 86: 9
40: 10 73: 8	55: 10 57: 9	91: 7 93: 5	42: 24	86: 10
73: 9, 10	58: 3 59: 4	revi ewi ng	43: 19 44: 1	88: 12
requirem	60: 14	92: 23	44: 11	rul emaki ng
44: 4 72: 17	69: 23	revi ews	52: 25	70: 22, 25
75: 2	88: 25	36: 17	66: 13	70: 25 71: 1
rescind	94: 19	revi sed	75: 12, 24	71: 3
85: 6	responsi bl e	10: 8	80: 12	<b>rul es</b> 16: 9
resci nded	39: 25 49: 8	revi si on	rights-o	55: 6 58: 20
12: 3	49: 10	66: 18	14: 4	61: 6
rescission	restricted	revisions	rigs 21: 1, 2	<b>rul i ng</b> 6: 13
86: 8 89: 14	36: 22, 24	10: 22	24: 20	15: 21, 25
reservati on	resubmi t	rhetori c	<b>ri sk</b> 23: 6	16: 1
	10: 24 16: 5	21: 23		
6: 1 23: 3			40: 4 51: 13	<b>run</b> 20: 20
32: 9	resume	<b>ri d</b> 45: 3	51: 21 52: 1	24: 2, 3
reserves	44: 17	56: 13 75: 2	52: 12	58: 5, 6
60: 3	<b>retai n</b> 14: 9	<b>ri ght</b> 4: 9	<b>ri sky</b> 52: 16	77: 15
				_
resi denti al	return	5: 8 12: 2	road 2: 23	runni ng
68: 23	30: 18 32: 3	16: 23 19: 3	85: 6	13: 7 59: 13
resi des	89: 7	21: 25	robbery	<b>runs</b> 22: 20
		26: 12 28: 2	24: 5	
80: 20, 25	returned			56: 10
resi dual	86: 13	31: 8 32: 25	<b>Robi n</b> 82: 13	Russell
77: 3, 5	Revard	33: 23 34: 7	83: 23	55: 19
79: 24	47: 11, 12	34: 10, 21	rock 1: 21	59: 11
				37.11
resolution	48: 15	35: 9, 13	51: 19, 19	S
89: 16, 19	49: 10, 16	50: 14	97: 7	
89: 24	64: 9, 10, 16	55: 10	<b>rol e</b> 35: 12	salary
	64: 18 65: 4		35: 19	82: 10
resource				
1: 17 7: 3	65: 21 66: 1	58: 15 59: 6	88: 25	<b>sal e</b> 21: 4
10: 2 11: 12	78: 13, 14	60: 3 61: 25	<b>rol I</b> 15: 20	54: 5
15: 6 74: 23	81: 25	58: 15 59: 6 60: 3 61: 25 64: 15	rolling	<b>sand</b> 23: 10
				<b>sat</b> 20: 5
resources	82: 19 83: 7	65: 25	13: 9	
8: 6 11: 7	84: 16	67: 25 71: 2	<b>ROMERO</b> 2: 22	satellite
11: 14	revenue	71: 8 72: 21	<b>room</b> 2: 10	83: 20
86: 12	92: 18	73: 3, 4, 6, 7	93: 21	<b>saw</b> 23: 18
90: 13	94: 21	76: 11, 22	rough 40: 12	<b>sayi ng</b> 30: 7
92: 18	revenues	77: 8, 19	40: 13	34: 2 48: 20
respect	79: 25	80: 16	<b>routed</b> 92: 7	49: 4 52: 23
93: 16	92: 18	81: 19 82: 5	royal ti es	60: 7 65: 18
_				
respond	revi ew	83: 10, 24	32: 14	70: 13
52: 20	11: 11, 16	84: 16, 17	33: 16	89: 16
responsi	20: 8 27: 3	85: 20 91: 7	royal ty	<b>says</b> 27: 22
				37: 7 43: 16
19: 1 39: 12	37: 22, 24	91: 12 92: 2	32: 24	
49: 1, 25	38: 4, 15	92: 4 95: 19	34: 17	77: 17
78: 19	42: 8 43: 4	right-of	<b>rul e</b> 8: 11	81: 22
1				i

		I		I
87: 13 91: 7	93: 3	16: 23	31: 25	60: 25
94: 6	Secretary's	17: 17 32: 4	shortens	61: 14
scenari os	44: 2, 8	44: 25 61: 6	10: 9	64: 14, 17
46: 1	61: 19	63: 20	shorter	65: 2, 5, 25
schedul e	62: 24	seri ous	14: 16	66: 4, 22
94: 25	74: 15	35: 13	Shorthand	68: 17
	75: 19		97: 4	
school 2: 23		68: 10		71: 17, 20
76: 13	see 3: 12	seri ousl y	<b>show</b> 5: 8, 10	71: 23 72: 2
school s	5: 16 14: 17		6: 4, 25	72: 5, 10, 12
79: 6	15: 6 21: 23	<b>serve</b> 20: 4	69: 16	72: 18, 21
<b>seal</b> 97: 15	22: 11	servi ce	77: 23	72: 25 73: 2
season 4: 22	23: 22 25: 4	20: 14 22: 1	showi ng	73: 7 74: 13
<b>seat</b> 55: 11	31: 6 33: 6	68: 22, 22	9: 10	74: 25 75: 4
<b>Second</b> 41: 1	39: 21 40: 8		shrunk	75: 7 76: 1
secretari al	45: 2 57: 10		40: 23	76: 7, 9, 22
42: 25	60: 10 77: 2	21: 4 28: 11	<b>shut</b> 59: 17	77: 8 86: 7
61: 25 63: 4	81: 9 83: 3	28: 19	si de 21: 13	
				88: 8, 20
67: 23	83: 10	sessi on	40: 11	89: 8 90: 1
Secretary	84: 11, 15	5: 22	55: 21	90: 4, 6, 10
1: 12 2: 4, 4			81: 10	90: 12, 16
2: 13, 14	95: 20	38: 24	<b>si gn</b> 35: 21	91: 4, 9, 12
12: 4 16: 19	<b>seek</b> 12: 15	52: 20 55: 6	52: 7 77: 6	91: 14
28: 4 33: 9	<b>seen</b> 19: 25	61: 25 79: 5	si gned	<b>si r</b> 60: 14
33: 10, 15	30: 23 80: 7		64: 12	<b>si t</b> 4: 15
35: 20	sel dom	setting	76: 21	42: 19
36: 17 37: 4	39: 10	31: 17	signi fi cant	sitting
	sel f-det		62: 10	21: 3 80: 22
37: 4, 5		severel y		
38: 13	7: 14, 19	77: 1	signific	si tuati on
42: 22 43: 3	8: 22 11: 5	<b>share</b> 5: 12	78: 22	51: 18
43: 9, 21	11: 7 13: 23	sharehol der	<b>si gns</b> 35: 22	<b>si</b> x 41: 10
44: 12, 16	46: 15	85: 4	36: 1 51: 7	<b>skills</b> 82: 9
44: 16	50: 11 81: 3	sharehol	similar	<b>ski n</b> 26: 7
46: 12, 16	self-det	30: 19	13: 20 38: 8	<b>slice</b> 81: 18
46: 20 47: 1	82: 24	sharehol	68: 18, 18	<b>sI i de</b> 5: 8
47: 17 48: 2	self-gov		Similarly	5: 10 6: 4
51: 7, 10	7: 15, 19	20: 24	92: 23	6: 25
52: 24 53: 4	8: 22 11: 4	24: 12	<b>simple</b> 50: 3	<b>slots</b> 82: 14
53: 5, 9	20: 16, 17	31: 13 32: 6	70: 2	smal   55: 2
		31. 13 32. 0		68: 8 94: 21
55: 11 56: 4	sel f-gov		<b>Si mpson</b> 2: 8	
58: 15, 16	82: 23	34: 18 35: 3	16: 6, 8, 10	<b>smart</b> 55: 24
58: 17	sell 71: 21	48: 16	16: 14, 14	84: 6
60: 11 63: 2	Senate 87: 4	54: 19	16: 17, 20	smartest
63: 11	<b>send</b> 71: 25	60: 18	27: 16 30: 2	55: 25
64: 13	<b>sense</b> 14: 1	93: 12, 25	32: 21 33: 1	Smi thsoni an
66: 12 69: 1	14: 5 40: 24	<b>She' I I</b> 6: 9	34: 4, 10, 15	56: 14
72: 1 74: 16	55: 16 75: 6	<b>shoes</b> 7: 16	34: 20, 23	soci al
74: 17, 18	sensi ti ve	7: 17	35: 24	28: 21 30: 6
88: 25	41: 10	<b>shop</b> 15: 18	41: 19	51: 14
89: 10 91: 1	separate	<b>short</b> 14: 25	42: 18 45: 8	sol ar 68: 24
91: 20, 22	91: 6 94: 4	73: 11	45: 14 46: 5	sol di ers
91: 22, 25	September	87: 17	47: 22	25: 19
92: 3, 6, 9	15: 8, 15	shortened	52: 18	Solicitor
	1	ı	1	1

	l	l	l	
2: 8 92: 20	38: 1 62: 4	59: 21	34: 20, 23	strategi c
Solicitor's	63: 18 64: 2	status	35: 24	34: 12
16: 15, 18	65: 13	28: 10	41: 19	<b>Street</b> 1: 22
26: 25	75: 14	statute	42: 18 45: 8	2: 5, 9, 15
solicitors	speci fy	5: 17 7: 24	45: 14 46: 5	2: 19 97: 8
27: 3	10: 22	8: 2 18: 16	47: 22 52: 18	strong 60: 9
<b>sol ve</b> 58: 24 58: 25 83: 2	<b>speech</b> 23: 14	20: 7, 9 29: 2, 11, 12	61: 14	<b>struck</b> 21: 8   <b>study</b> 77: 20
somebody	56: 13	33: 7 37: 3	64: 14, 17	<b>study</b> 77. 20 <b>stuff</b> 92: 15
12: 21 49: 7	speeches	37: 7, 19, 23	65: 2, 5, 25	subject
71: 11	55: 25	37: 25 38: 8	66: 4, 22	14: 14 39: 7
somebody's	spi ri t	38: 8, 16, 17	68: 17	48: 6, 8, 12
49: 13	52: 14 94: 1	43: 2, 8	71: 17, 20	49: 22, 23
<b>son</b> 5: 1	<b>spot</b> 33: 23	45: 17	71: 23 72: 2	76: 3
<b>sorry</b> 80: 14	95: 12	52: 22 53: 2	72: 5, 10, 12	<b>submi t</b> 10: 8
<b>sort</b> 7: 12	<b>spots</b> 83: 3	53: 9 65: 8	72: 18, 21	11: 20
8: 5, 24	<b>spur</b> 8: 10	65: 8 67: 4	72: 25 73: 2	15: 16
11: 1, 3, 16	<b>ss</b> 97: 3	67: 4, 13	73: 7 74: 13	17: 18
14: 17, 19	staff 6: 11	68: 18 75: 9	74: 25_75: 4	18: 13 89: 4
32: 24	78: 9 79: 8	75: 15 87: 1	75: 7 76: 1	89: 7
40: 12 45: 1	81: 5	87: 3_88: 6	76: 7, 9, 22	submitted
63: 6, 8	<b>stamp</b> 63: 6	statutes	77: 8 86: 7	67: 1
81: 8, 24	stand 4: 9	18: 23, 23	88: 8, 20	subpart
82: 5, 16	18: 11	37: 11, 16	89: 8 90: 1	8: 12 13: 13
94: 15	26: 15	65: 9, 11	90: 4, 6, 10	subparts
sorts 94: 16 sounds	54: 23 <b>Standi ng</b>	89: 12 statutory	90: 12, 16 91: 4, 9, 12	8: 12   <b>substantial</b>
55: 11	19: 5, 21	7: 5, 20	91: 4, 9, 12	11: 11
56: 17	<b>start</b> 3: 3, 4	10: 3 17: 7	stephen	12: 17, 18
<b>spare</b> 9: 23	32: 1 39: 17	17: 8	2: 11	40: 4 62: 20
87: 25	53: 25	<b>stay</b> 12: 3	steppi ng	substanti ve
<b>speak</b> 6: 15	60: 12 78: 9	20: 9 25: 4	93: 23	14: 19
51: 4	81: 10	stayed	<b>steps</b> 12: 15	17: 10
Speaker	started 3: 2	21: 11	<b>Steve</b> 16: 17	success
19: 8 22: 19	21: 13 24: 5	stenograph	17: 20	66: 19
85: 3 90: 21	29: 21	97: 10	18: 14	79: 23
93: 7, 13	66: 25 78: 5	step 7: 12	26: 24	<b>sudden</b> 59: 3
speaki ng	starts	7: 16 15: 20	39: 21 49: 4	sued 12: 11
6: 14 19: 18	27: 23	55: 13	80: 7 87: 3	suggested
31: 7 67: 9	<b>state</b> 6: 15	Stephani e	Steve's	22: 17
86: 2 special	6: 18  14: 14 79: 4, 6	35: 8, 10 38: 23	50: 9 <b>stop</b> 78: 7	<b>summer</b> 4: 22 <b>Sun</b> 3: 12
91: 3 92: 19	95: 4, 6	39: 13	stop 78. 7	superint
95: 25	97: 2, 6	<b>Stephen</b> 2: 8	35: 2 58: 16	35: 20
speci fi c	statement	16: 6, 8, 10	58: 16	57: 16 58: 9
8: 18 9: 11	6: 23 54: 1	16: 14, 14	61: 18	72: 6, 13
11: 2 18: 24	54: 24 73: 4	16: 20	stories	79: 14 80: 4
27: 15 28: 2	statements	17: 22	66: 20	81: 21
86: 25 87: 5	19: 13	21: 24	<b>story</b> 61: 10	superi ors
87: 13	<b>states</b> 2: 3	27: 16 30: 2	87: 17	56: 11
speci fi c	7: 17 9: 2	32: 21 33: 1	straight	support
14: 14 34: 8	40: 5, 23	34: 4, 10, 15	18: 5	28: 11, 18

20. / 01. 7	02.2.20	tal ked	57.2 / 10	17.0 10.0
39: 4 81: 7	82: 3, 20		57: 3, 4, 10	47: 8 48: 8
supposed	83: 21	22: 19	57: 12 59: 1	50: 3 51: 5
78: 7 81: 23	84: 17 85: 9	31: 18 56: 3	59: 25 60: 8	51: 24 52: 4
85: 25	88: 22	94: 11	60: 13 61: 7	52: 5, 17, 22
Supreme	95: 19	<b>tal ki ng</b> 3: 8	61: 21, 22	52: 24
22: 3	<b>take</b> 7: 9	7: 2 29: 21	61: 24	53: 21 54: 2
<b>sure</b> 6: 20	8: 8, 19	44: 5 56: 12	67: 16, 20	56: 16 61: 2
11: 17	9: 16 10: 23		67: 24	64: 11, 23
12: 24 15: 2	12: 5, 14	67: 23	68: 13	66: 6, 8, 11
30: 3 34: 15	24: 9 27: 9	70: 21	77: 10 94: 1	66: 18, 23
40: 16, 17	27: 12, 13	80: 13	telling	68: 24
42: 6 61: 1	27: 17 <sup>°</sup>	85: 10 86: 9	58: 24 71: 6	74: 22
61: 12, 24	33: 13 36: 4		74: 7	75: 10 80: 8
62: 1 68: 4	36: 6, 11	<b>tal ks</b> 8: 13	<b>tells</b> 11: 2	80: 8, 12
68: 15	37: 3, 4	27: 20	58: 10 60: 6	85: 5, 7
87: 14	41: 21, 24	87: 10	ten 54: 1	87: 18
surface	42: 25 46: 6		<b>tend</b> 76: 15	88: 16
38: 9, 12	46: 12, 15	<b>tap</b> 79: 25	<b>tent</b> 21: 14	90: 24 91: 2
94: 13	46: 20	<b>tari ff</b> 75: 9	<b>TERA</b> 1: 17	92: 24 93: 5
surpl uses	47: 19	79: 17, 19	9: 2, 6, 9, 12	94: 6
13: 7	51: 10	task 80: 5	9: 16 10: 2	<b>TERAs</b> 7: 2
	54: 19	tasked	10: 8, 19	12: 2, 12
survi vi ng				
4: 7	56: 11 58: 4	79: 17	11: 18, 19	13: 9, 12, 20
<b>Susan</b> 42: 13	58: 5 60: 9	taught	11: 21, 23	67: 25 69: 9
42: 13 45: 6	61: 4 63: 3	56: 10	11: 24 12: 7	<b>term</b> 50: 21
45: 13, 25	63: 3 68: 22	93: 15	12: 22 13: 2	<b>terms</b> 29: 3
system	75: 16	<b>team</b> 62: 25	13: 5, 16, 17	30: 12
22: 15	76: 21, 24	techni cal	13: 19 20: 3	37: 24
57: 19	77: 6, 23, 24		20: 11, 18	43: 11
07.17	78: 1 79: 13		21: 21 22: 5	<b>thank</b> 3: 10
T	81: 2 83: 13		22: 14, 17	3: 11, 12, 14
tabl e 84: 25				
	88: 4, 12	14: 23 33: 7	22: 22, 25	3: 15, 21
Tahsuda 2: 3	94: 17, 17	34: 5 36: 13	26: 21	4: 6 5: 2
3: 1 5: 2, 10	94: 18	36: 14, 23	27: 10, 12	18: 11 19: 5
7: 1 16: 7, 9	<b>taken</b> 37: 19	40: 21 41: 4	27: 21, 25	22: 4 25: 13
16: 12, 16	53: 13 64: 1	42: 16, 17	29: 11, 21	26: 11
17: 20 18: 8	64: 25 78: 3	43: 15 44: 6	30: 8, 12	29: 19
18: 11	<b>takes</b> 9: 7	44: 9, 14, 21	33: 7 34: 5	42: 12 51: 4
23: 20	12: 24 24: 9	44: 25	36: 1, 15, 16	52: 17, 18
26: 12, 16	28: 9 35: 25	45: 18, 19	36: 16, 19	54: 21
26: 23	41: 10 53: 8	45: 23	36: 22 37: 3	60: 15, 18
29: 16 39: 1	56: 20 58: 9	46: 19 <u>,</u> 19	37: 23	61: 13
39: 21	75: 18 83: 8	47: 6, 7	39: 20 41: 4	63: 24
48: 24	87: 17	64: 11	41: 24	71: 10 76: 5
49: 15, 18	92: 21	65: 14	42: 16, 17	77: 21, 22
50: 8 62: 7	<b>tal k</b> 9: 8	<b>TED0s</b> 66: 21	42: 21, 21	78: 5 <sup>8</sup> 6: 21
63: 25	10: 15	tel ephoni c	43: 5, 10, 11	90: 11, 15
64: 20	28: 24	15: 10, 12	44: 12, 17	93: 8, 9, 9
69: 25				
	33: 21 55: 9	<b>tel l</b> 23: 13	44: 19, 23	95: 14, 17
70: 24 71: 4	83: 23	24: 12 28: 3	45: 4, 9, 16	95: 21 96: 4
71: 8 77: 22	84: 14	36: 14	45: 19, 22	Thanks
78: 4 80: 6	86: 10	39: 18 53: 7	46: 9, 11	17: 20
		I		I

	70 0 00 /	/F 0 10 10	1 40 44	0.4.05
theoreti	78: 9 80: 6	65: 8, 10, 19		34: 25
85: 11	82: 4, 15, 20	66: 19 67: 3	trainload	35: 25 36: 4
thi ng 8: 21	82: 20	67: 4, 4, 5, 6	59: 20	36: 5 37: 24
20: 23 23: 2	83: 22 94: 22	67: 8 82: 14 85: 18	transcribed 97: 10	40: 1, 8, 20
24: 8 26: 6 29: 25	94. 22 95: 24	88: 18, 21		41: 2, 2, 21
32: 16, 24	thi nki ng	89: 17, 18	transcripts 15:19	41: 23, 25 41: 25  42: 1
36: 25	18: 6	89: 20, 22	transferred	42: 9, 21, 22
86: 19	thi nks	92: 4	80: 23	42: 23 43: 5
thi ngs 4: 7	88: 25	timeline	treaty	43: 10, 16
4: 8, 16, 16	thi rd 48: 9	5: 16 10: 9	10: 20	43: 19, 20
6: 10 8: 3	48: 13	61: 11	trespassing	43: 22 44: 2
9: 15 12: 6	third-party		22: 6	45: 4, 7, 8, 9
13: 9 14: 20	43: 8 44: 18	9: 3	tri bal 1: 17	45: 18 46: 5
21: 21	thought	times 4: 23	6: 16, 17	46: 8, 8, 10
24: 19 27: 2	12: 8 24: 18	4: 23 46: 23	7: 3 8: 14	46: 15, 16
28: 25	42: 7 53: 18	47: 3 61: 1	8: 18 10: 2	47: 6, 8, 25
30: 16	55: 22 82: 4	86: 24	12: 16	48: 10, 10
36: 20	82: 21	timetable	13: 13 14: 8	49: 7_50: 12
41: 12, 20	84: 20	18: 4	14: 10, 15	50: 17 51: 3
42: 4 44: 20	thoughts	title 20: 9	15: 6 32: 17	51: 20 52: 6
65: 23	64: 7 95: 24	28: 10	38: 19, 20	52: 7, 8, 10
67: 14, 21	three 6: 1	today 4: 15	40: 1 43: 13	52: 23 53: 8
76: 11	11: 8, 9	4: 18 6: 3	43: 13, 18	55: 1 58: 3
77: 12	13: 24 14: 2		43: 20	58: 4 66: 11
78: 22 81: 19	23: 14 30: 15	56: 13, 21 56: 22 59: 4	57: 18 60: 11	66: 23, 25 67: 17, 18
86: 15, 20	44: 20	60: 19	69: 22	68: 8, 8, 25
93: 15	45: 20	93: 18, 19	74: 23	75: 18 76: 2
thi nk 3: 3	54: 14 91: 7		89: 16 97: 9	76: 17 83: 3
7: 25 8: 3	<b>ti ed</b> 25: 4	Today's	<b>tri be</b> 6: 18	83: 24
9: 4 10: 11	63: 23	25: 11	7: 9, 17 8: 7	85: 13, 19
10: 13 12: 8	<b>till</b> 76: 12	<b>tol d</b> 17: 10	8: 8, 19, 23	87: 17, 19
13: 16	Tillman	38: 16	8: 24 9: 1, 7	87: 23 88: 3
14: 16 16: 3	19: 6, 8, 21	67: 17, 20	9: 8, 11, 15	88: 14 89: 4
17: 12, 22	54: 22, 25	79: 10	10: 7, 15, 15	89: 9, 12, 14
18: 6, 25	60: 22	93: 18 94: 3	10: 25 11: 6	89: 15, 19
19: 3 22: 5	61: 18	tomorrow	11: 10, 19	89: 21, 23
22: 6 23: 12	77: 17	95: 16	12: 3, 22	90: 23
25: 4 32: 23	78: 17 93: 6	<b>top</b> 53: 23	13: 1, 1, 23	94: 21
39: 23	93: 7	totally	14: 1, 5, 5, 8	Tri be's
42: 11	<b>time</b> 14: 16 15: 16	23: 18	14: 9, 20	8: 20 10: 20
52: 13 55: 8 56: 14 57: 1	18: 12, 12	40: 17 <b>tough</b> 25: 11	20: 14, 15 24: 4 25: 9	11: 2, 3 12: 5 50: 18
59: 18	19: 16	25: 14	27: 15, 17	66: 14
61: 25	31: 22 32: 1	tougher	27: 13, 17	<b>tri bes</b> 5: 3
62: 25 63: 2	32: 2, 4	43: 13	28: 9, 11, 14	6: 1 7: 15
63: 3, 8, 19	41: 10	tower 95: 2	28: 17, 19	7: 16 8: 4, 9
64: 14	46: 13 49: 6	<b>Town</b> 6: 1	29: 5, 7	8: 15 10: 1
69: 25 70: 9	51: 4 54: 15	<b>track</b> 68: 1	32: 11, 21	12: 10 13: 4
76: 15	56: 5 57: 1	69: 9	33: 2, 14, 16	13: 9, 15
77: 14 78: 8	59: 8 62: 16	traditio	33: 17	23: 13 25: 2
		l	I	l

	İ			
27: 9 31: 10	36: 8 48: 20	underfunded	50: 17	15: 3 16: 10
38: 11, 11	49: 24	24: 3 77: 2	73: 17	16: 11
38: 16, 24	60: 11, 13	underneath	86: 18 88: 2	17: 17
43: 25	67: 11 68: 2	55: 12	<b>usual I y</b> 3: 2	18: 18 19: 2
45: 11	68: 2 73: 3	understa	91: 24	20: 23 21: 3
46: 12 61: 4	74: 5 75: 3	79: 18	utilize	22: 4, 8, 25
61: 12 62: 4	75: 3	understand	69: 19	23: 19
			07. 17	
62: 5 66: 19	<b>Tul sa</b> 83: 15	54: 16 56: 9	V	24: 12, 19
66: 20, 24	84: 21 97: 3	56: 16 63: 7	val i d 12: 25	25: 13, 18
67: 5, 12, 15	<b>turn</b> 19: 16	64: 1 75: 4		25: 19, 21
68: 7, 21	23: 23	75: 7 76: 6	<b>val ue</b> 21: 19	26: 10, 15
69: 3, 7, 11	26: 23 27: 1	78: 24_82: 4	vari ous	30: 3 32: 2
69: 20	49: 7_57: 16	understa	46: 25	35: 5, 19, 22
75: 16	80: 17	42: 19	49: 25	36: 23 45: 4
77: 12	85: 13, 23	understa	78: 21	45: 15, 20
82: 23	86: 4, 5	40: 13	vehi cl e	45: 21, 21
85: 22	89: 4	81: 11	42: 4	45: 22, 23
88: 13 96: 3	turnaround	94: 16	venture	48: 14 54: 1
<b>tri ed</b> 40: 2	14: 22	understands	18: 16	54: 4, 6, 11
67: 3	<b>tweak</b> 68: 11	79: 15	43: 23	56: 19
tri gger	<b>twi ce</b> 73: 1	undertaking	<b>venue</b> 83: 8	59: 17 63: 1
35: 22	<b>two</b> 12: 6	37: 18, 20	vetting	66: 3 69: 14
64: 22	15: 4, 21	unexpended	28: 7	70: 1 77: 24
71: 15 72: 3	23: 15, 15	13: 4	<b>vi ew</b> 13: 14	82: 25 85: 6
tri ggered	25: 17 27: 2	unfai r	17: 12	86: 3, 24
37: 16 75: 8	53: 17	63: 19	vi I I age	88: 16 89: 5
<b>tri p</b> 79: 1	57: 20	unfol d	92: 21	89: 16, 22
<b>tri pl e</b> 95: 7	63: 13	82: 23	vi ol ate	wanted
<b>trust</b> 12: 5	74: 24	unfortun	10: 19	17: 22 32: 8
21: 6 22: 8	76: 19	61: 14, 15	violating	33: 24
22: 15	88: 18	uni fi cati on	43: 10	52: 19, 19
26: 19, 20	91: 11	52: 15	violation	67: 18
30: 4 39: 25	<b>type</b> 11: 22	uni queness	44: 19	76: 24
39: 25 40: 9	12: 1 27: 5	52: 10	vi si on	wanting
46: 2 49: 3	40: 17, 19	<b>Uni ted</b> 2: 3	55: 23	31: 8 69: 18
49: 5 51: 8	40: 20	7: 17 9: 2	vi si ted	69: 19
51: 9, 11	51: 23 55: 6	40: 5, 23	22: 20	<b>wants</b> 8: 19
52: 1, 21	61: 3 69: 10	uni ts 24: 21	vi tal 47: 14	9: 12 55: 11
53: 5, 10, 25	79: 20	uni verse	48: 17	68: 4
55: 9, 12, 14	types 14: 2	40: 23	<b>vote</b> 54: 5, 6	<b>War</b> 21: 10
55: 15, 20	typically	UNKNOWN	60: 23	25: 10
57: 9, 9, 10	33: 2	85: 3 90: 21	00. 20	Washi ngton
88: 24 89: 1	JJ. Z	up-front	W	2: 6, 10, 15
89: 2	U	9: 20	<b>wai t</b> 32: 1	2: 0, 10, 13
trustee	<b>U. S</b> 51: 6, 8	update 8: 3	<b>Waller</b> 19: 6	wasn't
22: 16 46: 2	Uh-huh	94: 20	19: 18, 19	17: 12
91: 3 92: 19	71: 23	updated	19: 20	watch 4: 9
truth 57: 22	unabl e	7: 24	29: 10 93: 9	
I .	67: 19		94: 6	4: 10, 11 23: 13
try 83: 4	<b>unborn</b> 4: 5	urge 88: 4	<b>want</b> 11: 21	
<b>tryi ng</b>   25: 14	uncl ear	88: 12 <b>use</b> 6: 22 8: 9	11: 25	watchi ng 82: 22
	72: 7, 11		13: 16, 17	<b>water</b> 79: 16
33: 12, 12	12.1,11	32: 7 50: 17	13. 10, 17	water /9: 10
L	=	-		-

	77. 11 04. 0	Wb: + - b	05, 20, 21	40.05
<b>way</b> 4: 12	77: 11 84: 8	Whi tehorn	85: 20, 21	40: 25
8: 10 19: 1	84: 25	70: 16, 17	86: 22	42: 12
25: 17	85: 10 86: 9	•		<b>yeah</b> 5: 25
		71: 1, 5, 9	<b>worked</b> 23: 4	
31: 25	86: 9 88: 1	71: 19, 21	23: 21	17: 3 18: 8
32: 20	90: 25	71: 24 72: 4	24: 20, 22	23: 2, 25
42: 20	91: 15, 21	72: 7, 11, 15	34: 12 62: 8	25: 14, 14
56: 22	92: 1 94: 14	72: 19, 22	69: 8 87: 3	27: 16
	94: 21, 25			
65: 15		73: 1, 6	worki ng	34: 20, 21
67: 21	94: 25 95: 1	74: 2, 22	21: 1, 20	48: 15 57: 5
81: 12	95: 12 96: 5		30: 24	57: 13 62: 4
-				
82: 25	we've 4:8	76: 5, 8, 10	31: 19 35: 4	88: 10
85: 12 90: 8	11: 18	76: 23 77: 9	57: 3 69: 13	<b>year</b> 5: 15
92: 1	21: 19	wi de 12: 23	73: 3 81: 9	5: 19 7: 24
<b>ways</b> 25: 17	22: 10	wildlife	workovers	18: 1, 2
26: 7 30: 24	23: 17 26: 7	38: 25	73: 23	23: 25 27: 3
31: 10	28: 20	72: 23	works 44: 22	39: 8 41: 11
34: 18	30: 15, 23	74: 12	47: 7 85: 12	76: 13
45: 20	32: 23	willing	worl d 22: 14	81: 14
we'll 5: 24	34: 18 38: 9	74: 10	26: 3 82: 5	82: 16
6: 24 8: 23	38: 16	82: 17	worse 48: 25	years 11: 8
9: 1 15: 14	40: 14 41: 6	wi nd 68: 23	48: 25	11: 9 13: 24
15: 14	42: 5 44: 23	<b>wi ngs</b> 3: 25	would-be	14: 2 21: 17
20: 19 32: 5	46: 22, 25	wi se 84: 6	35: 14	30: 10, 15
32: 6 62: 4	57: 20 58: 6	wi thheld	woul dn' t	49: 14
78: 1, 9	59: 8, 23	48: 9	11: 19 22: 3	53: 17 59: 3
85: 23 86: 4	60: 6 78: 23	WITNESS	28: 17	59: 10, 15
we're 4: 6, 7	weaken	97: 15	76: 25	59: 16
4: 9 5: 2, 19	60: 11	wonderi ng	81: 20	76: 19
6: 2 7: 2	weakened	41: 4	84: 25	88: 19, 19
				•
11: 15 17: 6	59: 5	<b>word</b> 38: 6	<b>wrap</b> 23: 8	91: 8, 11
17: 9 20: 18	website	words 42: 6	wrapped	93: 16 94: 5
21: 17, 20	15: 4	93: 14, 21	15: 9, 13	young 24: 20
22: 9, 18, 24	week 15: 9	93: 21	<b>wri te</b> 20: 13	93: 23
25: 9, 10, 14	16: 21, 21	work 8: 4	77: 4 91: 24	
				Z
25: 15, 18	<b>weeks</b> 5: 22	9: 25 10: 7	94: 8	
28: 1 30: 14	wei ght	10: 22 13: 1	writes	l
30: 14, 23	65: 18	23: 19 26: 1	51: 25	0
•				<b>05</b> 70: 9
31: 19, 24	<b>wei rd</b> 81: 19	26: 1, 25	58: 11	<b>U3</b> / U. 9
34: 2 36: 7	wellhead	29: 4, 5	writing	
42: 19, 19	60: 6	30: 25	97: 9	1
				1 600 57: 4
46: 22, 23	<b>wells</b> 57: 2	32: 20	written	<b>1,600</b> 57:6
47: 2 49: 24	57: 4 73: 20	33: 17, 18	15: 16	<b>1. 5</b> 77: 16
				<b>10</b> 23: 25
55: 5, 6	79: 7	33: 21, 22	<b>wrong</b> 60: 10	
56: 9 58: 2	went 7: 22	40: 16	60: 13	88: 19
59: 4, 12	9: 9 53: 3, 3	42: 20 50: 7	<del>_</del>	95: 17
			X	<b>11</b> 1: 20
64: 7 66: 8	79: 1	56: 24		
68: 2, 12	<b>West</b> 1: 22	69: 17	<b>X</b> 22: 22	<b>11: 35</b> 78: 6
			<b></b>	<b>110</b> 49: 14
69: 24	97: 8	78: 21	Υ	
70: 13, 14	what-ifs	79: 16		<b>1101</b> 2: 23
73: 3, 25	80: 2	81: 17 82: 8	y' al l 32: 23	<b>11th</b> 97: 7
			62: 1	<b>12</b> 78: 7
74: 11	whatnot	82: 10 83: 8		
76: 16	50: 16	83: 18	<b>Yates</b> 40: 25	<b>12: 30</b> 78: 8
	<del>_</del>	I	l	l
L				

78: 11 127 21: 17 12th 95: 17 13,000 57: 2 57: 4 139s 35: 21 36: 3 15 79: 8 1500 79: 10 16 57: 5 1754 21: 10 17th 97: 15 1849 2: 5, 9 2: 15, 19 1871 21: 7 1898 21: 8 18th 18: 1 61: 7 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 55: 6, 9, 17 55: 21 53: 21 53: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 55: 6, 9, 17 55: 21 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  22 201 21 31: 8 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  22 202 1: 2 31: 8 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  22 201 23 88: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2011 38: 6 66 666 93: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 67 68: 20 2018 38: 6 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 67 68: 20 2018 38: 6 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 67 68: 20 2018 38: 6 66 66693: 7 638 42: 5 67 68: 20 2018 38: 6	T.			
12721: 17	70.11	2. 10. 15. 20	/F44 0. 10	
12th 95: 17				
13,000 57: 2   208-7163   2: 6, 16   39: 35: 21   36: 3   15 79: 8   1500 79: 10   1657: 5   226 20: 9   25: 24   224 82   26 95: 2   27: 20   25: 23   24   26 95: 2   27: 15, 19   20: 23   21: 10: 4   61: 7   7   1906 22: 23   24: 14   25: 22   29: 10 30: 1   33: 18   45: 10, 23   51: 6, 9, 17   52: 21 53: 1   53: 17   52: 21 53: 1   54: 20 59: 7   79: 18   79: 20   23: 18   1979 46: 14   53: 9   200 57: 5, 21   38: 10   200 57: 5, 21   38: 6   52: 6   66: 17, 18   200 67: 21   200 87: 22   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 238: 10   68: 20   201 38: 6   606 93: 7   201 38: 6   606 93:			<b>6tn</b> 62: 24	
13   15   16   16   17   18   18   18   18   18   18   18				
139s 35: 21   36: 3   36: 3   15 79: 8   25 24 7: 23 8: 1   25: 24 82   26 20: 9   25: 23   27: 20   26 20: 9   25: 23   27: 20   26 20: 9   25: 23   27: 20   26 20: 9   25: 23   27: 20   26 20: 9   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   26: 23   27: 20   27: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20	<b>  13, 000</b> 57: 2	208-7163		
139S 35: 21   36: 3   15 79: 8   1500 79: 10   16 57: 5   16 000 57: 5   57: 5   1754 21: 10   17th 97: 15   1849 2: 5, 9   22: 15, 19   1871 21: 7   1898 21: 8   18th 18: 1   66: 7   1906 22: 23   24: 14   45: 10, 23   51: 6, 9, 17   153: 21   55: 7   29: 10 30: 1   33: 18   45: 10, 23   51: 6, 9, 17   153: 21   55: 7   29: 10 30: 1   33: 18   45: 10, 23   51: 6, 9, 17   1920 23: 18   1979 46: 14   53: 29   22	57: 4	2: 6, 16	<b>70</b> 94: 24	
36: 3	<b>139s</b> 35: 21		<b>777</b> 1: 22	
15 79: 8   1500 79: 10   25: 24   224 7: 23 8: 1   25: 24   224 82   27: 20   26 20: 9   1754 21: 10   25: 23   25: 23   20: 9   25: 23   20: 9   25: 23   24   224   14   25: 24   26 20: 9   25: 23   24   26 20: 9   25: 23   24   26 20: 9   25: 23   24   26 20: 9   26 20:				
1500 79: 10				
16 57: 5     224. 82     27: 20       57: 5     27: 20     25: 23       17th 97: 15     25: 23 20: 9     25: 23       1849: 25, 9     25: 23, 24     26: 25: 23       2: 15, 19     26: 95: 2     26: 23, 24       1871 21: 7     26: 95: 2     26: 23, 24       26: 95: 2     26: 11     27: 10: 4       31: 22     27: 10: 4     31: 22       29: 10: 30: 1     31: 22     27: 20       133: 18     22: 21     20: 21       45: 10, 23     30: 38: 10, 11     69: 3       51: 6, 9, 17     30: 30: 38: 10, 11     69: 3       53: 21     379-6138     2: 24       54: 20: 59: 7     379-6138     2: 24       1979 46: 14     63: 20     4       53: 9     4       4,000 53: 24     4660 2: 20       505: 2: 24     5       2008 7: 21     505 2: 24       2008 7: 22     505 2: 24       2012 38: 10     606 93: 7       68: 20     606 93: 7       2018 38: 6     638: 42: 5			8	
16,000 57: 5 57: 5 1754 21: 10 17th 97: 15 1849 2: 5, 9 2: 15, 19 26 59: 2 1871 21: 7 1898 21: 8 18th 18: 1 61: 7 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 66: 17, 18 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2005 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6 66: 38: 42: 5 2018 38: 6 66: 63, 43: 6 66: 63, 43: 6 66: 72 1 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 66: 66: 73: 18 27: 20 28: 23 27: 20 2			<b>8</b> 7· 21	
57: 5     26 20: 9       1754 21: 10     25: 23       17th 97: 15     25: 23 20: 9       1849 2: 5, 9     25: 23, 24       2: 15, 19     26 95: 2       1871 21: 7     26th 15: 11       1888 21: 8     15: 11       18th 18: 1     271 10: 4       61: 7     31: 22       1906 22: 23     273-4680       24: 14     2: 21       25: 22     29: 10 30: 1       33: 18     30 38: 10, 11       45: 10, 23     30, 38: 10, 11       54: 20 59: 7     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 24     379-6138       2: 2021: 2: 31: 8     379-6138       3: 29     379-6138       2006 7: 5, 21     379-6138       3: 20     38: 6       5: 5     5       3: 0.000 53: 24       4660 2: 20     3       2021: 38: 10     36: 36: 36: 36: 36: 36: 36: 3				
1754 21: 10				
17th 97: 15 1849 2: 5, 19 25 : 23, 24 26 95: 2 26th 15: 11 18th 18: 1 61: 7 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  25 7: 23 20: 9 25: 23, 24 26 95: 2 26th 15: 11 15: 11 271 10: 4 89: 20 90-day 14: 22 91 10: 9  9,000 55: 5 55: 7 9: 00 1: 20 90 65: 14, 16 89: 20 90-day 14: 22 91 10: 9			0/1042.24	
1849 2: 5, 9     25: 23, 24       1871 21: 7     26 95: 2       1871 21: 7     26 95: 2       1871 21: 7     15: 11       1888 21: 8     15: 11       18th 18: 1     271 10: 4       61: 7     31: 22       1906 22: 23     273-4680       24: 14     31: 22       25: 22     29: 10 30: 1       33: 18     30 38: 10, 11       69: 3     30,000 55: 2       55: 7     30,000 55: 2       55: 7     379-6138       54: 20 59: 7     379-6138       32: 24     3rd 15: 8, 15       1979 46: 14     17: 17 61: 6       53: 9     4       4,000 53: 24       4660 2: 20       2021: 2 31: 8     4       2005 7: 5, 21     5       38: 6 52: 6     5       66: 17, 18     505 2: 24       2008 7: 22     6       2012 38: 10     6       68: 20     6       2018 38: 6     6			9	
2: 15, 19 1871 21: 7 1898 21: 8 18th 18: 1 61: 7 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10				
1871 21: 7     1898 21: 8     26th 15: 11     16: 24     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 16: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 17: 61: 6     16: 24     17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17: 17:				
1898 21:8       18th 18:1       61:7       1906 22: 23       24: 14       25: 22       29: 10 30: 1       33: 18       45: 10, 23       51: 6, 9, 17       52: 21 53: 1       53: 21       53: 21       54: 20 59: 7       93: 17       1920 23: 18       1978 55: 1       1979 46: 14       53: 9       2       2021: 2 31: 8       2005 7: 5, 21       38: 6 52: 6       66: 17, 18       2006 7: 21       2008 7: 22       2012 38: 10       68: 20       2018 38: 6				
18th 18: 1     61: 7       1906 22: 23     24: 14       25: 22     29: 10 30: 1       33: 18     30 38: 10, 11       45: 10, 23     51: 6, 9, 17       52: 21 53: 1     30, 000 55: 2       55: 7     30, 000 55: 2       55: 7     379-6138       2: 24     3rd 15: 8, 15       1920 23: 18     16: 24       1979 46: 14     53: 9       2     4       2001: 2 31: 8     16: 24       4,000 53: 24     4660 2: 20       5; 000 23: 11     5       5,000 23: 11     505 2: 24       5,000 23: 11     505 2: 24       66: 17, 18     606 93: 7       68: 20     606 93: 7       638 42: 5     638 42: 5				
61: 7 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 55: 7 52: 21 55: 7 53: 21 53: 21 53: 21 53: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 20012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  638 42: 5  273-4680 2: 21  33 30 38: 10, 11 69: 3 30, 000 55: 2 55: 7 379-6138 2: 24 3rd 15: 8, 15 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  66 606 93: 7 638 42: 5  606 93: 7 638 42: 5	<b>1898</b> 21: 8			
31: 22 1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9 2 2021: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6	<b>18th</b> 18: 1	<b>271</b> 10: 4		
1906 22: 23 24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 2021: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  2: 21  14: 22 91 10: 9  14: 22 91 10: 9				
24: 14 25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1979 46: 14 53: 9 2 2021: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5 631 10: 9 91 10: 9 91 10: 9				
25: 22 29: 10 30: 1 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2			<b>91</b> 10: 9	
30 38: 10, 11 33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  30 38: 10, 11 69: 3 30, 000 55: 2 55: 7 379-6138 2: 24 3rd 15: 8, 15 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5		2, 21		
33: 18 45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  606 93: 7 638 42: 5		3		
45: 10, 23 51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9 2 2021: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6				
51: 6, 9, 17 52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  66: 20 2018 38: 6				
52: 21 53: 1 53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9 2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6				
53: 21 54: 20 59: 7 93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6   379-6138 2: 24 3rd 15: 8, 15 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5				
54: 20 59: 7       2: 24         93: 17       3rd 15: 8, 15         1978 55: 1       16: 24         1979 46: 14       63: 20         53: 9       4         2021: 2 31: 8       4         2005 7: 5, 21       38: 6 52: 6         66: 17, 18       5         2006 7: 21       5         2008 7: 22       5         2012 38: 10       6         68: 20       6         2018 38: 6       6				
93: 17 1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  3rd 15: 8, 15 16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  66693: 7 638 42: 5				
1920 23: 18 1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  16: 24 17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5 638 42: 5				
1978 55: 1 1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  17: 17 61: 6 63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5 638 42: 5				
1979 46: 14 53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  63: 20  4 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5	<b>1920</b> 23: 18	16: 24		
53: 9  2 20 21: 2 31: 8 2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  638 42: 5  64 4, 000 53: 24 4660 2: 20  5 5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5	<b>1978</b> 55: 1	17: 17 61: 6		
53: 9       2       20 21: 2 31: 8       2005 7: 5, 21       38: 6 52: 6       66: 17, 18       2006 7: 21       2008 7: 22       2012 38: 10       68: 20       2018 38: 6	<b>1979</b> 46: 14	63: 20		
2       20 21: 2 31: 8       2005 7: 5, 21       38: 6 52: 6       66: 17, 18       2006 7: 21       2008 7: 22       2012 38: 10       68: 20       2018 38: 6				
20 21: 2 31: 8     4660 2: 20       2005 7: 5, 21     5       38: 6 52: 6     5       66: 17, 18     5       2006 7: 21     505 2: 24       2012 38: 10     6       68: 20     606 93: 7       2018 38: 6     638 42: 5		4		
20 21: 2 31: 8     4660 2: 20       2005 7: 5, 21     5       38: 6 52: 6     5       66: 17, 18     5       2006 7: 21     505 2: 24       2012 38: 10     6       68: 20     606 93: 7       2018 38: 6     638 42: 5	2	<b>4,000</b> 53: 24		
2005 7: 5, 21 38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5	<b>20</b> 21 · 2 31 · 8	-		
38: 6 52: 6 66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  5, 000 23: 11 505 2: 24  6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6				
66: 17, 18 2006 7: 21 505 2: 24 505 2: 24 505 2: 24 68: 20 68: 20 2018 38: 6		5		
2006 7: 21 2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6  505 2: 24  6  6  606 93: 7  638 42: 5				
2008 7: 22 2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6				
2012 38: 10 68: 20 2018 38: 6 6 606 93: 7 638 42: 5		303 Z. Z4		
<b>606</b> 93: 7 <b>608</b> 38: 6 <b>606</b> 93: 7 <b>638</b> 42: 5		6		
<b>2018</b> 38: 6 <b>638</b> 42: 5				
2010 00. 0				
<b>2019</b> 1· 20				
20171.20	<b>2019</b> 1: 20			
97: 7, 16 53: 8, 9	97: 7, 16			
<b>202</b> 2: 6, 11	<b>202</b> 2: 6, 11			
2: 16, 21   80: 10, 18				
<b>2021</b> 32: 5 81: 3 87: 8		81: 3 87: 8		
<b>20240</b> 2: 6 <b>6513</b> 2: 10		<b>6513</b> 2: 10		